

Supplementary Material for the Manuscript “On the Implicit Bias of Adam” by Matias D. Cattaneo, Jason M. Klusowski, and Boris Shigida

August 31, 2023

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	RMSProp with ε outside the square root	2
3	RMSProp with ε inside the square root	5
4	Adam with ε outside the square root	7
5	Adam with ε inside the square root	10
6	Technical bounding lemmas	12
7	Proof of Theorem SA-2.3	21
8	Numerical experiments	27

1 Overview

SA-1.1. This appendix provides some omitted details and proofs.

We consider two algorithms: RMSProp and Adam, and two versions of each algorithm (with the numerical stability ε parameter inside and outside of the square root in the denominator). This means there are four main theorems: [Theorem SA-2.4](#), [Theorem SA-3.4](#), [Theorem SA-4.4](#) and [Theorem SA-5.4](#), each residing in the section completely devoted to one algorithm. The simple induction argument taken from [1], essentially the same for each of these theorems, is based on an auxiliary result whose corresponding versions are [Theorem SA-2.3](#), [Theorem SA-3.3](#), [Theorem SA-4.3](#) and [Theorem SA-5.3](#). The proof of this result is also elementary but long, and it is done by a series of lemmas in [Section 6](#) and [Section 7](#), culminating in [Section SA-7.6](#). Out of these four, we only prove [Theorem SA-2.3](#) since the other three results are proven in the same way with obvious changes.

[Section 8](#) contains some details about the numerical experiments.

SA-1.2 Notation. We denote the loss of the k th minibatch as a function of the network parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ by $E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, and in the full-batch setting we omit the index and write $E(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. As usual, ∇E means the gradient of E , and nabla with indices means partial derivatives, e. g. $\nabla_{ijs}E$ is a shortcut for $\frac{\partial^3 E}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j \partial \theta_s}$.

The letter $T > 0$ will always denote a finite time horizon of the ODEs, h will always denote the training step size, and we will replace nh with t_n when convenient, where $n \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$ is the step number. We will use the same notation for the iteration of the discrete algorithm $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$, the piecewise ODE solution $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ and some auxiliary terms for each of the four algorithms: see [Definition SA-2.1](#), [Definition SA-](#)

3.1, Definition SA-4.1, Definition SA-5.1. This way, we avoid cluttering the notation significantly. We are careful to reference the relevant definition in all theorem statements.

2 RMSProp with ε outside the square root

Definition SA-2.1. In this section, for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\rho \in (0, 1)$, let the sequence of p -vectors $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_j^{(n+1)} &= \rho \nu_j^{(n)} + (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}) \right)^2, \\ \theta_j^{(n+1)} &= \theta_j^{(n)} - \frac{h}{\sqrt{\nu_j^{(n+1)}} + \varepsilon} \nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t) &= - \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon} \\ &+ h \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon}}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)} \right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are p -dimensional functions with components

$$\begin{aligned}R_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right)^2}, \\ P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}, \\ \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}.\end{aligned}$$

Assumption SA-2.2.

1. For some positive constants M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_i \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_i E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_1, \\ \sup_{i,j} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_2, \\ \sup_{i,j,s} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijs} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_3, \\ \sup_{i,j,s,r} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijsr} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_4.\end{aligned}$$

2. For some $R > 0$ we have for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)) \geq R, \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) \right)^2 \geq R^2,$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ is defined in Definition SA-2.1.

Theorem SA-2.3 (RMSProp with ε outside: local error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-2.2](#) holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$*

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_k)) \right)^2} + \varepsilon} \right| \leq C_1 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_1 depending on ρ .

The proof of [Theorem SA-2.3](#) is conceptually simple but very technical, and we delay it until [Section 7](#). For now assuming it as given and combining it with a simple induction argument gives a global error bound which follows.

Theorem SA-2.4 (RMSProp with ε outside: global error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-2.2](#) holds, and*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\theta^{(k)}) \right)^2 \geq R^2$$

for $\{\theta^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ defined in [Definition SA-2.1](#). Then there exist positive constants d_1, d_2, d_3 such that for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_1 e^{d_2 n h} h^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_3 e^{d_2 n h} h^3,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\theta}(t_n) - \theta^{(n)}$. The constants can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &:= C_1, \\ d_2 &:= \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_1 \right] \sqrt{p}, \\ d_3 &:= C_1 d_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We will show this by induction over n , the same way an analogous bound is shown in [\[1\]](#).

The base case is $n = 0$. Indeed, $\mathbf{e}_0 = \tilde{\theta}(0) - \theta^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$. Then the j th component of $\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0]_j &= [\mathbf{e}_1]_j = \tilde{\theta}_j(t_1) - \theta_j^{(0)} + \frac{h \nabla_j E_0(\theta^{(0)})}{\sqrt{(1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_0(\theta^{(0)}) \right)^2} + \varepsilon} \\ &= \tilde{\theta}_j(t_1) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_0) + \frac{h \nabla_j E_0(\tilde{\theta}(t_0))}{\sqrt{(1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_0(\tilde{\theta}(t_0)) \right)^2} + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

By [Theorem SA-2.3](#), the absolute value of the right-hand side does not exceed $C_1 h^3$, which means $\|\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0\| \leq C_1 h^3 \sqrt{p}$. Since $C_1 \sqrt{p} \leq d_3$, the base case is proven.

Now suppose that for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ the claim

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\| \leq d_1 e^{d_2 k h} h^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{e}_{k+1} - \mathbf{e}_k\| \leq d_3 e^{d_2 k h} h^3$$

is proven. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{e}_n\| &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \|\mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| + \|\mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| \leq d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 + d_3 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^3 \\ &= d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 \left(1 + \frac{d_3}{d_1} h \right) \stackrel{(b)}{\leq} d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 (1 + d_2 h) \end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} d_1 e^{d_2(n-1)h} h^2 \cdot e^{d_2 h} = d_1 e^{d_2 n h} h^2,$$

where (a) is by the triangle inequality, (b) is by $d_3/d_1 \leq d_2$, in (c) we used $1+x \leq e^x$ for all $x \geq 0$.

