Dart Programming Language Specification 5th edition draft

Version~2.3.0-dev

September 23, 2019

${\bf Contents}$

1	Scope	6
2	Conformance	6
3	Normative References	6
4	Terms and Definitions	6
5	Notation	6
6	Overview	9
	6.1 Scoping	. 10
	6.2 Privacy	
	6.3 Concurrency	
7	Errors and Warnings	13
8	Variables	14
	8.1 Evaluation of Implicit Variable Getters	. 17
9	Functions	17
	9.1 Function Declarations	. 19
	9.2 Formal Parameters	. 20
	9.2.1 Required Formals	. 21
	9.2.2 Optional Formals	
	9.2.3 Covariant Parameters	
	9.3 Type of a Function	
	9.4 External Functions	

10.1 Instance Methods 10.1.1 Operators 10.1.2 The Method noSuchMethod 10.1.3 The Operator '=='. 10.2 Getters 10.3 Setters 10.4 Abstract Instance Members 10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration 12.3 Mixin Application
10.1.2 The Method noSuchMethod 10.1.3 The Operator '=='. 10.2 Getters 10.3 Setters 10.4 Abstract Instance Members 10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.1.3 The Operator '=='. 10.2 Getters 10.3 Setters 10.4 Abstract Instance Members 10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.2 Getters 10.3 Setters 10.4 Abstract Instance Members 10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.2 Getters 10.3 Setters 10.4 Abstract Instance Members 10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.4 Abstract Instance Members 10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.4 Abstract Instance Members 10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.5 Instance Variables 10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides
10.6 Constructors 10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.6.1 Generative Constructors 10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.6.2 Factories 10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.6.3 Constant Constructors 10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.7 Static Methods 10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.8 Superclasses 10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding 10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12.1 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration 13.1 Mixin Classes 14.2 Mixin Declaration 15.3 Mixin Classes 16.4 Mixin Classes 17.5 Mixin Declaration 18.4 Mixin Classes 19.5 Mixin Declaration 19.6 Mixin Classes 10.7 Mixin Declaration 10.8 Mixin Classes 10.9 Mixin Declaration 10.9 10.9 Mixin Declara
10.9 Superinterfaces 10.10Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12.1 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
10.10 Class Member Conflicts 11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures
11 Interfaces 11.1 Combined Member Signatures 11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
11.1 Combined Member Signatures
11.1 Combined Member Signatures
11.2 Superinterfaces 11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding 11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides 12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes 12.2 Mixin Declaration
12 Mixins 12.1 Mixin Classes
12.1 Mixin Classes
12.2 Mixin Declaration
12.3 Mixin Application
13 Enums
14 Generics
14.1 Variance
14.2 Super-Bounded Types
14.3 Instantiation to Bound
14.3.1 Auxiliary Concepts for Instantiation to Bound
14.3.2 The Instantiation to Bound Algorithm
15 Metadata
16 Expressions
16.1 Expression Evaluation
16.2 Object Identity
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16.3 Constants
16.3.1 Further Remarks on Constants and Potential Constants .

16.4 Null					82
16.5 Numbers					83
16.6 Booleans					84
16.7 Strings					84
16.7.1 String Interpolation					88
16.8 Symbols					88
16.9 Lists					89
16.10Maps					91
16.11Sets					92
16.12Throw					94
16.13Function Expressions					94
16.14This					97
16.15Instance Creation					97
16.15.1 New					97
16.15.2 Const					99
16.16Spawning an Isolate					101
16.17Function Invocation					-
16.17.1 Actual Argument Lists					
16.17.1 Actual Argument List Evaluation					
16.17.3 Binding Actuals to Formals					
16.17.4 Unqualified Invocation					
16.17.5 Function Expression Invocation					
16.18Function Closurization					
16.18.1 Generic Function Instantiation					
16.19Lookup					
16.20Top level Getter Invocation					
16.21Method Invocation					
16.21.1 Ordinary Invocation					
16.21.2 Cascaded Invocations					
16.21.3 Super Invocation					
16.21.4 Sending Messages					118
16.22Property Extraction					
16.22.1 Getter Access and Method Extraction					
$16.22.2\mathrm{Super}$ Getter Access and Method Closurization					120
16.22.3 Ordinary Member Closurization					121
16.22.4 Super Closurization					123
16.22.5 Generic Method Instantiation					124
16.23Assignment					126
16.23.1 Compound Assignment					130
16.24Conditional					132
16.25If-null Expressions					132
16.26Logical Boolean Expressions					133
16.27Equality					134
16.28Relational Expressions					135
16.29Bitwise Expressions					135
16.20 Ditwise Expressions	•	•	•	•	196

	16.31Additive Expressions	136
	16.32Multiplicative Expressions	
	16.33Unary Expressions	
	16.34Await Expressions	
	16.35Postfix Expressions	
	16.36Assignable Expressions	
	16.37Lexical Lookup	
	16.38Identifier Reference	
	16.39Type Test	
	16.40Type Cast	
	v 1	
17	Statements	148
	17.0.1 Statement Completion	149
	17.1 Blocks	149
	17.2 Expression Statements	
	17.3 Local Variable Declaration	150
	17.4 Local Function Declaration	152
	17.5 If	
	17.6 For	
	17.6.1 For Loop	154
	17.6.2 For-in	
	17.6.3 Asynchronous For-in	
	17.7 While	
	17.8 Do	
	17.9 Switch	
	17.9.1 Switch case statements	
	17.10Rethrow	
	17.11Try	
	17.11.1 on-catch clauses	
	17.12Return	
	17.13Labels	
	17.14Break	
	17.15Continue	
	17.16Yield and Yield-Each	
	17.16.1 Yield	
	17.16.2 Yield-Each	
	17.17Assert	
		100
18	Libraries and Scripts	169
	18.1 Imports	171
	18.2 Exports	
	18.3 Parts	
	18.4 Scripts	
	18.5 URIs	

19	Typ	$\mathbf{e}\mathbf{s}$	180
	19.1	Static Types	180
		19.1.1 Type Promotion	182
	19.2	Dynamic Type System	182
	19.3	Type Aliases	183
	19.4	Subtypes	185
		19.4.1 Meta-Variables	185
		19.4.2 Subtype Rules	187
		19.4.3 Being a subtype	188
		19.4.4 Informal Subtype Rule Descriptions	189
		19.4.5 Additional Subtyping Concepts	192
	19.5	Function Types	192
	19.6	$\label{type-function} \operatorname{Type} \mbox{\bf Function} \ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	193
		$\mathrm{Type} \ \textbf{dynamic} \dots $	
	19.8	Type FutureOr	195
	19.9	Type Void	196
		19.9.1 Void Soundness	198
	19.10	OParameterized Types	200
		19.10.1 Actual Type of Declaration	200
		$19.10.2 Least\ Upper\ Bounds\ \dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots$	201
20	Refe	erence	202
	20.1	Lexical Rules	202
		20.1.1 Reserved Words	
		20.1.2 Comments	
	20.2	Operator Precedence	

1 Scope

This Ecma standard specifies the syntax and semantics of the Dart programming language. It does not specify the APIs of the Dart libraries except where those library elements are essential to the correct functioning of the language itself (e.g., the existence of class Object with methods such as noSuchMethod, runtimeType).

2 Conformance

A conforming implementation of the Dart programming language must provide and support all the APIs (libraries, types, functions, getters, setters, whether top-level, static, instance or local) mandated in this specification.

A conforming implementation is permitted to provide additional APIs, but not additional syntax, except for experimental features in support of null-aware cascades that are likely to be introduced in the next revision of this specification.

3 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

- 1. The Unicode Standard, Version 5.0, as amended by Unicode 5.1.0, or successor.
- 2. Dart API Reference, https://api.dartlang.org/

4 Terms and Definitions

Terms and definitions used in this specification are given in the body of the specification proper. Such terms are highlighted in italics when they are introduced, e.g., 'we use the term *verbosity* to refer to the property of excess verbiage', and add a marker in the margin.

5 Notation

We distinguish between normative and non-normative text. Normative text defines the rules of Dart. It is given in this font. At this time, non-normative text includes:

Rationale Discussion of the motivation for language design decisions appears in italics. Distinguishing normative from non-normative helps clarify what part of the text is binding and what part is merely expository.

Commentary Comments such as "The careful reader will have noticed that the name Dart has four characters" serve to illustrate or clarify the specification, but are redundant with the normative text. The difference between commentary and rationale can be subtle. Commentary is more general than rationale, and may include illustrative examples or clarifications.

Open questions (**Upcoming: in this font**). Open questions are points that are unsettled in the mind of the author(s) of the specification; expect them (the questions, not the authors; precision is important in a specification) to be eliminated in the final specification. **Upcoming: Should the text at the end of the previous bullet be rationale or commentary?**

Reserved words and built-in identifiers (16.38) appear in **bold**. Examples would be **switch** or **class**.

Grammar productions are given in a common variant of EBNF. The left hand side of a production ends with a colon. On the right hand side, alternation is represented by vertical bars, and sequencing by spacing. As in PEGs, alternation gives priority to the left. Optional elements of a production are suffixed by a question mark like so: anElephant?. Appending a star to an element of a production means it may be repeated zero or more times. Appending a plus sign to a production means it occurs one or more times. Parentheses are used for grouping. Negation is represented by prefixing an element of a production with a tilde. Negation is similar to the not combinator of PEGs, but it consumes input if it matches. In the context of a lexical production it consumes a single character if there is one; otherwise, a single token if there is one.

An example would be:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle aProduction \rangle ::= \langle anAlternative \rangle \\ | \langle anotherAlternative \rangle \\ | \langle oneThing \rangle \langle after \rangle \langle another \rangle \\ | \langle zeroOrMoreThings \rangle^* \\ | \langle oneOrMoreThings \rangle + \\ | \langle anOptionalThing \rangle^? \\ | \langle \langle some \rangle \langle grouped \rangle \langle things \rangle) \\ | \tilde{} \langle notAThing \rangle \\ | \text{`aTerminal'} \\ | \langle A\_LEXICAL\_THING \rangle \end{array}
```

Both syntactic and lexical productions are represented this way. Lexical productions are distinguished by their names. The names of lexical productions consist exclusively of upper case characters and underscores. As always, within grammatical productions, whitespace and comments between elements of the production are implicitly ignored unless stated otherwise. Punctuation tokens appear in quotes.

Productions are embedded, as much as possible, in the discussion of the constructs they represent.

A term is a syntactic construct. It may be considered to be a piece of \diamond

text which is derivable in the grammar, and it may be considered to be a tree created by such a derivation. An immediate subterm of a given term t is a syntactic construct which corresponds to an immediate subtree of t considered as a derivation tree. A subterm of a given term t is t, or an immediate subterm of t, or a subterm of an immediate subterm of t.

A list x_1, \ldots, x_n denotes any list of n elements of the form $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that n may be zero, in which case the list is empty. We use such lists extensively throughout this specification.

For $j \in 1..n$, let y_j be an atomic syntactic entity (like an identifier), x_j a composite syntactic entity (like an expression or a type), and E again a composite syntactic entity. The notation $[x_1/y_1, \ldots, x_n/y_n]E$ then denotes a copy of E in which each occurrence of $y_i, 1 \le i \le n$ has been replaced by x_i .

This operation is also known as *substitution*, and it is the variant that avoids capture. That is, when E contains a construct that introduces y_i into a nested scope for some $i \in 1..n$, the substitution will not replace y_i in that scope. Conversely, if such a replacement would put an identifier id (a subterm of x_i) into a scope where id is declared, the relevant declarations in E are systematically renamed to fresh names.

In short, capture freedom ensures that the "meaning" of each identifier is preserved during substitution.

We sometimes abuse list or map literal syntax, writing $[o_1, \ldots, o_n]$ (respectively $\{k_1 : o_1, \ldots, k_n : o_n\}$) where the o_i and k_i may be objects rather than expressions. The intent is to denote a list (respectively map) object whose elements are the o_i (respectively, whose keys are the k_i and values are the o_i).

The specifications of operators often involve statements such as x op y is equivalent to the method invocation x.op(y). Such specifications should be understood as a shorthand for:

• x op y is equivalent to the method invocation $x \cdot op'(y)$, assuming the class of x actually declared a non-operator method named op' defining the same function as the operator op.

This circumlocution is required because x.op(y), where op is an operator, is not legal syntax. However, it is painfully verbose, and we prefer to state this rule once here, and use a concise and clear notation across the specification.

When the specification refers to the order given in the program, it means the order of the program source code text, scanning left-to-right and top-to-bottom.

When the specification refers to a *fresh variable*, it means a local variable with a name that doesn't occur anywhere in the current program. When the specification introduces a fresh variable bound to an object, the fresh variable is implicitly bound in a surrounding scope.

References to otherwise unspecified names of program entities (such as classes or functions) are interpreted as the names of members of the Dart core library.

Examples would be the classes Object and Type representing, respectively, the root of the class hierarchy and the reification of run-time types. It would be possible to declare, e.g., a local variable named Object, so it is generally incorrect

to assume that the name Object will actually resolve to said core class. However, we will generally omit mentioning this, for brevity.

When the specification says that one piece of syntax is equivalent to another piece of syntax, it means that it is equivalent in all ways, and the former syntax should generate the same compile-time errors and have the same run-time behavior as the latter, if any. Error messages, if any, should always refer to the original syntax. If execution or evaluation of a construct is said to be equivalent to execution or evaluation of another construct, then only the run-time behavior is equivalent, and compile-time errors apply only for the original syntax.

When the specification says that one piece of syntax s is treated as another piece of syntax s', it means that the static analysis of s is the static analysis of s' (in particular, exactly the same compile-time errors occur). Moreover, if s has no compile-time errors then the behavior of s at run time is exactly the behavior of s'.

Error messages, if any, should always refer to the original syntax s.

In short, whenever s is treated as s', the reader should immediately switch to the section about s' in order to get any further information about the static analysis and dynamic semantics of s.

The notion of being 'treated as' is similar to the notion of syntactic sugar: "s is treated as s'" could as well have been worded "s is desugared into s'". Of course, it should then actually be called "semantic sugar", because the applicability of the transformation and the construction of s' may rely on information from static analysis.

The point is that we only specify the static analysis and dynamic semantics of a core language which is a subset of Dart (just slightly smaller than Dart), and desugaring transforms any given Dart program to a program in that core language. This helps keeping the language specification consistent and comprehensible, because it shows directly that some language features are introducing essential semantics, and others are better described as mere abbreviations of existing constructs.

6 Overview

Dart is a class-based, single-inheritance, pure object-oriented programming language. Dart is optionally typed (19) and supports reified generics. The runtime type of every object is represented as an instance of class Type which can be obtained by calling the getter runtimeType declared in class Object, the root of the Dart class hierarchy.

Dart programs may be statically checked. Programs with compile-time errors do not have a specified dynamic semantics. This specification makes no attempt to answer additional questions about a library or program at the point where it is known to have a compile-time error.

However, tools may choose to support execution of some programs with errors. For instance, a compiler may compile certain constructs with errors such that a dynamic error will be raised if an attempt is made to execute such a construct,

 \Diamond

or an IDE integrated runtime may support opening an editor window when such a construct is executed, allowing developers to correct the error. It is expected that such features would amount to a natural extension of the dynamic semantics of Dart as specified here, but, as mentioned, this specification makes no attempt to specify exactly what that means.

As specified in this document, dynamic checks are guaranteed to be performed in certain situations, and certain violations of the type system throw exceptions at run time.

An implementation is free to omit such checks whenever they are guaranteed to succeed, e.g., based on results from the static analysis.

The coexistence between optional typing and reification is based on the following:

- 1. Reified type information reflects the types of objects at run time and may always be queried by dynamic typechecking constructs (the analogs of instanceOf, casts, typecase etc. in other languages). Reified type information includes access to instances of class Type representing types, the run-time type (aka class) of an object, and the actual values of type parameters to constructors and generic function invocations.
- 2. Type annotations declare the types of variables and functions (including methods and constructors).
- 3. Type annotations may be omitted, in which case they are generally filled in with the type **dynamic** (19.7).

Dart as implemented includes extensive support for inference of omitted types. This specification makes the assumption that inference has taken place, and hence inferred types are considered to be present in the program already. However, in some cases no information is available to infer an omitted type annotation, and hence this specification still needs to specify how to deal with that. A future version of this specification will also specify type inference.

Dart programs are organized in a modular fashion into units called *libraries* (18). Libraries are units of encapsulation and may be mutually recursive.

However they are not first class. To get multiple copies of a library running simultaneously, one needs to spawn an isolate.

A dart program execution may occur with assertions enabled or disabled. The method used to enable or disable assertions is implementation specific.

6.1 Scoping

A namespace is a mapping of names denoting declarations to actual declarations. Let NS be a namespace. We say that a name n is in NS if n is a key of NS. We say a declaration d is in NS if a key of NS maps to d.

A scope S_0 induces a namespace NS_0 that maps the simple name of each variable, type or function declaration d declared in S_0 to d. Labels are not included in the induced namespace of a scope; instead they have their own dedicated namespace.

It is therefore impossible, e.g., to define a class that declares a method and a getter with the same name in Dart. Similarly one cannot declare a top-level function with the same name as a library variable or a class.

It is a compile-time error if there is more than one entity with the same name declared in the same scope.

In some cases, the name of the declaration differs from the identifier used to declare it. Setters have names that are distinct from the corresponding getters because they always have an = automatically added at the end, and unary minus has the special name unary-.

Dart is lexically scoped. Scopes may nest. A name or declaration d is available in scope S if d is in the namespace induced by S or if d is available in the lexically enclosing scope of S. We say that a name or declaration d is in scope if d is available in the current scope.

If a declaration d named n is in the namespace induced by a scope S, then d hides any declaration named n that is available in the lexically enclosing scope \diamond of S.

A consequence of these rules is that it is possible to hide a type with a method or variable. Naming conventions usually prevent such abuses. Nevertheless, the following program is legal:

```
class HighlyStrung {
  String() => "?";
}
```

Names may be introduced into a scope by declarations within the scope or by other mechanisms such as imports or inheritance.

The interaction of lexical scoping and inheritance is a subtle one. Ultimately, the question is whether lexical scoping takes precedence over inheritance or vice versa. Dart chooses the former.

Allowing inherited names to take precedence over locally declared names could create unexpected situations as code evolves. Specifically, the behavior of code in a subclass could silently change if a new name is introduced in a superclass. Consider:

```
library L1;
class S {}
library L2;
import 'L1.dart';
foo() => 42;
class C extends S{ bar() => foo();}

Now assume a method foo() is added to S.
library L1;
class S {foo() => 91;}
```

 \Diamond

If inheritance took precedence over the lexical scope, the behavior of C would change in an unexpected way. Neither the author of S nor the author of C are necessarily aware of this. In Dart, if there is a lexically visible method foo(), it will always be called.

Now consider the opposite scenario. We start with a version of S that contains foo(), but do not declare foo() in library L2. Again, there is a change in behavior - but the author of L2 is the one who introduced the discrepancy that effects their code, and the new code is lexically visible. Both these factors make it more likely that the problem will be detected.

These considerations become even more important if one introduces constructs such as nested classes, which might be considered in future versions of the language.

Good tooling should of course endeavor to inform programmers of such situations (discreetly). For example, an identifier that is both inherited and lexically visible could be highlighted (via underlining or colorization). Better yet, tight integration of source control with language aware tools would detect such changes when they occur.

6.2 Privacy

Dart supports two levels of privacy: public and private. A declaration is private iff its name is private, otherwise it is public. A name q is private iff any one of the identifiers that comprise q is private, otherwise it is public. An identifier is private iff it begins with an underscore (the _ character) otherwise it is public.

A declaration m is accessible to a library L if m is declared in L or if m is public.

This means private declarations may only be accessed within the library in which they are declared.

Privacy applies only to declarations within a library, not to library declarations themselves.

Libraries do not reference each other by name and so the idea of a private library is meaningless. Thus, if the name of a library begins with an underscore, it has no effect on the accessibility of the library or its members.

Privacy is, at this point, a static notion tied to a particular piece of code (a library). It is designed to support software engineering concerns rather than security concerns. Untrusted code should always run in an another isolate. It is possible that libraries will become first class objects and privacy will be a dynamic notion tied to a library instance.

Privacy is indicated by the name of a declaration - hence privacy and naming are not orthogonal. This has the advantage that both humans and machines can recognize access to private declarations at the point of use without knowledge of the context from which the declaration is derived.

6.3 Concurrency

Dart code is always single threaded. There is no shared-state concurrency in Dart. Concurrency is supported via actor-like entities called *isolates*.

An isolate is a unit of concurrency. It has its own memory and its own thread of control. Isolates communicate by message passing (16.21.4). No state is ever shared between isolates. Isolates are created by spawning (16.16).

7 Errors and Warnings

This specification distinguishes between several kinds of errors.

Compile-time errors are errors that preclude execution. A compile-time error must be reported by a Dart compiler before the erroneous code is executed.

A Dart implementation has considerable freedom as to when compilation takes place. Modern programming language implementations often interleave compilation and execution, so that compilation of a method may be delayed, e.g., until it is first invoked. Consequently, compile-time errors in a method m may be reported as late as the time of m's first invocation.

Dart is often loaded directly from source, with no intermediate binary representation. In the interests of rapid loading, Dart implementations may choose to avoid full parsing of method bodies, for example. This can be done by tokenizing the input and checking for balanced curly braces on method body entry. In such an implementation, even syntax errors will be detected only when the method needs to be executed, at which time it will be compiled (JITed).

In a development environment a compiler should of course report compilation errors eagerly so as to best serve the programmer.

A Dart development environment might choose to support error eliminating program transformations, e.g., replacing an erroneous expression by the invocation of a debugger. It is outside the scope of this document to specify how such transformations work, and where they may be applied.

If an uncaught compile-time error occurs within the code of a running isolate A, A is immediately suspended. The only circumstance where a compile-time error could be caught would be via code run reflectively, where the mirror system can catch it.

Typically, once a compile-time error is thrown and A is suspended, A will then be terminated. However, this depends on the overall environment. A Dart engine runs in the context of an embedder, a program that interfaces between the engine and the surrounding computing environment. The embedder will often be a web browser, but need not be; it may be a C++ program on the server for example. When an isolate fails with a compile-time error as described above, control returns to the embedder, along with an exception describing the problem. This is necessary so that the embedder can clean up resources etc. It is then the embedder's decision whether to terminate the isolate or not.

Static warnings are situations that do not preclude execution, but which are unlikely to be intended, and likely to cause bugs or inconveniences. A static warning must be reported by a Dart compiler before the associated code is executed.

When this specification says that a *dynamic error* occurs, it means that a corresponding error object is thrown. When it says that a *dynamic type error* occurs, it represents a failed type check at run time, and the object which is thrown implements TypeError.

Whenever we say that an exception ex is thrown, it acts like an expression had thrown (17.0.1) with ex as exception object and with a stack trace corresponding to the current system state. When we say that a C is thrown, where C is a class, we mean that an instance of class C is thrown.

If an uncaught exception is thrown by a running isolate A, A is immediately suspended.

8 Variables

Variables are storage locations in memory.

```
 \langle variable Declaration \rangle ::= \langle declared Identifier \rangle \ (`,` \langle identifier \rangle)^* 
 \langle declared Identifier \rangle ::= \langle metadata \rangle \ \textbf{covariant}? \ \langle final Const Var Or Type \rangle \ \langle identifier \rangle 
 \langle final Const Var Or Type \rangle ::= \ \textbf{late}? \ \textbf{final} \ \langle type \rangle? 
 | \ \textbf{const} \ \langle type \rangle? 
 | \ \textbf{late}? \ \langle var Or Type \rangle \ ::= \ \textbf{var} 
 | \ \langle type \rangle 
 \langle var Or Type \rangle ::= \ \textbf{var} 
 | \ \langle type \rangle 
 \langle initialized Variable Declaration \rangle ::= \ \langle declared Identifier \rangle \ (`=` \langle expression \rangle)? \ (`,` \langle initialized Identifier \rangle)^* 
 \langle initialized Identifier \rangle ::= \ \langle identifier \rangle \ (`=` \langle expression \rangle)? 
 \langle initialized Identifier List \rangle ::= \ \langle initialized Identifier \rangle \ (`,` \langle initialized Identifier \rangle)^*
```

A $\langle variable Declaration \rangle$ that declares two or more variables is equivalent to multiple variable declarations declaring the same set of variable names in the same order, with the same type and modifiers.

An $\langle initialized Variable Declaration \rangle$ that declares two or more variables is equivalent to multiple variable declarations declaring the same set of variable names, in the same order, with the same initialization, type, and modifiers.

```
For example, var x, y; is equivalent to var x; var y; and static final String s1, s2 = "foo"; is equivalent to static final String s1; static final String s2 = "foo";
```

It is possible for a variable declaration to include the modifier **covariant**. The effect of doing this with an instance variable is described elsewhere (10.5). It is a compile-time error for the declaration of a variable which is not an instance variable to include the modifier **covariant**.

 \Diamond

\rightarrow

In a variable declaration of one of the forms N v; N v = e; where N is derived from $\langle metadata \rangle \langle finalConstVarOrType \rangle$, we say that v is the declaring occurrence of the identifier. For every identifier which is not a declaring occurrence, we say that it is an referencing occurrence. We also abbreviate that to say that an identifier is a declaring identifier respectively an referencing identifier.

In an expression of the form $e \cdot id$ it is possible that e has static type **dynamic** and id cannot be associated with any specific declaration named id at compile-time, but in this situation id is still a referencing identifier.

An *initializing variable declaration* is a variable declaration whose declaring identifier is immediately followed by '=' and an *initializing expression*.

A variable declared at the top-level of a library is referred to as either a *library variable* or a *top-level variable*.

A static variable is a variable that is not associated with a particular instance, but rather with an entire library or class. Static variables include library variables and class variables. Class variables are variables whose declaration is immediately nested inside a class declaration and includes the modifier **static**. A library variable is implicitly static. It is a compile-time error to preface a top-level variable declaration with the built-in identifier (16.38) **static**.

A constant variable is a variable whose declaration includes the modifier **const**. A constant variable must be initialized to a constant expression (16.3) or a compile-time error occurs.

An initializing expression of a constant variable occurs in a constant context (16.3.2), which means that **const** modifiers need not be specified explicitly.

A final variable is a variable whose binding is fixed upon initialization; a final variable v will always refer to the same object after v has been initialized. A variable is final iff its declaration includes the modifier **final** or the modifier **const**.

A mutable variable is a variable which is not final.

The following rules on implicitly induced getters and setters apply to all static and instance variables.

A variable declaration of one of the forms T v; T v = e; **const** T v = e; **final** T v; or **final** T v = e; induces an implicit getter function (10.2) with signature T **get** v whose invocation evaluates as described below (8.1). In these cases the static type of v is T.

A variable declaration of one of the forms $\operatorname{var} v$; $\operatorname{var} v = e$; $\operatorname{const} v = e$; $\operatorname{final} v$; or $\operatorname{final} v = e$; induces an implicit getter function with signature $\operatorname{dynamic} \operatorname{get} v$ whose invocation evaluates as described below (8.1). In these cases, the static type of v is $\operatorname{dynamic} (19.7)$.

A mutable variable declaration of the form T v; or T v = e; induces an implicit setter function (10.3) with signature **void set** v=(T x) whose execution sets the value of v to the incoming argument x.

A mutable variable declaration of the form $\operatorname{var} v$; or $\operatorname{var} v = e$; induces an implicit setter function with signature void set $v = (\operatorname{dynamic} x)$ whose execution sets the value of v to the incoming argument x.

The scope into which the implicit getters and setters are introduced depends on the kind of variable declaration involved. A library variable introduces a getter into the top level scope of the enclosing library. A class variable introduces a static getter into the immediately enclosing class. An instance variable introduces an instance getter into the immediately enclosing class.

A mutable library variable introduces a setter into the top level scope of the enclosing library. A mutable class variable introduces a static setter into the immediately enclosing class. A mutable instance variable introduces an instance setter into the immediately enclosing class.

Let v be variable declared in an initializing variable declaration, and let e be the associated initializing expression. It is a compile-time error if the static type of e is not assignable to the declared type of v. It is a compile-time error if a final instance variable whose declaration has an initializer expression is also initialized by a constructor, either by an initializing formal or an initializer list entry.

It is a compile-time error if a final instance variable that has been initialized by means of an initializing formal of a constructor k is also initialized in the initializer list of k (10.6.1).

A static final variable v does not induce a setter, so unless a setter named v= is in scope it is a compile-time error to assign to v.

Similarly, assignment to a final instance variable v is a compile-time error, unless a setter named v= is in scope, or the receiver has type $\operatorname{dynamic}$. v can be initialized in its declaration or in initializer lists, but initialization and assignment is not the same thing. When the receiver has type $\operatorname{dynamic}$ such an assignment is not a compile-time error, but if there is no setter it will cause a dynamic error.

A variable that has no initializing expression has the null object (16.4) as its initial value. Otherwise, variable initialization proceeds as follows:

Static variable declarations with an initializing expression are initialized lazily (8.1).

The lazy semantics are given because we do not want a language where one tends to define expensive initialization computations, causing long application startup times. This is especially crucial for Dart, which must support the coding of client applications.

Initialization of an instance variable with no initializing expression takes place during constructor execution (10.6.1).

Initialization of an instance variable v with an initializing expression e proceeds as follows: e is evaluated to an object o and the variable v is bound to o.

It is specified elsewhere when this initialization occurs, and in which environment (p. 41, 17.3, 16.17.3).

If the initializing expression throws then access to the uninitialized variable is prevented, because the instance creation that caused this initialization to take place will throw.

It is a dynamic type error if the dynamic type of o is not a subtype of the actual type of the variable v (19.10.1).

8.1 Evaluation of Implicit Variable Getters

Let d be the declaration of a static or instance variable v. If d is an instance variable, then the invocation of the implicit getter of v evaluates to the object stored in v. If d is a static variable (which can be a library variable) then the implicit getter method of v executes as follows:

- Non-constant variable declaration with initializer. If d is of one of the forms var v = e;, T v = e;, final v = e;, final T v = e;, static v = e;, static T v = e; and no object has yet been stored into v then the initializing expression e is evaluated. If, during the evaluation of e, the getter for v is invoked, a CyclicInitializationError is thrown. If the evaluation of e throws an exception e and stack trace e, the null object (16.4) is stored into e; the execution of the getter then throws e and stack trace e. Otherwise, the evaluation of e succeeded yielding an object e; then e is stored into e and the execution of the getter completes by returning e. Otherwise, (when an object e has been stored in e) execution of the getter completes by returning e.
- Constant variable declaration. If d is of one of the forms const v = e;, const T v = e;, static const v = e; or static const T v = e; the result of the getter is the value of the constant expression e. Note that a constant expression cannot depend on itself, so no cyclic references can occur.
- Variable declaration without initializer. The result of executing the getter method is the object stored in v. This may be the initial value, that is, the null object.

9 Functions

Functions abstract over executable actions.

