

# Week 1 • Problem Set 5 • Homotopy Lie algebra and cotangent complex

## Quick Problem:

Consider the running example  $R = k[[x, y]]/(x^3 + y^3)$ .

Compute  $\pi(R)$  and the cotangent complex  $\mathcal{L}_{R|k} = \mathbb{L}_{R|k} = L_{R|k}$ .

## Problems:

1. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero. Consider again the running example from the lectures:

Let  $R = k[[x, y]]/(f)$  with  $f = x^3 + y^3$ , and set  $S = k = R/(x, y)$ .

Recall that in the quick problem at the end of class today, we showed that all the brackets of  $\pi(R)$  are zero. What does this imply about  $\text{Ext}_R(k, k) = U(\pi(R))$ ?

2. Let  $R = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy)$  with  $k$  of characteristic 0. Then from Macaulay2 we see that a minimal model for  $R$  over  $k$  has the form  $k[T] = k[x, y, T_1, T_2, \dots]$  with

$$\partial(T_1) = x^2, \quad \partial(T_2) = xy, \quad \partial(T_3) = xT_2 - yT_1,$$

$$\partial(T_4) = -T_1T_2 + xT_3, \quad \partial(T_5) = -T_2T_3 + yT_4, \quad \partial(T_6) = -T_1T_3 + xT_4,$$

$$\partial(T_7) = -T_2T_4 + xT_5, \quad \partial(T_8) = -T_3^2 - 2T_2T_4 + 2yT_6, \quad \partial(T_9) = -T_1T_4 + xT_6, \dots$$

- (a) Write ordered bases for the sets  $T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$ , and  $T_5$ .
  - (b) Using lexicographic ordering, order the sets  $T_0^2, T_1 * T_0, T_1^2 \oplus T_2T_0, T_2T_1 \oplus T_3T_0$ , and  $T_2T_2 \oplus T_3T_1 \oplus T_4T_0$ . Use the resulting bases to write matrices for the quadratic part  $\partial^{[2]}: kT \rightarrow kT^2$ . *hint: Based on the formulas for the differentials, how do  $\partial$  and  $\partial^{[2]}$  compare in this example?*
  - (c) Transposing these matrices, one gets matrices describing brackets of  $\pi(R)$ . Use this to determine some of the relations of  $\pi(R)$ .
  - (d) Based on your work above, is  $\text{Ext}_R(k, k)$  graded-commutative?
3. Let  $R \rightarrow R[Y]$  be a semi-free extension with no variables of degree 0. Define its module of indecomposables as

$$\text{Ind}_R R[Y] = R[Y]/(R + IY + Y^2).$$

Assume that  $R[Y]$  resolves  $S$ .

- (a) Prove that  $R[Y]$  is a minimal model for  $R \rightarrow S$  if and only if the differential is *decomposable*: setting  $Y_0$  equal to a minimal set of generators for  $\mathfrak{m}$ , one has  $\partial(Y_{n+1}) \subseteq \sum_{i+j=n} RY_iY_j$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .
- (b) Deduce that  $R[Y]$  is a minimal model for  $R \rightarrow S$  if and only if the complex  $\text{Ind}_R R[Y]$  is minimal.
- (c) Use part (a) and lifting lemmas to show that minimal models are unique up to isomorphism of dg algebras.

*Note 1: One can also define  $\text{Ind}_R R[Y]$  when  $Y_0 \neq \emptyset$ , by also modding out by the entire degree 0 piece of  $R[Y]$ , but that complicates the notation introduced in part (a) above.*

*Note 2: Some people define both  $\mathrm{Ind}_R^\gamma R\langle X \rangle$  and  $\mathrm{Ind}_R R[Y]$  by going modulo the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$  (or  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $S$ , that is, applying  $-\otimes_S k$ ), so then the differentials in these complexes would vanish for an acyclic closure  $R\langle X \rangle$ , respectively a minimal model  $R[Y]$ .*