Next, combining [Theorem SA-2.3](#) with (2.1), we have

$$\left| [\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n]_j \right| \leq C_1 h^3 + h \left| \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n))}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right|, \quad (2.3)$$

where to simplify notation we put

$$A := \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) \right)^2, \\ B := \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}) \right)^2.$$

Using $A \geq R^2$, $B \geq R^2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| = \frac{|A - B|}{(\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon)(\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon)(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{B})} \leq \frac{|A - B|}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^2}. \quad (2.4)$$

But since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) \right)^2 - \left(\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}) \right)^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) - \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}) \right| \cdot \left| \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) + \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}) \right| \\ &\leq 2M_1 \left| \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) - \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}) \right| \leq 2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p} \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|A - B| \leq 2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right\|. \quad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n))}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)) \right| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| + \frac{\left| \nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)) - \nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}) \right|}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \\ &\leq M_1 \cdot \frac{2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right\|}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^2} + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p} \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right\|}{R + \varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{M_1^2 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right\| + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left\| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right\| \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{M_1^2 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) d_1 e^{d_2 k h} h^2 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} d_1 e^{d_2 n h} h^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where in (a) we used the induction hypothesis and that the bound on $\|\mathbf{e}_n\|$ is already proven.

Now note that since $0 < \rho e^{-d_2 h} \leq \rho$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^n (\rho e^{-d_2 h})^k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k = \frac{1}{1-\rho}$, which is rewritten as

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) e^{d_2 k h} \leq e^{d_2 n h}.$$

Then we can continue (2.6):

$$\left| \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n))}{\sqrt{A} + \varepsilon} - \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)})}{\sqrt{B} + \varepsilon} \right| \leq \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_1 e^{d_2 n h} h^2 \quad (2.7)$$

Again using $1 \leq e^{d_2 n h}$, we conclude from (2.3) and (2.7) that

$$\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \leq \underbrace{\left(C_1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_1 \right)}_{\leq d_3} \sqrt{p} e^{d_2 n h} h^3,$$

finishing the induction step. \square

SA-2.5 RMSProp with ε outside: full-batch. In the full-batch setting $E_k \equiv E$, the terms in (2.8) simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= |\nabla_j E(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \sqrt{1 - \rho^{n+1}}, \\ P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_j E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{|\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \sqrt{1 - \rho^{l+1}} + \varepsilon}, \\ \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= (1 - \rho^{n+1}) \nabla_j E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{|\nabla_i E(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \sqrt{1 - \rho^{n+1}} + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

If ε is small and the iteration number n is large, (2.2) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_j(t) &= -\text{sign} \nabla_j E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + h \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \text{sign} \nabla_i E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{|\nabla_j E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))|} \\ &= |\nabla_j E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))|^{-1} \left[-\nabla_j E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + h \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} \nabla_j \|\nabla E(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))\|_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3 RMSProp with ε inside the square root

Definition SA-3.1. In this section, for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\rho \in (0, 1)$, let the sequence of p -vectors $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_j^{(n+1)} &= \rho \nu_j^{(n)} + (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}) \right)^2, \\ \theta_j^{(n+1)} &= \theta_j^{(n)} - \frac{h}{\sqrt{\nu_j^{(n+1)}} + \varepsilon} \nabla_j E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}_j(t) &= -\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))} \\ &\quad + h \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right)}{2R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))^3} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}}{2R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are p -dimensional functions with components

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) (\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^2 + \varepsilon}, \\ P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}, \\ \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Assumption SA-3.2. For some positive constants M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_i \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_i E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_1, \\ \sup_{i,j} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_2, \\ \sup_{i,j,s} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijs} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_3, \\ \sup_{i,j,s,r} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijsr} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_4. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem SA-3.3 (RMSProp with ε inside: local error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-3.2](#) holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$*

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n))}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) \right)^2 + \varepsilon}} \right| \leq C_2 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_2 depending on ρ , where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ is defined in [Definition SA-3.1](#).

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for [Theorem SA-2.3](#).

Theorem SA-3.4 (RMSProp with ε inside: global error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-3.2](#) holds. Then there exist positive constants d_4, d_5, d_6 such that for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$*

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_4 e^{d_5 n h} h^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_6 e^{d_5 n h} h^3,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}$; $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ are defined in [Definition SA-3.1](#). The constants can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} d_4 &:= C_2, \\ d_5 &:= \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) d_4 \right] \sqrt{p}, \\ d_6 &:= C_2 d_5. \end{aligned}$$

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for [Theorem SA-2.4](#).

4 Adam with ε outside the square root

Definition SA-4.1. In this section, for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\beta, \rho \in (0, 1)$, let the sequence of p -vectors $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_j^{(n+1)} &= \rho \nu_j^{(n)} + (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right) \right)^2, \\ m_j^{(n+1)} &= \beta m_j^{(n)} + (1 - \beta) \nabla_j E_n \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)} \right), \\ \theta_j^{(n+1)} &= \theta_j^{(n)} - h \frac{m_j^{(n+1)} / (1 - \beta^{n+1})}{\sqrt{\nu_j^{(n+1)} / (1 - \rho^{n+1}) + \varepsilon}}\end{aligned}$$

or, rewriting,

$$\theta_j^{(n+1)} = \theta_j^{(n)} - h \frac{\frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^2 + \varepsilon}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t) &= - \frac{M_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \\ &+ h \left(\frac{M_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} - \frac{2L_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{L}_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)} \right).\end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{M}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{L}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are p -dimensional functions with components

$$\begin{aligned}R_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right)^2 / (1 - \rho^{n+1})}, \\ M_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ L_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}, \\ \bar{L}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}, \\ P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}, \\ \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon}.\end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Assumption SA-4.2.

1. For some positive constants M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 we have

$$\sup_i \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_i E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| \leq M_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{i,j} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_2, \\
\sup_{i,j,s} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijs} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_3, \\
\sup_{i,j,s,r} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijsr} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_4.
\end{aligned}$$

2. For some $R > 0$ we have for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n)) \geq R, \quad \frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) \right)^2 \geq R^2,$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ is defined in [Definition SA-4.1](#).