```
 \langle functionSignature \rangle ::= \\ \langle metadata \rangle \langle type \rangle? \langle identifier \rangle \langle formalParameterPart \rangle 
 \langle formalParameterPart \rangle ::= \langle typeParameters \rangle? \langle formalParameterList \rangle 
 \langle functionBody \rangle ::= \mathbf{async}? `=>' \langle expression \rangle `; ` \\ | (\mathbf{async} \mid \mathbf{async}`*' \mid \mathbf{sync}`*')? \langle block \rangle 
 \langle block \rangle ::= `\{` \langle statements \rangle `\}`
```

Functions can be introduced by function declarations (9.1), method declarations (10.1, 10.7), getter declarations (10.2), setter declarations (10.3), and constructor declarations (10.6); and they can be introduced by function literals (16.13).

A function is *asynchronous* if its body is marked with the **async** or **async*** modifier. Otherwise the function is *synchronous*. A function is a *generator* if its body is marked with the **sync*** or **async*** modifier. Further details about these concepts are given below.

Whether a function is synchronous or asynchronous is orthogonal to whether it is a generator or not. Generator functions are a sugar for functions that produce collections in a systematic way, by lazily applying a function that *generates* individual elements of a collection. Dart provides such a sugar in both the synchronous case, where one returns an iterable, and in the asynchronous case, where one returns a stream. Dart also allows both synchronous and asynchronous functions that produce a single value.

Each declaration that introduces a function has a signature that specifies its return type, name, and formal parameter part, except that the return type may be omitted, and getters never have a formal parameter part. Function literals have a formal parameter part, but no return type and no name. The formal parameter part optionally specifies the formal type parameter list of the function, and it always specifies its formal parameter list. A function body is either:

• a block statement (17.1) containing the statements (17) executed by the function, optionally marked with one of the modifiers: **async**, **async*** or **sync***. Unless it is statically known that the body of the function cannot complete normally (that is, it cannot reach the end and "fall through", cf. 17.0.1), it is a compile-time error if the addition of **return**; at the end of the body would be a compile-time error. For instance, it is an error if the return type of a synchronous function is int, and the body may complete normally. The precise rules are given in section 17.12.

Because Dart supports dynamic function invocations, we cannot guarantee that a function that does not return an object will not be used in the context of an expression. Therefore, every function must either throw or return an object. A function body that ends without doing a throw or return will cause the function to return the null object (16.4), as will a **return** without an expression. For generator functions, the situation is more subtle. See further discussion in section 17.12.

OR

- of the form => e or the form async => e, which both return the value of the expression e as if by a return e. The other modifiers do not apply here, because they apply only to generators, discussed below. Generators are not allowed to explicitly return anything, objects are added to the generated stream or iterable using yield or yield*. Let T be the declared return type of the function that has this body. It is a compile-time error if one of the following conditions hold:
 - The function is synchronous, T is not **void**, and it would have been a compile-time error to declare the function with the body { **return** e;

} rather than => e. In particular, e can have any type when the return type is void. This enables concise declarations of void functions. It is reasonably easy to understand such a function, because the return type is textually near to the returned expression e. In contrast, return e; in a block body is only allowed for an e with one of a few specific static types, because it is less likely that the developer understands that the returned object will not be used (17.12).

The function is asynchronous, flatten(T) is not void, and it would have been a compile-time error to declare the function with the body async { return e; } rather than async => e. In particular, e can have any type when the flattened return type is void, and the rationale is similar to the synchronous case.

It is a compile-time error if an **async**, **async*** or **sync*** modifier is attached to the body of a setter or constructor.

An asynchronous setter would be of little use, since setters can only be used in the context of an assignment (16.23), and an assignment expression always evaluates to the value of the assignment's right hand side. If the setter actually did its work asynchronously, one might imagine that one would return a future that resolved to the assignment's right hand side after the setter did its work.

An asynchronous constructor would, by definition, never return an instance of the class it purports to construct, but instead return a future. Calling such a beast via **new** would be very confusing. If you need to produce an object asynchronously, use a method.

One could allow modifiers for factories. A factory for Future could be modified by async, a factory for Stream could be modified by async* and a factory for Iterable could be modified by sync*. No other scenario makes sense because the object returned by the factory would be of the wrong type. This situation is very unusual so it is not worth making an exception to the general rule for constructors in order to allow it.

It is a compile-time error if the declared return type of a function marked **async** is not a supertype of Future<T> for some type T. It is a compile-time error if the declared return type of a function marked **sync*** is not a supertype of Iterable<T> for some type T. It is a compile-time error if the declared return type of a function marked **async*** is not a supertype of Stream<T> for some type T.

9.1 Function Declarations

A function declaration is a function that is neither a member of a class nor a function literal. Function declarations include exactly the following: library functions, which are function declarations at the top level of a library, and local functions, which are function declarations declared inside other functions. Library functions are often referred to simply as top-level functions.

A function declaration consists of an identifier indicating the function's name, possibly prefaced by a return type. The function name is followed by

a signature and body. For getters, the signature is empty. The body is empty for functions that are external.

The scope of a library function is the scope of the enclosing library. The scope of a local function is described in section 17.4. In both cases, the name of the function is in scope in its formal parameter scope (9.2).

It is a compile-time error to preface a function declaration with the built-in identifier **static**.

When we say that a function f_1 forwards to another function f_2 , we mean that invoking f_1 causes f_2 to be executed with the same arguments and/or receiver as f_1 , and returns the result of executing f_2 to the caller of f_1 , unless f_2 throws an exception, in which case f_1 throws the same exception. Furthermore, we only use the term for synthetic functions introduced by the specification.

9.2 Formal Parameters

Every non-getter function declaration includes a *formal parameter list*, which consists of a list of required positional parameters (9.2.1), followed by any optional parameters (9.2.2). The optional parameters may be specified either as a set of named parameters or as a list of positional parameters, but not both.

Some function declarations include a formal type parameter list (9), in which case we say that it is a generic function. A non-generic function is a function which is not generic.

The *formal parameter part* of a function declaration consists of the formal type parameter list, if any, and the formal parameter list.

The following kinds of functions cannot be generic: Getters, setters, operators, and constructors.

The formal type parameter list of a function declaration introduces a new scope known as the function's type parameter scope. The type parameter scope of a generic function f is enclosed in the scope where f is declared. Every formal type parameter introduces a type into the type parameter scope.

If it exists, the type parameter scope of a function f is the current scope for the signature of f, and for the formal type parameter list itself; otherwise the scope where f is declared is the current scope for the signature of f.

This means that formal type parameters are in scope in the bounds of parameter declarations, allowing for so-called F-bounded type parameters like

class C<X extends Comparable<X>> $\{ \dots \}$, and the formal type parameters are in scope for each other, allowing dependencies like class D<X extends Y, Y> $\{ \dots \}$.

The formal parameter list of a function declaration introduces a new scope known as the function's formal parameter scope. The formal parameter scope of a non-generic function f is enclosed in the scope where f is declared. The formal parameter scope of a generic function f is enclosed in the type parameter scope of f. Every formal parameter introduces a local variable into the formal parameter scope. The current scope for the function's signature is the scope that encloses the formal parameter scope.

This means that in a generic function declaration, the return type and parameter type annotations can use the formal type parameters, but the formal parameters are not in scope in the signature.

The body of a function declaration introduces a new scope known as the function's *body scope*. The body scope of a function f is enclosed in the scope introduced by the formal parameter scope of f.

It is a compile-time error if a formal parameter is declared as a constant variable (8).

```
\(\langle formal Parameter List \rangle ::= '(' ')' \\ '(' \langle normal Parameters \rangle ','? ')' \\ '(' \langle normal Parameters \rangle ',' \langle optional Or Named Formal Parameters \rangle ')' \\
\(\langle (' \langle optional Or Named Formal Parameters \rangle ')' \\
\(\langle normal Formal Parameter \rangle (',' \langle normal Parameter \rangle )'' \\
\(\langle optional Or Named Formal Parameters \rangle ::= \langle optional Positional Formal Parameters \rangle \\ \langle amed Formal Parameters \rangle ::= \quad '[' \langle default Formal Parameter \rangle (',' \langle default Formal Parameter \rangle ',' ','? ']' \\
\(\langle named Formal Parameters \rangle ::= \quad '\{' \langle default Named Parameter \rangle (',' \langle default Named Parameter \rangle )'' \quad ','? '\}' \\
\(\langle named Formal Parameter \rangle (',' \langle default Named Parameter \rangle )'' \quad ','? '\}' \rangle '\}' \\
\(\langle named Formal Parameter \rangle (',' \langle default Named Parameter \rangle )'' \quad '\','? '\}' \rangle '\','' \rangle '\','' \rangle '\','' \rangle '\','' \rangle '\','' \rangle '\','' \rangle '\',''' \rangle '\','''' \rangle '\','''' \rangle '\','''' \rangle '\','''' \rangle '\','''' \rangle '\','''' \rangle '\',''''' \rangle '\',''''' \rangle '\',''''' \rangle '\','''''
```

Formal parameter lists allow an optional trailing comma after the last parameter (','?). A parameter list with such a trailing comma is equivalent in all ways to the same parameter list without the trailing comma. All parameter lists in this specification are shown without a trailing comma, but the rules and semantics apply equally to the corresponding parameter list with a trailing comma.

9.2.1 Required Formals

A required formal parameter may be specified in one of three ways:

- By means of a function signature that names the parameter and describes its type as a function type (19.5). It is a compile-time error if any default values are specified in the signature of such a function type.
- As an initializing formal, which is only valid as a parameter to a generative constructor (10.6.1).
- Via an ordinary variable declaration (8).

It is possible to include the modifier **covariant** in some forms of parameter declarations. The effect of doing this is described in a separate section (9.2.3).

Note that the non-terminal $\langle normalFormalParameter \rangle$ is also used in the grammar rules for optional parameters, which means that such parameters can also be covariant.

It is a compile-time error if the modifier **covariant** occurs on a parameter of a function which is not an instance method, instance setter, or instance operator.

9.2.2 Optional Formals

Optional parameters may be specified and provided with default values.

```
\langle defaultFormalParameter \rangle ::= \langle normalFormalParameter \rangle \ (`=' \langle expression \rangle)?
\langle defaultNamedParameter \rangle ::= required? \ \langle normalFormalParameter \rangle \ (`=' \langle expression \rangle)?
| required? \ \langle normalFormalParameter \rangle \ (`:' \langle expression \rangle)?
```

The form $\langle normalFormalParameter \rangle$ ':' $\langle expression \rangle$ is equivalent to the form $\langle normalFormalParameter \rangle$ '=' $\langle expression \rangle$. The colon-syntax is included only for backwards compatibility. It is deprecated and will be removed in a later version of the language specification.

It is a compile-time error if the default value of an optional parameter is not a constant expression (16.3). If no default is explicitly specified for an optional parameter an implicit default of **null** is provided.

It is a compile-time error if the name of a named optional parameter begins with an ' $_$ ' character.

The need for this restriction is a direct consequence of the fact that naming and privacy are not orthogonal. If we allowed named parameters to begin with an underscore, they would be considered private and inaccessible to callers from outside the library where it was defined. If a method outside the library overrode a method with a private optional name, it would not be a subtype of the original method. The static checker would of course flag such situations, but the consequence would be that adding a private named formal would break clients outside the library in a way they could not easily correct.

9.2.3 Covariant Parameters

Dart allows formal parameters of instance methods, including setters and operators, to be declared **covariant**. The syntax for doing this is specified in an earlier section (9.2.1).

It is a compile-time error if the modifier **covariant** occurs in the declaration of a formal parameter of a function which is not an instance method, an instance setter, or an operator.

As specified below, a parameter can also be covariant for other reasons. The overall effect of having a covariant parameter p in the signature of a given method m is to allow the type of p to be overridden covariantly, which means that the type required at run time for a given actual argument may be a proper subtype of the type which is known at compile time at the call site.

This mechanism allows developers to explicitly request that a compile-time guarantee which is otherwise supported (namely: that an actual argument whose static type satisfies the requirement will also do so at run time) is replaced by dynamic type checks. In return for accepting these dynamic type checks, developers can use covariant parameters to express software designs where the dynamic type checks are known (or at least trusted) to succeed, based on reasoning that the static type analysis does not capture.

Let m be a method signature with formal type parameters X_1, \ldots, X_s , positional formal parameters p_1, \ldots, p_n , and named formal parameters q_1, \ldots, q_k . Let m' be a method signature with formal type parameters X'_1, \ldots, X'_s , positional formal parameters $p'_1, \ldots, p'_{n'}$, and named formal parameters $q'_1, \ldots, q'_{k'}$. Assume that $j \in 1..n'$, and $j \leq n$; we say that p'_j is the parameter in m' that corresponds to the formal parameter p_j in m. Assume that $j \in 1..k'$ and $l \in 1..k$; we say that q'_j is the parameter in m' that corresponds to the formal parameter q_l in m if $q'_j = q_l$. Similarly, we say that the formal type parameter X'_j from m' corresponds to the formal type parameter X_j from m, for all $j \in 1..s$.

This includes the case where m respectively m' has optional positional parameters, in which case k=0 respectively k'=0 must hold, but we can have $n\neq n'$. The case where the numbers of formal type parameters differ is not relevant.

Let C be a class that declares a method m which has a parameter p whose declaration has the modifier **covariant**; in this case we say that the parameter p is covariant-by-declaration. In this case the interface of C has the method signature m, and that signature has the parameter p; we also say that the parameter p in this method signature is covariant-by-declaration. Finally, the parameter p of the method signature m of the interface of a class C is covariant-by-declaration if a direct superinterface of C has an accessible method signature m' with the same name as m, which has a parameter p' that corresponds to p, such that p' is covariant-by-declaration.

Assume that C is a generic class with formal type parameter declarations X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , let m be a declaration of an instance method in C (which can be a method, a setter, or an operator), let p be a parameter declared by m, and let T be the declared type of p. The parameter p is covariant-by-class if, for any $j \in 1...s, X_j$ occurs in a covariant or an invariant

position in T. In this case the interface of C also has the method signature m, and that signature has the parameter p; we also say that the parameter p in this method signature is covariant-by-class. Finally, the parameter p of the method signature m of the interface of the class C is covariant-by-class if a direct superinterface of C has an accessible method signature m' with the same name as m, which has a parameter p' that corresponds to p, such that p' is covariant-by-class.

A formal parameter p is *covariant* if p is covariant-by-declaration or p is \diamond covariant-by-class.

It is possible for a parameter to be simultaneously covariant-by-declaration and covariant-by-class. Note that a parameter may be covariant-by-declaration or covariant-by-class based on a declaration in any direct or indirect superinterface, including any superclass: The definitions above propagate these properties to an interface from each of its direct superinterfaces, but they will in turn receive the property from their direct superinterfaces, and so on.

9.3 Type of a Function

This section specifies the static type which is ascribed to the function denoted by a function declaration, and the dynamic type of the corresponding function object.

In this specification, the notation used to denote the type of a function, that is, a function type, follows the syntax of the language, except that **extends** is \diamond abbreviated to \lhd . This means that every function type is of one of the forms T_0 Function $< X_1 \lhd B_1, \ldots, X_s \lhd B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}])$ T_0 Function $< X_1 \lhd B_1, \ldots, X_s \lhd B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}, x_{n+k}\})$ where T_0 is the return type, X_j are the formal type parameters with bounds $B_j, j \in 1...s, T_j$ are the formal parameter types for $j \in 1...n + k$, and x_{n+j} are the names of named parameters for $j \in 1...k$. Non-generic function types are covered by the case s = 0, where the type parameter declaration list $< \ldots >$ as a whole is omitted. Similarly, the optional brackets [] and {} are omitted when there are no optional parameters.

Both forms with optionals cover function types with no optionals when k=0, and every rule in this specification is such that any of the two forms may be used without ambiguity to determine the treatment of function types with no optionals.

If a function declaration does not declare a return type explicitly, its return type is **dynamic** (19.7), unless it is a constructor, in which case it is not considered to have a return type, or it is a setter or operator []=, in which case its return type is **void**.

A function declaration may declare formal type parameters. The type of the function includes the names of the type parameters and for each type parameter the upper bound, which is considered to be the built-in class Object if no bound is specified. When consistent renaming of type parameters can make two function types identical, they are considered to be the same type.

It is convenient to include the formal type parameter names in function types because they are needed in order to express such things as relations among different type parameters, F-bounds, and the types of formal parameters. However, we do not wish to distinguish between two function types if they have the same structure and only differ in the choice of names. This treatment of names is also known as alpha-equivalence.

In the following three paragraphs, if the number m of formal type parameters is zero then the type parameter list in the function type is omitted.

Let F be a function with type parameters X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , required formal parameter types T_1, \ldots, T_n , return type T_0 , and no optional parameters. Then the static type of F is

 T_0 Function $\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$.

Let F be a function with type parameters X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , required formal parameter types T_1, \ldots, T_n , return type T_0 and positional optional parameter types T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} . Then the static type of F is

 T_0 Function $\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}])$.

Let F be a function with type parameters X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , required formal parameter types T_1, \ldots, T_n , return type T_0 , and named parameters $T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}, \ldots, T_{n+k}$, where $x_{n+j}, j \in 1..k$ may or may not have a default value. Then the static type of F is

 T_0 Function $< X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\})$. Let T be the static type of a function declaration F. Let u be the runtime type of a function object o obtained by function closurization (16.18) or instance method closurization (16.22.3) applied to F, and let t be the actual type corresponding to T at the occasion where o was created (19.10.1). T may contain free type variables, but t contains their actual values. The following must then hold: u is a class that implements the built-in class Function; u is a subtype of t; and u is not a subtype of any function type which is a proper subtype of t. If we

It is up to the implementation to choose an appropriate representation for function objects. For example, consider that a function object produced via property extraction treats equality differently from other function objects, and is therefore likely a different class. Implementations may also use different classes for function objects based on arity and or type. Arity may be implicitly affected by whether a function is an instance method (with an implicit receiver parameter) or not. The variations are manifold and, e.g., one cannot assume that any two distinct function objects will necessarily have the same run-time type.

had omitted the last requirement then f is int Function([int]) could evaluate to **true** with the declaration **void** f() {}, which is obviously not the intention.

9.4 External Functions

An external function is a function whose body is provided separately from its declaration. An external function may be a top-level function (18), a method (10.1, 10.7), a getter (10.2), a setter (10.3) or a non-redirecting constructor (10.6.1, 10.6.2). External functions are introduced via the built-in identifier **external** (16.38) followed by the function signature.

External functions allow us to introduce type information for code that is not statically known to the Dart compiler.

Examples of external functions might be foreign functions (defined in C, or Javascript etc.), primitives of the implementation (as defined by the Dart run-time system), or code that was dynamically generated but whose interface is statically known. However, an abstract method is different from an external function, as it has *no* body.

An external function is connected to its body by an implementation specific mechanism. Attempting to invoke an external function that has not been connected to its body will throw a NoSuchMethodError or some subclass thereof.

The actual syntax is given in sections 10 and 18 below.

10 Classes

A class defines the form and behavior of a set of objects which are its in- \diamond stances. Classes may be defined by class declarations as described below, or via
mixin applications (12.3).

```
\langle classDefinition \rangle ::= abstract? class \langle identifier \rangle \langle typeParameters \rangle?
      \langle superclass \rangle? \langle interfaces \rangle?
      ``\{'\ (\langle metadata\rangle\ \langle classMemberDefinition\rangle)*`\}'
      \langle metadata \rangle abstract? class \langle mixinApplicationClass \rangle
\langle typeNotVoidList \rangle ::= \langle typeNotVoid \rangle (`, `\langle typeNotVoid \rangle)^*
\langle classMemberDefinition \rangle ::= \langle declaration \rangle;
      \langle methodSignature \rangle \langle functionBody \rangle
\langle methodSignature \rangle ::= \langle constructorSignature \rangle \langle initializers \rangle?
      \langle factoryConstructorSignature \rangle
      static? \langle functionSignature \rangle
      static? \langle getterSignature \rangle
      static? ⟨setterSignature⟩
      \langle operatorSignature \rangle
\langle declaration \rangle ::= external \langle factoryConstructorSignature \rangle
      external \langle constantConstructorSignature \rangle
      external \langle constructorSignature \rangle
      (external static?)? \langle getterSignature \rangle
      (external static?)? \langle setterSignature \rangle
      (external static?)? ⟨functionSignature⟩
      external? \langle operatorSignature \rangle
      static const \langle type \rangle? \langle staticFinalDeclarationList \rangle
      static final \langle type \rangle? \langle staticFinalDeclarationList \rangle
      static late final \langle type \rangle? \langle initializedIdentifierList \rangle
      static late? \langle varOrType \rangle \langle initializedIdentifierList \rangle
```

It is possible to include the modifier **covariant** in some forms of declarations. The effect of doing this is described elsewhere (9.2.3).

A class has constructors, instance members and static members. The $instance\ members$ of a class are its instance methods, getters, setters and instance variables. The $static\ members$ of a class are its static methods, getters, setters and class variables. The members of a class are its static and instance members.

A class has several scopes:

- A type-parameter scope, which is empty if the class is not generic (14). The enclosing scope of the type-parameter scope of a class is the enclosing scope of the class declaration.
- A static scope. The enclosing scope of the static scope of a class is the \diamond type parameter scope (14) of the class.
- An *instance scope*. The enclosing scope of a class' instance scope is the \diamond class' static scope.

The enclosing scope of an instance member declaration is the instance scope of the class in which it is declared.

The enclosing scope of a static member declaration is the static scope of the class in which it is declared.

The current instance (and hence its members) can only be accessed at specific locations in a class: We say that a location ℓ has access to **this** iff ℓ is inside the body of a declaration of an instance member or a generative constructor, or in the initializing expression of a **late** instance variable declaration.

Note that an initializing expression for a non-late instance variable does not have access to **this**, and neither does any part of a declaration marked **static**.

Every class has a single superclass except class Object which has no superclass. A class may implement a number of interfaces by declaring them in its implements clause (10.9).

An abstract class declaration is a class declaration that is explicitly declared with the **abstract** modifier. A concrete class declaration is a class declaration that is not abstract. An abstract class is a class whose declaration is abstract, and a concrete class is a class whose declaration is concrete.

We want different behavior for concrete classes and abstract classes. If A is intended to be abstract, we want the static checker to warn about any attempt to instantiate A, and we do not want the checker to complain about unimplemented methods in A. In contrast, if A is intended to be concrete, the checker should warn about all unimplemented methods, but allow clients to instantiate it freely.

The interface of a class C is an implicit interface that declares instance member signatures that correspond to the instance members declared by C, and whose direct superinterfaces are the direct superinterfaces of C (11, 10.9).

When a class name appears as a type, that name denotes the interface of the class.

A concrete class must fully implement its interface: Let C be a concrete class with interface I. Assume that I has an accessible member signature m. It is a compile-time error if C does not have a concrete accessible member with the same name as m, unless C has a non-trivial noSuchMethod (10.1.2). It is a compile-time error if C has a concrete accessible member with the same name as m, with a method signature m' which is not a correct override of m (11.2.2), unless that concrete member is a noSuchMethod forwarder (10.1.2).

In particular, it is an error for a class to be concrete even if it inherits a member implementation for every member signature in its interface, unless each of them has parameters and types such that they satisfy the corresponding member signature. But when there is a non-trivial noSuchMethod it is allowed to leave some members unimplemented, and it is allowed to have a noSuchMethod forwarder which does not satisfy the class interface (in which case it will be overridden by another noSuchMethod forwarder).

It is a compile-time error if a class declares two members of the same name, either because it declares the same name twice in the same scope (6.1), or because it declares a static member and an instance member with the same name (10.10).

Here are simple examples, that illustrate the difference between "has a member" and "declares a member". For example, B *declares* one member named f, but *has* two such members. The rules of inheritance determine what members a class has.

```
class A {
  var i = 0;
  var j;
  f(x) => 3;
}

class B extends A {
  int i = 1; // getter i and setter i= override versions from A
  static j; // compile-time error: static getter & setter conflict with
  // instance getter & setter

  // compile-time error: static method conflicts with instance method
  static f(x) => 3;
}
```

It is a compile-time error if a class named C declares a member with basename (10.10) C. If a generic class named G declares a type variable named X, it is a compile-time error if X is equal to G, or if G has a member whose basename is X, or if G has a constructor named G. X.

10.1 Instance Methods

Instance methods are functions (9) whose declarations are immediately contained within a class declaration and that are not declared **static**. The instance methods of a class C are the instance methods declared by C and the instance methods inherited by C from its superclass (10.8.1).

Consider a class C. It is a compile-time error if an instance method declaration in C has a member signature m (11) which overrides a member signature m' from a direct superinterface of C (11.2.1), unless this is a correct member override (11.2.2).

This is not the only kind of conflict that may exist: An instance member declaration D may conflict with another declaration D', even in the case where they do not have the same name or they are not the same kind of declaration. E.g., D could be an instance getter and D' a static setter (10.10).

For each parameter p of m where **covariant** is present, it is a compile-time error if there exists a direct or indirect superinterface J of C which has an accessible method signature m'' with the same name as m, such that m'' has a parameter p'' that corresponds to p (9.2.3), unless the type of p is assignable to the type of p''.

This means that a parameter which is covariant-by-declaration can have a type which is a supertype or a subtype of the type of a corresponding parameter in a superinterface, but the two types cannot be unrelated. Note that this requirement must be satisfied for each direct or indirect superinterface separately, because assignability is not transitive.

The superinterface may be the statically known type of the receiver, so this means that we relax the potential typing relationship between the statically known type of a parameter and the type which is actually required at run time to the assignability relationship, rather than the strict supertype relationship which applies to a parameter which is not covariant. It should be noted that it is not statically known at the call site whether any given parameter is covariant, because the covariance could be introduced in a proper subtype of the statically known type of the receiver. We chose to give priority to flexibility rather than safety here, because the whole point covariant parameters is that developers can make the choice to increase the flexibility in a trade-off where some static type safety is lost.

10.1.1 Operators

Operators are instance methods with special names, except for operator '[]' > which is an instance getter and operator '[]=' which is an instance setter.

An operator declaration is identified using the built-in identifier (16.38) **operator**.

The '-' operator is unique in that two overloaded versions are permitted. If the operator has no arguments, it denotes unary minus. If it has an argument, it denotes binary subtraction.

The name of the unary operator '-' is unary-.

This device allows the two methods to be distinguished for purposes of method lookup, override and reflection.

It is a compile-time error if the arity of the user-declared operator '~' is not 0.

It is a compile-time error to declare an optional parameter in an operator.

It is a static warning if the return type of a user-declared operator '[]=' is explicitly declared and not **void**.

If no return type is specified for a user-declared operator '[]=', its return type is **void** (9.3).

The return type is **void** because a return statement in an implementation of operator '[]=' does not return an object. Consider a non-throwing evaluation of an expression e of the form $e_1[e_2] = e_3$, and assume that the evaluation of e_3 yields an object o. e will then evaluate to o, and even if the executed body of operator '[]=' completes with an object o', that is, if o' is returned it is simply ignored. The rationale for this behavior is that assignments should be guaranteed to evaluate to the assigned object.

10.1.2 The Method noSuchMethod

The method noSuchMethod is invoked implicitly during execution in situations where one or more member lookups fail (16.21.1, 16.22.1, 16.23).

We may think of noSuchMethod as a backup which kicks in when an invocation of a member m is attempted, but there is no member named m, or it exists, but the given invocation has an argument list shape that does not fit the declaration of m (passing fewer positional arguments than required or more than supported, or passing named arguments with names not declared by m). This can only occur for an ordinary method invocation when the receiver has static type $\operatorname{dynamic}$, or for a function invocation when the invoked function has static type $\operatorname{Function}$ or $\operatorname{dynamic}$. The method noSuchMethod can also be invoked in other ways, e.g., it can be called explicitly like any other method, and it can be invoked from a noSuchMethod forwarder, as explained below.

We say that a class C has a non-trivial noSuchMethod if C has a concrete \diamond member named noSuchMethod which is distinct from the one declared in the built-in class Object.

Note that it must be a method that accepts one positional argument, in order to correctly override noSuchMethod in Object. For instance, it can have signature noSuchMethod(Invocation i) or noSuchMethod(Object i, [String s]), but not noSuchMethod(Invocation i, String s). This implies that the situation where noSuchMethod is invoked (explicitly or implicitly) with one actual argument cannot fail for the reason that "there is no such method", such that we would enter an infinite loop trying to invoke noSuchMethod. It is possible, however, to encounter a dynamic error during an invocation of noSuchMethod because the actual argument fails to satisfy a type check, but that situation will give rise to a dynamic type error rather than a repeated attempt to invoke noSuchMethod (16.17.3). Here is an example where a dynamic type error occurs because an attempt is made to pass an Invocation where only the null object is accepted:

```
class A {
  noSuchMethod(covariant Null n) => n;
}

void main() {
  dynamic d = A();
  d.foo(42); // Dynamic type error when invoking noSuchMethod.
}
```

Let C be a concrete class and let L be the library that contains the declaration of C. The member m is noSuchMethod forwarded in C iff one of the following is true:

 C has a non-trivial noSuchMethod, the interface of C contains m, and C has no concrete declaration of m (that is, no member m is declared or inherited by C). • There exists a direct or indirect superinterface D of C which is declared in the library L_2 , the interface of D contains m (which implies that m is accessible to L_2), m is inaccessible to L, and no superclass of C has a concrete declaration of m accessible to L_2 .

For a concrete class C, a **noSuchMethod** forwarder is implicitly induced for each member m which is noSuchMethod forwarded. This is a concrete member of C with the signature taken from the interface of C respectively D above, and with the same default value for each optional parameter. It can be invoked in an ordinary invocation and in a superinvocation, and when m is a method it can be closurized (16.22.3) using a property extraction (16.22).

This implies that a noSuchMethod forwarder has the same properties as an explicitly declared concrete member, except of course that a noSuchMethod forwarder does not prevent itself from being induced. We do not specify the body of a noSuchMethod forwarder, but it will invoke noSuchMethod, and we specify the dynamic semantics of executing it below.

At the beginning of this section we mentioned that implicit invocations of noSuchMethod can only occur with a receiver of static type **dynamic** or a function of static type **dynamic** or **Function**. With a noSuchMethod forwarder, noSuchMethod can also be invoked on a receiver whose static type is not **dynamic**. No similar situation exists for functions, because it is impossible to induce a noSuchMethod forwarder into the class of a function object.

For a concrete class C, we may think of a non-trivial noSuchMethod (declared in or inherited by C) as a request for "automatic implementation" of all unimplemented members in the interface of C as noSuchMethod forwarders. Similarly, there is an implicit request for automatic implementation of all unimplemented inaccessible members of any concrete class, whether or not there is a non-trivial noSuchMethod. Note that the latter cannot be written explicitly in Dart, because their names are inaccessible; but the language can still specify that they are induced implicitly, because compilers control the treatment of private names.

It is a compile-time error if a concrete class C has a noSuchMethod forwarded method signature S for a method named m, and a superclass of C has an accessible concrete declaration of m which is not a noSuchMethod forwarder.

This can only happen if that concrete declaration does not correctly override ${\cal S}.$ Consider the following example:

```
class A {
  foo(int i) => null;
}
abstract class B {
  foo([int i]);
}
class C extends A implements B {
  noSuchMethod(Invocation i) => ...;
  // Error: Forwarder would override 'A.foo'.
}
```

In this example, an implementation with signature foo(int i) is inherited by C, and the superinterface B declares the signature foo([int i]). This is a compile-time error because C does not have a method implementation with signature foo([int]). We do not wish to implicitly induce a noSuchMethod forwarder with signature foo([int]) because it would override A.foo, and that is likely to be highly confusing for developers. In particular, it would cause an invocation like C().foo(42) to invoke noSuchMethod, even though that is an invocation which is correct for the declaration of foo in A. Hence, we require developers to explicitly resolve the conflict whenever an implicitly induced noSuchMethod forwarder would override an explicitly declared inherited implementation. It is no problem, however, to let a noSuchMethod forwarder override another noSuchMethod forwarder, and hence there is no error in that situation.

For the dynamic semantics, assume that a class C has an implicitly induced noSuchMethod forwarder named m, with formal type parameters X_1, \ldots, X_r , positional formal parameters a_1, \ldots, a_k (some of which may be optional when m=0), and named formal parameters with names x_1, \ldots, x_m (with default values as mentioned above).

For this purpose we need not distinguish between a signature that has optional positional parameters and a signature that has named parameters, because the former is covered by m=0.

The execution of the body of m creates an instance im of the predefined class Invocation such that:

- im.isMethod evaluates to **true** iff m is a method.
- *im*.isGetter evaluates to **true** iff *m* is a getter.
- im.isSetter evaluates to true iff m is a setter.
- im.memberName evaluates to the symbol m.
- im.positionalArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable list containing the same objects as the list resulting from evaluation of <0bject>[a_1, \ldots, a_k].
- $\bullet~im. {\tt namedArguments}$ evaluates to an unmodifiable map with the same keys and values as the map resulting from evaluation of

```
\{x_1: x_1, \ldots, \#x_m: x_m\}.
```

• im.typeArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable list containing the same objects as the list resulting from evaluation of $\langle Type \rangle [X_1, \ldots, X_r]$.

Next, noSuchMethod is invoked with i as the actual argument, and the result obtained from there is returned by the execution of m.

This is an ordinary method invocation of noSuchMethod (16.21.1). That is, a noSuchMethod forwarder in a class ${\cal C}$ can invoke an implementation of noSuchMethod that is declared in a subclass of ${\cal C}$.

Dynamic type checks on the actual arguments passed to m are performed in the same way as for an invocation of an explicitly declared method. In particular, an actual argument passed to a covariant parameter will be checked dynamically.

Also, like other ordinary method invocations, it is a dynamic type error if the result returned by a noSuchMethod forwarder has a type which is not a subtype of the return type of the forwarder.

One special case to be aware of is where a forwarder is torn off and then invoked with an actual argument list which does not match the formal parameter list. In that situation we will get an invocation of <code>Object.noSuchMethod</code> rather than the noSuchMethod in the original receiver, because this is an invocation of a function object (and they do not override noSuchMethod):

```
class A {
  noSuchMethod(Invocation i) => null;
  void foo();
}

void main() {
  A a = A();
  Function f = a.foo;
  // Invokes 'Object.noSuchMethod', which throws.
  f(42);
}
```

10.1.3 The Operator '=='

The operator '==' is used implicitly in certain situations, and in particular constant expressions (16.3) give rise to constraints on that operator. In order to specify these constraints just once we introduce the notion of a *primitive* \diamond operator '==':

- Every instance of type int and String has a primitive operator '=='.
- Every instance of type Symbol which was originally obtained by evaluation of a literal symbol or a constant invocation of a constructor of the Symbol class has a primitive operator '=='.
- Every instance of type Type which was originally obtained by evaluating a constant type literal (19.2) has a primitive operator '=='.
- An instance o has a primitive operator '==' if the dynamic type of o is a class C, and C has a primitive operator '=='.
- The class Object has a primitive operator '=='.
- A class *C* has a primitive operator '==' if it does not have an implementation of the operator '==' that overrides the one inherited from Object. In particular, the following have a primitive operator '==': The null object (16.4), function objects obtained by function closurization of a static method or a top-level function (16.18), instances of type bool (16.6), and instances

obtained by evaluation of a list literal (16.9), a map literal (16.10), or a set literal (16.11).

When we say that the operator '==' of a given instance or class *is not primitive*, it means that it is not true that said instance or class has a primitive operator '=='.

10.2 Getters

Getters are functions (9) that are used to retrieve the values of object properties.