Theorem SA-4.3 (Adam with ε outside: local error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-4.2](#) holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$*

$$\left| \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k))}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) \right)^2} + \varepsilon} \right| \leq C_3 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_3 depending on β and ρ .

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for [Theorem SA-2.3](#).

Theorem SA-4.4 (Adam with ε outside: global error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-4.2](#) holds, and*

$$\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}) \right)^2 \geq R^2$$

for $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ defined in [Definition SA-4.1](#). Then there exist positive constants d_7, d_8, d_9 such that for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_7 e^{d_8 n h} h^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_9 e^{d_8 n h} h^3,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}$. The constants can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
d_7 &:= C_3, \\
d_8 &:= \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{\rho}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_7 \right] \sqrt{\rho}, \\
d_9 &:= C_3 d_8.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Analogously to [Theorem SA-2.4](#), we will prove this by induction over n .

The base case is $n = 0$. Indeed, $\mathbf{e}_0 = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$. Then the j th component of $\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0]_j &= [\mathbf{e}_1]_j = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_j(t_1) - \boldsymbol{\theta}_j^{(0)} + \frac{h \nabla_j E_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)})}{\left| \nabla_j E_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}) \right| + \varepsilon} \\
&= \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_j(t_1) - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_j(t_0) + \frac{h \nabla_j E_0(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_0))}{\sqrt{\left(\nabla_j E_0(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_0)) \right)^2} + \varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

By [Theorem SA-4.3](#), the absolute value of the right-hand side does not exceed $C_3 h^3$, which means $\|\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_0\| \leq C_3 h^3 \sqrt{p}$. Since $C_3 \sqrt{p} \leq d_9$, the base case is proven.

Now suppose that for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ the claim

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\| \leq d_7 e^{d_8 k h} h^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{e}_{k+1} - \mathbf{e}_k\| \leq d_9 e^{d_8 k h} h^3$$

is proven. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{e}_n\| &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \|\mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| + \|\mathbf{e}_n - \mathbf{e}_{n-1}\| \leq d_7 e^{d_8(n-1)h} h^2 + d_9 e^{d_8(n-1)h} h^3 \\ &= d_7 e^{d_8(n-1)h} h^2 \left(1 + \frac{d_9}{d_7} h\right) \stackrel{(b)}{\leq} d_7 e^{d_8(n-1)h} h^2 (1 + d_8 h) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} d_7 e^{d_8(n-1)h} h^2 \cdot e^{d_8 h} = d_7 e^{d_8 n h} h^2, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by the triangle inequality, (b) is by $d_9/d_7 \leq d_8$, in (c) we used $1 + x \leq e^x$ for all $x \geq 0$.

Next, combining [Theorem SA-4.3](#) with (4.1), we have

$$\left| [\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n]_j \right| \leq C_3 h^3 + h \left| \frac{N'}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{N''}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right|, \quad (4.4)$$

where to simplify notation we put

$$\begin{aligned} N' &:= \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right), \\ N'' &:= \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right), \\ D' &:= \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^2, \\ D'' &:= \frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using $D' \geq R^2$, $D'' \geq R^2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| = \frac{|D' - D''|}{(\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon)(\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon)(\sqrt{D'} + \sqrt{D''})} \leq \frac{|D' - D''|}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

But since

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) \right)^2 - \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 \right| \\ &= \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right| \cdot \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) + \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right| \\ &\leq 2M_1 \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right| \leq 2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p} \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|D' - D''| \leq \frac{2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right\|. \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |N' - N''| &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) M_2 \sqrt{p} \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Combining (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{N'}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{N''}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| \leq |N'| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| + \frac{|N' - N''|}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) M_1 \cdot \frac{2M_1 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{2R(R + \varepsilon)^2 (1 - \rho^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left\| \theta^{(k)} - \tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right\| \\
& \quad + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{(R + \varepsilon) (1 - \beta^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \left\| \theta^{(k)} - \tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right\| \\
& = \frac{M_1^2 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^2 (1 - \rho^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \left\| \theta^{(k)} - \tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right\| \\
& \quad + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{(R + \varepsilon) (1 - \beta^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) \left\| \theta^{(k)} - \tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right\| \\
& \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{M_1^2 M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^2 (1 - \rho^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) d_7 e^{d_8 k h} h^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{(R + \varepsilon) (1 - \beta^{n+1})} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) d_7 e^{d_8 k h} h^2, \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we used the induction hypothesis and that the bound on $\|\mathbf{e}_n\|$ is already proven.

Now note that since $0 < \rho e^{-d_8 h} < \rho$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^n (\rho e^{-d_8 h})^k \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k = (1 - \rho^{n+1}) / (1 - \rho)$, which is rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{1 - \rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) e^{d_8 k h} \leq e^{d_8 n h}.$$

By the same logic,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1 - \beta) e^{d_8 k h} \leq e^{d_8 n h}.$$

Then we can continue (4.8):

$$\left| \frac{N'}{\sqrt{D'} + \varepsilon} - \frac{N''}{\sqrt{D''} + \varepsilon} \right| \leq \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_7 e^{d_8 n h} h^2 \tag{4.9}$$

Again using $1 \leq e^{d_8 n h}$, we conclude from (4.4) and (4.9) that

$$\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \leq \underbrace{\left(C_3 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{R + \varepsilon} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{R(R + \varepsilon)} + 1 \right) d_7 \right)}_{\leq d_9} \sqrt{p} e^{d_8 n h} h^3,$$

finishing the induction step. \square

5 Adam with ε inside the square root

Definition SA-5.1. In this section, for some $\theta^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\nu^{(0)} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\beta, \rho \in (0, 1)$, let the sequence of p -vectors $\{\theta^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ be defined for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_j^{(n+1)} &= \rho \nu_j^{(n)} + (1 - \rho) \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\theta^{(n)} \right) \right)^2, \\
m_j^{(n+1)} &= \beta m_j^{(n)} + (1 - \beta) \nabla_j E_n \left(\theta^{(n)} \right), \\
\theta_j^{(n+1)} &= \theta_j^{(n)} - h \frac{m_j^{(n+1)} / (1 - \beta^{n+1})}{\sqrt{\nu_j^{(n+1)} / (1 - \rho^{n+1}) + \varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ be defined as a continuous solution to the piecewise ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t) = & -\frac{M_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))} \\ & + h \left(\frac{M_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right)}{2R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))^3} - \frac{2L_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) + \bar{L}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))}{2R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