```
\langle getterSignature \rangle ::= \langle type \rangle? get \langle identifier \rangle
```

If no return type is specified, the return type of the getter is **dynamic**.

A getter definition that is prefixed with the **static** modifier defines a static getter. Otherwise, it defines an instance getter. The name of the getter is given by the identifier in the definition.

The instance getters of a class C are those instance getters declared by C, \diamond either implicitly or explicitly, and the instance getters inherited by C from its superclass. The static getters of a class C are those static getters declared by \diamond C

A getter declaration may conflict with other declarations (10.10). In particular, a getter can never override a method, and a method can never override a getter or an instance variable. The rules for when a getter correctly overrides another member are given elsewhere (11.2.2).

10.3 Setters

Setters are functions (9) that are used to set the values of object properties.

```
\langle setterSignature \rangle ::= \langle type \rangle? set \langle identifier \rangle \langle formalParameterList \rangle
```

If no return type is specified, the return type of the setter is **void** (9.3).

A setter definition that is prefixed with the **static** modifier defines a static setter. Otherwise, it defines an instance setter. The name of a setter is obtained by appending the string '=' to the identifier given in its signature.

Hence, a setter name can never conflict with, override or be overridden by a getter or method.

The instance setters of a class C are those instance setters declared by C either implicitly or explicitly, and the instance setters inherited by C from its superclass. The static setters of a class C are those static setters declared by C, either implicitly or explicitly.

It is a compile-time error if a setter's formal parameter list does not consist of exactly one required formal parameter p. We could enforce this via the grammar, but we'd have to specify the evaluation rules in that case.

It is a static warning if a setter declares a return type other than void. It is

a static warning if a class has a setter named v= with argument type T and a getter named v with return type S, and S may not be assigned to T.

The rules for when a setter correctly overrides another member are given elsewhere (11.2.2). A setter declaration may conflict with other declarations as well (10.10).

10.4 Abstract Instance Members

An abstract method (respectively, abstract getter or abstract setter) is an instance method, getter or setter that is not declared **external** and does not provide an implementation. A concrete method (respectively, concrete getter or concrete setter) is an instance method, getter or setter that is not abstract.

Abstract instance members are useful because of their interplay with classes. Every Dart class induces an implicit interface, and Dart does not support specifying interfaces explicitly. Using an abstract class instead of a traditional interface has important advantages. An abstract class can provide default implementations. It can also provide static methods, obviating the need for service classes such as Collections or Lists, whose entire purpose is to group utilities related to a given type.

Invocation of an abstract method, getter, or setter cannot occur, because lookup (16.19) will never yield an abstract member as its result. One way to think about this is that an abstract member declaration in a subclass does not override or shadow an inherited member implementation. It only serves to specify the signature of the given member that every concrete subtype must have an implementation of; that is, it contributes to the interface of the class, not to the class itself.

The purpose of an abstract method is to provide a declaration for purposes such as type checking and reflection. In mixins, it is often useful to introduce such declarations for methods that the mixin expects will be provided by the superclass the mixin is applied to.

We wish to detect if one declares a concrete class with abstract members. However, code like the following should work:

```
class Base {
  int get one => 1;
}

abstract class Mix {
  int get one;
  int get two => one + one;
}

class C extends Base with Mix { }
```

At run time, the concrete method one declared in Base will be executed, and no problem should arise. Therefore no error should be raised if a corresponding concrete member exists in the hierarchy.

10.5 Instance Variables

Instance variables are variables whose declarations are immediately contained within a class declaration and that are not declared **static**. The instance variables of a class C are the instance variables declared by C and the instance variables inherited by C from its superclass.

It is a compile-time error if an instance variable is declared to be constant.

The notion of a constant instance variable is subtle and confusing to programmers. An instance variable is intended to vary per instance. A constant instance variable would have the same value for all instances, and as such is already a dubious idea.

The language could interpret const instance variable declarations as instance getters that return a constant. However, a constant instance variable could not be treated as a true compile-time constant, as its getter would be subject to overriding.

Given that the value does not depend on the instance, it is better to use a class variable. An instance getter for it can always be defined manually if desired

It is possible for the declaration of an instance variable to include the modifier **covariant** (8). The effect of this is that the formal parameter of the corresponding implicitly induced setter is considered to be covariant-by-declaration (9.2.3).

The modifier **covariant** on an instance variable has no other effects. In particular, the return type of the implicitly induced getter can already be overridden covariantly without **covariant**, and it can never be overridden to a supertype or an unrelated type, regardless of whether the modifier **covariant** is present.

10.6 Constructors

A constructor is a special function that is used in instance creation expressions (16.15) to obtain objects, typically by creating or initializing them. Constructors may be generative (10.6.1) or they may be factories (10.6.2).

A constructor name always begins with the name of its immediately enclosing class, and may optionally be followed by a dot and an identifier id. It is a compile-time error if the name of a constructor is not a constructor name.

The function type of a constructor k is the function type whose return type is the class that contains the declaration of k, and whose formal parameter types, optionality, and names of named parameters correspond to the declaration of k.

Note that the function type F of a constructor k may contain type variables declared by the enclosing class C. In that case we can apply a substitution to F, as in $[T_1/X_1,\ldots,T_m/X_m]F$, where $X_j,j\in 1..m$ are the formal type parameters of C and $T_j,j\in 1..m$ are specified in the given context. We may also omit such a substitution when the given context is the instance scope of C, where X_1,\ldots,X_m are in scope.

A constructor declaration may conflict with static member declarations (10.10).

Iff no constructor is specified for a class C, it implicitly has a default constructor C(): super() $\{\}$, unless C is class Object.

10.6.1 Generative Constructors

A generative constructor declaration consists of a constructor name, a constructor parameter list, and either a redirect clause or an initializer list and an optional body.

```
\langle constructorSignature \rangle ::= \langle identifier \rangle (`.` \langle identifier \rangle)? \langle formalParameterList \rangle
```

A constructor parameter list is a parenthesized, comma-separated list of formal constructor parameters. A formal constructor parameter is either a formal parameter (9.2) or an initializing formal. An initializing formal has the form **this**. id, where id is the name of an instance variable of the immediately enclosing class. It is a compile-time error if id is not an instance variable of the immediately enclosing class. It is a compile-time error if an initializing formal is used by a function other than a non-redirecting generative constructor.

If an explicit type is attached to the initializing formal, that is its static type. Otherwise, the type of an initializing formal named id is T_{id} , where T_{id} is the type of the instance variable named id in the immediately enclosing class. It is a compile-time error if the static type of id is not a subtype of T_{id} .

Initializing formals constitute an exception to the rule that every formal parameter introduces a local variable into the formal parameter scope (9.2). When the formal parameter list of a non-redirecting generative constructor contains any initializing formals, a new scope is introduced, the *formal parameter initializer scope*, which is the current scope of the initializer list of the constructor, and which is enclosed in the scope where the constructor is declared. Each initializing formal in the formal parameter list introduces a final local variable into the formal parameter initializer scope, but not into the formal parameter scope; every other formal parameter introduces a local variable into both the formal parameter scope and the formal parameter initializer scope.

This means that formal parameters, including initializing formals, must have distinct names, and that initializing formals are in scope for the initializer list, but they are not in scope for the body of the constructor. When a formal parameter introduces a local variable into two scopes, it is still one variable and hence one storage location. The type of the constructor is defined in terms of its formal parameters, including the initializing formals.

Initializing formals are executed during the execution of generative constructors detailed below. Executing an initializing formal ${\bf this.}\,id$ causes the instance variable id of the immediately surrounding class to be assigned the value of the corresponding actual parameter, unless the assigned object has a dynamic type which is not a subtype of the declared type of the instance variable id, in which case a dynamic error occurs.

The above rule allows initializing formals to be used as optional parameters:

```
class A {
  int x;
  A([this.x]);
}
is legal, and has the same effect as
class A {
  int x;
  A([int x]): this.x = x;
}
```

A *fresh instance* is an instance whose identity is distinct from any previously allocated instance of its class. A generative constructor always operates on a fresh instance of its immediately enclosing class.

The above holds if the constructor is actually run, as it is by **new**. If a constructor c is referenced by **const**, c may not be run; instead, a canonical object may be looked up. See the section on instance creation (16.15).

If a generative constructor c is not a redirecting constructor and no body is provided, then c implicitly has an empty body $\{\}$.

Redirecting Generative Constructors

A generative constructor may be *redirecting*, in which case its only action is to invoke another generative constructor. A redirecting constructor has no body; instead, it has a redirect clause that specifies which constructor the invocation is redirected to, and with which arguments.

```
\langle redirection \rangle ::= `:` this (`.` \langle identifier \rangle)? \langle arguments \rangle
```

Assume that $C < X_1$ extends $B_1 ..., X_m$ extends $B_m >$ is the name and formal type parameters of the enclosing class, **const**? stands for either **const** or nothing, N is C or $C.id_0$ for some identifier id_0 , and id is an identifier. Consider a declaration of a redirecting generative constructor k of one of the forms

```
const? N(T_1 \ x_1 \ldots, \ T_n \ x_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1 \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]): R; const? N(T_1 \ x_1 \ldots, \ T_n \ x_n, \ \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1 \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k\}): R;
```

where R is of one of the forms

```
this (e_1 \ldots, e_p, x_1: e_{p+1}, \ldots, x_q: e_{p+q})
this id(e_1 \ldots, e_p, x_1: e_{p+1}, \ldots, x_q: e_{p+q})
```

The redirectee constructor for this declaration is then the constructor denoted by $C < X_1 ..., X_m >$ respectively $C < X_1 ..., X_m > .id$. It is a compile-time error if the static argument list type (16.17.1) of $(e_1 ..., e_p, x_1 : e_{p+1}, ..., x_q : e_{p+q})$ is not an assignable match for the formal parameter list of the redirectee.

Note that the case where no named parameters are passed is covered by letting q be zero, and the case where C is a non-generic class is covered by letting m be zero, in which case the formal type parameter list and actual type argument lists are omitted (14).

 \Diamond

We require an assignable match rather than the stricter subtype match because a generative redirecting constructor k invokes its redirectee k' in a manner which resembles function invocation in general. For instance, k could accept an argument \mathbf{x} and pass on an expression e_j using \mathbf{x} such as $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{f}(42)$ to k', and it would be surprising if e_j were subject to more strict constraints than the ones applied to actual arguments to function invocations in general.

When **const**? is **const**, it is a compile-time error if the redirectee is not a constant constructor. Moreover, when **const**? is **const**, each e_i , $i \in 1..p + q$, must be a potentially constant expression (10.6.3).

It is a dynamic type error if an actual argument passed in an invocation of a redirecting generative constructor k is not a subtype of the actual type (19.10.1) of the corresponding formal parameter in the declaration of k. It is a dynamic type error if an actual argument passed to the redirectee k' of a redirecting generative constructor is not a subtype of the actual type (19.10.1) of the corresponding formal parameter in the declaration of the redirectee.

Initializer Lists

An initializer list begins with a colon, and consists of a comma-separated list of individual *initializers*.

There are three kinds of initializers.

- A superinitializer identifies a superconstructor that is, a specific constructor
 of the superclass. Execution of the superinitializer causes the initializer list of
 the superconstructor to be executed.
- An instance variable initializer assigns an object to an individual instance variable.
- An assertion.

```
\langle initializers \rangle ::= `:` \langle initializerListEntry \rangle (`,` \langle initializerListEntry \rangle)^*
\langle initializerListEntry \rangle ::= \mathbf{super} \langle arguments \rangle
| \mathbf{super} `.` \langle identifier \rangle \langle arguments \rangle
| \langle fieldInitializer \rangle
| \langle assertion \rangle
\langle fieldInitializer \rangle ::= (\mathbf{this} `.`)? \langle identifier \rangle `=` \langle conditionalExpression \rangle \langle cascadeSequence \rangle?
```

An initializer of the form v = e is equivalent to an initializer of the form **this**. v = e, both forms are called *instance variable initializers*. It is a compile-time error if the enclosing class does not declare an instance variable named v. Otherwise, let T be the static type of v. It is a compile-time error unless the static type of e is assignable to T.

```
Consider a superinitializer s of the form \mathbf{super}(a_1,\ldots,\ a_n,\ x_{n+1}:a_{n+1},\ldots,\ x_{n+k}:\ a_{n+k}) respectively \mathbf{super}.id(a_1,\ldots,\ a_n,\ x_{n+1}:a_{n+1},\ldots,\ x_{n+k}:\ a_{n+k}).
```

Let S be the superclass of the enclosing class of s. It is a compile-time error if class S does not declare a generative constructor named S (respectively S.id). Otherwise, the static analysis of s is performed as specified in Section 16.17.3, as if **super** respectively **super**.id had had the function type of the denoted constructor, and substituting the formal type variables of the superclass for the corresponding actual type arguments passed to the superclass in the header of the current class.

Let k be a generative constructor. Then k may include at most one superinitializer in its initializer list or a compile-time error occurs. If no superinitializer is provided, an implicit superinitializer of the form $\operatorname{super}()$ is added at the end of k's initializer list, unless the enclosing class is class Object . It is a compile-time error if a superinitializer appears in k's initializer list at any other position than at the end. It is a compile-time error if more than one initializer corresponding to a given instance variable appears in k's initializer list. It is a compile-time error if k's initializer list contains an initializer for a variable that is initialized by means of an initializing formal of k. It is a compile-time error if k's initializer list contains an initializer for a final variable f whose declaration includes an initialization expression. It is a compile-time error if k includes an initialization formal for a final variable f whose declaration includes an initialization expression.

Let f be a final instance variable declared in the immediately enclosing class. A compile-time error occurs unless f is initialized by one of the following means:

- f is declared by an initializing variable declaration.
- f is initialized by means of an initializing formal of k.
- f has an initializer in k's initializer list.

It is a compile-time error if k's initializer list contains an initializer for a variable that is not an instance variable declared in the immediately surrounding class.

The initializer list may of course contain an initializer for any instance variable declared by the immediately surrounding class, even if it is not final.

It is a compile-time error if a generative constructor of class **Object** includes a superinitializer.

Execution of Generative Constructors

Execution of a generative constructor k of type T to initialize a fresh instance i is always done with respect to a set of bindings for its formal parameters and the type parameters of the immediately enclosing class bound to a set of actual type arguments of T, t_1, \ldots, t_m .

These bindings are usually determined by the instance creation expression that invoked the constructor (directly or indirectly). However, they may also be determined by a reflective call.

```
If k is redirecting then its redirect clause has the form this g(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})
```

where g identifies another generative constructor of the immediately surrounding class. Then execution of k to initialize i proceeds by evaluating the argument list $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ to an actual argument list a of the form $(o_1, \ldots, o_n, x_{n+1}: o_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: o_{n+k})$ in an environment where the type parameters of the enclosing class are bound to t_1, \ldots, t_m .

Next, the body of g is executed to initialize i with respect to the bindings that map the formal parameters of g to the corresponding objects in the actual argument list a, with **this** bound to i, and the type parameters of the immediately enclosing class bound to t_1, \ldots, t_m .

Otherwise, k is not redirecting. Execution then proceeds as follows:

The instance variable declarations of the immediately enclosing class are visited in the order they appear in the program text. For each such declaration d, if d has the form $\langle finalConstVarOrType \rangle$ v = e; then e is evaluated to an object o and the instance variable v of i is bound to o.

Any initializing formals declared in k's parameter list are executed in the order they appear in the program text. Then, the initializers of k's initializer list are executed to initialize i in the order they appear in the program, as described below (p. 42).

We could observe the order by side effecting external routines called. So we need to specify the order.

Then if any instance variable of i declared by the immediately enclosing class is not yet bound to an object, all such variables are initialized with the null object (16.4).

Then, unless the enclosing class is \mathtt{Object} , the explicitly specified or implicitly added superinitializer (10.6.1) is executed to further initialize i.

After the superinitializer has completed, the body of k is executed in a scope where **this** is bound to i.

This process ensures that no uninitialized final instance variable is ever seen by code. Note that **this** is not in scope on the right hand side of an initializer (see 16.14) so no instance method can execute during initialization: an instance method cannot be directly invoked, nor can **this** be passed into any other code being invoked in the initializer.

Execution of Initializer Lists

During the execution of a generative constructor to initialize an instance i, execution of an initializer of the form **this**.v = e proceeds as follows:

First, the expression e is evaluated to an object o. Then, the instance variable v of i is bound to o. It is a dynamic type error if the dynamic type of o is not a subtype of the actual type (19.10.1) of the instance variable v.

Execution of an initializer that is an assertion proceeds by executing the assertion (17.17).

Consider a superinitializer s of the form

```
super(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1} : a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k} : a_{n+k}) respectively super.id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1} : a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k} : a_{n+k}). Let C be the class in which s appears and let S be the superclass of C.
```

If S is generic (14), let u_1, \ldots, u_p be the actual type arguments passed to S, obtained by substituting t_1, \ldots, t_m for the formal type parameters of C in the superclass as specified in the header of C, and t_1, \ldots, t_m are the actual bindings of the type variables of C. Let k be the constructor declared in S and named S respectively S.id.

Execution of s proceeds as follows: The argument list $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ is evaluated to an actual argument list a of the form $(o_1, \ldots, o_n, x_{n+1}: o_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: o_{n+k})$. Then the body of the superconstructor k is executed in an environment where the formal parameters of k are bound to the corresponding actual arguments from a, and the formal type parameters of S are bound to u_1, \ldots, u_p .

10.6.2 Factories

A factory is a constructor prefaced by the built-in identifier (16.38) **factory**. \diamond

```
\langle factoryConstructorSignature \rangle ::= factory \langle identifier \rangle ('.' \langle identifier \rangle)? \langle formalParameterList \rangle
```

The return type of a factory whose signature is of the form **factory** M or the form **factory** $M \cdot id$ is M if M is not a generic type; otherwise the return type is $M < T_1, \ldots, T_n >$ where T_1, \ldots, T_n are the type parameters of the enclosing class.

It is a compile-time error if M is not the name of the immediately enclosing class.

It is a dynamic type error if a factory returns a non-null object whose type is not a subtype of its actual (19.10.1) return type.

It seems useless to allow a factory to return the null object (16.4). But it is more uniform to allow it, as the rules currently do.

Factories address classic weaknesses associated with constructors in other languages. Factories can produce instances that are not freshly allocated: they can come from a cache. Likewise, factories can return instances of different classes.

Redirecting Factory Constructors

A redirecting factory constructor specifies a call to a constructor of another \diamond class that is to be used whenever the redirecting constructor is called.

```
\langle redirectingFactoryConstructorSignature \rangle ::=  const? factory \langle identifier \rangle ('.' \langle identifier \rangle)? \langle formalParameterList \rangle '=' \langle typeNotVoid \rangle ('.' \langle identifier \rangle)?
```

Assume that $C < X_1$ extends $B_1 ..., X_m$ extends $B_m >$ is the name and formal type parameters of the enclosing class, **const**? is **const** or empty, N is C or $C.id_0$ for some identifier id_0 , T is a type name, and id is an identifier, then consider a declaration of a redirecting factory constructor k of one of the forms

const? factory

$$N(T_1 \ x_1 \ \dots, \ T_n \ x_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ \dots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) = R;$$

const? factory

$$N(T_1 \ x_1 \ \dots, \ T_n \ x_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ \dots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k\}) = R;$$

where R is of one of the forms $T < S_1 ..., S_p > \text{ or } T < S_1 ..., S_p > .id$.

It is a compile-time error if T does not denote a class accessible in the current scope. If T does denote such a class D, it is a compile-time error if R does not denote a constructor. Otherwise, it is a compile-time error if R denotes a generative constructor and D is abstract. Otherwise, the redirectee constructor for this declaration is the constructor denoted by R.

A redirecting factory constructor q' is redirection-reachable from a redirecting factory constructor q iff q' is the redirectee constructor of q, or q'' is the redirectee constructor of q and q' is redirection-reachable from q''. It is a compile-time error if a redirecting factory constructor is redirection-reachable from itself.

Let Ts be the static argument list type (16.17.1) $(T_1 \ldots, T_{n+k})$ when k takes no named arguments, and $(T_1 \ldots, T_n, T_{n+1} x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} x_{n+k})$ when k takes some named arguments. It is a compile-time error if Ts is not a subtype match for the formal parameter list of the redirectee.

We require a subtype match (rather than the more forgiving assignable match which is used with a generative redirecting constructor), because a factory redirecting constructor k always invokes its redirectee k' with exactly the same actual arguments that k received. This means that a downcast on an actual argument "between" k and k' would either be unused because the actual argument has the type required by k', or it would amount to a dynamic error which is simply delayed a single step.

Note that the non-generic case is covered by letting m or p or both be zero, in which case the formal type parameter list of the class C and/or the actual type argument list of the redirectee constructor is omitted (14).

It is a compile-time error if k explicitly specifies a default value for an optional parameter.

Default values specified in k would be ignored, since it is the actual parameters that are passed to k'. Hence, default values are disallowed.

It is a compile-time error if a formal parameter of k' has a default value whose type is not a subtype of the type annotation on the corresponding formal parameter in k.

Note that it is not possible to modify the arguments being passed to k'.

At first glance, one might think that ordinary factory constructors could simply create instances of other classes and return them, and that redirecting factories are unnecessary. However, redirecting factories have several advantages:

- An abstract class may provide a constant constructor that utilizes the constant constructor of another class.
- A redirecting factory constructor avoids the need for forwarders to repeat the formal parameters and their default values.

It is a compile-time error if k is prefixed with the **const** modifier but k' is not a constant constructor (10.6.3).

Let T_1, \ldots, T_m be the actual type arguments passed to k' in the declaration of k. Let X_1, \ldots, X_m be the formal type arguments declared by the class that contains the declaration of k'. Let F' be the function type of k' (10.6). It is a compile-time error if $[T_1/X_1, \ldots, T_m/X_m]F'$ is not a subtype of the function type of k.

In the case where the two classes are non-generic this is just a subtype check on the function types of the two constructors. In general, this implies that the resulting object conforms to the interface of the immediately enclosing class of k.

For the dynamic semantics, assume that k is a redirecting factory constructor and k' is the redirectee of k.

It is a dynamic type error if an actual argument passed in an invocation of k is not a subtype of the actual type (19.10.1) of the corresponding formal parameter in the declaration of k.

When the redirectee k' is a factory constructor, execution of k amounts to execution of k' with the actual arguments passed to k. The result of the execution of k' is the result of k.

When the redirectee k' is a generative constructor, let o be a fresh instance (10.6.1) of the class that contains k'. Execution of k then amounts to execution of k' to initialize o, governed by the same rules as an instance creation expression (16.15). If k completed normally then the execution of k' completes normally returning o, otherwise k' completes by throwing the exception and stack trace thrown by k.

10.6.3 Constant Constructors

A constant constructor may be used to create compile-time constant (16.3) \diamond objects. A constant constructor is prefixed by the reserved word **const**.

 $\langle constantConstructorSignature \rangle ::= const \langle qualified \rangle \langle formalParameterList \rangle$

Constant constructors have stronger constraints than other constructors. For instance, all the work of a non-redirecting generative constant constructor must be done in its initializers and in the initializing expressions of the instance variables of the enclosing class (and the latter may already have happened earlier, because those initializing expressions must be constant).

Constant redirecting generative and factory constructors are specified elsewhere (p. 39, p. 43). This section is henceforth concerned with non-redirecting generative constant constructors.

It is a compile-time error if a non-redirecting generative constant constructor is declared by a class that has a mutable instance variable.

The above refers to both locally declared and inherited instance variables.

If a non-redirecting generative constant constructor k is declared by a class C, it is a compile-time error for an instance variable declared in C to have an initializing expression that is not a constant expression.

A superclass of C cannot have such an initializing expression e either. If it has a non-redirecting generative constant constructor then e is an error, and if it does not have such a constructor then the (implicit or explicit) superinitializer in k is an error.

The superinitializer that appears, explicitly or implicitly, in the initializer list of a constant constructor must specify a generative constant constructor of the superclass of the immediately enclosing class, or a compile-time error occurs.

Any expression that appears within the initializer list of a constant constructor must be a potentially constant expression (16.3), or a compile-time error occurs.

When a constant constructor k is invoked from a constant object expression, it is a compile-time error if the invocation of k at run time would throw an exception, and it is a compile-time error if substitution of the actual arguments for the formal parameters yields an initializing expression e in the initializer list of k which is not a constant expression.

For instance, if e is a.length where a is a formal argument of k with type **dynamic**, e is potentially constant and can be used in the initializer list of k. It is an error to invoke k with an argument of type C if C is a class different from String, even if C has a length getter, and that same expression would evaluate without errors at run time.

10.7 Static Methods

Static methods are functions, other than getters or setters, whose declarations are immediately contained within a class declaration and that are declared static. The static methods of a class C are those static methods declared by C.

Inheritance of static methods has little utility in Dart. Static methods cannot be overridden. Any required static function can be obtained from its declaring library, and there is no need to bring it into scope via inheritance. Experience shows that developers are confused by the idea of inherited methods that are not instance methods.

Of course, the entire notion of static methods is debatable, but it is retained here because so many programmers are familiar with it. Dart static methods may be seen as functions of the enclosing library.

Static method declarations may conflict with other declarations (10.10).

10.8 Superclasses

The superclass S' of a class C whose declaration has a with clause **with** M_1, \ldots, M_k and an extends clause **extends** S is the abstract class obtained by application of mixin composition (12) $M_k * \cdots * M_1$ to S. The name S' is a fresh identifier. If no **with** clause is specified then the **extends** clause of a class C specifies its superclass. If no **extends** clause is specified, then either:

• C is Object, which has no superclass. OR

• Class *C* is deemed to have an **extends** clause of the form **extends** Object, and the rules above apply.

It is a compile-time error to specify an **extends** clause for class Object.

```
\langle superclass \rangle ::= extends \langle typeNotVoid \rangle \langle mixins \rangle ?
| \langle mixins \rangle ::= with \langle typeNotVoidList \rangle
```

The scope of the **extends** and **with** clauses of a class C is the type-parameter scope of C.

It is a compile-time error if the type in the **extends** clause of a class C is a type variable (14), a type alias that does not denote a class (19.3), an enumerated type (13), a deferred type (19.1), type **dynamic** (19.7), or type FutureOr<T> for any T (19.8).

Note that **void** is a reserved word, which implies that the same restrictions apply for the type **void**, and similar restrictions are specified for other types like Null (16.4) and String (16.7).

The type parameters of a generic class are available in the lexical scope of the superclass clause, potentially shadowing classes in the surrounding scope. The following code is therefore illegal and should cause a compile-time error:

```
class T {}

/* Compilation error: Attempt to subclass a type parameter */
class G<T> extends T {}
```

A class S is a *superclass* of a class C iff either:

- S is the superclass of C, or
- S is a superclass of a class S', and S' is the superclass of C.

It is a compile-time error if a class C is a superclass of itself.

10.8.1 Inheritance and Overriding

Let C be a class, let A be a superclass of C, and let S_1, \ldots, S_k be superclasses of C that are also subclasses of A. C inherits all concrete, accessible instance members of A that have not been overridden by a concrete declaration in C or in at least one of S_1, \ldots, S_k .

It would be more attractive to give a purely local definition of inheritance, that depended only on the members of the direct superclass S. However, a class C can inherit a member m that is not a member of its superclass S. This can occur when the member m is private to the library L_1 of C, whereas S comes from a different library L_2 , but the superclass chain of S includes a class declared in L_1 .

A class may override instance members that would otherwise have been inherited from its superclass.

Let $C = S_0$ be a class declared in library L, and let $\{S_1, \ldots, S_k\}$ be the set of all superclasses of C, where S_i is the superclass of S_{i-1} for $i \in 1..k$. S_k is the built-in class Object. Let C declare a concrete member m, and let m' be a concrete member of $S_j, j \in 1..k$, that has the same name as m, such that m' is accessible to L. Then m overrides m' if m' is not already overridden by a concrete member of at least one of S_1, \ldots, S_{j-1} and neither m nor m' are instance variables.

Instance variables never override each other. The getters and setters induced by instance variables do.

Again, a local definition of overriding would be preferable, but fails to account for library privacy.

Whether an override is legal or not is specified relative to all direct superinterfaces, not just the interface of the superclass, and that is described elsewhere (10.1). Static members never override anything, but they may participate in some conflicts involving declarations in superinterfaces (10.10).

For convenience, here is a summary of the relevant rules, using 'error' to denote compile-time errors. Remember that this is not normative. The controlling language is in the relevant sections of the specification.

- 1. There is only one namespace for getters, setters, methods and constructors (6.1). An instance or static variable f introduces a getter f, and a mutable instance or static variable f also introduces a setter f= (10.5, 8). When we speak of members here, we mean accessible instance or static variables, getters, setters, and methods (10).
- 2. You cannot have two members with the same name in the same class—be they declared or inherited (6.1, 10).
- 3. Static members are never inherited.
- 4. It is an error if you have a static member named m in your class and an instance member of the same name (10.10).
- 5. It is an error if you have a static setter v=, and an instance member v (10.3).
- 6. It is an error if you have a static getter v and an instance setter v = (10.2).
- 7. If you define an instance member named m, and your superclass has an instance member of the same name, they override each other. This may or may not be legal.
- 8. If two members override each other, it is an error unless it is a correct override (11.2.2).
- 9. Setters, getters and operators never have optional parameters of any kind; it's an error (10.1.1, 10.2, 10.3).

- 10. It is an error if a member has the same name as its enclosing class (10).
- 11. A class has an implicit interface (10).
- 12. Superinterface members are not inherited by a class, but are inherited by its implicit interface. Interfaces have their own inheritance rules (11.2.1).
- 13. A member is abstract if it has no body and is not labeled **external** (10.4, 9.4).
- 14. A class is abstract iff it is explicitly labeled abstract.
- 15. It is an error if a concrete class does not implement some member of its interface, and there is no non-trivial noSuchMethod (10).
- 16. It is an error to call a non-factory constructor of an abstract class using an instance creation expression (16.15), such a constructor may only be invoked from another constructor using a super invocation (16.21.3).
- 17. If a class defines an instance member named m, and any of its superinterfaces have a member signature named m, the interface of the class contains the m from the class itself
- 18. An interface inherits all members of its superinterfaces that are not overridden and not members of multiple superinterfaces.
- 19. If multiple superinterfaces of an interface define a member with the same name as m, then at most one member is inherited. That member (if it exists) is the one whose type is a subtype of all the others. If there is no such member, an error occurs (11.2.1).
- 20. Rule 8 applies to interfaces as well as classes (11.2.1).
- 21. It is an error if a concrete class does not have an implementation for a method in its interface unless it has a non-trivial noSuchMethod (10.1.2).
- 22. The identifier of a named constructor cannot be the same as the name of a static member declared in the same class (10.10).

10.9 Superinterfaces

A class has a set of *direct superinterfaces*. This set contains the interface \diamond of its superclass and the interfaces of the classes specified in the **implements** clause of the class.

 $\langle interfaces \rangle ::= implements \langle typeNotVoidList \rangle$

The scope of the **implements** clause of a class C is the type-parameter scope of C.

It is a compile-time error if an element in the type list of the **implements** clause of a class C is a type variable (14), a type alias that does not denote a class (19.3), an enumerated type (13), a deferred type (19.1), type **dynamic** (19.7), or type FutureOr<T> for any T (19.8). It is a compile-time error if two elements in the type list of the **implements** clause of a class C specifies the same type T. It is a compile-time error if the superclass of a class C is one of the elements of the type list of the **implements** clause of C.

One might argue that it is harmless to repeat a type in the superinterface list, so why make it an error? The issue is not so much that the situation is erroneous, but that it is pointless. As such, it is an indication that the programmer may very well have meant to say something else—and that is a mistake that should be called to her or his attention.

It is a compile-time error if a class C has two superinterfaces that are different instantiations of the same generic class. For example, a class can not have both List< $\operatorname{void}>$ and List< $\operatorname{dynamic}>$ as superinterfaces, directly or indirectly.

When a generic class C declares a type parameter X, it is a compile-time error if X occurs in a non-covariant position in a type which specifies a super-interface of C. For example, the class can not have List< void Function(X)> in its extends or implements clause.

It is a compile-time error if the interface of a class ${\cal C}$ is a superinterface of itself.

A class does not inherit members from its superinterfaces. However, its implicit interface does.

10.10 Class Member Conflicts

Some pairs of class member declarations cannot coexist, even though they do not both introduce the same name into the same scope. This section specifies these errors.

The *basename* of a getter or method named n is n; the basename of a setter named n= is n. The basename of an operator named n is n, except for operator []= whose basename is [].

Let C be a class. It is a compile-time error if C declares a constructor named C.n and a static member with basename n. It is a compile-time error if C declares a static member with basename n and the interface of C has an instance member with basename n. It is a compile-time error if the interface of C has a method named n and a setter with basename n.

These errors occur when the getters or setters are defined explicitly as well as when they are induced by variable declarations.

Note that other errors which are similar in nature are covered elsewhere. For instance, if C is a class that has two superinterfaces I_1 and I_2 , where I_1 has a method named m and I_2 has a getter named m, then it is an error because the computation of the interface of C includes a computation of the combined member

signature (11.1) of that getter and that method, and it is an error for a combined member signature to include a getter and a non-getter.

11 Interfaces

default values are omitted.

This section introduces the notion of interfaces. We define the notion of member signatures first, because that concept is needed in the definition of interfaces.

A member signature s can be derived from a class instance member declaration D. It contains the same information as D, except that s omits the body, if any; it contains the return type and parameter types even if they are implicit in D; it omits the names of positional parameters; it omits the modifier **final** from each parameter, if any; it omits metadata (15); and it omits information about whether the member is **external**, **async**, **async***, or **sync***. It makes no difference whether D is given as explicit syntax or it is induced implicitly, e.g., by a variable declaration. Finally, if s has formal parameters, each of them has the modifier **covariant** (9.2.1) if and only if that parameter is covariant-by-declaration (9.2.3).

We use a syntax similar to that of an abstract member declaration to specify member signatures. The difference is that the names of positional parameters are omitted. This syntax is only used for the purposes of specification.

Member signatures are synthetic entities, that is, they are not supported as concrete syntax in a Dart program, they are computed entities used during static analysis. However, it is useful to be able to indicate the properties of a member signature in this specification via a syntactic representation. A member signature makes it explicit whether a parameter is covariant-by-declaration, but it remains implicit whether it is covariant-by-class (9.2.3). The reason for this is that the rule for determining whether a given override relation is correct (11.2.2) depends on the former and not on the latter.

```
Let m be a method signature of the form T_0 id < X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s > ( covariant? T_1, \ldots,  covariant? T_n, [covariant? T_{n+1} = d_{n+1}, \ldots,  covariant? T_{n+k} = d_{n+k}]). The function type of m is then \diamond T_0 Function< X_1 < B_1, \ldots, X_s < B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]). Let m be a method signature of the form T_0 id < X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s > ( covariant? T_1, \ldots,  covariant? T_n, {covariant? T_{n+1} x_{n+1} = d_{n+1}, \ldots,  covariant? T_{n+k} x_{n+k} = d_{n+k}}). The function type of m is then \diamond T_0 Function< X_1 < B_1, \ldots, X_s < B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}, x_{n+k}\}). Let m be a setter signature of the form void set id (covariant? T p). The function type of m is then void Function(T). \diamond The function type of a member signature remains unchanged if some or all
```

We do not specify the function type of a getter signature. For such signatures we will instead directly refer to the return type.

An *interface* is a synthetic entity that defines how one may interact with an object. An interface has method, getter and setter signatures, and a set of superinterfaces, which are again interfaces. Each interface is the implicit interface of a class, in which case we call it a *class interface*, or a combination of several other interfaces, in which case we call it a *combined interface*.

Let C be a class. The class interface I of C is the interface that declares a member signature derived from each instance member declared by C. The direct superinterfaces of I are the direct superinterfaces of C (10.9).

We say that the class interface 'declares' these member signatures, such that we can say that an interface 'declares' or 'has' a member, just like we do for classes. Note that a member signature s of the interface of class C may have a parameter p with modifier **covariant**, even though s was derived from a declaration p in p0 and the parameter corresponding to p1 in p2 does not have that modifier. This is because p2 may have "inherited" the property of being covariant-by-declaration from one of its superinterfaces (9.2.3).

The combined interface I of a list of interfaces I_1, \ldots, I_k is the interface that declares the set of member signatures M, where M is determined as specified below. The direct superinterfaces of I is the set I_1, \ldots, I_k .

Let M_0 be the set of all member signatures declared by I_1, \ldots, I_k . M is then the smallest set satisfying the following:

• For each name id and library L such that M_0 contains a member signature named id which is accessible to L, let m be the combined member signature named id from I_1, \ldots, I_k with respect to L. It is a compile-time error if the computation of this combined member signature failed. Otherwise, M contains m.

Interfaces must be able to contain inaccessible member signatures, because they may be accessible from the interfaces associated with declarations of subtypes.

For instance, class C in library L may declare a private member named _foo, a class D in a different library L_2 may extend C, and a class E in library L may extend D; E may then declare a member that overrides _foo from C, and that override relation must be checked based on the interface of D. So we cannot allow the interface of D to "forget" inaccessible members like _foo.

For conflicts the situation is even more demanding: Classes C_1 and C_2 in library L may declare private members String $_$ foo(int i) and int get $_$ foo, and a subtype D_{12} in a different library L_2 may have an **implements** clause listing both C_1 and C_2 . In that case we must report a conflict even though the conflicting declarations are not accessible to L_2 , because those member signatures are then noSuchMethod forwarded (10.1.2), and an invocation of $_$ foo on an instance of D in L must return an 'int' according to the first member signature, and it must return a function object according to the second one, and an invocation of $_$ foo(42) must return a String with the first member signature, and it must fail (at compile time or, for a dynamic invocation, run time) with the second.

It may not be possible to satisfy such constraints simultaneously, and it will inevitably be a complex semantics, so we have chosen to make it an error. It is unfortunate that the addition of a private declaration in one library may break existing code in a different library. But it should be noted that the conflicts can be detected locally in the library where the private declarations exist, because they only arise for private members with the same name and incompatible signatures. Renaming that private member to anything not used in that library will eliminate the conflict and will not break any clients.

11.1 Combined Member Signatures

This section specifies how to compute a member signature which will appropriately stand for a prioritized set of several member signatures, taken from a given list of interfaces.

In general, a combined member signature has a type which is a subtype of all the types given for that member. This is needed in order to ensure that the type of a member id of a class C is well-defined, even in the case where C inherits several different declarations of id and does not override id. In case of failure, it serves to specify the situations where a developer must add a declaration in order to resolve an ambiguity. The member signatures are prioritized in the sense that we will select a member signature from the interface with the lowest possible index in the case where several member signatures are equally suitable to be chosen as the combined member signature. That is, "the first interface wins".

For the purposes of computing a combined member signature, we need a special notion of equality of member signatures. Two member signatures m_1 and m_2 are equal iff they have the same name, are accessible to the same set of libraries, have the same same return type (for getters), or the same function type and the same occurrences of **covariant** (for methods and setters).

In particular, private methods from different libraries are never equal. Top types differ as well. For instance, **dynamic Function()** and Object **Function()** are not equal, even though they are subtypes of each other. We need this distinction because management of top type discrepancies is one of the purposes of computing a combined interface.

Now we define combined member signatures. Let id be an identifier, L a library, I_1, \ldots, I_k a list of interfaces, and M_0 the set of all member signatures from I_1, \ldots, I_k named id and accessible to L. The combined member signature named id from I_1, \ldots, I_k with respect to L is the member signature which is obtained as follows:

If M_0 is empty, computation of the combined member signature failed.

If M_0 contains exactly one member signature m', the combined member signature is m'.

Otherwise, M_0 contains more than one member signature m_1, \ldots, m_q .

Case \langle Failing mixtures \rangle . If M_0 contains at least one getter signature and at least one non-getter signature, the computation of the combined member signature failed.

Case (Getters). If M_0 contains getter signatures only, the computation of

the combined member signature proceeds as described below for methods and setters, except that it uses the return type of the getter signature where methods and setters use the function type of the member signature. \Box

Case (Methods and setters). In this case M_0 consists of setter signatures only, or method signatures only, because the name id in the former case always ends in '=', which is never true in the latter case.

Determine whether there exists a non-empty set $N\subseteq 1..q$ such that for each $i\in N$, the function type of m_i is a subtype of the function type of m_j for each $j\in 1..q$. If no such set exists, the computation of the combined member signature failed. A useful intuition about this situation is that the given member signatures do not agree on which type is suitable for the member named id. Otherwise we have a set of member signatures which are "most specific" in the sense that their function types are subtypes of them all.

Otherwise, when a set N as specified above exists, let $N_{\rm all}$ be the greatest set satisfying the requirement on N, and let $M_{\rm all} = \{m_i \mid i \in N_{\rm all}\}$. That is, $M_{\rm all}$ contains all member signatures named id with the most specific type. Dart subtyping is a partial pre-order, which ensures that such a greatest set of least elements exists, if any non-empty set of least elements exist. We can have several such signatures because member signatures can be such that they are not equal, and yet their function types are subtypes of each other. We need to compute one member signature from $M_{\rm all}$, and we do that by using the ordering of the given interfaces.

Let $j \in 1...k$ be the smallest number such that $M_{\mathrm{first}} = M_{\mathrm{all}} \cap I_j$ is non-empty. Let m_i be the single element that M_{first} contains. This set contains exactly one element because it is non-empty and no interface contains more than one member signature named id. In other words, we choose m_i as the member signature from the first possible interface among the most specific member signatures M_{all} .

The combined member signature is then m', which is obtained from m_i by adding the modifier **covariant** to each parameter p (if it is not already present) when there exists a $j \in 1..q$ such that the parameter corresponding to p (9.2.3) has the modifier **covariant**. In other words, each parameter in the combined member signature is marked covariant if any of the corresponding parameters are marked covariant, not just among the most specific signatures, but among all signatures named id (which are accessible to L) in the given list of interfaces.

11.2 Superinterfaces

An interface has a set of direct superinterfaces (11). An interface J is a superinterface of an interface I iff either J is a direct superinterface of I or J is \diamond a superinterface of a direct superinterface of I.

11.2.1 Inheritance and Overriding

Let J be an interface and K be a library. We define inherited(J, K) to be the set of member signatures m such that all of the following hold:

 \bullet m is accessible to K and

- \bullet A is a direct superinterface of J and either
 - -A declares a member signature m or
 - -m is a member of inherited(A, K).
- m is not overridden by J.

Furthermore, we define overrides(J, K) to be the set of member signatures m' such that all of the following hold:

- *J* is the interface of a class *C*.
- C declares a member signature m.
- m' has the same name as m.
- m' is accessible to K.
- \bullet A is a direct superinterface of J and either
 - -A declares a member signature m' or
 - -m' is a member of inherited(A, K).

Let I be the interface of a class C declared in library L. I inherits all members of inherited(I, L) and I overrides m' if $m' \in overrides(I, L)$.

All the compile-time errors pertaining to the overriding of instance members given in section 10 hold for overriding between interfaces as well.

If the above rule would cause multiple member signatures with the same name id to be inherited then exactly one member is inherited, namely the combined member signature named id, from the direct superinterfaces in the textual order that they are declared, with respect to L (11.1). It is a compile-time error if the computation of said combined member signature fails.

11.2.2 Correct Member Overrides

Let m and m' be member signatures with the same name id. Then m is a correct override of m' iff the following criteria are all satisfied:

- m and m' are both methods, both getters, or both setters.
- If m and m' are both methods or both setters: Let F be the function type of m except that the parameter type is the built-in class Object for each parameter of m which has the modifier **covariant**. Let F' be the function type of m'. F must then be a subtype of F'.

The subtype requirement ensures that argument list shapes that are admissible for an invocation of a method with signature m' are also admissible for an invocation of a method with signature m. For instance, m' may accept 2 or 3 positional arguments, and m may accept 1, 2, 3, or 4 positional arguments, but not vice versa. This is a built-in property of the function

type subtype rules. Note that a member signature differs from an underlying syntactic declaration D in a class C. In particular, a parameter in a member signature has the modifier **covariant** if and only if the parameter is covariant-by-declaration (9.2.3), and that may be the case due to declarations in a supertype of C, so that modifier need not be present in D. There is an additional potential compile-time error associated with a parameter which is covariant-by-declaration (10.1). But we cannot cover that here as a property of member overrides, because it is concerned with declarations in all superinterfaces, indirect as well as direct.

- If m and m' are both methods, p is an optional parameter of m, p' is the parameter of m' corresponding to p, p has default value d and p' has default value d', then d and d' must be identical, or a static warning occurs.
- If m and m' are both getters: The return type of m must be a subtype of the return type of m'.

12 Mixins

A mixin describes the difference between a class and its superclass. A mixin is either derived from an existing class declaration or introduced by a mixin declaration.

Mixin application occurs when one or more mixins are mixed into a class declaration via its **with** clause (12.3). Mixin application may be used to extend a class per section 10; alternatively, a class may be defined as a mixin application as described in the following section.

12.1 Mixin Classes

```
\langle mixinApplicationClass \rangle ::= \langle identifier \rangle \langle typeParameters \rangle? '=' \langle mixinApplication \rangle';' \langle mixinApplication \rangle ::= \langle typeNotVoid \rangle \langle mixins \rangle \langle interfaces \rangle?
```

It is a compile-time error if an element in the type list of the **with** clause of a mixin application is a type variable (14), a function type (19.5), a type alias that does not denote a class (19.3), an enumerated type (13), a deferred type (19.1), type **dynamic** (19.7), type **void** (19.9), or type FutureOr<T> for any T (19.8). If T is a type in a **with** clause, the mixin of T is either the mixin derived from T if T denotes a class, or the mixin introduced by T if T denotes a mixin declaration.

Let D be a mixin application class declaration of the form

```
abstract? class N = S with M_1, \ldots, M_n implements I_1, \ldots, I_k;
```

It is a compile-time error if S is an enumerated type (13). It is a compile-

time error if any of M_1, \ldots, M_k is an enumerated type (13). It is a compile-time error if a well formed mixin cannot be derived from each of M_1, \ldots, M_k .

The effect of D in library L is to introduce the name N into the scope of L, bound to the class (10) defined by the clause S with M_1, \ldots, M_n with name N, as described below. If k > 0 then the class also implements I_1, \ldots, I_k . Iff the class declaration is prefixed by the built-in identifier **abstract**, the class being defined is made an abstract class.

A clause of the form S with M_1 , ..., M_n with name N defines a class as follows:

If there is only one mixin (n = 1), then S with M_1 defines the class yielded by the mixin application (12.3) of the mixin of M_1 (12.2) to the class denoted by S with name N.

If there is more than one mixin (n > 1), then let X be the class defined by S with M_1 , ..., M_{n-1} with name F, where F is a fresh name, and make X abstract. Then S with M_1 , ..., M_n defines the class yielded by the mixin application of the mixin of M_n to the class X with name N.

In either case, let K be a class declaration with the same constructors, superclass, interfaces and instance members as the defined class. It is a compile-time error if the declaration of K would cause a compile-time error.

It is an error, for example, if M contains a member declaration d which overrides a member signature m in the interface of S, but which is not a correct override of m (11.2.2).

12.2 Mixin Declaration

A mixin defines zero or more mixin member declarations, zero or more required superinterfaces, one combined superinterface, and zero or more implemented interfaces.

The mixin derived from a class declaration:

```
abstract? class X implements I_1, ..., I_k { members }
```

has Object as required superinterface and combined superinterface, I_1, \ldots, I_k as implemented interfaces, and the instance members of *members* as mixin member declarations. If X is generic, so is the mixin.

A mixin declaration introduces a mixin and provides a scope for static member declarations.

```
\langle mixinDeclaration \rangle ::= mixin \langle identifier \rangle \langle typeParameters \rangle?

(on \langle typeNotVoidList \rangle)? \langle interfaces \rangle?

'{' (\langle metadata \rangle \langle classMemberDefinition \rangle)* '}'
```

It is a compile-time error to declare a constructor in a mixin-declaration.

A mixin declaration with no ${\bf on}$ clause is equivalent to one with the clause ${\bf on}$ Object.

Let M be a **mixin** declaration of the form

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{mixin} \ \ N {<} X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ \dots, \ \ X_s \text{ extends } B_s {>} \text{ on } \ T_1, \ \dots, \ \ T_n \\ & \text{implements} \ \ I_1, \ \dots, \ \ I_k \ \ \{ \\ & members \\ \} \end{array}
```

It is a compile-time error if any of the types T_1 through T_n or I_1 through I_k is a type variable (14), a function type (19.5), a type alias not denoting a class (19.3), an enumerated type (13), a deferred type (19.1), type **dynamic** (19.7), type **void** (19.9), or type **FutureOr**<T> for any T (19.8).

Let M_S be the interface declared by the class declaration

```
abstract class M_{super} < P_1, ..., P_m > implements T_1, ..., T_n {}
```

where M_{super} is a fresh name. It is a compile-time error for the mixin declaration if the M_S class declaration would cause a compile-time error, that is, if any member is declared by more than one declared superinterface, and there is not a most specific signature for that member among the super interfaces. The interface M_S is called the *superinvocation interface* of the mixin declaration M.

If the mixin declaration M has only one declared superinterface, T_1 , then the superinvocation interface M_{super} has exactly the same members as the interface T_1 .

Let M_I be the interface that would be defined by the class declaration

```
abstract class N < X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s > \min implements T_1, \ldots, T_n, I_1, \ldots, I_k { members' }
```

where members' are the member declarations of the mixin declaration M except that all superinvocations are treated as if **super** was a valid expression with static type M_S . It is a compile-time error for the mixin M if this N class declaration would cause a compile-time error, that is, if the required superinterfaces, the implemented interfaces and the declarations do not define a consistent interface, if any member declaration contains a compile-time error other than a super-invocation, or if a super-invocation is not valid against the interface M_S . The interface introduced by the mixin declaration M has the same member signatures and superinterfaces as M_I .

The mixin declaration M introduces a mixin with the required superinterfaces T_1, \ldots, T_n , the combined superinterface M_S , implemented interfaces I_1 , ..., I_k and the instance members declared in M as mixin member declarations.

12.3 Mixin Application

A mixin may be applied to a superclass, yielding a new class.

Let S be a class, M be a mixin with required superinterfaces T_1, \ldots, T_n , combined superinterface M_S , implemented interfaces I_1, \ldots, I_k and members as mixin member declarations, and let N be a name.

It is a compile-time error to apply M to S if S does not implement, directly or indirectly, all of T_1, \ldots, T_n . It is a compile-time error if any of members contains a super-invocation of a member m (for example super.foo, super + 2, or super[1] = 2), and S does not have a concrete implementation of m which is a valid override of the member m in the interface M_S . We treat super-invocations in mixins as interface invocations on the combined superinterface, so we require the superclass of a mixin application to have valid implementations of those interface members that are actually super-invoked.

The mixin application of M to S with name N introduces a new class, C, with name N, superclass S, implemented interface M and members as instance members. The class C has no static members. If S declares any generative constructors, then the application introduces generative constructors on C as follows:

Let L_C be the library containing the mixin application. That is, the library containing the clause S with M or the clause S_0 with M_1 , ..., M_k , M giving rise to the mixin application.

Let S_N be the name of S.

For each generative constructor of the form $S_q(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, T_k \ a_k)$ of S that is accessible to L_C , C has an implicitly declared constructor of the form

$$C_q(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, T_k \ a_k)$$
: super_q (a_1, \ldots, a_k) ;

where C_q is obtained from S_q by replacing occurrences of S_N , which denote the superclass, by N, and \mathbf{super}_q is obtained from S_q by replacing occurrences of S_N which denote the superclass by \mathbf{super} . If S_q is a generative const constructor, and C does not declare any instance variables, C_q is also a const constructor.

For each generative constructor of the form $S_q(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, T_k \ a_k, [T_{k+1} \ a_{k+1} = d_1, \ldots, T_{k+p} \ a_{k+p} = d_p])$ of S that is accessible to L_C , C has an implicitly declared constructor of the form

$$C_q(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, T_k \ a_k, [T_{k+1} \ a_{k+1} = d_1', \ldots, T_{k+p} \ a_{k+p} = d_p'])$$

: $\operatorname{super}_q(a_1, \ldots, a_k, a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_p);$

where C_q is obtained from S_q by replacing occurrences of S_N , which denote the superclass, by N, super_q is obtained from S_q by replacing occurrences of S_N which denote the superclass by super , and d_i' , $i \in 1...p$, is a constant expression evaluating to the same value as d_i . If S_q is a generative const constructor, and MC does not declare any instance variables, C_q is also a const constructor.

For each generative constructor of the form $S_q(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, T_k \ a_k, \{T_{k+1} \ a_{k+1} = d_1, \ldots, T_{k+n} \ a_{k+n} = d_n\})$ of S that is accessible to L_C , C has an implicitly declared constructor of the form

$$C_q(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, T_k \ a_k, \{T_{k+1} \ a_{k+1} = d'_1, \ldots, T_{k+n} \ a_{k+n} = d'_n\})$$

```
: \operatorname{super}_q(a_1, \ldots, a_k, a_{k+1}; a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_p; a_p);
```

where C_q is obtained from S_q by replacing occurrences of S_N which denote the superclass by N, \mathbf{super}_q is obtained from S_q by replacing occurrences of S_N which denote the superclass by \mathbf{super} , and d_i' , $i \in 1..n$, is a constant expression evaluating to the same value as d_i . If S_q is a generative const constructor, and M does not declare any fields, C_q is also a const constructor.

13 Enums

An enumerated type, or enum, is used to represent a fixed number of constant values.

```
\langle enumType \rangle ::= enum \langle identifier \rangle
``\{` \langle enumEntry \rangle (`,` \langle enumEntry \rangle)^* (`,`)? ``\}``
\langle enumEntry \rangle ::= \langle metadata \rangle \langle identifier \rangle
```

The declaration of an enum of the form m enum E $\{m_0 \ id_0, \ldots, \ m_{n-1} \ id_{n-1}\}$ has the same effect as a class declaration

It is also a compile-time error to subclass, mix-in or implement an enum or to explicitly instantiate an enum. These restrictions are given in normative form in sections 10.8, 10.9, 12.3 and 16.15 as appropriate.

14 Generics

A class declaration (10), type alias (19.3), or function (9) G may be generic, \diamond that is, G may have formal type parameters declared.

When an entity in this specification is described as generic, and the special case is considered where the number of type arguments is zero, the type argument list should be omitted.

This allows non-generic cases to be included implicitly as special cases. For example, an invocation of a non-generic function arises as the special case where the function takes zero type arguments, and zero type arguments are passed. In

this situation some operations are also omitted (have no effect), e.g., operations where formal type parameters are replaced by actual type arguments.

A generic class declaration introduces a generic class into the enclosing library scope. A generic class is a mapping that accepts a list of actual type arguments and maps them to a class. Consider a generic class declaration G named C with formal type parameter declarations X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_m extends B_m , and a parameterized type T of the form $C < T_1, \ldots, T_l > .$

It is a compile-time error if $m \neq l$. It is a compile-time error if T is not well-bounded (14.2).

Otherwise, said parameterized type $C < T_1, \ldots, T_m >$ denotes an application of the generic class declared by G to the type arguments T_1, \ldots, T_m . This yields a class C' whose members are equivalent to those of a class declaration which is obtained from the declaration G by replacing each occurrence of X_j by T_j .

Other properties of C' such as the subtype relationships are specified elsewhere (19.4).

A generic type alias is a declaration D of one of the following forms:

- m typedef $id < X_1$ extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends $B_s > T$;
- m typedef S? $id < X_1$ extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends $B_s > (T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, T_n \ p_n, [T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k}])$;
- m typedef S? $id < X_1$ extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends $B_s > (T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, T_n \ p_n, \{T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k}\})$;

where m is derived from $\langle metadata \rangle$, T is a type, and S? is a type or the empty string. Let S' be S? if it is a type, otherwise let S' be **dynamic**. The associated type of D, call it F, is, respectively:

- T
- S' Function $(T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}])$
- S' Function $(T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}, x_{n+k}\})$

D introduces a mapping from actual type argument lists to types. Under the assumption that X_1, \ldots, X_s are types such that $X_j <: B_j$, for all $j \in 1...s$, it is a compile-time error if T is not regular-bounded, and it is a compile-time error if any type occurring in T is not well-bounded.

This means that the bounds declared for the formal type parameters of a generic type alias must be such that when they are satisfied, the bounds that pertain to the body are also satisfied, and a type occurring as a subterm of the body can violate its bounds, but only if it is a correct super-bounded type.

Moreover, let T_1, \ldots, T_l be types and let U be the parameterized type $id < T_1, \ldots, T_l > in$ a location where id denotes D. It is a compile-time error if $l \neq s$. It is a compile-time error if U is not well-bounded (14.2).

Otherwise, U denotes an application of the mapping denoted by D to the type arguments T_1, \ldots, T_s , yielding the type $[T_1/X_1, \ldots, T_s/X_s]F$.

Note that the type alias syntax without '=' can only express function types, and it cannot express the type of a generic function. When such a type alias is generic, it always expresses a family of non-generic function types. These restrictions exist because that syntax was defined before generic functions were added to Dart.

The requirement that satisfaction of the bounds on the formal type parameters of a generic type alias D must imply satisfaction of all bounds pertaining to every type that occurs in the body of D limits the expressive power of generic type aliases. However, it would require the constraints on formal type parameters to be expressed in a much more powerful language if we were to allow a significantly larger set of types to be expressed using a generic type alias.

For example, consider the following code:

```
class A<X extends void Function(num)> {}
typedef F<Y> = A<void Function(Y)> Function(); // compile-time error
```

There is no way to specify a bound on Y in the declaration of F which will ensure that all bounds on the right hand side are respected. This is because the actual requirement is that Y must be a *supertype* of num, but Dart does not support lower bounds for type parameters. The type A<void Function(U)> Function() can still be specified explicitly for every U which satisfies the bounds declared by A. So the types can be expressed, they just cannot be abbreviated using a generic type alias.

A *generic type* is a type which is introduced by a generic class declaration or a generic type alias, or it is the type FutureOr.

A generic function declaration introduces a generic function (9.2) into the \diamond enclosing scope.

Consider a function invocation expression of the form $f < T_1, \ldots, T_l > (\ldots)$, where the static type of f is a generic function type with formal type parameters X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_m extends B_m . It is a compile-time error if $m \neq l$. It is a compile-time error if there exists a j such that T_j is not a subtype of $[T_1/X_1, \ldots, T_m/X_m]B_j$.

That is, if the number of type arguments is wrong, or if the jth actual type argument is not a subtype of the corresponding bound, where each formal type parameter has been replaced by the corresponding actual type argument.

```
\langle typeParameter \rangle ::= \langle metadata \rangle \langle identifier \rangle  (extends \langle typeNotVoid \rangle)? \langle typeParameter \rangle ::= '<' \langle typeParameter \rangle  (',' \langle typeParameter \rangle)* '>'
```

A type parameter T may be suffixed with an **extends** clause that specifies the *upper bound* for T. If no **extends** clause is present, the upper bound is Object. It is a compile-time error if a type parameter is a supertype of its upper bound when that upper bound is itself a type variable.