with the initial condition $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(0) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$, where $\mathbf{R}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{P}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{P}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{M}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\mathbf{L}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are p -dimensional functions with components

$$\begin{aligned} R_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) (\nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^2 / (1-\rho^{n+1}) + \varepsilon}, \\ M_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \\ L_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}, \\ \bar{L}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}, \\ P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{M_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}, \\ \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &:= \frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{M_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Assumption SA-5.2. For some positive constants M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_i \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_i E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_1, \\ \sup_{i,j} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_2, \\ \sup_{i,j,s} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijs} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_3, \\ \sup_{i,j,s,r} \sup_k \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} |\nabla_{ijsr} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\leq M_4. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem SA-5.3 (Adam with ε inside: local error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-5.2](#) holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$*

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\frac{1}{1-\beta^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \beta^{n-k} (1-\beta) \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k))}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\rho^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k)) \right)^2 + \varepsilon}} \right| \leq C_4 h^3$$

for a positive constant C_4 depending on β and ρ .

We omit the proof since it is essentially the same argument as for [Theorem SA-2.3](#).

Theorem SA-5.4 (Adam with ε inside: global error bound). *Suppose [Assumption SA-5.2](#) holds for $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ defined in [Definition SA-5.1](#). Then there exist positive constants d_{10}, d_{11}, d_{12} such that for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$*

$$\|\mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_{10} e^{d_{11} n h} h^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{e}_{n+1} - \mathbf{e}_n\| \leq d_{12} e^{d_{11} n h} h^3,$$

where $\mathbf{e}_n := \tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n)}$. The constants can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} d_{10} &:= C_4, \\ d_{11} &:= \left[1 + \frac{M_2 \sqrt{p}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{M_1^2}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) d_{10} \right] \sqrt{p}, \\ d_{12} &:= C_4 d_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

6 Technical bounding lemmas

We will need the following lemmas to prove [Theorem SA-2.3](#).

Lemma SA-6.1. *Suppose [Assumption SA-2.2](#) holds. Then*

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq C_5, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| \leq C_6, \quad (6.2)$$

with constants C_5, C_6 defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_5 &:= p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}, \\ C_6 &:= p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of [Lemma SA-6.1](#). The proof is done in the following simple steps.

SA-6.2 Proof of (6.1). This bound is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| P_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| &= \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ &\leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} (1 - \rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (n - k) \leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} (1 - \rho) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k k = C_5. \end{aligned}$$

SA-6.3 Proof of (6.2). This bound is straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right| &= \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1 - \rho) \nabla_j E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{R_i^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ &\leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} (1 - \rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} \leq p \frac{M_1^2 M_2}{R + \varepsilon} = C_6. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of [Lemma SA-6.1](#). □

Lemma SA-6.4. *Suppose [Assumption SA-2.2](#) holds. Then the first derivative of $t \mapsto \tilde{\theta}_j(t)$ is uniformly over j and $t \in [0, T]$ bounded in absolute value by some positive constant, say D_1 .*

Proof. This follows immediately from $h \leq T$, (6.1), (6.2) and the definition of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)$ given in (2.2). □

Lemma SA-6.5. *Suppose [Assumption SA-2.2](#) holds. Then*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_j \left| \left(\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)) \right) \right| \leq C_7, \quad (6.3)$$

$$\sup_{n,k} \sup_{t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left[\dot{\tilde{\theta}}_i(t) + \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right] \right| \leq C_8 h, \quad (6.4)$$

$$\sup_{k \leq n} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq (n-k) C_9, \quad (6.5)$$

$$\left| \left(P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)^\cdot \right| \leq C_{10} + C_{14}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$\left| \left(\bar{P}_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)^\cdot \right| \leq C_{15}, \quad (6.7)$$

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right)^\cdot \right| \leq C_{13}, \quad (6.8)$$

$$\left| \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2 P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} \right)^\cdot \right| \leq C_{17}, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\left| \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon}}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)} \right)^\cdot \right| \leq C_{18}, \quad (6.10)$$

with constants $C_7, C_8, C_9, C_{10}, C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{14}, C_{15}, C_{16}, C_{17}, C_{18}$ defined as follows:

$$C_7 := p M_2 D_1,$$

$$C_8 := p M_2 \left[\frac{M_1 (2C_5 + C_6)}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{p M_1 M_2}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2} \right],$$

$$C_9 := p \frac{M_1 M_2}{R + \varepsilon},$$

$$C_{10} := D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho},$$

$$C_{11} := \frac{D_1 p M_1 M_2}{R},$$

$$C_{12} := D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_3}{R + \varepsilon},$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{13} &:= C_{12} + p M_2 \left(\frac{D_1 p M_2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{M_1}{(R + \varepsilon)^2} C_{11} \right) \\ &= \frac{D_1 p^2}{R + \varepsilon} \left(M_1 M_3 + M_2^2 + \frac{M_1^2 M_2^2}{(R + \varepsilon) R} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$C_{14} := M_1 C_{13} \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho},$$

$$C_{15} := \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1^2 M_3}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{p M_1^2 M_2 C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2},$$

$$C_{16} := \frac{2C_{11}}{R(R + \varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^4},$$

$$C_{17} := \frac{D_1 p M_2 \cdot (2C_5 + C_6)}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{M_1 (2(C_{10} + C_{14}) + C_{15})}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{M_1 (2C_5 + C_6) C_{16}}{2},$$

$$C_{18} := \frac{1}{2(R+\varepsilon)} \left(\frac{p^2 D_1 M_1 M_3}{R+\varepsilon} + \frac{p^2 D_1 M_2^2}{R+\varepsilon} + \frac{p M_1 M_2 C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{p M_1 M_2}{R+\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}.$$

Proof of Lemma SA-6.5. We divide this argument in several steps.