This prevents circular declarations like X extends X and X extends Y, Y extends X.

Type parameters are declared in the type parameter scope of a class or function. The type parameters of a generic G are in scope in the bounds of all of the type parameters of G. The type parameters of a generic class declaration

G are also in scope in the **extends** and **implements** clauses of G (if these exist) and in the body of G.

However, a type parameter of a generic class is considered to be a malformed type when referenced by a static member (19.1). The scopes associated with the type parameters of a generic function are described in (9.2).

The restriction on static members is necessary since a type variable has no meaning in the context of a static member, because statics are shared among all generic instantiations of a generic class. However, a type variable may be referenced from an instance initializer, even though **this** is not available.

Because type parameters are in scope in their bounds, we support F-bounded quantification (if you don't know what that is, don't ask). This enables typechecking code such as:

```
class Ordered<T> {
  operator >(T x);
}
class Sorter<T extends Ordered<T>> {
  sort(List<T> 1) ... 1[n] < 1[n+1] ...
}</pre>
```

Even where type parameters are in scope there are numerous restrictions at this time:

- A type parameter cannot be used to name a constructor in an instance creation expression (16.15).
- A type parameter cannot be used as a superclass or superinterface (10.8, 10.9, 11.2).
- A type parameter cannot be used as a generic type.

The normative versions of these are given in the appropriate sections of this specification. Some of these restrictions may be lifted in the future.

14.1 Variance

We say that a type S occurs covariantly in a type T iff S occurs in a covariant \diamond position in T, but not in a contravariant position, and not in an invariant position.

We say that a type S occurs contravariantly in a type T iff S occurs in \diamond a contravariant position in T, but not in a covariant position, and not in an invariant position.

We say that a type S occurs invariantly in a type T iff S occurs in an invariant position in T, or S occurs in a covariant position as well as a contravariant position.

We say that a type S occurs in a *covariant position* in a type T iff one of \diamond the following conditions is true:

- T is S
- T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic class and S occurs in a covariant position in S_j for some $j \in 1..n$.
- T is of the form S_0 Function $< X_1$ extends $B_1, ... > (S_1 \ x_1, ...)$ where the type parameter list may be omitted, and S occurs in a covariant position in S_0 .
- T is of the form
 - S_0 Function< X_1 extends B_1, \ldots >

$$(S_1 \ x_1, \ldots, \ S_k \ x_k, \ [S_{k+1} \ x_{k+1} = d_{k+1}, \ldots, \ S_n \ x_n = d_n])$$

or of the form

 S_0 Function< X_1 extends B_1, \ldots

$$(S_1 \ x_1, \ldots, \ S_k \ x_k, \ \{S_{k+1} \ x_{k+1} = d_{k+1}, \ldots, \ S_n \ x_n = d_n\})$$

where the type parameter list and each default value may be omitted, and S occurs in a contravariant position in S_j for some $j \in 1..n$.

- T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic type alias such that $j \in 1..n$, the formal type parameter corresponding to S_j is covariant, and S occurs in a covariant position in S_j .
- T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic type alias such that $j \in 1..n$, the formal type parameter corresponding to S_j is contravariant, and S occurs in a contravariant position in S_j .

We say that a type S occurs in a $contravariant\ position$ in a type T iff one \diamond of the following conditions is true:

- T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic class and S occurs in a contravariant position in S_j for some $j \in 1..n$.
- T is of the form S_0 Function $< X_1$ extends $B_1, ... > (S_1 \ x_1, ...)$ where the type parameter list may be omitted, and S occurs in a contravariant position in S_0 .
- T is of the form
 - S_0 Function< X_1 extends B_1, \ldots

$$(S_1 \ x_1, \ldots, \ S_k \ x_k, \ [S_{k+1} \ x_{k+1} = d_{k+1}, \ldots, \ S_n \ x_n = d_n])$$
 or of the form

 S_0 Function< X_1 extends B_1, \ldots >

$$(S_1 \ x_1, \ldots, \ S_k \ x_k, \{S_{k+1} \ x_{k+1} = d_{k+1}, \ldots, \ S_n \ x_n = d_n\})$$

where the type parameter list and each default value may be omitted, and S occurs in a covariant position in S_i for some $j \in 1..n$.

- T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic type alias such that $j \in 1..n$, the formal type parameter corresponding to S_j is covariant, and S occurs in a contravariant position in S_j .
- T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic type alias such that $j \in 1..n$, the formal type parameter corresponding to S_j is contravariant, and S occurs in a covariant position in S_j .

We say that a type S occurs in an *invariant position* in a type T iff one of \diamond the following conditions is true:

- T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic class or a generic type alias, and S occurs in an invariant position in S_j for some $j \in 1..n$.
- T is of the form
 - S_0 Function< X_1 extends $B_1,\ldots,\ X_m$ extends B_m > $(S_1\ x_1,\ldots,\ S_k\ x_k,\ [S_{k+1}\ x_{k+1}=d_{k+1},\ldots,\ S_n\ x_n=d_n])$ or of the form
 - S_0 Function< X_1 extends $B_1,\ldots,\ X_m$ extends B_m > $(S_1\ x_1,\ldots,\ S_k\ x_k,\ \{S_{k+1}\ x_{k+1}=d_{k+1},\ldots,\ S_n\ x_n=d_n\})$

where the type parameter list and each default value may be omitted, and S occurs in an invariant position in S_j for some $j \in 0..n$, or S occurs in B_i for some $i \in 1..m$.

• T is of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic type alias, $j \in 1..n$, the formal type parameter corresponding to S_j is invariant, and S occurs in S_j .

Consider a generic type alias declaration G with formal type parameter declarations X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_m extends B_m , and right hand side T. Let $j \in 1..m$. We say that the formal type parameter X_j is invariant iff X_j occurs invariantly in T, X_j is covariant iff X_j occurs covariantly in T, and X_j is contravariant iff X_j occurs contravariantly in T.

Variance gives a characterization of the way a type varies as the value of a subterm varies, e.g., a type variable: Assume that T is a type where a type variable X occurs, and L and U are types such that L is a subtype of U. If X occurs covariantly in T then [L/X]T is a subtype of [U/X]T. Similarly, if X occurs contravariantly in T then [U/X]T is a subtype of [L/X]T. If X occurs invariantly then [L/X]T and [U/X]T are not guaranteed to be subtypes of each other in any direction. In short: with covariance, the type covaries; with contravariance, the type contravaries; with invariance, all bets are off.

14.2 Super-Bounded Types

This section describes how the declared upper bounds of formal type parameters are enforced, including some cases where a limited form of violation is allowed.

A top type is a type T such that Object is a subtype of T. For instance, Object, dynamic, and void are top types, and so are FutureOr<void> and FutureOr<FutureOr<dynamic>>.

Every type which is not a parameterized type is regular-bounded.

In particular, every non-generic class and every function type is a regular-bounded type.

Let T be a parameterized type of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic class or a generic type alias. Let X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_n extends B_n be the formal type parameter declarations of G. T is regular-bounded iff S_j is a subtype of $[S_1/X_1, \ldots, S_n/X_n]B_j$, for all $j \in 1..n$.

This means that regular-bounded types are those types that do not violate their type parameter bounds.

Let T be a parameterized type of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$ where G denotes a generic class or a generic type alias. T is *super-bounded* iff the following \diamond conditions are both true:

- \bullet T is not regular-bounded.
- Let T' be the result of replacing every occurrence in T of a top type in a covariant position by Null, and every occurrence in T of Null in a contravariant position by Object. It is then required that T' is regular-bounded. Moreover, if G denotes a generic type alias with body U, it is required that every type that occurs as a subterm of $[S_1/X_1, \ldots, S_n/X_n]U$ is well-bounded (defined below).

In short, at least one type argument violates its bound, but the type is regular-bounded after replacing all occurrences of an extreme type by an opposite extreme type, depending on their variance.

A type T is well-bounded iff it is either regular-bounded or super-bounded. Any use of a type T which is not well-bounded is a compile-time error.

It is a compile-time error if a parameterized type T is super-bounded when it is used in any of the following ways:

- T is an immediate subterm of a new expression (16.15.1) or a constant object expression (16.15.2).
- T is an immediate subterm of a redirecting factory constructor signature (10.6.2).
- T is an immediate subterm of an **extends** clause of a class (10.8), or it occurs as an element in the type list of an **implements** clause (10.9), or a **with** clause (10).

It is *not* an error if a super-bounded type occurs as an immediate subterm of an **extends** clause that specifies the bound of a type variable (14).

Types of members from super-bounded class types are computed using the same rules as types of members from other types. Types of function applications involving super-bounded types are computed using the same rules as types of function applications involving other types. Here is an example:

```
class A<X extends num> {
   X x;
}

A<Object> a;
```

With this, a.x has static type Object, even though the upper bound on the type variable X is num.

Super-bounded types enable the expression of informative common supertypes of some sets of types whose common supertypes would otherwise be much less informative.

For example, consider the following class:

```
class C<X extends C<X>> {
   X next;
}
```

Without super-bounded types, there is no type T which makes ${\tt C} < T >$ a common supertype of all types of the form ${\tt C} < S >$ (noting that all types must be regular-bounded when we do not have the notion of super-bounded types). So if we wish to allow a variable to hold any instance "of type ${\tt C}$ " then that variable must use ${\tt Object}$ or another top type as its type annotation, which means that a member like next is not known to exist (which is what we mean by saying that the type is 'less informative').

We could introduce a notion of recursive (infinite) types, and express the least upper bound of all types of the form C<S> as some syntax whose meaning could be approximated by C<CC<C<...>>>. However, we expect that any such concept in Dart would incur a significant cost on developers and implementations in terms of added complexity and subtlety, so we have chosen not to do that. Super-bounded types are finite, but they offer a useful developer-controlled approximation to such infinite types.

For example, C<0bject> and C<C<**void>>>** are types that a developer may choose to use as a type annotation. This choice serves as a commitment to a finite level of unfolding of the infinite type, and it allows for a certain amount of control at the point where the unfolding ends: If c has type C<C<**dynamic>>** then c.next.next has type **dynamic** and c.next.next.whatever has no compile-time error, but if c has type C<C<**void>>** then already Object x = c.next.next; is a compile-time error. It is thus possible for developers to get a more or less strict treatment of expressions whose type proceeds beyond the given finite unfolding.

14.3 Instantiation to Bound

This section describes how to compute type arguments that are omitted from a type, or from an invocation of a generic function.

Note that type inference is assumed to have taken place already (6), so type arguments are not considered to be omitted if they are inferred. This means that instantiation to bound is a backup mechanism, which will be used when no information is available for inference.

Consider the situation where a term t of the form $\langle qualified \rangle$ (which is syntactically the same as $\langle typeName \rangle$) denotes a generic type declaration, and it is used as a type or as an expression in the enclosing program. This implies that type arguments are accepted, but not provided. We use the phrase raw type respectively raw type expression to identify such terms. In the following we only mention raw types, but everything said about raw types applies to raw type expressions in the obvious manner.

For instance, with the declaration Type listType() => List;, evaluation of the raw type expression List in the body yields an instance of class Type reifying List<dynamic>, because List is subject to instantiation to bound. Note that List<dynamic> is not syntactically an expression, but it is still possible to get access to a Type instance reifying List<dynamic> without instantiation to bound, because it can be the value of a type variable.

We can unambiguously define raw types to denote the result of applying the generic type to a list of implicitly provided actual type arguments, and instantiation to bound is a mechanism which does just that. This is because Dart does not, and will not, support higher-kinded types; for example, the value of a type variable X will be a type, it cannot be the generic class List as such, and it cannot be applied to type arguments, e.g., X<int>.

In the typical case where only covariance is encountered, instantiation to bound will yield a supertype of all the regular-bounded types that can be expressed. This allows developers to consider a raw type as a type which is used to specify that "the actual type arguments do not matter". For example, assuming the declaration class C<X extends num> $\{\ldots\}$, instantiation to bound on C yields C<num>, and this means that C x; can be used to declare a variable x whose value can be a C<T> for all possible values of T.

Conversely, consider the situation where a generic type alias denotes a function type, and it has one type parameter which is contravariant. Instantiation to bound on that type alias will then yield a subtype of all the regular-bounded types that can be expressed by varying that type argument. This allows developers to consider such a type alias used as a raw type as a function type which allows the function to be passed to clients "where it does not matter which values for the type argument the client expects". E.g., with typedef F<X> = Function(X); instantiation to bound on F yields F<dynamic>, and this means that F f; can be used to declare a variable f whose value will be a function that can be passed to clients expecting an F<T> for all possible values of T.

14.3.1 Auxiliary Concepts for Instantiation to Bound

Before we specify instantiation to bound we need to define two auxiliary concepts. Let T be a raw type. A type S then raw-depends on T if one or more \diamond of the following conditions hold:

- S is of the form $\langle typeName \rangle$, and S is T. Note that this case is not applicable if S is a subterm of a term of the form S $\langle typeArguments \rangle$, that is, if S receives any type arguments. Also note that S cannot be a type variable, because then 'S is T' cannot hold. See the discussion below and the reference to 19.4.2 for more details about why this is so.
- S is of the form $\langle typeName \rangle$ $\langle typeArguments \rangle$, and one of the type arguments raw-depends on T.
- S is of the form $\langle typeName \rangle \langle typeArguments \rangle$? where $\langle typeName \rangle$ denotes a type alias F, and the body of F raw-depends on T.
- S is of the form $\langle type \rangle$? Function $\langle typeParameters \rangle$? $\langle parameterTypeList \rangle$ and $\langle type \rangle$? raw-depends on T, or a bound in $\langle typeParameters \rangle$? raw-depends on T, or a type in $\langle parameterTypeList \rangle$ raw-depends on T.

Meta-variables (19.4.1) like S and T are understood to denote types, and they are considered to be equal (as in 'S is T') in the same sense as in the section about subtype rules (19.4.2). In particular, even though two identical pieces of syntax may denote two distinct types, and two different pieces of syntax may denote the same type, the property of interest here is whether they denote the same type and not whether they are spelled identically.

The intuition behind the situation where a type raw-depends on another type is that we need to compute any missing type arguments for the latter in order to be able to tell what the former means.

In the rule about type aliases, F may or may not be generic, and type arguments may or may not be present. However, there is no need to consider the result of substituting actual type arguments for formal type parameters in the body of F (or even the correctness of passing those type arguments to F), because we only need to inspect all types of the form $\langle typeName \rangle$ in its body, and they are not affected by such a substitution. In other words, raw-dependency is a relation which is simple and cheap to compute.

Let G be a generic class or a generic type alias with k formal type parameter declarations containing formal type parameters X_1, \ldots, X_k and bounds B_1, \ldots, B_k . For any $j \in 1...k$, we say that the formal type parameter X_j has a *simple bound* when one of the following requirements is satisfied:

- B_i is omitted.
- B_j is included, but does not contain any of X_1, \ldots, X_k . If B_j raw-depends on a raw type T then every type parameter of T must have a simple bound.

The notion of a simple bound must be interpreted inductively rather than coinductively, i.e., if a bound B_j of a generic class or generic type alias G is reached during an investigation of whether B_j is a simple bound, the answer is no.

For example, with **class** C<X **extends** C> {}, the type parameter X does not have a simple bound: A raw C is used as a bound for X, so C must have simple bounds, but one of the bounds of C is the bound of X, and that bound is C, so C must have simple bounds: That was a cycle, so the answer is "no", C does not have simple bounds.

Let G be a generic class or a generic type alias. We say that G has simple bounds iff every type parameter of G has simple bounds.

We can now specify in which sense instantiation to bound requires the involved types to be "simple enough". We impose the following constraint on all type parameter bounds, because all type parameters may be subject to instantiation to bound.

It is a compile-time error if a formal type parameter bound B contains a raw type T, unless T has simple bounds.

So type arguments on bounds can only be omitted if they themselves have simple bounds. In particular, class C<X extends C> {} is a compile-time error, because the bound C is raw, and the formal type parameter X that corresponds to the omitted type argument does not have a simple bound.

Let T be a type of the form $\langle typeName \rangle$ which denotes a generic class or a generic type alias (so T is raw). Then T is equivalent to the parameterized type which is the result obtained by applying instantiation to bound to T. It is a compile-time error if the instantiation to bound fails.

This rule is applicable for all occurrences of raw types, e.g., when it occurs as a type annotation of a variable or a parameter, as a return type of a function, as a type which is tested in a type test, as the type in an $\langle onPart \rangle$, etc.

14.3.2 The Instantiation to Bound Algorithm

We now specify how the *instantiation to bound* algorithm proceeds. Let T be a raw type. Let X_1, \ldots, X_k be the formal type parameters in the declaration of G, and let B_1, \ldots, B_k be their bounds. For each $i \in 1..k$, let S_i denote the result of instantiation to bound on B_i ; in the case where the *i*th bound is omitted, let S_i be **dynamic**.

If B_i for some i is raw (in general: if it raw-depends on some type U) then all its (respectively U's) omitted type arguments have simple bounds. This limits the complexity of instantiation to bound for B_i , and in particular it cannot involve a dependency cycle where we need the result from instantiation to bound for G in order to compute the instantiation to bound for G.

Let $U_{i,0}$ be S_i , for all $i \in 1..k$. This is the "current value" of the bound for type variable i, at step 0; in general we will consider the current step, m, and use data for that step, e.g., the bound $U_{i,m}$, to compute the data for step m+1.

Let \to_m be a relation among the type variables X_1, \ldots, X_k such that $X_p \to_m X_q$ iff X_q occurs in $U_{p,m}$. So each type variable is related to, that is,

depends on, every type variable in its bound, which might include itself. Let \to_m^+ be the transitive (but not reflexive) closure of \to_m . For each m, let $U_{i,m+1}$, for $i \in 1..k$, be determined by the following iterative process, where V_m denotes $G < U_{1,m}, \ldots, U_{k,m} >$:

- 1. If there exists a $j \in 1..k$ such that $X_j \to_m^+ X_j$ (that is, if the dependency graph has a cycle) let M_1, \ldots, M_p be the strongly connected components (SCCs) with respect to \to_m . That is, the maximal subsets of X_1, \ldots, X_k where every pair of variables in each subset are related in both directions by \to_m^+ ; note that the SCCs are pairwise disjoint; also, they are uniquely defined up to reordering, and the order does not matter for this algorithm. Let M be the union of M_1, \ldots, M_p (that is, all variables that participate in a dependency cycle). Let $i \in 1..k$. If X_i does not belong to M then $U_{i,m+1}$ is $U_{i,m}$. Otherwise there exists a q such that $X_i \in M_q$; $U_{i,m+1}$ is then obtained from $U_{i,m}$ by substituting **dynamic** for every occurrence of a variable in M_q that is in a position in V_m which is not contravariant, and substituting Null for every occurrence of a variable in M_q which is in a contravariant position in V_m .
- 2. Otherwise (when there are no dependency cycles), let j be the lowest number such that X_j occurs in $U_{p,m}$ for some p and $X_j \not\to_m X_q$ for all q in 1..k (that is, the bound of X_j does not contain any type variables, but X_j occurs in the bound of some other type variable). Then, for all $i \in 1..k$, $U_{i,m+1}$ is obtained from $U_{i,m}$ by substituting $U_{j,m}$ for every occurrence of X_j that is in a position in V_m which is not contravariant, and substituting Null for every occurrence of X_j which is in a contravariant position in V_m .
- 3. Otherwise (when there are no dependencies at all), terminate with the result $\langle U_{1,m}, \ldots, U_{k,m} \rangle$.

This process will always terminate, because the total number of occurrences of type variables from $\{X_1,\ldots,X_k\}$ in the current bounds is strictly decreasing with each step, and we terminate when that number reaches zero.

It may seem somewhat arbitrary to treat unused and invariant parameters in the same way as covariant parameters, in particular because invariant parameters fail to satisfy the expectation that a raw type denotes a supertype of all the expressible regular-bounded types.

We could easily have made every instantiation to bound an error when applied to a type where invariance occurs anywhere during the run of the algorithm. However, there are a number of cases where this choice produces a usable type, and we decided that it is not helpful to outlaw such cases.

```
typedef Inv<X> = X Function(X);
class B<Y extends num, Z extends Inv<Y>> {}
```

B b; // The raw B means B<num, Inv<num>>.

For example, the value of b can have dynamic type B<int, int Function(num)>. However, the type arguments have to be chosen carefully, or the result will not be a subtype of B. For instance, b cannot have dynamic type B<int, Inv<int>>, because Inv<int> is not a subtype of Inv<num>.

A raw type T is a compile-time error if instantiation to bound on T yields a type which is not well-bounded (14.2).

This kind of error can occur, as demonstrated by the following example:

```
class C<X extends C<X>>> {}
typedef F<X extends C<X>> = X Function(X);
F f; // Compile-time error.
```

With these declarations, the raw F which is used as a type annotation is a compile-time error: The algorithm yields F<C<dynamic>>, and that is neither a regular-bounded nor a super-bounded type. The resulting type can be specified explicitly as C<dynamic> Function (C<dynamic>). That type exists, we just cannot express it by passing a type argument to F, so we make it an error rather than allowing it implicitly.

The core reason why it makes sense to make such a raw type an error is that there is no subtype relationship between the relevant parameterized types. For instance, F<T1> and F<T2> are unrelated, even when T1 <: T2 or vice versa. In fact, there is no type T whatsoever such that a variable with declared type F<T> could be assigned to a variable of type C<dynamic> Function(C<dynamic>). So the raw F, if permitted, would not be "a supertype of F<T> for all possible T", it would be a type which is unrelated to F<T> for every single T that satisfies the bound of F. This is so useless that we made it an error.

When instantiation to bound is applied to a type, it proceeds recursively: For a parameterized type $G < T_1, \ldots, T_k >$ it is applied to T_1, \ldots, T_k . For a function type

```
T_0 Function< X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]) and a function type
```

 T_0 Function $< X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\})$ it is applied to T_0, \ldots, T_{n+k} .

This means that instantiation to bound has no effect on a type that does not contain any raw types. Conversely, instantiation to bound acts on types which are syntactic subterms, also when they are deeply nested.

15 Metadata

Dart supports metadata which is used to attach user defined annotations to program structures.

```
\langle metadata \rangle ::= ('Q' \langle qualified \rangle ('.' \langle identifier \rangle)? \langle arguments \rangle?)^*
```

Metadata consists of a series of annotations, each of which begin with the

character '©', followed by a constant expression e derivable from $\langle qualified \rangle$ ('.' $\langle identifier \rangle$)? $\langle arguments \rangle$?. It is a compile-time error if e is not one of the following:

- A reference to a constant variable.
- A call to a constant constructor.

The expression e occurs in a constant context (16.3.2), which means that **const** modifiers need not be specified explicitly.

Metadata is associated with the abstract syntax tree of the program construct p that immediately follows the metadata, and which is not itself metadata or a comment. Metadata can be retrieved at run time via a reflective call, provided the annotated program construct p is accessible via reflection.

Obviously, metadata can also be retrieved statically by parsing the program and evaluating the constants via a suitable interpreter. In fact, many if not most uses of metadata are entirely static.

It is important that no run-time overhead be incurred by the introduction of metadata that is not actually used. Because metadata only involves constants, the time at which it is computed is irrelevant. So implementations may skip the metadata during ordinary parsing and execution, and evaluate it lazily.

It is possible to associate metadata with constructs that may not be accessible via reflection, such as local variables (though it is conceivable that in the future, richer reflective libraries might provide access to these as well). This is not as useless as it might seem. As noted above, the data can be retrieved statically if source code is available.

Metadata can appear before a library, part header, class, typedef, type parameter, constructor, factory, function, parameter, or variable declaration, and before an import, export, or part directive.

The constant expression given in an annotation is type checked and evaluated in the scope surrounding the declaration being annotated.

16 Expressions

An expression is a fragment of Dart code that can be evaluated at run time. Every expression has an associated static type (19.1) and may have an associated static context type which may affect the static type and evaluation of the expression. Every object has an associated dynamic type (19.2).

```
 \begin{split} &\langle expression\rangle ::= \langle assignableExpression\rangle \ \langle assignmentOperator\rangle \ \langle expression\rangle \\ &| \ \langle conditionalExpression\rangle \ \langle cascadeSequence\rangle? \\ &| \ \langle throwExpression\rangle \\ &\langle expressionWithoutCascade\rangle ::= \\ & \ \langle assignableExpression\rangle \ \langle assignmentOperator\rangle \ \langle expressionWithoutCascade\rangle \\ &| \ \langle conditionalExpression\rangle \\ &| \ \langle throwExpressionWithoutCascade\rangle \end{split}
```

```
\langle expressionList \rangle ::= \langle expression \rangle (`, `\langle expression \rangle)^*
\langle primary \rangle ::= \langle thisExpression \rangle
       super \langle unconditional Assignable Selector \rangle
       \langle functionExpression \rangle
        \langle literal \rangle
        \langle identifier \rangle
       \langle newExpression \rangle
       \langle constObjectExpression \rangle
        (((expression)))
\langle literal \rangle ::= \langle nullLiteral \rangle
        \langle booleanLiteral \rangle
        \langle numericLiteral \rangle
        \langle stringLiteral \rangle
       \langle symbolLiteral \rangle
        \langle mapLiteral \rangle
       \langle setLiteral \rangle
        \langle setOrMapLiteral \rangle
        \langle listLiteral \rangle
```

An expression e may always be enclosed in parentheses, but this never has any semantic effect on e.

However, it may have an effect on the surrounding expression. For instance, given a class C with a static method $m() \Rightarrow 42$, C.m() returns 42, but (C).m() is a compile-time error. The point is that the meaning of C.m() is specified in terms of several parts, rather than being specified in a strictly compositional manner. Concretely, the meaning of C and (C) as expressions is the same, but the meaning of C.m() is not defined in terms of the meaning of C as an expression, and it differs from the meaning of (C).m().

16.1 Expression Evaluation

Evaluation of an expression either produces an object or it throws an exception object and an associated stack trace. In the former case, we also say that the expression evaluates to an object.

If evaluation of one expression, e, is defined in terms of evaluation of another expression e_1 , typically a subexpression of e, and the evaluation of e_1 throws an exception and a stack trace, the evaluation of e stops at that point and throws the same exception object and stack trace.

16.2 Object Identity

The predefined Dart function identical() is defined such that identical(c_1 , c_2) iff:

- c_1 evaluates to either the null object (16.4) or an instance of bool and c_1 == c_2 , OR
- c_1 and c_2 are instances of int and $c_1 == c_2$, OR
- c_1 and c_2 are constant strings and $c_1 == c_2$, OR
- c_1 and c_2 are instances of double and one of the following holds:
 - $-c_1$ and c_2 are non-zero and $c_1 == c_2$.
 - Both c_1 and c_2 are +0.0.
 - Both c_1 and c_2 are -0.0.
 - Both c_1 and c_2 represent a NaN value with the same underlying bit pattern.

OR

- c_1 and c_2 are constant lists that are defined to be identical in the specification of literal list expressions (16.9), OR
- c_1 and c_2 are constant maps that are defined to be identical in the specification of literal map expressions (16.10), OR
- c_1 and c_2 are constant objects of the same class C and the value of each instance variable of c_1 is identical to the value of the corresponding instance variable of c_2 . OR
- c_1 and c_2 are the same object.

The definition of identity for doubles differs from that of equality in that a NaN is identical to itself, and that negative and positive zero are distinct.

The definition of equality for doubles is dictated by the IEEE 754 standard, which posits that NaNs do not obey the law of reflexivity. Given that hardware implements these rules, it is necessary to support them for reasons of efficiency.

The definition of identity is not constrained in the same way. Instead, it assumes that bit-identical doubles are identical.

The rules for identity make it impossible for a Dart programmer to observe whether a boolean or numerical value is boxed or unboxed.

16.3 Constants

All usages of 'constant' in Dart are associated with compile time. A potentially constant expression is an expression that will generally yield a constant value when the values of certain parameters are given. The constant expressions is a subset of the potentially constant expressions that *can* be evaluated at compile time.

The constant expressions are restricted to expressions that perform only simple arithmetic operations, boolean conditions, and string and instance creation. No user-written function body is executed during constant expression evaluation,

only members of the system classes Object , bool , int , double , String , Type , Symbol , or Null .

The potentially constant expressions and constant expressions are the following:

- A literal boolean, **true** or **false** (16.6), is a potentially constant and constant expression.
- A literal number (16.5) is a potentially constant and constant expression if it evaluates to an instance of type int or double.
- A literal string (16.7) with string interpolations (16.7.1) with expressions e_1, \ldots, e_n is a potentially constant expression if e_1, \ldots, e_n are potentially constant expressions. The literal is further a constant expression if e_1, \ldots, e_n are constant expressions evaluating to instances of int, double, String, bool, or Null. These requirements hold trivially if there are no interpolations in the string. It would be tempting to allow string interpolation where the interpolated value is any compile-time constant. However, this would require running the toString() method for constant objects, which could contain arbitrary code.
- A literal symbol (16.8) is a potentially constant and constant expression.
- The literal **null** (16.4) is a potentially constant and constant expression.
- An identifier that denotes a constant variable is a potentially constant and constant expression.
- A qualified reference to a static constant variable (8) that is not qualified
 by a deferred prefix, is a potentially constant and constant expression.
 For example, If class C declares a constant static variable v, C.v is a constant.
 The same is true if C is accessed via a prefix p; p.C.v is a constant unless
 p is a deferred prefix.
- A simple or qualified identifier denoting a class, a mixin or a type alias
 that is not qualified by a deferred prefix, is a potentially constant and
 constant expression. The constant expression always evaluates to a Type
 object. For example, if C is the name of a class or type alias, the expression
 C is a constant, and if C is imported with a prefix p, p. C is a constant Type
 instance representing the type of C unless p is a deferred prefix.
- Let e be a simple or qualified identifier denoting a top-level function (9) or a static method (10.7) that is not qualified by a deferred prefix. If e is not subject to generic function instantiation (16.18.1) then e is a potentially constant and constant expression. If generic function instantiation does apply to e and the provided actual type arguments are T_1, \ldots, T_s then e is a potentially constant and constant expression iff each $T_j, j \in 1...s$, is a constant type expression (16.3).