SA-6.6 Proof of (6.3). This bound is straightforward:

$$\left| \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_i(t) \right| \leq C_7.$$

SA-6.7 Proof of (6.4). By (2.2) we have for $t = t_{n+1}^-$

$$\left| \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t) + \frac{\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq h \left[\frac{M_1 (2C_5 + C_6)}{2(R+\varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{p M_1 M_2}{2(R+\varepsilon)^2} \right],$$

giving (6.4) immediately.

SA-6.8 Proof of (6.5). This bound follows from the assumptions immediately.

SA-6.9 Proof of (6.6). We will prove this by bounding the two terms in the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \sum_{u=1}^p \nabla_{ju} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_u(t) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

It is easily shown that the first term in (6.11) is bounded in absolute value by C_{10} :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \sum_{u=1}^p \nabla_{ju} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_u(t) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ & \leq D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_2^2}{R+\varepsilon} (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k k \\ & \leq D_1 p^2 \frac{M_1 M_2^2}{R+\varepsilon} (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k k \\ & = C_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of (6.6), it is left to show that the second term in (6.11) is bounded in absolute value by C_{14} .

To bound $\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\}$, we can use

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right\} \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied twice,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right\} \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^p \left(\nabla_{ijs} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{u=1}^p \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_u(t)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^p \left| \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|^2} \\ &\leq M_3 p \cdot D_1 \sqrt{p} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^p \left| \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right|^2} \leq (n-k) C_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for any n and j

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right| &= \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_i(t) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)} D_1 p M_1 M_2 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \leq C_{11}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| &\leq \frac{\left| \sum_{s=1}^p \nabla_{is} E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_s(t) \right|}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} + \frac{\left| \nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right| \cdot \left| \frac{d}{dt} R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right|}{\left(R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{D_1 p M_2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{M_1}{(R + \varepsilon)^2} C_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

We have obtained

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| \leq (n-k) C_{13}. \tag{6.13}$$

This gives a bound on the second term in (6.11):

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq M_1 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) (n-k) C_{13} \leq C_{14}, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof of (6.6).

SA-6.10 Proof of (6.7). We will prove this by bounding the four terms in the expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \\ &= \text{Term1} + \text{Term2} + \text{Term3} + \text{Term4}, \end{aligned}$$

where

Term1

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon},$$

Term2

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon},$$

Term3

$$:= \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\}}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon},$$

Term4

$$:= - \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{d}{dt} R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{\left(R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2}.$$

To bound Term1, use $\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \right| \leq D_1 p M_2$, giving

$$|\text{Term1}| \leq \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \leq \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon}.$$

To bound Term2, use $\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \right| \leq D_1 p M_3$, giving

$$|\text{Term2}| \leq \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1^2 M_3}{R + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \leq \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1^2 M_3}{R + \varepsilon}.$$

To bound Term3, use $\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right\} \right| \leq D_1 p M_2$, giving

$$|\text{Term3}| \leq \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \leq \frac{D_1 p^2 M_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon}.$$

To bound Term4, use (6.12), giving

$$|\text{Term4}| \leq \frac{p M_1^2 M_2 C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \leq \frac{p M_1^2 M_2 C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2}.$$

SA-6.11 Proof of (6.8). This is proven in (6.13).

SA-6.12 Proof of (6.9). (6.12) gives

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))} \right\} \right| = \frac{\left| \frac{d}{dt} R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right|}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^2} \leq \frac{C_{11}}{R^2}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| = \frac{\left| \frac{d}{dt} R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right|}{\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2} \leq \frac{C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2} \right\} \right| = \frac{2 \left| \frac{d}{dt} R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right|}{\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^3} \leq \frac{2C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^3}. \quad (6.16)$$

Combining two bounds above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^{-1} \right\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} \right\} \right|}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))} + \frac{\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^{-1} \right\} \right|}{\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2} \leq C_{16}. \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\left(\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right) \cdot \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))} \right| + \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\left| \nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right) \right|}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\left| \nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right) \right|}{2} \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| \left(\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^{-1} \right) \right| \leq C_{17}. \end{aligned}$$

SA-6.13 Proof of (6.10). Since

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq \frac{pM_1M_2}{R + \varepsilon}$$

and, as we have already seen in the argument for (6.7),

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq \frac{p^2 D_1 M_1 M_3}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{p^2 D_1 M_2^2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{pM_1M_2C_{11}}{(R + \varepsilon)^2},$$

we are ready to bound

$$\left| \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon}}{2(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon)} \right) \right| \leq C_{18}.$$

The proof of Lemma SA-6.5 is concluded. \square

Lemma SA-6.14. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then the second derivative of $t \mapsto \tilde{\theta}_j(t)$ is uniformly over j and $t \in [0, T]$ bounded in absolute value by some positive constant, say D_2 .

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\tilde{\theta}(t)$ given in (2.2), $h \leq T$ and that the first derivatives of all three terms in (2.2) are bounded by Lemma SA-6.5. \square

Lemma SA-6.15. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then

$$\left| \left(\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right)'' \right| \leq C_{19}, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\left| \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right)'' \right| \leq C_{20}, \quad (6.18)$$

$$\left| \left(\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} \right)'' \right| \leq C_{21}, \quad (6.19)$$

$$\left| \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^{-1} \right)'' \right| \leq C_{22}, \quad (6.20)$$

$$\left| \left(\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^{-1} \right)'' \right| \leq C_{23}, \quad (6.21)$$

$$\left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right)'' \right| \leq (n-k)C_{24}, \quad (6.22)$$

with constants $C_{19}, C_{20}, C_{21}, C_{22}, C_{23}, C_{24}$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{19} &:= p^2 M_3 D_1^2 + p M_2 D_2, \\ C_{20} &:= \frac{C_{11}}{R^2} p M_1 M_2 D_1 + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_2^2 D_1^2 + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_1 M_3 D_1^2 + \frac{1}{R} p M_1 M_2 D_2, \\ C_{21} &:= \frac{6C_{11}^2}{(R + \varepsilon)^4} + \frac{2C_{20}}{(R + \varepsilon)^3}, \\ C_{22} &:= \frac{2C_{11}^2}{R^3} + \frac{C_{20}}{R^2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{23} &:= \frac{C_{21}}{R} + \frac{4C_{11}^2}{R^2(R+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{22}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}, \\
C_{24} &:= p \left[\frac{2C_{11}(D_1M_2^2p + D_1M_1M_3p)}{(R+\varepsilon)^2} + M_1M_2 \left(\frac{2C_{11}^2}{(R+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{20}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2D_1^2M_2M_3p^2 + M_2(D_1^2M_3p^2 + D_2M_2p) + M_1(D_1^2M_4p^2 + D_2M_3p)}{R+\varepsilon} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma SA-6.15. We divide this argument in several steps.