- An identifier expression denoting a parameter of a constant constructor (10.6.3) that occurs in the initializer list of the constructor, is a potentially constant expression.
- A constant object expression (16.15.2), **const** $C < T_1, \ldots, T_k > (arguments)$ or **const** $C < T_1, \ldots, T_k > .id(arguments)$, or either expression without the leading **const** that occurs in a constant context, is a potentially constant expression. It is further a constant expression if the invocation evaluates to an object. It is a compile-time error if a constant object expression is not a constant expression (16.15.2).
- A constant list literal (16.9), **const** $< T > [e_1, \ldots, e_n]$, or $< T > [e_1, \ldots, e_n]$ that occurs in a constant context, is a potentially constant expression if T is a constant type expression, and e_1, \ldots, e_n are constant expressions. It is further a constant expression if the list literal evaluates to an object.
- A constant set literal (16.11), **const** $<T>\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, or $<T>\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ that occurs in a constant context, is a potentially constant expression if T is a constant type expression, and e_1, \ldots, e_n are constant expressions. It is further a constant expression if the list literal evaluates to an object.
- A constant map literal (16.10), **const** < K, $V > \{k_1: v_1, \ldots, k_n: v_n\}$, or < K, $V > \{k_1: v_1, \ldots, k_n: v_n\}$ that occurs in a constant context, is a potentially constant expression. It is further a constant expression if the map literal evaluates to an object.
- A parenthesized expression (e) is a potentially constant expression if e is a potentially constant expression. It is further a constant expression if e is a constant expression.
- An expression of the form identical (e_1, e_2) is a potentially constant expression if e_1 and e_2 are potentially constant expressions and identical is statically bound to the predefined dart function identical() discussed above (16.2). It is further a constant expression if e_1 and e_2 are constant expressions.
- An expression of the form $e_1 != e_2$ is equivalent to $!(e_1 == e_2)$ in every way, including whether it is potentially constant or constant.
- An expression of the form $e_1 == e_2$ is potentially constant if e_1 and e_2 are both potentially constant expressions. It is further constant if both e_1 and e_2 are constant and either e_1 evaluates to an object that is an instance of int, double, String, bool or Null, or if e_2 evaluates to the null object (16.4).
- An expression of the form $!e_1$ is potentially constant if e_1 is potentially constant. It is further constant if e_1 is a constant expression that evaluates to an instance of type bool.

- An expression of the form e_1 && e_2 is potentially constant if e_1 and e_2 are both potentially constant expressions. It is further constant if e_1 is a constant expression and either
 - 1. e_1 evaluates to **false**, or
 - 2. e_1 evaluates to **true** and e_2 is a constant expression that evaluates to an instance of type bool.
- An expression of the form $e_1 \mid \mid e_2$ is potentially constant if e_1 and e_2 are both potentially constant expressions. It is further constant if e_1 is a constant expression and either
 - 1. e_1 evaluates to **true**, or
 - 2. e_1 evaluates to **false** and e_2 is a constant expression that evaluates to an instance of type bool.
- An expression of the form e_1 is a potentially constant expression if e_1 is a potentially constant expression. It is further a constant expression if e_1 is a constant expression that evaluates to an instance of type int.
- An expression of one of the forms $e_1 \& e_2$, $e_1 | e_2$, or $e_1 \hat{\ } e_2$ is potentially constant if e_1 and e_2 are both potentially constant expressions. It is further constant if both e_1 and e_2 are constant expressions that both evaluate to instances of int, or both to instances of bool.
- An expression of one of the forms e₁ ~/e₂, e₁ >> e₂, e₁ >>> e₂, or e₁ << e₂ is potentially constant if e₁ and e₂ are both potentially constant expressions.
 It is further constant if both e₁ and e₂ are constant expressions that both evaluate to an instance of int.
- An expression of the form $e_1 + e_2$ is a potentially constant expression if e_1 and e_2 are both potentially constant expressions. It is further a constant expression if both e_1 and e_2 are constant expressions and either both evaluate to an instance of int or double, or both evaluate to an instance of String.
- An expression of the form $-e_1$ is a potentially constant expression if e_1 is a potentially constant expression. It is further a constant expression if e_1 is a constant expression that evaluates to an instance of type int or double.
- An expression of the form e₁ e₂, e₁ * e₂, e₁ / e₂, e₁ % e₂, e₁ < e₂, e₁ <= e₂, e₁ > e₂, or e₁ >= e₂ is potentially constant if e₁ and e₂ are both potentially constant expressions. It is further constant if both e₁ and e₂ are constant expressions that evaluate to instances of int or double.
- An expression of the form $e_1 ? e_2 : e_3$ is potentially constant if e_1 , e_2 , and e_3 are all potentially constant expressions. It is constant if e_1 is a constant expression and either

 \Diamond

- 1. e_1 evaluates to **true** and e_2 is a constant expression, or
- 2. e_1 evaluates to **false** and e_3 is a constant expression.
- An expression of the form e_1 ?? e_2 is potentially constant if e_1 and e_2 are both potentially constant expressions. It is further constant if e_1 is a constant expression and either
 - 1. e_1 evaluates to an object which is not the null object, or
 - 2. e_1 evaluates to the null object, and e_2 is a constant expression.
- An expression of the form *e*.length is potentially constant if *e* is a potentially constant expression. It is further constant if *e* is a constant expression that evaluates to an instance of String.
- An expression of the form e as T is potentially constant if e is a potentially constant expression and T is a constant type expression, and it is further constant if e is constant. It is a compile-time error to evaluate the constant expression if the cast operation would throw, that is, if e evaluates to an object which is not the null object and not of type T.
- An expression of the form e is T is potentially constant if e is a potentially constant expression and T is a constant type expression, and it is further constant if e is constant.
- An expression of the form e is! T is equivalent to ! (e is T) in every way, including whether it's potentially constant or constant.

A constant type expression is one of:

- An simple or qualified identifier denoting a type declaration (a type alias, class or mixin declaration) that is not qualified by a deferred prefix, optionally followed by type arguments of the form $\langle T_1, \ldots, T_n \rangle$ where T_1, \ldots, T_n are constant type expressions.
- A type of the form FutureOr<T> where T is a constant type expression.
- A function type R Function<typeParameters> (argumentTypes) (where R and <typeParameters> may be omitted) and where R, typeParameters and argumentTypes (if present) contain only constant type expressions.
- The type void.
- The type dynamic.

It is a compile-time error if an expression is required to be a constant expression, but its evaluation would throw an exception. It is a compile-time error if an assertion is evaluated as part of a constant object expression evaluation, and the assertion would throw an exception.

It is a compile-time error if the value of a constant expression depends on itself.

```
As an example, consider:

class CircularConsts {

    // Illegal program - mutually recursive compile-time constants
    static const i = j; // a compile-time constant
    static const j = i; // a compile-time constant
}
```

16.3.1 Further Remarks on Constants and Potential Constants

There is no requirement that every constant expression evaluate correctly. Only when a constant expression is required (e.g., to initialize a constant variable, or as a default value of a formal parameter, or as metadata) do we insist that a constant expression actually be evaluated successfully at compile time.

The above is not dependent on program control-flow. The mere presence of a required compile-time constant whose evaluation would fail within a program is an error. This also holds recursively: since compound constants are composed out of constants, if any subpart of a constant would throw an exception when evaluated, that is an error. On the other hand, since implementations are free to compile code late, some compile-time errors may manifest quite late:

```
const x = 1 ~/ 0;
final y = 1 ~/ 0;

class K {
    m1() {
      var z = false;
      if (z) { return x; }
      else { return 2; }
    }

    m2() {
      if (true) { return y; }
      else { return 3; }
    }
}
```

An implementation is free to immediately issue a compilation error for x, but it is not required to do so. It could defer errors if it does not immediately compile the declarations that reference x. For example, it could delay giving a compilation error about the method m1 until the first invocation of m1. However, it could not choose to execute m1, see that the branch that refers to x is not taken, and return 2 successfully.

The situation with respect to an invocation of m2 is different. Because y is not a compile-time constant (even though its value is), one need not give a compile-time

error upon compiling m2. An implementation may run the code, which will cause the getter for y to be invoked. At that point, the initialization of y must take place, which requires the initializer to be compiled, which will cause a compilation error.

The treatment of **null** merits some discussion. Consider **null** + 2. This expression always causes an error. We could have chosen not to treat it as a constant expression (and in general, not to allow **null** as a subexpression of numeric or boolean constant expressions). There are two arguments for including it: First, it is constant so we can evaluate it at compile time. Second, it seems more useful to give the error stemming from the evaluation explicitly.

One might reasonably ask why e_1 ? e_2 : e_3 and e_1 ?? e_2 have constant forms. If e_1 is known statically, why do we need to test it? The answer is that there are contexts where e_1 is a variable, e.g., in constant constructor initializers such as **const** C(foo): **this**. foo = foo ?? someDefaultValue;

The difference between a potentially constant expression and a constant expression deserves some explanation. The key issue is how one treats the formal parameters of a constructor.

If a constant constructor is invoked from a constant object expression, the actual arguments will be required to be constant expressions. Therefore, if we were assured that constant constructors were always invoked from constant object expressions, we could assume that the formal parameters of a constructor were compile-time constants.

However, constant constructors can also be invoked from ordinary instance creation expressions (16.15.1), and so the above assumption is not generally valid.

Nevertheless, the use of the formal parameters of a constant constructor is of considerable utility. The concept of potentially constant expressions is introduced to facilitate limited use of such formal parameters. Specifically, we allow the usage of the formal parameters of a constant constructor for expressions that involve built-in operators, but not for constant objects, lists and maps. For instance:

```
class C {
    final x, y, z;
    const C(p, q): x = q, y = p + 100, z = p + q;
}
```

The assignment to x is allowed under the assumption that q is constant (even though q is not, in general a compile-time constant). The assignment to y is similar, but raises additional questions. In this case, the superexpression of p is p+100, and it requires that p be a numeric constant expression for the entire expression to be considered constant. The wording of the specification allows us to assume that p evaluates to an integer, for an invocation of this constructor in a constant expression. A similar argument holds for p and q in the assignment to z.

However, the following constructors are disallowed:

```
class D {
  final w;
  const D.makeList(p): w = const [p]; // compile-time error
```

 \Diamond

```
const D.makeMap(p): w = const {"help": q}; // compile-time error
const D.makeC(p): w = const C(p, 12); // compile-time error
}
```

The problem is that all these run afoul of the rules for constant lists (16.9), maps (16.10), and objects (16.15.2), all of which independently require their subexpressions to be constant expressions.

All of the illegal constructors of D above could not be sensibly invoked via **new**, because an expression that must be constant cannot depend on a formal parameter, which may or may not be constant. In contrast, the legal examples make sense regardless of whether the constructor is invoked via **const** or via **new**.

Careful readers will of course worry about cases where the actual arguments to C() are constants, but are not numeric. This is precluded by the rules on constant constructors (10.6.3), combined with the rules for evaluating constant objects (16.15.2).

16.3.2 Constant Contexts

Let e be an expression; e occurs in a *constant context* iff one of the following \diamond applies:

- *e* is an element of a list or set literal whose first token is **const**, or *e* is a key or a value of an entry of a map literal whose first token is **const**.
- e occurs as @e in a construct derived from $\langle metadata \rangle$.
- e is an actual argument in an expression derived from $\langle constObjectExpression \rangle$.
- \bullet e is the initializing expression of a constant variable declaration (8).
- e is a switch case expression (17.9).
- e is an immediate subexpression of an expression e_0 which occurs in a constant context, where e_0 is not a function literal (16.13).

A constant context is introduced in situations where an expression is required to be constant. This is used to allow the **const** modifier to be omitted in cases where it does not contribute any new information.

16.4 Null

The reserved word **null** evaluates to the *null object*.

 $\langle nullLiteral \rangle ::= null$

The null object is the sole instance of the built-in class Null. Attempting to instantiate Null causes a compile-time error. It is a compile-time error for a class to extend, mix in or implement Null. The Null class extends the Object class

and declares no methods except those also declared by Object. In particular, the Null class does not override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

The static type of **null** is the Null type.

16.5 Numbers

A *numeric literal* is either a decimal or hexadecimal numeral representing \diamond an integer value, or a decimal double representation.

```
 \langle numericLiteral \rangle ::= \langle NUMBER \rangle 
 | \langle HEX\_NUMBER \rangle 
 \langle NUMBER \rangle ::= \langle DIGIT \rangle + (`.` \langle DIGIT \rangle +)? \langle EXPONENT \rangle ? 
 | `.` \langle DIGIT \rangle + \langle EXPONENT \rangle ? 
 \langle EXPONENT \rangle ::= (`e` | `E`) (`+` | `-`)? \langle DIGIT \rangle + 
 \langle HEX\_NUMBER \rangle ::= `0x` \langle HEX\_DIGIT \rangle + 
 | `0X` \langle HEX\_DIGIT \rangle ::= `a` .. `f` 
 | `A` .. `F` | \langle DIGIT \rangle
```

A numeric literal starting with '0x' or '0X' is a hexadecimal integer literal. \diamond It has the numeric integer value of the hexadecimal numeral following '0x' (respectively '0X').

A numeric literal that contains only decimal digits is a *decimal integer literal*. It has the numeric integer value of the decimal numeral.

An *integer literal* is either a hexadecimal integer literal or a decimal integer literal.

Let l be an integer literal that is not the operand of by a unary minus operator, and let T be the static context type of l. If double is assignable to T and int is not assignable to T, then the static type of l is double; otherwise the static type of l is int. This means that an integer literal denotes a double when it would satisfy the type requirement, and an int would not. Otherwise it is an int, even in situations where that is an error.

A numeric literal that is not an integer literal is a *double literal*. A double literal always contains either a decimal point or an exponent part. The static type of a double literal is double.

If l is an integer literal with numeric value i and static type int, and l is not the operand of a unary minus operator, then evaluation of l proceeds as follows:

• If l is a hexadecimal integer literal, $2^{63} \le i < 2^{64}$ and the int class is implemented as signed 64-bit two's complement integers, then l evaluates to an instance of the int class representing the numeric value $i - 2^{64}$,

• Otherwise *l* evaluates to an instance of the int class representing the numeric value *i*. It is a compile-time error if the integer *i* cannot be represented exactly by an instance of int.

Integers in Dart are designed to be implemented as 64-bit two's complement integer representations. In practice, implementations may be limited by other considerations. For example, Dart compiled to JavaScript may use the JavaScript number type, equivalent to Dart double, to represent integers, and if so, integer literals with more than 53 bits of precision cannot be represented exactly.

A double literal evaluates to a an instance of the double class representing a 64 bit double precision floating point number as specified by the IEEE 754 standard.

An integer literal with static type double and numeric value i evaluates to an instance of the double class representing the value i. It is a compile-time error if the value i cannot be represented *precisely* by an instance of double.

A 64 bit double precision floating point number is usually taken to represent a range of real numbers around the precise value denoted by the number's sign, mantissa and exponent. For integer literals evaluating to double values we insist that the integer literal's numeric value is the precise value of the double instance.

It is a compile-time error for a class to extend, mix in or implement int. It is a compile-time error for a class to extend, mix in or implement double. It is a compile-time error for any class other than int and double to extend, mix in or implement num.

The instances of int and double all override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

16.6 Booleans

The reserved words **true** and **false** evaluate to objects *true* and *false* that represent the boolean values true and false respectively. They are the *boolean literals*.

```
\langle booleanLiteral \rangle ::= true | false
```

Both *true* and *false* are instances of the built-in class bool, and there are no other objects that implement bool. It is a compile-time error for a class to extend, mix in or implement bool.

The bool class does not override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

Invoking the getter runtimeType on a boolean value returns the Type object that is the value of the expression bool. The static type of a boolean literal is bool.

16.7 Strings

A *string* is a sequence of UTF-16 code units.

This decision was made for compatibility with web browsers and Javascript. Earlier versions of the specification required a string to be a sequence of valid Unicode code points. Programmers should not depend on this distinction.

```
\langle stringLiteral \rangle ::= (\langle multilineString \rangle \mid \langle singleLineString \rangle) +
```

A string can be a sequence of single line strings and multiline strings.

```
\langle singleLineString \rangle ::= `"` \langle stringContentDQ \rangle * `"` \\ | ``, `` \langle stringContentSQ \rangle * `, `` \\ | ``r`, `(~(`, `) | \langle NEWLINE \rangle)) * `, `` \\ | ``r", (~(`, ") | \langle NEWLINE \rangle)) * `, "`
```

A single line string is delimited by either matching single quotes or matching double quotes.

Hence, 'abc' and "abc" are both legal strings, as are 'He said "To be or not to be" did he not?' and "He said 'To be or not to be' didn't he". However "This ' is not a valid string, nor is 'this".

The grammar ensures that a single line string cannot span more than one line of source code, unless it includes an interpolated expression that spans multiple lines.

Adjacent strings are implicitly concatenated to form a single string literal. Here is an example

```
print("A string" "and then another"); // A stringand then another
```

Dart also supports the operator + for string concatenation.

The + operator on Strings requires a String argument. It does not coerce its argument into a string. This helps avoid puzzlers such as

which this prints 'A simple sum: 2 + 2 = 22' rather than 'A simple sum: 2 + 2 = 4'. However, the use of the concatenation operation is still discouraged for efficiency reasons. Instead, the recommended Dart idiom is to use string interpolation.

```
print("A simple sum: 2 + 2 = \{2+2\}");
```

String interpolation works well for most cases. The main situation where it is not fully satisfactory is for string literals that are too large to fit on a line. Multiline strings can be useful, but in some cases, we want to visually align the code. This can be expressed by writing smaller strings separated by whitespace, as shown here:

^{&#}x27;Imagine this is a very long string that does not fit on a line. What shall we do? 'Oh what shall we do? '

```
'We shall split it into pieces '
    'like so'.
\langle multilineString \rangle ::= """ \langle stringContentTDQ \rangle * """
     ","," \langle stringContentTSQ \rangle *",","
     'r""", (~ '""",)* '""",
     'r',,,'(~',,,,')*',,,,
\langle ESCAPE \mid SEQUENCE \rangle ::= '\n'
     '\r'
     '\f'
     '\b'
     '\t'
     '\ν'
     \verb|`\x'| \langle \textit{HEX\_DIGIT} \rangle \ \langle \textit{HEX\_DIGIT} \rangle \\
     '\u' \( HEX_DIGIT \) \( HEX_DIGIT \) \( HEX_DIGIT \)
     '\u{' \( HEX_DIGIT_SEQUENCE \) '}'
\langle HEX\_DIGIT\_SEQUENCE \rangle ::=
     \langle HEX \ DIGIT \rangle \ \langle HEX \ DIGIT \rangle ? \langle HEX \ DIGIT \rangle?
     \langle HEX\_DIGIT \rangle? \langle HEX\_DIGIT \rangle? \langle HEX\_DIGIT \rangle?
```

Multiline strings are delimited by either matching triples of single quotes or matching triples of double quotes. If the first line of a multiline string consists solely of the whitespace characters defined by the production $\langle WHITESPACE \rangle$ (20.1), possibly prefixed by '\', then that line is ignored, including the line break at its end.

The idea is to ignore a whitespace-only first line of a multiline string, where whitespace is defined as tabs, spaces and the final line break. These can be represented directly, but since for most characters prefixing by backslash is an identity in a non-raw string, we allow those forms as well.

Strings support escape sequences for special characters. The escapes are:

- '\n' for newline, equivalent to '\xOA'.
- '\r' for carriage return, equivalent to '\x0D'.
- '\f' for form feed, equivalent to '\x0C'.
- '\b' for backspace, equivalent to '\x08'.
- '\t' for tab, equivalent to '\x09'.
- '\v' for vertical tab, equivalent to '\x0B'.
- '\x' \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_1 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_2, equivalent to '\u\tau\tau\tau HEX_DIGIT \rangle_1 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_2 '\tau'.

- '\u' \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_1 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_2 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_3 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_4, equivalent to
 '\u\{' \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_1 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_2 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_3 \langle HEX_DIGIT \rangle_4 \cdot\{'}.
- '\u{' \(HEX_DIGIT_SEQUENCE \) '}' is the Unicode code point represented by the \(HEX_DIGIT_SEQUENCE \). It is a compile-time error if the value of the \(HEX_DIGIT_SEQUENCE \) is not a valid Unicode code point.
- '\$' indicating the beginning of an interpolated expression.
- Otherwise, '\\$k\$' indicates the character k for any k not in {'n', 'r', 'f', 'b', 't', 'v', 'x', 'u'}.

Any string may be prefixed with the character 'r', indicating that it is a raw string, in which case no escapes or interpolations are recognized.

Line breaks in a multiline string are represented by the $\langle NEWLINE \rangle$ production. A line break introduces a single newline character into the string value.

It is a compile-time error if a non-raw string literal contains a character sequence of the form '\x' that is not followed by a sequence of two hexadecimal digits. It is a compile-time error if a non-raw string literal contains a character sequence of the form '\u' that is not followed by either a sequence of four hexadecimal digits, or by curly brace delimited sequence of hexadecimal digits.

All string literals evaluate to instances of the built-in class String. It is a compile-time error for a class to extend, mix in or implement String. The String class overrides the '==' operator inherited from the Object class. The static type of a string literal is String.

16.7.1 String Interpolation

It is possible to embed expressions within non-raw string literals, such that these expressions are evaluated, and the resulting objects are converted into strings and concatenated with the enclosing string. This process is known as string interpolation.

```
\langle stringInterpolation \rangle ::= `$` \langle IDENTIFIER\_NO\_DOLLAR \rangle  | `${` \langle expression \rangle `}`
```

The reader will note that the expression inside the interpolation could itself include strings, which could again be interpolated recursively.

An unescaped '\$' character in a string signifies the beginning of an interpolated expression. The '\$' sign may be followed by either:

- A single identifier id that does not contain the '\$' character.
- \bullet An expression e delimited by curly braces.

The form id is equivalent to the form fid. An interpolated string, s, with content $s_0 field s_1 \dots s_{n-1} field s_n$, (where any of s_0, \dots, s_n can be empty) is evaluated by evaluating each expression e_i ($1 \le i \le n$) into a string r_i in the order they occur in the source text, as follows:

- Evaluate e_i to an object o_i .
- Invoke the toString method on o_i with no arguments, and let r_i be the returned object.
- If r_i is the null object, a dynamic error occurs.

Finally, the result of the evaluation of s is the concatenation of the strings s_0 , r_1, \ldots, r_n , and s_n .

16.8 Symbols

A *symbol literal* denotes a name that would be either a valid declaration \diamond name or a valid library name in a Dart program.

```
\langle symbolLiteral \rangle ::= \text{`#'}(\langle operator \rangle \mid (\langle identifier \rangle \text{ (`.'} \langle identifier \rangle)^*))
```

A symbol literal #id where id is an identifier that does not begin with an underscore ('_'), evaluates to an instance of Symbol representing the identifier id. All occurrences of #id evaluate to the same instance (symbol instances are canonicalized), and no other symbol literals evaluate to that Symbol instance or to a Symbol instance that is equal (according to the '==' operator 16.27) to that instance.

A symbol literal $\#id.id_2...id_n$ where $id...id_n$ are identifiers, evaluates to an instance of Symbol representing that particular sequence of identifiers. All occurrences of $\#id.id_2...id_n$ with the same sequence of identifiers evaluate to

the same instance, and no other symbol literals evaluate to that Symbol instance or to a Symbol instance that is '==' to that instance. This kind of symbol literal denotes the name of a library declaration. Library names are not subject to library privacy, even if some of its identifiers begin with an underscore.

A symbol literal #operator evaluates to an instance of Symbol representing that particular operator name. All occurrences of #operator evaluate to the same instance, and no other symbol literals evaluate to that Symbol instance or to a Symbol instance that is '==' to that instance.

A symbol literal $\#_id$, evaluates to an instance of Symbol representing the private identifier $_id$ of the containing library. All occurrences of $\#_id$ in the same library evaluate to the same instance, and no other symbol literals evaluate to that Symbol instance or to a Symbol instance that is = to that instance.

The objects created by symbol literals all override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

One may well ask what is the motivation for introducing literal symbols? In some languages, symbols are canonicalized whereas strings are not. However literal strings are already canonicalized in Dart. Symbols are slightly easier to type compared to strings and their use can become strangely addictive, but this is not nearly sufficient justification for adding a literal form to the language. The primary motivation is related to the use of reflection and a web specific practice known as minification.

Minification compresses identifiers consistently throughout a program in order to reduce download size. This practice poses difficulties for reflective programs that refer to program declarations via strings. A string will refer to an identifier in the source, but the identifier will no longer be used in the minified code, and reflective code using these would fail. Therefore, Dart reflection uses objects of type Symbol rather than strings. Instances of Symbol are guaranteed to be stable with respect to minification. Providing a literal form for symbols makes reflective code easier to read and write. The fact that symbols are easy to type and can often act as convenient substitutes for enums are secondary benefits.

The static type of a symbol literal is Symbol.

16.9 Lists

A list literal denotes a list, which is an integer indexed collection of objects. \diamond

```
\langle listLiteral \rangle ::= const? \langle typeArguments \rangle? `[' (\langle expressionList \rangle `, '?)? `]'
```

A list may contain zero or more objects. The number of elements in a list is its size. A list has an associated set of indices. An empty list has an empty set of indices. A non-empty list has the index set $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ where n is the size of the list. It is a dynamic error to attempt to access a list using an index that is not a member of its set of indices.

If a list literal ℓ begins with the reserved word **const** or ℓ occurs in a constant context (16.3.2), it is a *constant list literal*, which is a constant expression (16.3)

and therefore evaluated at compile time. Otherwise, it is a *run-time list literal* and it is evaluated at run time. Only run-time list literals can be mutated after they are created. Attempting to mutate a constant list literal will result in a dynamic error.

Note that the element expressions of a constant list literal occur in a constant context (16.3.2), which means that **const** modifiers need not be specified explicitly.

It is a compile-time error if an element of a constant list literal is not a constant expression. It is a compile-time error if the type argument of a constant list literal is not a constant type expression.

The binding of a formal type parameter is not known at compile time, so we cannot use type parameters inside constant expressions.

The value of a constant list literal **const**? $<\!E\!>[e_1,\ldots,e_n]$ is an object a whose class implements the built-in class List $<\!E\!>$. Let v_i be the value of the constant expression $e_i,\,i\in 1..n$. The ith element of a (at index i-1) is v_i . The value of a constant list literal **const**? $[e_1,\ldots,e_n]$ is defined as the value of the constant list literal **const** $<\!$ **dynamic>** $[e_1,\ldots,e_n]$.

Let $list_1 = \mathbf{const}$? $\langle V \rangle [e_{11}, \dots, e_{1n}]$ and $list_2 = \mathbf{const}$? $\langle U \rangle [e_{21}, \dots, e_{2n}]$ be two constant list literals and let the elements of $list_1$ and $list_2$ evaluate to o_{11}, \dots, o_{1n} and o_{21}, \dots, o_{2n} respectively. Iff identical(o_{1i} , o_{2i}) for $i \in 1..n$ and V == U then identical($list_1$, $list_2$).

In other words, constant list literals are canonicalized.

A run-time list literal $\langle E \rangle [e_1, \dots, e_n]$ is evaluated as follows:

- First, the expressions e_1, \ldots, e_n are evaluated in order they appear in the program, producing objects o_1, \ldots, o_n .
- A fresh instance (10.6.1) a, of size n, whose class implements the built-in class List $\langle E \rangle$ is allocated.
- The operator '[] =' is invoked on a with first argument i and second argument $o_{i+1}, 0 \le i < n$.
- The result of the evaluation is a.

The objects created by list literals do not override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

Note that this document does not specify an order in which the elements are set. This allows for parallel assignments into the list if an implementation so desires. The order can only be observed as follows (and may not be relied upon): if element i is not a subtype of the element type of the list, a dynamic type error will occur when a[i] is assigned o_{i-1} .

A run-time list literal $[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$ is evaluated as **<dynamic>** $[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$.

There is no restriction precluding nesting of list literals. It follows from the rules above that <List<int>>[<int>[1, 2, 3], <int>[4, 5, 6]] is a list with type parameter List<int>, containing two lists with type parameter int.

The static type of a list literal of the form **const** $\langle E \rangle [e_1, \ldots, e_n]$ or the form $\langle E \rangle [e_1, \ldots, e_n]$ is List $\langle E \rangle$. The static type of a list literal of the form **const** $[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$ or the form $[e_1, \ldots, e_n]$ is List $\langle dynamic \rangle$.

16.10 Maps

A map literal denotes a map object.

```
\langle mapLiteral \rangle ::= const? \langle typeArguments \rangle?
``\{` \langle mapLiteralEntry \rangle \ (`,` \langle mapLiteralEntry \rangle)*`,`?``\}``
\langle mapLiteralEntry \rangle ::= \langle expression \rangle \ `:` \langle expression \rangle
\langle setOrMapLiteral \rangle ::= const? \langle typeArguments \rangle?``\{``\}`
```

A $\langle setOrMapLiteral \rangle$ e is either a set literal (16.11) or a map literal, determined by the type parameters or static context type. If e has exactly one type argument, then it is a set literal. If e has two type arguments, then it is a map literal. If e has three or more type arguments, it is a compile-time error. If e has no type arguments, then let S be the static context type of the literal. If futureOrBase(S) (19.8) is a subtype of Iterable<Object> and futureOrBase(S) is not a subtype of Map<Object, Object>, then e is set literal, and otherwise it is a map literal. A map literal derived from $\langle setOrMapLiteral \rangle$ is treated the same way as one derived from $\langle mapLiteral \rangle$, as described below.

A map literal consists of zero or more entries. Each entry has a *key* and a *value*. Each key and each value is denoted by an expression. It is a compile-time error if a map literal has one type argument, or more than two type arguments.

If a map literal ℓ begins with the reserved word **const**, or if ℓ occurs in a constant context (16.3.2), it is a *constant map literal* which is a constant expression (16.3) and therefore evaluated at compile time. Otherwise, it is a *run-time map literal* and it is evaluated at run time. Only run-time map literals can be mutated after they are created. Attempting to mutate a constant map literal will result in a dynamic error.

Note that the key and value expressions of a constant list literal occur in a constant context (16.3.2), which means that **const** modifiers need not be specified explicitly.

It is a compile-time error if either a key or a value of an entry in a constant map literal is not a constant expression. It is a compile-time error if the operator '==' of the key of an entry in a constant map literal is not primitive (10.1.3). It is a compile-time error if a type argument of a constant map literal is not a constant type expression (16.3).

The value of a constant map literal **const**? $\langle K,V \rangle \{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}$ is an object m whose class implements the built-in class $\mathsf{Map} \langle K,V \rangle$. The entries of m are $u_i:v_i,i\in 1..n$, where u_i is the value of the compile-time expression k_i , and v_i is the value of the compile-time expression e_i . The value of a constant map literal **const**? $\{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}$ is defined as the value of the constant map literal **const** $\langle \mathsf{dynamic} \rangle \{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}$.

Let map_1 be a constant map literal of the form **const**? $\langle K, V \rangle \{k_{11} : e_{11}, \ldots, k_{1n} : e_{1n}\}$ and map_2 a constant map literal of the form **const**? $\langle J, U \rangle \{k_{21} : e_{21}, \ldots, k_{2n} : e_{2n}\}$. Let the keys of map_1 and map_2 evaluate to s_{11}, \ldots, s_{1n} and s_{21}, \ldots, s_{2n} , respectively, and let the elements of map_1 and map_2 evaluate to o_{11}, \ldots, o_{1n} and

 o_{21}, \ldots, o_{2n} , respectively. Iff identical (o_{1i}, o_{2i}) and identical (s_{1i}, s_{2i}) for $i \in 1..n$, and K == J, V == U, then identical (map_1, map_2) .

In other words, constant map literals are canonicalized.

It is a compile-time error if two keys of a constant map literal are equal according to their '==' operator (16.27).

A run-time map literal $\langle K, V \rangle \{k_1 : e_1, \dots, k_n : e_n\}$ is evaluated as follows:

- For each $i \in 1..n$ in numeric order, first the expression k_i is evaluated producing object u_i , and then e_i is evaluated producing object o_i . This produces all the objects $u_1, o_1, \ldots, u_n, o_n$.
- A fresh instance (10.6.1) m whose class implements the built-in class Map< K, V >, is allocated.
- The operator '[]=' is invoked on m with first argument u_i and second argument o_i for each $i \in 1..n$.
- The result of the evaluation is m.