SA-6.16 Proof of (6.17). This bound is straightforward:

$$\left| \left(\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot\cdot} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{s=1}^p \nabla_{ijs} E_n \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\theta}_s(t) \dot{\theta}_i(t) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \ddot{\theta}_i(t) \right| \leq C_{19}.$$

SA-6.17 Proof of (6.18). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot\cdot} &= \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)^{-1} \right)^{\cdot} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\theta}_i(t) \\
&\quad + R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot} \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \dot{\theta}_i(t) \\
&\quad + R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \left(\nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot} \dot{\theta}_i(t) \\
&\quad + R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \ddot{\theta}_i(t),
\end{aligned}$$

giving by (6.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot\cdot} \right| &\leq \frac{C_{11}}{R^2} p M_1 M_2 D_1 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_2^2 D_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{R} p^2 M_1 M_3 D_1^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) + \frac{1}{R} p M_1 M_2 D_2 \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \\
&\leq C_{20}.
\end{aligned}$$

SA-6.18 Proof of (6.19). Note that

$$\left(\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} \right)^{\cdot\cdot} = \frac{6 \left(\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot} \right)^2}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^4} - \frac{2 \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot\cdot}}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^3},$$

giving by (6.12) and (6.18)

$$\left| \left(\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-2} \right)^{\cdot\cdot} \right| \leq C_{21}.$$

SA-6.19 Proof of (6.20). The bound follows from (6.12), (6.18) and

$$\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)^{-1} \right)^{\cdot\cdot} = \frac{2 \left(\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot} \right)^2}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)^3} - \frac{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right) \right)^{\cdot\cdot}}{R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t) \right)^2}.$$

SA-6.20 Proof of (6.21). Putting $a := \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon\right)^{-2}$, $b := R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^{-1}$, use

$$\begin{aligned} |a| &\leq \frac{1}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}, \quad |b| \leq \frac{1}{R}, \\ |\dot{a}| &\leq \frac{2C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^3}, \quad |\dot{b}| \leq \frac{C_{11}}{R^2}, \\ |\ddot{a}| &\leq C_{21}, \quad |\ddot{b}| \leq C_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(ab)^{\cdot\cdot} = \ddot{a}b + 2\dot{a}\dot{b} + a\ddot{b}.$$

SA-6.21 Proof of (6.22). Putting

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t)), \\ b &:= \nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t)), \\ c &:= \left(R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon\right)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a| &\leq M_2, \quad |\dot{a}| \leq pM_3D_1, \quad |\ddot{a}| \leq p^2M_4D_1^2 + pM_3D_2, \\ |b| &\leq M_1, \quad |\dot{b}| \leq pM_2D_1, \quad |\ddot{b}| \leq p^2M_3D_1^2 + pM_2D_2, \\ |c| &\leq \frac{1}{R+\varepsilon}, \quad |\dot{c}| \leq \frac{C_{11}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}, \quad |\ddot{c}| \leq \frac{2C_{11}^2}{(R+\varepsilon)^3} + \frac{C_{20}}{(R+\varepsilon)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(6.22) follows.

The proof of Lemma SA-6.15 is concluded. \square

Lemma SA-6.22. Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then the third derivative of $t \mapsto \tilde{\theta}_j(t)$ is uniformly over j and $t \in [0, T]$ bounded in absolute value by some positive constant, say D_3 .

Proof. By (6.5), (6.13) and (6.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right| &\leq (n-k)C_9, \\ \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right)^{\cdot} \right| &\leq (n-k)C_{13}, \\ \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right)^{\cdot\cdot} \right| &\leq (n-k)C_{24}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of $t \mapsto P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))$, it means that its derivatives up to order two are bounded. Similarly, the same is true for $t \mapsto \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))$.

It follows from (6.19) and its proof that the derivatives up to order two of

$$t \mapsto \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon\right)^{-2} R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))^{-1}$$

are also bounded.

These considerations give the boundedness of the second derivative of the term

$$t \mapsto \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \left(2P_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t))}$$

in (2.2). The boundedness of the second derivatives of the other two terms is shown analogously. By (2.2) and since $h \leq T$, this means

$$\sup_j \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \ddot{\theta}_j(t) \right| \leq D_3$$

for some positive constant D_3 . □

7 Proof of Theorem SA-2.3

Lemma SA-7.1. *Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have*

$$\left| \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_k)) - \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \right| \leq C_7(n-k)h \quad (7.1)$$

Proof. (7.1) follows from the mean value theorem applied $n-k$ times. □

Lemma SA-7.2. *In the setting of Lemma SA-7.1, for any $l \in \{k, k+1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have*

$$\left| \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_l)) - \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) - h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq (C_{19}/2 + C_8 + (n-l-1)C_{13})h^2.$$

Proof. By the Taylor expansion of $t \mapsto \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t))$ on the segment $[t_l, t_{l+1}]$ at t_{l+1} on the left

$$\left| \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_l)) - \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) + h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_i(t_{l+1}^-) \right| \leq \frac{C_{19}}{2} h^2.$$

Combining this with (6.4) gives

$$\left| \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_l)) - \nabla_j E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) - h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1}))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq (C_{19}/2 + C_8)h^2. \quad (7.2)$$

Now applying the mean-value theorem $n-l-1$ times, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1}))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1})) + \varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+2})) \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+2}))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+2})) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq C_{13}h, \\ & \dots \\ & \left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_{n-1})) \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t_{n-1}))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_{n-1})) + \varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \frac{\nabla_i E_l(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))}{R_i^{(l)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq C_{13}h, \end{aligned}$$

and in particular

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1}) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1}) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1}) \right) + \varepsilon} - \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq (n-l-1)C_{13}h.$$

Combining this with (7.2), we conclude the proof of Lemma SA-7.2. \square

Lemma SA-7.3. *In the setting of Lemma SA-7.1,*

$$\left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^2.$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \left\{ \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_l) \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1}) \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon} \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_l) \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_{l+1}) \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} (C_{19}/2 + C_8 + (n-l-1)C_{13}) h^2 = \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^2, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by Lemma SA-7.2. \square

Lemma SA-7.4. *Suppose Assumption SA-2.2 holds. Then for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor T/h \rfloor\}$*

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)^2 \right| \leq C_{25}h \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)^2 - 2hP_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) \right| \leq C_{26}h^2 \quad (7.4)$$

with C_{25} and C_{26} defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{25}(\rho) &:= 2M_1 C_7 \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}, \\ C_{26}(\rho) &:= M_1 |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \\ &\quad + \left(M_1 C_{13} + |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| C_9 + \frac{(C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13})^2}{4} \right) \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2} \\ &\quad + \left(C_{13} C_9 + \frac{C_{13}}{2} |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \right) \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^2)}{(1-\rho)^3} + \frac{C_{13}^2}{4} \cdot \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^2+\rho^3)}{(1-\rho)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \right)^2 \right| \\
& \leq \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) - \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \right| \cdot \left| \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) + \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \right| \\
& \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} C_7(n-k)h \cdot 2M_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by (7.1). Using the triangle inequality, we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)^2 \right| \\
& \leq 2M_1 C_7 h (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^n (n-k) \rho^{n-k} = 2M_1 C_7 h (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^n k \rho^k = 2M_1 C_7 \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} h.
\end{aligned}$$

(7.3) is proven.

We continue by showing

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2 \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) h \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon} \right| \\
& \leq 2M_1 \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^2 \\
& \quad + 2(n-k)C_9 \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^3 \\
& \quad + \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right)^2 h^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

To prove this, use

$$\left| a^2 - b^2 - 2bKh \right| \leq 2|b| \cdot |a - b - Kh| + 2|K| \cdot h \cdot |a - b - Kh| + (a - b - Kh)^2$$

with

$$a := \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right), \quad b := \nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right), \quad K := \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{\nabla_i E_l \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)}{R_i^{(l)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon},$$

and bounding

$$\begin{aligned}
|a - b - Kh| & \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \left((n-k)(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{(n-k)(n-k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) h^2, \\
|b| & \leq M_1, \quad |K| \leq (n-k)C_9,
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) is by Lemma SA-7.3. (7.5) is proven.

We turn to the proof of (7.4). By (7.5) and the triangle inequality

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k}(1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)^2 - 2hP_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} \left(\text{Poly}_1(n-k)h^2 + \text{Poly}_2(n-k)h^3 + \text{Poly}_3(n-k)h^4 \right) \\
&= (1-\rho) \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^k \left(\text{Poly}_1(k)h^2 + \text{Poly}_2(k)h^3 + \text{Poly}_3(k)h^4 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Poly}_1(k) &:= 2M_1 \left(k(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) = M_1 C_{13} k^2 + M_1 (C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13})k, \\
\text{Poly}_2(k) &:= 2kC_9 \left(k(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right) = C_{13} C_9 k^3 + (C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}) C_9 k^2, \\
\text{Poly}_3(k) &:= \left(k(C_{19}/2 + C_8) + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} C_{13} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{C_{13}^2}{4} k^4 + \frac{C_{13}}{2} (C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}) k^3 + \frac{1}{4} (C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13})^2 k^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is left to combine this with

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^n k \rho^k &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \rho^k = \frac{\rho}{(1-\rho)^2}, \\
\sum_{k=0}^n k^2 \rho^k &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 \rho^k = \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^3}, \\
\sum_{k=0}^n k^3 \rho^k &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^3 \rho^k = \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^2)}{(1-\rho)^4}, \\
\sum_{k=0}^n k^4 \rho^k &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^4 \rho^k = \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^2+\rho^3)}{(1-\rho)^5}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_k) \right) \right)^2 - R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right)^2 - 2h P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\theta}(t_n) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left(M_1 C_{13} \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2} + M_1 |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \right) h^2 \\
&\quad + \left(C_{13} C_9 \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^2)}{(1-\rho)^3} + |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| C_9 \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2} \right) h^3 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{C_{13}^2}{4} \cdot \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^2+\rho^3)}{(1-\rho)^4} + \frac{C_{13}}{2} |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^2)}{(1-\rho)^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} (C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13})^2 \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2} \right) h^4 \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \left[M_1 |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \right. \\
&\quad + \left(M_1 C_{13} + |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| C_9 + \frac{(C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13})^2}{4} \right) \frac{\rho(1+\rho)}{(1-\rho)^2} \\
&\quad + \left(C_{13} C_9 + \frac{C_{13}}{2} |C_{19} + 2C_8 - C_{13}| \right) \frac{\rho(1+4\rho+\rho^2)}{(1-\rho)^3} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{C_{13}^2}{4} \cdot \frac{\rho(1+11\rho+11\rho^2+\rho^3)}{(1-\rho)^4} \right] h^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we used that $h < 1$. (7.4) is proven. \square

Lemma SA-7.5. Suppose [Assumption SA-2.2](#) holds. Then

$$\left| \left(\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2} + \varepsilon \right)^{-1} - \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^{-1} \right. \\ \left. + h \frac{P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)}{\left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)} \right| \leq \frac{C_{25}(\rho)^2 + R^2 C_{26}(\rho)}{2R^3(R+\varepsilon)^2} h^2.$$