The objects created by map literals do not override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

```
A run-time map literal \{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\} is evaluated as \langle \mathbf{dynamic}, \mathbf{dynamic} \rangle \{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}.
```

A map literal is ordered: iterating over the keys and/or values of the maps always happens in the order the keys appeared in the source code.

Of course, if a key repeats, the order is defined by first occurrence, but the value is defined by the last.

The static type of a map literal of the form **const** $\langle K,V \rangle \{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}$ or the form $\langle K,V \rangle \{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}$ is Map $\langle K,V \rangle$. The static type of a map literal of the form **const** $\{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}$ or the form $\{k_1:e_1,\ldots,k_n:e_n\}$ is Map $\langle dynamic \rangle$.

16.11 Sets

A set literal denotes a set object.

```
\langle setLiteral \rangle ::= const? \langle typeArguments \rangle?
'{' \langle expression \rangle (',' \langle expression \rangle)* ','?' '}'
```

A $\langle setOrMapLiteral \rangle$ is either a set literal or a map literal (16.10). A set literal derived from $\langle setOrMapLiteral \rangle$ is treated the same way as one derived from $\langle setLiteral \rangle$, as described below.

A set literal consists of zero or more element expressions. It is a compile-time error if a set literal has more than one type argument.

A set literal with no type argument is always converted to a literal with a type argument by type inference (6), so the following section only address the behavior of literals with type arguments.

If a set literal ℓ begins with the reserved word **const** or ℓ occurs in a constant

context (16.3.2), it is a *constant set literal* which is a constant expression (16.3) and therefore evaluated at compile time. Otherwise, it is a *run-time set literal* and it is evaluated at run time. Only run-time set literals can be mutated after they are created. Attempting to mutate a constant set literal will result in a dynamic error.

Note that the element expressions of a constant set literal occur in a constant context (16.3.2), which means that **const** modifiers need not be specified explicitly.

It is a compile-time error if an element expression in a constant set literal is not a constant expression. It is a compile-time error if the operator '==' of an element expression in a constant map literal is not primitive (10.1.3). It is a compile-time error if the type argument of a constant set literal is not a constant type expression (16.3). It is a compile-time error if two elements of a constant set literal are equal according to their '==' operator (16.27).

The value of a constant set literal **const**? $\langle E \rangle \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an object s whose class implements the built-in class $\text{Set}\langle E \rangle$. The elements of m are $v_i, i \in 1..n$, where v_i is the value of the constant expression e_i . The value of a constant set literal **const**? $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is defined as the value of the constant set literal **const** $\langle \text{dynamic} \rangle \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$.

Let set_1 be a constant set literal with type argument E and element expressions, in source order, e_{11}, \ldots, e_{1n} evaluating to objects v_{11}, \ldots, v_{1n} . Let set_2 be a constant set literal with type argument F and element expressions, in source order, e_{21}, \ldots, e_{2n} evaluating to objects v_{21}, \ldots, v_{2n} . Iff identical (v_{1i}, v_{2i}) for $i \in 1..n$, and E and F is the same type, then identical (set_1, set_2) .

In other words, constant set literals are canonicalized if they have the same type and the same values in the same order. Two constant set literals are never identical if they have a different number of elements.

A run-time set literal with element expressions e_1, \ldots, e_n (in source order) and with type argument E is evaluated as follows:

- For each $i \in 1..n$ in numeric order, the expression e_i is evaluated producing object v_i .
- A fresh object (10.6.1) s implementing the built-in class Set<E>, is created.
- The set s is made to have the objects v_1, \ldots, v_n as elements, iterated in numerical order.
- The result of the evaluation is s.

The objects created by set literals do not override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

A set literal is ordered: iterating over the elements of the sets always happens in the order the elements first appeared in the source code.

If a value repeats, the order is defined by first occurrence, but the value is defined by the last.

A run-time set literal $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is evaluated as $\langle \text{dynamic} \rangle \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$. The static type of a set literal of the form $\text{const} \langle E \rangle \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ or the

form $\langle E \rangle \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is $\text{Set} \langle E \rangle$. The static type of a list literal of the form $\text{const } \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ or the form $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is $\text{Set} \langle \text{dynamic} \rangle$.

16.12 Throw

The throw expression is used to throw an exception.

```
\langle throwExpression \rangle ::= throw \langle expression \rangle
```

 $\langle throwExpressionWithoutCascade \rangle ::= throw \langle expressionWithoutCascade \rangle$

Evaluation of a throw expression of the form **throw** e; proceeds as follows: The expression e is evaluated to an object v (16.1).

There is no requirement that the expression \boldsymbol{e} must evaluate to any special kind of object.

If v is the null object (16.4), then a NullThrownError is thrown. Otherwise let t be a stack trace corresponding to the current execution state, and the **throw** statement throws with v as exception object and t as stack trace (16.1).

If v is an instance of class Error or a subclass thereof, and it is the first time that Error object is thrown, the stack trace t is stored on v so that it will be returned by the v's $\mathsf{stackTrace}$ getter

If the same Error object is thrown more than once, its stackTrace getter will return the stack trace from the *first* time it was thrown.

The static type of a throw expression is \perp .

16.13 Function Expressions

A function literal is an anonymous declaration and an expression that en- \diamond capsulates an executable unit of code.

```
\langle functionExpression \rangle ::= \langle formalParameterPart \rangle \langle functionBody \rangle
```

The grammar does not allow a function literal to declare a return type, but it is possible for a function literal to have a *declared return type*, because it can be obtained by means of type inference. Such a return type is included when we refer to the declared return type of a function.

Type inference will be specified in a future version of this document. Currently we consider type inference to be a phase that has completed, and this document specifies the meaning of Dart programs where inferred types have already been added.

We define the auxiliary function flatten(T), which is used below and in other \diamond sections, as follows:

- If T is FutureOr<S> for some S then flatten(T) = S.
- Otherwise if T <: Future then let S be a type such that T <: Future <S > and for all R, if T <: Future <R > then S <: R.

This ensures that Future < S > is the most specific generic instantiation of Future that is a supertype of T. Note that S is well-defined because of the requirements on superinterfaces.

Then flatten(T) = S.

• In any other circumstance, flatten(T) = T.

```
Case (Positional, arrow). The static type of a function literal of the form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) \implies e
T_0 Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]),
where T_0 is the static type of e.
     Case (Positional, arrow, future). The static type of a function literal of the
\langle X_1  extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) async => e
Future< flatten(T_0)>
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]),
where T_0 is the static type of e.
     Case (Named, arrow). The static type of a function literal of the form
\langle X_1  extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k\}) \implies e
T_0 Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\}),
where T_0 is the static type of e.
     Case (Named, arrow, future). The static type of a function literal of the
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k\}) async => e
Future< flatten(T_0)>
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\}),
where T_0 is the static type of e.
     Case (Positional, block). The static type of a function literal of the form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_S \text{ extends } B_S \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) { s }
dynamic
FunctionX_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}])
     Case (Positional, block, future). The static type of a function literal of the
form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) async \{ \ s \ \}
```

```
Future
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]).
          Case (Positional, block, stream). The static type of a function literal of
the form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
 (T_1 \ a_1,\ldots,\ T_n \ a_n,\ [T_{n+1}\ x_{n+1}=d_1,\ldots,\ T_{n+k}\ x_{n+k}=d_k] ) async* { s
is
Stream
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]).
          Case (Positional, block, iterable). The static type of a function literal of
the form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
 (T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) sync* { s }
is
Iterable
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]).
          Case (Named, block). The static type of a function literal of the form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) \ \{ \ s \ \}
dynamic
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}]).
          Case (Named, block, future). The static type of a function literal of the
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
 (T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k\}) async \{\ s\ \}
Future
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\}).
          Case (Named, block, stream). The static type of a function literal of the
form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
 (T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k\}) async* \{\ s \in \{a_n\}, a_n \in \{a_n
}
is
Stream
Function\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\}).
          Case (Named, block, iterable). The static type of a function literal of the
form
\langle X_1 \text{ extends } B_1, \ldots, X_s \text{ extends } B_s \rangle
(T_1 \ a_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ a_n, \ \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k\}) sync* \{ \ s \ \}
```

Iterable

```
Function< X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s > (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\}).
```

In all of the above cases, the type argument lists are omitted when m=0, and whenever T_i is not specified, $i \in 1..n+k$, it is considered to have been specified as **dynamic**.

Evaluation of a function literal yields a function object o.

The run-time type of o is specified based on the static type T of the function literal and the binding of type variables occurring in T at the occasion where the evaluation occurred (9.3).

16.14 This

The reserved word **this** denotes the target of the current instance member invocation.

```
\langle thisExpression \rangle ::= this
```

The static type of **this** is the interface of the immediately enclosing class. We do not support self-types at this point.

It is a compile-time error if **this** appears, implicitly or explicitly, in a top-level function or variable initializer, in a factory constructor, or in a static method or variable initializer, or in the initializer of an instance variable.

16.15 Instance Creation

Instance creation expressions generally produce instances and invoke constructors to initialize them.

The exception is that a factory constructor invocation works like a regular function call. It may of course evaluate an instance creation expression and thus produce a fresh instance, but no fresh instances are created as a direct consequence of the factory constructor invocation.

It is a compile-time error if the type T in an instance creation expression of one of the forms

```
new T.id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}), new T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}), const T.id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}), const T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}) is an enumerated type (13).
```

16.15.1 New

The new expression invokes a constructor (10.6).

 $\langle newExpression \rangle ::= \mathbf{new} \langle typeNotVoid \rangle$ ('.' $\langle identifier \rangle$)? $\langle arguments \rangle$

Let e be a new expression of the form

```
new T.id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}) or the form new T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

It is a compile-time error if T is not a class or a parameterized type accessible in the current scope, or if T is a parameterized type which is not a class. For instance, **new** F<int>() is an error if F is a type alias that does not denote a class.

If T is a parameterized type (19.10) $S < U_1, \ldots, U_m >$, let R be the generic class S, and let X_1 **extends** B_1, \ldots, X_p **extends** B_p be the formal type parameters of S. If T is not a parameterized type, let R be T.

- If e is of the form **new** $T.id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ it is a compile-time error if R.id is not the name of a constructor declared by R, or id is not accessible.
- If e is of the form **new** $T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ it is a compile-time error if R is not the name of a constructor declared by R.

Let q be the above-mentioned constructor named R.id or R.

It is a compile-time error if R is abstract and q is not a factory constructor. It is a compile-time error if R is a non-generic class and T is a parameterized type. It is a compile-time error if R is a generic class and T is not a parameterized type. It is a compile-time error if R is a generic class, T is a parameterized type, and $m \neq p$. That is, the number of type arguments is incorrect. It is a compile-time error if R is a generic class, T is a parameterized type, and T is not regular-bounded (14.2).

If q is a redirecting factory constructor, it is a compile-time error if q in some number of redirecting factory redirections redirects to itself. It is possible and allowed for a redirecting factory q' to enter an infinite loop, e.g., because q' redirects to a non-redirecting factory constructor q'' whose body uses q' in an instance creation expression. Only loops that consist exclusively of redirecting factory redirections are detected at compile time.

Let S_i be the static type of the formal parameter of the constructor R.id (respectively R) corresponding to the actual argument a_i , $i \in 1..n + k$. It is a compile-time error if the static type of $a_i, i \in 1..n + k$ is not assignable to $[U_1/X_1, \ldots, U_m/X_m]S_i$. The non-generic case is covered with m = 0.

The static type of e is T.

Evaluation of e proceeds as follows:

First, the argument part

```
\langle U_1, \ldots, U_m \rangle (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}) is evaluated, yielding the evaluated actual argument part
```

```
\langle u_1, \ldots, u_m \rangle (o_1, \ldots, o_n, x_{n+1}; o_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}; o_{n+k}). Note that the non-generic case is covered by letting m=0. If for any j \in 1..n+k the run-time type of o_j is not a subtype of [u_1/X_1, \ldots, u_m/X_m]S_j, a dynamic type error occurs.
```

Case (Non-loaded deferred constructors). If T is a deferred type with prefix p, then if p has not been successfully loaded, a dynamic error occurs.

Case \langle Generative constructors \rangle . When q is a generative constructor (10.6.1) evaluation proceeds to allocate a fresh instance (10.6.1), i, of class T. Then q is executed to initialize i with respect to the bindings that resulted from the evaluation of the argument list, and, if R is a generic class, with its type parameters bound to u_1, \ldots, u_m .

If execution of q completes normally (17.0.1), e evaluates to i. Otherwise execution of q throws an exception object x and stack trace t, and then evaluation of e also throws exception object x and stack trace t (16.1).

Case (Redirecting factory constructors). When q is a redirecting factory constructor (10.6.2) of the form **const**? $T(p_1,\ldots,\ p_{n+k})=c$; or of the form **const**? $T.id(p_1,\ldots,\ p_{n+k})=c$; where **const**? indicates that **const** may be present or absent, the remaining evaluation of e is equivalent to evaluating **new** $c(v_1,\ldots,\ v_n,\ x_{n+1}\colon\ v_{n+1},\ldots,\ x_{n+k}\colon\ v_{n+k})$ in an environment where v_j is a fresh variable bound to o_j for $j\in 1...n+k$, and X_j is bound to u_j for $j\in 1...m$. We need access to the type variables because e may contain them.

Case (Non-redirecting factory constructors). When q is a non-redirecting factory constructor, the body of q is executed with respect to the bindings that resulted from the evaluation of the argument list, and with the type parameters, if any, of q bound to the actual type arguments u_1, \ldots, u_m . If this execution returns an object (17.0.1) then e evaluates to the returned object. Otherwise, if the execution completes normally or returns with no object, then e evaluates to the null object (16.4). Otherwise the execution throws an exception x and stack trace t, and then evaluation of e also throws x and t (16.1).

A factory constructor can be declared in an abstract class and used safely, as it will either produce a valid instance or throw.

16.15.2 Const

A constant object expression invokes a constant constructor (10.6.3).

 $\langle constObjectExpression \rangle ::= \mathbf{const} \langle typeNotVoid \rangle (`.`\langle identifier \rangle)? \langle arguments \rangle$

Let e be a constant object expression of the form

```
const T \cdot id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}) or the form const T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

It is a compile-time error if T is not a class or a parameterized type accessible in the current scope, or if T is a parameterized type which is not a class. It is a compile-time error if T is a deferred type (19.1). In particular, T must not be a type variable.

It is a compile-time error if a_i is not a constant expression for some $i \in 1..n + k$.

If T is a parameterized type (19.10) $S < U_1, \ldots, U_m >$, let R be the generic class S, and let X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_p extends B_p be the formal type parameters of S. If T is not a parameterized type, let R be T.

If T is a parameterized type, it is a compile-time error if U_j is not a constant

type expression for any $j \in 1..m$.

- If e is of the form **const** $T \cdot id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ it is a compile-time error if $R \cdot id$ is not the name of a constant constructor declared by R, or id is not accessible.
- If e is of the form **const** $T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ it is a compile-time error if R is not the name of a constant constructor declared by R.

Let q be the above-mentioned constant constructor named R.id or R.

It is a compile-time error if R is abstract and q is not a factory constructor. It is a compile-time error if R is a non-generic class and T is a parameterized type. It is a compile-time error if R is a generic class and T is not a parameterized type. It is a compile-time error if R is a generic class, T is a parameterized type, and $m \neq p$. That is, the number of type arguments is incorrect. It is a compile-time error if R is a generic class, T is a parameterized type, and T is not regular-bounded (14.2).

Let S_i be the static type of the formal parameter of the constructor R.id (respectively R) corresponding to the actual argument a_i , $i \in 1..n + k$. It is a compile-time error if the static type of $a_i, i \in 1..n + k$ is not assignable to $[U_1/X_1, \ldots, U_m/X_m]S_i$. The non-generic case is covered with m = 0.

The static type of e is T.

Evaluation of e proceeds as follows:

If e is of the form **const** $T.id(a_1, ..., a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, ..., x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ let i be the value of the expression e':

```
new T.id(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

Let o be the result of an evaluation of e', at some point in time of some execution of the program in the library L where e occurs. The result of an evaluation of e' in L at some other time and/or in some other execution will yield a result o', such that o' would be replaced by o by canonicalization as described below. This means that the value is well-defined.

If e is of the form **const** $T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$, let i be the value of **new** $T(a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$. Which is well-defined for the same reason.

- If during execution of the program, a constant object expression has already evaluated to an instance j of class R with type arguments $U_i, 1 \le i \le m$, then:
 - For each instance variable f of i, let v_{if} be the value of the instance variable f in i, and let v_{jf} be the value of the instance variable f in j. If $identical(v_{if}, v_{jf})$ for all instance variables f in i then the value of e is j, otherwise the value of e is i.
- Otherwise the value of e is i.

In other words, constant objects are canonicalized. In order to determine if an object is actually new, one has to compute it; then it can be compared to any cached instances. If an equivalent object exists in the cache, we throw away the newly created object and use the cached one. Objects are equivalent if they have identical type arguments and identical instance variables. Since the constructor cannot induce any side effects, the execution of the constructor is unobservable. The constructor need only be executed once per call site, at compile time.

It is a compile-time error if evaluation of a constant object results in an uncaught exception being thrown.

To see how such situations might arise, consider the following examples:

```
class A {
    final x;
    const A(p): x = p * 10;
}

class IntPair {
    const IntPair(this.x, this.y);
    final int x;
    final int y;
    operator *(v) => new IntPair(x*v, y*v);
}

const a1 = const A(true); // compile-time error
const a2 = const A(5); // legal
const a3 = const A(const IntPair(1,2)); // compile-time error
```

Due to the rules governing constant constructors, evaluating the constructor A() with the argument "x" or the argument **const** IntPair(1, 2) would cause it to throw an exception, resulting in a compile-time error. In the latter case, the error is caused by the fact that **operator** * can only be used with a few "well-known" types, which is required in order to avoid running arbitrary code during the evaluation of constant expressions.

16.16 Spawning an Isolate

Spawning an isolate is accomplished via what is syntactically an ordinary library call, invoking one of the functions <code>spawnUri()</code> or <code>spawn()</code> defined in the <code>dart:isolate</code> library. However, such calls have the semantic effect of creating a new isolate with its own memory and thread of control.

An isolate's memory is finite, as is the space available to its thread's call stack. It is possible for a running isolate to exhaust its memory or stack, resulting in a dynamic error that cannot be effectively caught, which will force the isolate to be suspended.

As discussed in section 7, the handling of a suspended isolate is the responsibility of the embedder.

16.17 Function Invocation

Function invocation occurs in the following cases: when a function expression (16.13) is invoked (16.17.5), when a method (16.21), getter (16.20, 16.22) or setter (16.23) is invoked, or when a constructor is invoked (either via instance creation (16.15), constructor redirection (10.6.1), or super initialization). The various kinds of function invocation differ as to how the function to be invoked, f, is determined, as well as whether **this** (16.14) is bound. Once f has been determined, formal type parameters of f are bound to the corresponding actual type arguments, and the formal parameters of f are bound to corresponding actual arguments. When the body of f is executed it will be executed with the aforementioned bindings.

Executing a body of the form \Rightarrow e is equivalent to executing a body of the form $\{$ return e; $\}$. Execution a body of the form **async** \Rightarrow e is equivalent to executing a body of the form **async** $\{$ return e; $\}$.

If f is synchronous and is not a generator (9) then execution of the body of f begins immediately. If the execution of the body of f returns an object v (17.0.1), the invocation evaluates to v. If the execution completes normally or it returns without an object, the invocation evaluates to the null object (16.4). If the execution throws an exception object and stack trace, the invocation throws the same exception object and stack trace (16.1).

A complete function body can never break or continue (17.0.1) because a **break** or **continue** statement must always occur inside the statement that is the target of the **break** or **continue**. This means that a function body can only either complete normally, throw, or return. Completing normally or returning without an object is treated the same as returning with the null object (16.4), so the result of executing a function body can always be used as the result of evaluating an expression, either by evaluating to an object, or by the evaluation throwing.

If f is marked **sync*** (9), then a fresh instance (10.6.1) i implementing Iterable<U> is immediately returned, where U is determined as follows: Let T be the actual return type of f (19.10.1). If T is Iterable<S> for some type S, then U is S, otherwise U is Object.

A Dart implementation will need to provide a specific implementation of Iterable that will be returned by **sync*** methods. A typical strategy would be to produce an instance of a subclass of class IterableBase defined in dart:core. The only method that needs to be added by the Dart implementation in that case is iterator.

The iterable implementation must comply with the contract of Iterable and should not take any steps identified as exceptionally efficient in that contract.

The contract explicitly mentions a number of situations where certain iterables could be more efficient than normal. For example, by precomputing their length. Normal iterables must iterate over their elements to determine their length. This is certainly true in the case of a synchronous generator, where each element is computed by a function. It would not be acceptable to pre-compute the results of the generator and cache them, for example.

When iteration over the iterable is started, by getting an iterator j from the

iterable and calling moveNext(), execution of the body of f will begin. When execution of the body of f completes (17.0.1),

- If it returns without an object or it completes normally (17.0.1), j is positioned after its last element, so that its current value is the null object (16.4) and the current call to ${\tt moveNext}()$ on j returns false, as must all further calls.
- If it throws an exception object e and stack trace t then the current value of j is the null object (16.4) and the current call to moveNext() throws e and t as well. Further calls to moveNext() must return false.

Each iterator starts a separate computation. If the **sync*** function is impure, the sequence of objects yielded by each iterator may differ.

One can derive more than one iterator from a given iterable. Note that operations on the iterable itself can create distinct iterators. An example would be length. It is conceivable that different iterators might yield sequences of different length. The same care needs to be taken when writing <code>sync*</code> functions as when writing an Iterator class. In particular, it should handle multiple simultaneous iterators gracefully. If the iterator depends on external state that might change, it should check that the state is still valid after every yield (and maybe throw a ConcurrentModificationError if it isn't).

Each iterator runs with its own shallow copies of all local variables; in particular, each iterator has the same initial arguments, even if their bindings are modified by the function. Two executions of an iterator interact only via state outside the function.

If f is marked **async** (9), then a fresh instance (10.6.1) o is associated with the invocation, where the dynamic type of o implements Future<flatten(T)>, and T is the actual return type of f (19.10.1). Then the body of f is executed until it either suspends or completes, at which point o is returned. The body of f may suspend during the evaluation of an **await** expression or execution of an asynchronous for loop. The future o is completed when execution of the body of f completes (17.0.1). If execution of the body returns an object, o is completed with that object. If it completes normally or returns without an object, o is completed with the null object (16.4), and if it throws an exception e and stack trace e is completed with the error e and stack trace e. If execution of the body throws before the body suspends the first time, completion of e happens at some future time after the invocation has returned. The caller needs time to set up error handling for the returned future, so the future is not completed with an error before it has been returned.

If f is marked **async*** (9), then a fresh instance (10.6.1) s implementing Stream<U> is immediately returned, where U is determined as follows: Let T be the actual return type of f (19.10.1). If T is Stream<S> for some type S, then U is S, otherwise U is Object. When s is listened to, execution of the body of f will begin. When execution of the body of f completes:

• If it completes normally or returns without an object (17.0.1), then if s

has been canceled then its cancellation future is completed with the null object (16.4).

- If it throws an exception object e and stack trace t:
 - If s has been canceled then its cancellation future is completed with error e and stack trace t.
 - otherwise the error e and stack trace t are emitted by s.
- \bullet s is closed.

The body of an asynchronous generator function cannot break, continue or return with an object (17.0.1). The first two are only allowed in contexts that will handle the break or continue, and return statements with an expression are not allowed in generator functions.

When an asynchronous generator's stream has been canceled, cleanup will occur in the **finally** clauses (17.11) inside the generator. We choose to direct any exceptions that occur at this time to the cancellation future rather than have them be lost.

16.17.1 Actual Argument Lists

Actual argument lists have the following syntax:

```
\langle arguments \rangle ::= '(' (\langle argumentList \rangle ', '?)? ')'
\langle argumentList \rangle ::= \langle namedArgument \rangle (', ' \langle namedArgument \rangle)^*
| \langle expressionList \rangle (', ' \langle namedArgument \rangle)^*
\langle namedArgument \rangle ::= \langle label \rangle \langle expression \rangle
```

Argument lists allow an optional trailing comma after the last argument (','?). An argument list with such a trailing comma is equivalent in all ways to the same parameter list without the trailing comma. All argument lists in this specification are shown without a trailing comma, but the rules and semantics apply equally to the corresponding argument list with a trailing comma.

Let L be an argument list of the form $(e_1, \dots, e_m, y_{m+1}; e_{m+1}, \dots, y_{m+p}; e_{m+p})$ and assume that the static type of e_i is S_i , $i \in 1...m + p$. The static ar- \diamond gument list type of L is then $(S_1, \dots, S_m, S_{m+1}, y_{m+1}, \dots, S_{m+p}, y_{m+p})$.

```
Let Ss be the static argument list type
```

```
(S_1, \ldots, S_m, S_{m+1}, y_{m+1}, \ldots, S_{m+p}, y_{m+p}) and let Ps be the formal parameter list
```

```
(T_1 \ x_1 \ldots, \ T_n \ x_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k} = d_k]) where each parameter may be marked covariant (not shown, but allowed).
```

We say that Ss is a subtype match for Ps iff $p=0, n \leq m \leq n+k$, and $S_i \Leftrightarrow$ is a subtype of T_i for all $i \in 1..m$. We say that Ss is an assignable match for $Ps \Leftrightarrow$ iff $p=0, n \leq m \leq n+k$, and S_i is assignable to T_i for all $i \in 1..m$.

Let Ss be the static argument list type

```
(S_1,\ldots,S_m,S_{m+1},y_{m+1},\ldots,S_{m+p},y_{m+p}) and let Ps be the formal parameter list (T_1,x_1,\ldots,T_n,x_n,\{T_{n+1},x_{n+1}=d_1,\ldots,T_{n+k},x_{n+k}=d_k\}) where each parameter may be marked covariant (not shown, but allowed).
```

We say that Ss is a subtype match for Ps iff $m=n, \{y_{m+1}, y_{m+p}\}\subseteq \{x_{m+1}, x_{m+k}\}$, S_i is a subtype of T_i for all $i \in 1..m$, and S_i is a subtype of T_j whenever $y_i = x_j$ and $j \in n+1..n+k$, for all $i \in m+1..m+p$. We say that Ss is an assignable match for Ps iff $m=n, \{y_{m+1}, \dots, y_{m+p}\}\subseteq \{x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+k}\}$, S_i is assignable to T_i for all $i \in 1..m$, and S_i is assignable to T_j whenever $y_i = x_j$ and $j \in n+1..n+k$, for all $i \in m+1..m+p$.

In short, an actual argument list is a match for a formal parameter list whenever the former can safely be passed to the latter.

16.17.2 Actual Argument List Evaluation

Function invocation involves evaluation of the list of actual arguments to the function, and binding of the results to the function's formal parameters.

When parsing an argument list, an ambiguity may arise because the same source code could be one generic function invocation, and it could be two or more relational expressions and/or shift expressions. In this situation, the expression is always parsed as a generic function invocation.

An example is f(a<B, C>(d)), which may be an invocation of f passing two actual arguments of type bool, or an invocation of f passing the result returned by an invocation of the generic function f. Note that the ambiguity can be eliminated by omitting the parentheses around the expression f, or adding parentheses around one of the relational expressions.

When the intention is to pass several relational or shift expressions as actual arguments and there is an ambiguity, the source code can easily be adjusted to a form which is unambiguous. Also, we expect that it will be more common to have generic function invocations as actual arguments than having relational or shift expressions that happen to match up and have parentheses at the end, such that the ambiguity arises.

```
Evaluation of an actual argument part of the form \langle A_1, \ldots, A_r \rangle (a_1, \ldots, a_m, q_1: a_{m+1}, \ldots, q_l: a_{m+l}) proceeds as follows:
```

The type arguments A_1, \ldots, A_r are evaluated in the order they appear in the program, producing types t_1, \ldots, t_r . The arguments a_1, \ldots, a_{m+l} are evaluated in the order they appear in the program, producing objects o_1, \ldots, o_{m+l} .

Simply stated, an argument part consisting of s type arguments, m positional arguments, and l named arguments is evaluated from left to right. Note that the type argument list is omitted when r=0 (14).

16.17.3 Binding Actuals to Formals

In the following, the non-generic case is covered implicitly: When the number of actual type arguments is zero the entire type argument list <...> is omitted, and similarly for empty type parameter lists (14).

Consider an invocation i of a function f with an actual argument part of the form $\langle A_1, \ldots, A_r \rangle (a_1, \ldots, a_m, q_1; a_{m+1}, \ldots, q_l; a_{m+l})$.

Note that f denotes a function in a semantic sense, rather than a syntactic construct. A reference to this section is used in other sections when the static analysis of an invocation is specified, and the static type of f has been determined. The function itself may have been obtained from a function declaration, from an instance bound to **this** and an instance method declaration, or as a function object obtained by evaluation of an expression. Because of that, we cannot indicate here which syntactic construct corresponds to f. A reference to this section is also used in other sections when actual arguments are to be bound to the corresponding formal parameters, and f is about to be invoked, to specify the dynamic semantics.

We do not call f a 'function object' here, because we do not wish to imply that every function invocation must involve a separate evaluation of an expression that yields a function object, followed by an invocation of that function object. For instance, an implementation should be allowed to compile the invocation of a top-level function as a series of steps whereby a stack frame is created, followed by a low-level jump to the generated code for the body. So, in this section, the word 'function' is more low-level than 'function object', but 'function' still denotes a semantic entity which is associated with a function declaration, even though there may not be a corresponding entity in the heap at run time.

It is a compile-time error if $q_i = q_k$ for any $j \neq k$.

If the static type of f is **dynamic** or the built-in class **Function**, no further static checks are performed and the static type of i is **dynamic**; otherwise, it is a compile-time error if the static type of f is not a function type.

Otherwise, the static type of f is a function type F. Let S_0 be the return type of F, let X_1 **extends** B_1, \ldots, X_s **extends** B_s be the formal type parameters, let h be the number of required parameters, let p_1, \ldots, p_n be the positional parameters, and let p_{h+1}, \ldots, p_{h+k} be the optional parameters of F. Let S_i be the static type of the formal parameters $p_i, i \in 1..h + k$, and for each q let S_q be the type of the parameter named q, where each parameter type is obtained by replacing X_j by $A_j, j \in 1..s$, in the given parameter type annotation. Finally, let T_i be the static type of a_i .

We have an actual argument list consisting of r type arguments, m positional arguments, and l named arguments. We have a function with s type parameters, h required parameters, and k optional parameters. Figure 1 shows how this situation arises.

It is a compile-time error if $r \neq s$. It is a compile-time error if r = s and for some $j \in 1...s$, $A_j \nleq : [A_1/X_1, \ldots, A_r/X_s]B_j$. It is a compile-time error unless $h \leq m \leq n$. If l > 0, it is a compile-time error unless F has named parameters and $q_j \in \{p_{h+1}, \ldots, p_{h+k}\}, j \in 1...l$.

That is, the number of type arguments must match the number of type parameters, and the bounds must be respected. We must receive at least the required

Actual arguments:

```
\langle r \text{ type arguments} \rangle ( m positional arguments, l named arguments )
```

Declaration with named parameters: n = h

$$\langle s \text{ type parameters} \rangle \left(\begin{array}{c} h \text{ required parameters, } k \text{ optional parameters} \\ which may also be viewed as} \\ n \text{ positional parameters, } k \text{ named parameters} \end{array} \right)$$

Declaration with optional positional parameters: n = h + k

$$\langle s \text{ type parameters} \rangle \left(\begin{array}{c} h \text{ required parameters, } k \text{ optional parameters} \\ which may also be viewed as} \\ n \text{ positional parameters} \end{array} \right)$$

Figure 1: Possible actual argument parts and formal parameter parts

number of positional arguments, and not more than the total number of positional parameters. For each named argument there must be a named parameter with the same name.

The static type of i is $[A_1/X_1, \ldots, A_r/X_s]S_0$.

It is a compile-time error if T_j may not be assigned to $S_j, j \in 1..m$. It is a compile-time error if T_{m+j} may not be assigned to $S_{q_j}, j \in 1..l$.

Consider the case where the function invocation in focus here is an instance method invocation. In that case, for each actual argument, the corresponding parameter may be covariant. However, the above assignability requirements apply equally both when the parameter is covariant and when it is not.

Parameter covariance in an instance method invocation can be introduced by a subtype of the statically known receiver type, which means that any attempt to flag a given actual argument as dangerous due to the dynamic type check that it will be subjected to will be incomplete: some actual arguments can be subjected to such a dynamic type check even though this is not known statically at the call site. This is not surprising for a mechanism like parameter covariance which is designed for the very purpose of allowing developers to explicitly request that this specific kind of compile-time safety is violated. The point is that this mechanism postpones the enforcement of the underlying invariant to run time, and in return allows some useful program designs that would otherwise be rejected at compile-time.

For the dynamic semantics, let f be a function with s type parameters and h required parameters; let p_1, \ldots, p_n be the positional parameters of f; and let p_{h+1}, \ldots, p_{h+k} be the optional parameters declared by f.