Proof. Note that if $a \geq R^2$, $b \geq R^2$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{a} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon} + \frac{a-b}{2(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{b}} \right| \\ = \frac{(a-b)^2}{2\sqrt{b}(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon)(\sqrt{a} + \varepsilon)(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})} \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}} \right\}}_{\leq 2/R} \\ \leq \frac{(a-b)^2}{2R^3(R+\varepsilon)^2}.$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{a} + \varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon} + \frac{c}{2(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{b}} \right| \leq \frac{(a-b)^2}{2R^3(R+\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{|a-b-c|}{2(\sqrt{b} + \varepsilon)^2 \sqrt{b}} \\ \leq \frac{(a-b)^2}{2R^3(R+\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{|a-b-c|}{2R(R+\varepsilon)^2}$$

Apply this with

$$a := \sum_{k=0}^n \rho^{n-k} (1-\rho) \left(\nabla_j E_k \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_k) \right) \right)^2, \\ b := R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)^2, \\ c := 2h P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_n) \right)$$

and use bounds

$$|a-b| \leq 2M_1 C_7 \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} h, \quad |a-b-c| \leq C_{26}(\rho) h^2$$

by [Lemma SA-7.4](#). □

SA-7.6. We are finally ready to prove [Theorem SA-2.3](#).

Proof of Theorem SA-2.3. By (6.9) and (6.10), the first derivative of the function

$$t \mapsto \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \left(2P_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \bar{P}_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \right)}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) \frac{\nabla_i E_n \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right)}{R_i^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon}}{2 \left(R_j^{(n)} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) \right) + \varepsilon \right)} \right)$$

is bounded in absolute value by a positive constant $C_{27} = C_{17} + C_{18}$. By (2.2), this means

$$\left| \ddot{\theta}_j(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq C_{27}h.$$

Combining this with

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) - \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n^+)h - \frac{\ddot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n^+)}{2}h^2 \right| \leq \frac{D_3}{6}$$

by Taylor expansion, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) - \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n^+)h + \frac{h^2}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right) \Big|_{t=t_n^+} \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{D_3}{6} + \frac{C_{27}}{2} \right) h^3. \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

Using

$$\left| \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n) + \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon} \right| \leq C_{28}h$$

with C_{28} defined as

$$C_{28} := \frac{M_1(2C_5 + C_6)}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2 R} + \frac{pM_1M_2}{2(R + \varepsilon)^2}$$

by (2.2), and calculating the derivative, it is easy to show

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t)) + \varepsilon} \right) \Big|_{t=t_n^+} - \text{FrDer} \right| \leq C_{29}h \quad (7.7)$$

for a positive constant C_{29} , where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FrDer} &:= \frac{\text{FrDerNum}}{\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))} \\ \text{FrDerNum} &:= \nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \\ &\quad - \left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon \right) R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \sum_{i=1}^p \nabla_{ij} E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \frac{\nabla_i E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))}{R_i^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon}, \\ C_{29} &:= \left\{ \frac{pM_2}{R + \varepsilon} + \frac{M_1^2 M_2 p}{(R + \varepsilon)^2 R} \right\} C_{28}. \end{aligned}$$

From (7.6) and (7.7), by the triangle inequality

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) - \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_j(t_n^+)h + \frac{h^2}{2} \text{FrDer} \right| \leq \left(\frac{D_3}{6} + \frac{C_{27} + C_{29}}{2} \right) h^3,$$

which, using (2.2), is rewritten as

$$\left| \tilde{\theta}_j(t_{n+1}) - \tilde{\theta}_j(t_n) + h \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))}{R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon} - h^2 \frac{\nabla_j E_n(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) \bar{P}_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))}{\left(R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n)) + \varepsilon \right)^2 R_j^{(n)}(\tilde{\theta}(t_n))} \right|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{D_3}{6} + \frac{C_{27} + C_{29}}{2} \right) h^3.$$

It is left to combine this with Lemma SA-7.5, giving the assertion of the theorem with

$$C_1 = \frac{D_3}{6} + \frac{C_{27} + C_{29}}{2} + M_1 \frac{C_{25}^2 + R^2 C_{26}}{2R^3(R + \varepsilon)^2}.$$

□

8 Numerical experiments

SA-8.1 Models. We use small modifications of default Keras Resnet-50 and Resnet-101 architectures¹ for training on CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100 (since image sizes are not the same as Imagenet), after verifying their correctness. The first convolution layer `conv1` has 3×3 kernel, stride 1 and “same” padding. Then comes batch normalization, and relu. Max pooling is removed, and otherwise `conv2_x` to `conv5_x` are as described in [2], see Table 1 there (downsampling is performed by the first convolution of each bottleneck block, same as in this original paper, not the middle one as in version 1.5²; all convolution layers have learned biases). After `conv5` there is global average pooling, 10 or 100-way fully connected layer (for CIFAR-10 and CIFAR-100 respectively), and softmax.

SA-8.2 Data augmentation. We subtract the per-pixel mean and divide by standard deviation, and we use the data augmentation scheme from [3], following [2], section 4.2. We take inspiration and some code snippets from [4] (though we do not use their models). During each pass over the training dataset, each 32×32 initial image is padded evenly with zeros so that it becomes 36×36 , then random crop is applied so that the picture becomes 32×32 again, and finally random (probability 0.5) horizontal (left to right) flip is used.

References

- [1] Avrajit Ghosh, He Lyu, Xitong Zhang, and Rongrong Wang. “Implicit regularization in Heavy-ball momentum accelerated stochastic gradient descent”. In: *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*. 2023. URL: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=ZzdBhtEH9yB>.
- [2] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. “Deep residual learning for image recognition”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [3] Chen-Yu Lee, Saining Xie, Patrick Gallagher, Zhengyou Zhang, and Zhuowen Tu. “Deeply-supervised nets”. In: *Artificial intelligence and statistics*. Pmlr. 2015, pp. 562–570.
- [4] Chia-Hung Yuan. *Training CIFAR-10 with TensorFlow2(TF2)*. <https://github.com/lionelmessi6410/tensorflow2-cifar>. 2021.

¹<https://github.com/keras-team/keras/blob/v2.13.1/keras/applications/resnet.py>

²https://catalog.ngc.nvidia.com/orgs/nvidia/resources/resnet_50_v1_5_for_pytorch