```
An evaluated actual argument part \langle t_1, \ldots, t_r \rangle (o_1, \ldots, o_m, q_1; o_{m+1}, \ldots, q_l; o_{m+l}) derived from an actual argument part of the form
```

 $\langle A_1, \ldots, A_r \rangle (a_1, \ldots, a_m, q_1; a_{m+1}, \ldots, q_l; a_{m+l})$

is bound to the formal type parameters and formal parameters of f as follows: If r=0 and s>0 then replace the actual type argument list: let r be s and $t_i=$ **dynamic** for $i\in 1...s$. Then, if $r\neq s$, a NoSuchMethodError is thrown. If l>0 and $n\neq h$, a NoSuchMethodError is thrown. If m< h, or m>n, a NoSuchMethodError is thrown. Furthermore, each $q_i, i\in 1..l$, must have a corresponding named parameter in the set $\{p_{h+1},\ldots,p_{h+k}\}$, or a NoSuchMethodError is thrown. Then p_i is bound to $o_i, i\in 1..m$, and q_j is bound to $o_{m+j}, j\in 1..l$. All remaining formal parameters of f are bound to their default values.

All of these remaining parameters are necessarily optional and thus have default values.

It is a dynamic type error if t_i is not a subtype of the actual bound (19.10.1) of the *i*th type argument of f, for actual type arguments t_1, \ldots, t_r . It is a dynamic type error if o_i is not the null object (16.4) and the actual type (19.10.1) of p_i is not a supertype of the dynamic type of $o_i, i \in 1..m$. It is a dynamic type error if o_{m+j} is not the null object and the actual type (19.10.1) of q_j is not a supertype of the dynamic type of $o_{m+j}, j \in 1..l$.

16.17.4 Unqualified Invocation

An unqualified function invocation i has the form $id < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$, where id is an identifier.

Note that the type argument list is omitted when r = 0 (14).

Perform a lexical lookup of id (16.37) from the location of i.

Case \langle Lexical lookup yields a declaration \rangle . Let D be the declaration yielded by the lexical lookup of id.

- When D is a type declaration, that is, a declaration of a class, mixin, type alias, or type parameter, the following applies: If D is a declaration of a class C that has a constructor named C then the meaning of i depends on the context: If i occurs in a constant context (16.3.2), then i is treated as (5) **const** i; if i does not occur in a constant context then i is treated as **new** i. If D is not a class declaration, or it declares a class named C that has no constructor named C, a compile-time error occurs.
- Otherwise, if D is a declaration of a local function, a library function, or a library or static getter, or a variable, then i is treated as (5) a function expression invocation (16.17.5).
- Otherwise, if D is a static method or getter (which may be implicitly induced by a class variable) in the enclosing class or mixin C, i is treated as (5) $C \cdot i$ (16.21.1).
- A lexical lookup will never yield a declaration D which is an instance member.

Case \langle Lexical lookup yields an import prefix \rangle . When the lexical lookup of id yields an import prefix, a compile-time error occurs.

Case (Lexical lookup yields a member signature). When the lexical lookup of id yields a member signature, i is treated as (5) the ordinary method invocation **this**.i (16.21.1).

This occurs when the lexical lookup has determined that i must invoke an instance member, and the location of i can access **this**, and the interface of the enclosing class has a member named id. Both the static analysis and evaluation proceeds with **this**.i, so there is no need to further specify the treatment of i.

Note that an unqualified invocation does not specify an evaluation semantics. This is because every case which is not an error ends in the conclusion that the unqualified invocation should be treated as some other construct, which is specified elsewhere.

16.17.5 Function Expression Invocation

A function expression invocation i has the form $e_f < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$, where e_f is an expression.

Note that the type argument list is omitted when r = 0 (14).

Consider the situation where e_f denotes a class C that contains a declaration of a constructor named C, or it is of the form $e'_f . id$ where e'_f denotes a class C that contains a declaration of a constructor named C.id. If i occurs in a constant context (16.3.2) then i is treated as **const** i, and if i does not occur in a constant context then i is treated as **new** i.

When i is treated as another construct i', both the static analysis and the dynamic semantics is specified in the section about i' (5).

Otherwise, it is a compile-time error if e_f is a type literal.

This error was already specified elsewhere (16.17.4) for the case where e_f is an identifier, but e_f may also have other forms, e.g., p.C.

Otherwise, if e_f is an identifier id, then id must necessarily denote a local function, a library function, a library or static getter, or a variable as described above, or i would not have been treated as a function expression invocation.

If e_f is a property extraction expression (16.22) then i treated as an ordinary method invocation (16.21.1).

a.b(x) is treated as a method invocation of method b() on object a, not as an invocation of getter b on a followed by a function call (a.b)(x). If a method or getter b exists, the two will be equivalent. However, if b is not defined on a, the resulting invocation of noSuchMethod() would differ. The Invocation passed to noSuchMethod() would describe a call to a method b with argument a in the former case, and a call to a getter a (with no arguments) in the latter.

Let F be the static type of e_f . The static analysis of i is performed as specified in Section 16.17.3, using F as the static type of the invoked function, and the static type of i is as specified there.

Evaluation of a function expression invocation

 $e_f < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ proceeds to evaluate e_f , yielding an object o. Let f be a fresh variable bound to o. If o is a function object then the function invocation

 $f < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ is evaluated by binding actuals to formals as specified in Section 16.17.3, and executing the body of f with those bindings; the returned result is then the result of evaluating i.

Otherwise o is not a function object. If o has a method named call the following ordinary method invocation is evaluated, and its result is then the result of evaluating i:

```
f.call < A_1, ..., A_r > (a_1, ..., a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, ..., x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

Otherwise o has no method named call. A new instance im of the predefined class Invocation is created, such that:

- *im*.isMethod evaluates to **true**.
- ullet im.memberName evaluates to the symbol #call.
- im.positionalArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable list containing the objects resulting from evaluation of $\{0\}$ [a_1, \ldots, a_n].
- *im*.namedArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable map with the keys and values resulting from evaluation of

```
<Symbol, Object>{\#x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, \#x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}}.
```

• im.typeArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable list with the values resulting from evaluation of $Type[A_1, ..., A_r]$.

Then the method invocation f.noSuchMethod(im) is evaluated, and its result is then the result of evaluating i.

The situation where noSuchMethod is invoked can only arise when the static type of e_f is **dynamic**. The run-time semantics ensures that a function invocation may amount to an invocation of the instance method call. However, an interface type with a method named call is not itself a subtype of any function type (19.4.2).

16.18 Function Closurization

Let f be an expression denoting a declaration of a local function, a static method, or a top-level function (16.38) or let f be a function literal (16.13). Evaluation of f yields a function object which is the outcome of a function closurization applied to the declaration denoted by f respectively to the function literal f considered as a function declaration. Closurization denotes instance method closurization (16.22.3) as well as function closurization, and it is also used as a shorthand for either of them when there is no ambiguity.

Function closurization applied to a function declaration f amounts to the creation of a function object o which is an instance of a class C whose interface is a subtype of the actual type F (19.10.1) corresponding to the signature in

the function declaration f, using the current bindings of type variables, if any. There does not exist a function type F' which is a proper subtype of F such that C is a subtype of F'. If f denotes a static method or top-level function, class C does not override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class.

In other words, C has the freedom to be a proper subtype of the function type that we can read off of the declaration of f because it may need to be a specific internal platform defined class, but C does not have the freedom to be a subtype of a different and more special function type, and it cannot be Null.

An invocation of o with a given argument list will bind actuals to formals in the same way as an invocation of f (16.17.3), and then execute the body of f in the captured scope amended with the bound parameter scope, yielding the same completion (17.0.1) as the invocation of f would have yielded.

16.18.1 Generic Function Instantiation

Generic function instantiation is a mechanism that yields a non-generic function object, based on a reference to a generic function.

It is a mechanism which is very similar to function closurization (16.18), but it only occurs in situations where a compile-time error would otherwise occur.

The essence of generic function instantiation is to allow for "curried" invocations, in the sense that a generic function can receive its actual type arguments separately during closurization (it must then receive all type arguments, not just some of them), and that yields a non-generic function object. The type arguments are passed implicitly, based on type inference; a future version of Dart may allow for passing them explicitly. Here is an example:

```
X fg<X extends num>(X x) => x;

class A {
    static X fs<X extends num>(X x) => x;
}

void main() {
    X fl<X extends num>(X x) => x;
    List<int Function(int)> functions = [fg, A.fs, fl];
}
```

Each function object stored in functions has dynamic type int **Function**(int), and it is obtained by implicitly "passing the actual type argument int" to the corresponding generic function.

Let f of the form $\langle identifier \rangle$ (" $\langle identifier \rangle$ (" $\langle identifier \rangle$)?)? be an expression that denotes a declaration of a local function, a static method, or a top-level function, and let G be the static type of f. Consider the situation where G is a function type of the form T_0 Function $X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \triangleright (parameters)$ with s > 0 (that is, G is a generic function type), and the context type is a non-generic function type F. In this situation a compile-time error occurs (8, 9, 1)

10.6.1, 10.6.1, 10.6.1, 16.15.1, 16.15.2, 16.17.3, 16.23, 17.3, 17.9, 17.12, 17.16.2), except when the following step succeeds:

Generic function type instantiation: Type inference is applied to G with context type F, and it succeeds, yielding the actual type argument list T_1, \ldots, T_s .

The generic function type instantiation fails in the case where type inference fails, in which case the above mentioned compile-time error occurs. It will be specified in a future version of this document how type inference computes T_1, \ldots, T_s (6).

Otherwise, the generic function type instantiation succeeded. Let F' denote the type $[T_1/X_1, \ldots, T_s/X_s](T_0$ Function(parameters)). Note that it is guaranteed that F' is assignable to F, or inference would have failed.

Case \langle Top-level Functions and Static Methods \rangle . Consider the situation where f denotes a top-level function or a static method. In this situation, the program is modified such that f is replaced by a reference f' to an implicitly induced non-generic function whose signature is F', whose dynamic type is $[t_1/T_1,\ldots,t_s/T_s]F'$, and whose semantics for each invocation is the same as invoking f with t_1,\ldots,t_s as the actual type argument list, where t_1,\ldots,t_s is the actual value of T_1,\ldots,T_s at the point during execution where f' was evaluated. Here is an example:

```
List<T> foo<T>(T t) => [t];
List<int> fooOfInt(int i) => [i];
String bar(List<int> f(int)) => "$f(42)";
void main() {
  print(bar(foo));
}
```

In this example, foo as an actual argument to bar will be modified as if the call had been bar(foo0fInt), except for equality, which is specified next.

Consider the situation where the program before generic function instantiation contains two occurrences of f in the same scope or different scopes, but denoting the same function, respectively the situation where an execution of the program containing f evaluates it twice. Let o_1 and o_2 denote the function objects obtained by evaluation of those two expressions, respectively the two evaluations of that expression.

In the case where the actual values of the type arguments are the same for both evaluations, it is guaranteed that o_1 and o_2 are equal according to operator '=='. However, it is unspecified whether identical(o_1 , o_2) evaluates to **true** or **false**.

No notion of equality is appropriate when different type arguments are provided, even if the resulting function objects turn out to have exactly the same type at run time, because execution of two function objects that differ in these ways can have different side-effects and return different results when executed starting from exactly the same state. For instance, there could be a type parameter X that does not occur in the signature of the function, and the function could

create and return a List<X>.

Case $\langle \text{Local Functions} \rangle$. Consider the situation where f is an identifier denoting a local function. For a local function, only an identifier can denote it. In this situation, the program is modified such that f is replaced by a reference f' to an implicitly induced non-generic function whose signature is F', whose dynamic type is $[t_1/T_1,\ldots,t_s/T_s]F'$, and whose semantics for each invocation is the same as invoking f with t_1,\ldots,t_s as the actual type argument list, where t_1,\ldots,t_s is the actual value of T_1,\ldots,T_s at the point during execution where f' was evaluated.

No guarantees are provided regarding equality of non-generic functions obtained from a local function by generic function instantiation.

Such a local function could have received exactly the same actual type arguments in the two cases, and still its body could contain references to declarations of types, variables, and other entities in the enclosing scopes. Those references could denote different entities when the two function objects were created. In that situation it is unreasonable to consider the two function objects to be the same function.

16.19 Lookup

A lookup is a procedure which selects a concrete instance member declaration based on a traversal of a sequence of classes, starting with a given class C and proceeding with the superclass of the current class at each step. A lookup may be part of the static analysis, and it may be performed at run time. It may succeed or fail.

We define several kinds of lookup with a very similar structure. We spell out each of them in spite of the redundancy, in order to avoid introducing meta-level abstraction mechanisms just for this purpose. The point is that we must indicate for each lookup which kind of member it is looking for, because, e.g., a 'method lookup' and a 'getter lookup' are used in different situations.

Let m be an identifier, o an object, L a library, and C a class which is the class of o or a superclass thereof.

The result of a method lookup for m in o with respect to L starting in class C is the result of a method lookup for m in C with respect to L. The result of a method lookup for m in C with respect to L is: If C declares a concrete instance method named m that is accessible to L, then that method declaration is the result of the method lookup, and we say that the method was looked up in C. Otherwise, if C has a superclass S, the result of the method lookup is the result of a method lookup for m in S with respect to L. Otherwise, we say that the method lookup has failed.

The result of a getter lookup for m in o with respect to L starting in class C is the result of a getter lookup for m in C with respect to L. The result of a getter lookup for m in C with respect to L is: If C declares a concrete instance getter named m that is accessible to L, then that getter declaration is the result of the getter lookup, and we say that the getter was looked up in C. Otherwise, if C has a superclass S, the result of the getter lookup is the result of a getter

lookup for m in S with respect to L. Otherwise, we say that the getter lookup has failed.

The result of a setter lookup for m in o with respect to L starting in class C is the result of a setter lookup for m in C with respect to L. The result of a setter lookup for m in C with respect to L is: If C declares a concrete instance setter named m that is accessible to L, then that setter declaration is the result of the setter lookup, and we say that the setter was looked up in C. Otherwise, if C has a superclass S, the result of the setter lookup is the result of a setter lookup for m in S with respect to L. Otherwise, we say that the setter lookup has failed.

Let m be an identifier, o an object, and L a library. The result of a method lookup for m in o with respect to L is the result of a method lookup for m in o with respect to L starting with the class of o. The result of a getter lookup for m in o with respect to L is the result of a getter lookup for m in o with respect to L starting with the class of o. The result of a setter lookup for m in o with respect to L is the result of a setter lookup for m in o with respect to L starting with the class of o.

Note that for getter (setter) lookup, the result may be a getter (setter) which has been induced by an instance variable declaration.

Note that we sometimes use phrases like 'looking up method m' to indicate that a method lookup is performed, and similarly for setter lookups and getter lookups.

The motivation for ignoring abstract members during lookup is largely to allow smoother mixin composition.

16.20 Top level Getter Invocation

Evaluation of a top-level getter invocation i of the form m, where m is an identifier, proceeds as follows:

The getter function m is invoked. The value of i is the result returned by the call to the getter function. Note that the invocation is always defined. Per the rules for identifier references, an identifier will not be treated as a top-level getter invocation unless the getter i is defined.

The static type of i is the declared return type of m.

16.21 Method Invocation

Method invocation can take several forms as specified below.

16.21.1 Ordinary Invocation

An ordinary method invocation can be conditional or unconditional.

Case $\langle e?.m < \cdots > (\cdots) \rangle$. Consider a conditional ordinary method invocation i of the form $e?.m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$.

Note that non-generic invocations arise as the special case where the number of type arguments is zero, in which case the type argument list is omitted, and similarly for formal type parameter lists (14).

The static type of i is the same as the static type of

```
e.m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by

```
e \cdot m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}) are also generated in the case of i.
```

Evaluation of i proceeds as follows:

If e is a type literal, i is equivalent to

```
e.m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

Otherwise, evaluate e to an object o. If o is the null object, i evaluates to the null object (16.4). Otherwise let v be a fresh variable bound to o and evaluate $v . m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ to an object r. Then e evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e.m < \cdots > (\cdots) \rangle$. An unconditional ordinary method invocation i has \diamond the form $e.m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$.

Non-generic invocations again arise as the special case where the number of type arguments is zero (14).

Let T be the static type of e. It is a compile-time error if T does not have an accessible (6.2) instance member named m, unless either:

- \bullet T is dynamic. Or
- T is Function and m is call. This means that for invocations of an instance method named call, a receiver of type Function is treated like a receiver of type dynamic. The expectation is that any concrete subclass of Function will implement call, but there is no method signature which can be assumed for call in Function because every signature will conflict with some potential overriding declarations. Note that any use of call on a subclass of Function that fails to implement call will provoke a compiletime error, as this exemption is limited to type Function, and does not apply to its subtypes.

If T did not have an accessible member named m the static type of i is **dynamic**, and no further static checks are performed on i (except that subexpressions of i are subject to their own static analysis).

Otherwise T.m denotes an instance member. Let L be the library that contains i. Let d be the result of method lookup for m in T with respect to L, and if the method lookup succeeded then let F be the static type of d. Otherwise, let d be the result of getter lookup for m in T with respect to L and let F be the return type of d. (Since T.m exists we cannot have a failure in both lookups.)

The static analysis of i is performed as specified in Section 16.17.3, and the static type of i is as specified there.

It is a compile-time error to invoke any of the methods of class Object on

a prefix object (18.1) or on a constant type literal that is immediately followed by the token '.'.

The reason for the latter is that this syntax is reserved for invocation of static methods. For instance, int.toString() is similar to C.someStaticMethod(), and it would be confusing if just a couple of expressions of this form were instance method invocations. If needed, (int).toString() may be used instead.

Evaluation of an unconditional ordinary method invocation i of the form $e \cdot m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ proceeds as follows:

First, the expression e is evaluated to an object o. Let f be the result of looking up (16.19) method m in o with respect to the current library L.

If the method lookup succeeded, the binding of actual arguments to formal parameters is performed as specified in Section 16.17.3. The body of f is then executed with respect to the bindings that resulted from the evaluation of the argument list, and with **this** bound to o. The value of i is the object returned by the execution of f's body.

If the method lookup failed, then let g be the result of looking up getter (16.19) m in o with respect to L.

If the getter lookup succeeded then invoke the getter o.m and let v_g be the returned object. Then the value of i is the value of

```
v_q < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

If getter lookup has also failed, then a new instance *im* of the predefined class Invocation is created, such that:

- *im*.isMethod evaluates to **true**.
- \bullet im.memberName evaluates to the symbol m.
- im.positionalArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable list containing the objects resulting from the evaluation of <0bject>[a_1, \ldots, a_n].
- *im*.namedArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable map with the keys and values resulting from the evaluation of

```
<Symbol, Object>{\#x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, \#x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}}.
```

• im.typeArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable list containing the objects resulting from the evaluation of $\langle Type \rangle [A_1, \ldots, A_r]$.

Then the method noSuchMethod() is looked up in o and invoked with argument im, and the result of this invocation is the result of evaluating i.

The situation where noSuchMethod is invoked can only arise when the static type of e is **dynamic**. Notice that the wording avoids re-evaluating the receiver o and the arguments a_i .

16.21.2 Cascaded Invocations

A cascaded method invocation has the form $e ext{...} suffix$ where e is an expression \diamond and suffix is a sequence of operator, method, getter or setter invocations.

```
 \langle cascadeSequence \rangle ::= (`?...' | `...') \langle cascadeSection \rangle (`...' \langle cascadeSection \rangle)^* \\ \langle cascadeSection \rangle ::= \langle cascadeSelector \rangle \langle cascadeSectionTail \rangle \\ \langle cascadeSelector \rangle ::= `[' \langle expression \rangle `]' \\ | \langle identifier \rangle \\ \langle cascadeSectionTail \rangle ::= \langle cascadeAssignment \rangle \\ | \langle selector \rangle^* (\langle assignableSelector \rangle \langle cascadeAssignment \rangle)? \\ \langle cascadeAssignment \rangle ::= \langle assignmentOperator \rangle \langle expressionWithoutCascade \rangle \\ \langle argumentPart \rangle ::= \langle typeArguments \rangle? \langle arguments \rangle
```

Evaluation of a cascaded method invocation expression c of the form $e \ldots suffix$ proceeds as follows:

Evaluate e to an object o. Let t be a fresh variable bound to o. Evaluate t. suffix to an object. Then c evaluates to o.

The static type of c is the static type of e.

With the introduction of null-aware conditional assignable expressions (16.36), it would make sense to extend cascades with a null-aware conditional form as well. One might define e?...suffix to be equivalent to the expression t == null? null: t.suffix where t is a fresh variable bound to the value of e.

The present specification has not added such a construct, in the interests of simplicity and rapid language evolution. However, Dart implementations may experiment with such constructs, as noted in section 2.

16.21.3 Super Invocation

A super method invocation i has the form

```
super.m < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k}).
```

Note that non-generic invocations arise as the special case where the number of type arguments is zero, in which case the type argument list is omitted, and similarly for formal type parameter lists (14).

It is a compile-time error if a super method invocation occurs in a top-level function or variable initializer, in an instance variable initializer or initializer list, in class Object, in a factory constructor, or in a static method or variable initializer.

Let S_{super} be the superclass (10.8) of the immediately enclosing class for i, and let L be the library that contains i. Let the declaration d be the result of looking up the method m in S_{super} with respect to L (16.19), and let F be the static type of d. Otherwise, if the method lookup failed, let the declaration d be the result of looking up the getter m with respect to L in S_{super} (16.19), and let F be the return type of d. If both lookups failed, a compile-time error occurs.

Otherwise (when one of the lookups succeeded), the static analysis of i is performed as specified in Section 16.17.3, considering the function to have static type F, and the static type of i is as specified there.

Note that member lookups ignore abstract declarations, which means that there will be a compile-time error if the targeted member m is abstract, as well as when it does not exist at all.

Evaluation of i proceeds as follows: Let o be the current binding of **this**, let C be the enclosing class for i, and let S_{super} be the superclass (10.8) of C. Let the declaration d be the result of looking up the method m with respect to L in o starting with S_{super} (16.19). If the lookup succeeded, let f denote the function associated with d. Otherwise (when method lookup failed), let the declaration d be the result of looking up the getter m with respect to L in o starting with S_{super} (16.19). If the getter lookup succeeded, invoke said getter with **this** bound to o, and let f denote the returned object.

If both lookups failed, the exact same lookups would have failed at compile-time, and the program then has a compile-time error.

Otherwise perform the binding of actual arguments to formal parameters for $f < A_1, \ldots, A_r > (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x_{n+1}: a_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}: a_{n+k})$ as specified in Section 16.17.3, and execute the body of f with said bindings plus a binding of **this** to o. The result returned by f is then the result of evaluating i.

16.21.4 Sending Messages

Messages are the sole means of communication among isolates. Messages are sent by invoking specific methods in the Dart libraries; there is no specific syntax for sending a message.

In other words, the methods supporting sending messages embody primitives of Dart that are not accessible to ordinary code, much like the methods that spawn isolates.

16.22 Property Extraction

Property extraction allows for a member to be accessed as a property rather \diamond than a function. A property extraction can be either:

- 1. An instance method closurization, which converts a method into a function object (16.22.3). Or
- 2. A getter invocation, which returns the result of invoking of a getter method (16.22.1).

Function objects derived from members via closurization are colloquially known as tear-offs.

Property extraction can be either conditional or unconditional.

Case $\langle \text{Conditional} \rangle$. Consider a conditional property extraction expression i of the form e?.id.

If e is a type literal, i is equivalent to $e \cdot id$.

Otherwise, the static type of i is the same as the static type of $e \cdot id$. Let T be the static type of e, and let y be a fresh variable of type T. Except for errors

inside e and references to the name y, exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $y \cdot id$ are also generated in the case of $e? \cdot id$.

Evaluation of a conditional property extraction expression i of the form e?. id proceeds as follows:

If e is a type literal, evaluation of i amounts to evaluation of $e \cdot id$.

Otherwise evaluate e to an object o. If o is the null object, i evaluates to the null object (16.4). Otherwise let x be a fresh variable bound to o and evaluate $x \cdot id$ to an object r. Then i evaluates to r.

Case (Unconditional). Let id be an identifier; an unconditional property extraction may be of the form $e \cdot id$ where e is an expression (16.22.1), or of the form super. id (16.22.2).

16.22.1 Getter Access and Method Extraction

Consider an unconditional property extraction i (16.22) of the form e.id. It is a compile-time error if id is a member of class Object and e is either a prefix object (18.1) or a type literal.

It may seem obvious that it is an error to use prefix object, but more surprising that it also applies to a type literal. In particular, we cannot use $\mathtt{int.toString}$ to obtain a function object for the $\mathtt{toString}$ method of the Type object for \mathtt{int} . But we can use $(\mathtt{int}).\mathtt{toString}$: e is then not a type literal, but a parenthesized expression.

This is a pragmatic trade-off. The ability to tear off instance methods on instances of Type was considered less useful, and it was considered more useful to insist on the simple rule that a method tear-off on a type literal is always a tear-off of a static method on the denoted class.

Let T be the static type of e. It is a compile-time error if T does not have a method or getter named id unless T is **dynamic**, or T is **Function** and id is call. The static type of i is:

- The declared return type of $T \cdot id$, if T has an accessible instance getter named id.
- The function type of the method signature $T \cdot id$, if T has an accessible instance method named id.
- The type dynamic otherwise. This only occurs when T is dynamic or Function.

Note that the type of a method tear-off ignores whether any given parameter is covariant. However, the dynamic type of a function object thus obtained does take parameter covariance into account.

Evaluation of a property extraction i of the form $e \cdot id$ proceeds as follows:

First, the expression e is evaluated to an object o. Let f be the result of looking up (16.19) method (10.1) id in o with respect to the current library L. If method lookup succeeds then i evaluates to the closurization of method f on object o (16.22.3).

Note that f is never an abstract method, because method lookup skips abstract methods. If the method lookup failed, e.g., because there is an abstract declaration of id, but no concrete declaration, we will continue to the next step. However, since methods and getters never override each other, getter lookup will necessarily fail as well, and noSuchMethod() will ultimately be invoked. The regrettable implication is that the error will refer to a missing getter rather than an attempt to closurize an abstract method.

Otherwise, i is a getter invocation. Let f be the result of looking up (16.19) getter (10.2) id in o with respect to L. Otherwise, the body of f is executed with **this** bound to o. The value of i is the result returned by the call to the getter function.

If the getter lookup has failed, then a new instance *im* of the predefined class Invocation is created, such that:

- *im*.isGetter evaluates to **true**.
- \bullet im.memberName evaluates to the symbol m.
- *im*.positionalArguments evaluates to an empty, unmodifiable instance of List<Object>.
- im.namedArguments evaluates to an empty, unmodifiable instance of Map<Symbol, Object>.
- im.typeArguments evaluates to an empty, unmodifiable instance of List<Type>.

Then the method noSuchMethod() is looked up in o and invoked with argument im, and the result of this invocation is the result of evaluating i.

The situation where noSuchMethod is invoked can only arise when the static type of e is **dynamic**.

16.22.2 Super Getter Access and Method Closurization

Consider a property extraction i of the form **super**. id.

Let S be the superclass of the immediately enclosing class. It is a compiletime error if S does not have an accessible instance method or getter named id. The static type of i is:

- The declared return type of S.id, if S has an accessible instance getter named id.
- The function type of the method signature S.id, if S has an accessible instance method named id.
- The type **dynamic** otherwise. This only occurs when T is **dynamic** or **Function**.

Note that the type of a method tear-off ignores whether any given parameter is covariant. However, the dynamic type of a function object thus obtained does take parameter covariance into account.

Evaluation of a property extraction i of the form **super**.m proceeds as follows: Let g be the method implementation currently executing, and let C be the class in which g is declared. Let S be the superclass of C. Let f be the result of looking up method id in S with respect to the current library L. If method lookup succeeds then i evaluates to the closurization of method f with respect to superclass S (16.22.4).

Otherwise, i is a getter invocation. Let f be the result of looking up getter id in S with respect to L. The body of f is executed with **this** bound to the current value of **this**. The value of i is the result returned by the call to the getter function.

The getter lookup will not fail, because it is a compile-time error to have a super property extraction of a member id when the superclass S does not have a concrete member named id.

16.22.3 Ordinary Member Closurization

This section specifies the dynamic semantics of ordinary member closurizations.

Note that the non-generic case is covered implicitly using s=0, in which case the type parameter declaration lists and the actual type argument lists passed in invocations are omitted (14).

An *instance method closurization* is a closurization of some method on some object, defined below, or a super closurization (16.22.4).

Let o be an object, and let u be a fresh final variable bound to o. The closurization of method f on object o is defined to be equivalent (except for equality, as noted below) to:

•
$$extends B'_1, \ldots, X_s extends $B'_s>$

$$(T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, T_n \ p_n, \{T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k} = d_k\}) \implies u.m < X_1, \ldots, X_s > (p_1, \ldots, p_n, p_{n+1}; p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{n+k}; p_{n+k});$$$$

where f is an instance method named m which has type parameter declarations X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , required parameters p_1, \ldots, p_n , and named parameters p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{n+k} with defaults d_1, \ldots, d_k , using null for parameters whose default value is not specified.

•
$$extends B'_1, \ldots, X_s extends $B'_s>$

$$(T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, T_n \ p_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1} \ = \ d_1, \ \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k} \ = \ d_k]) \implies u.m < X_1, \ldots, X_s > (p_1, \ldots, p_{n+k});$$$$

where f is an instance method named m which has type parameter declarations X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , required parameters p_1, \ldots, p_n , and optional parameters p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{n+k} with defaults d_1, \ldots, d_k , using null for parameters whose default value is not specified.

 $B'_j, j \in 1...s$, are determined as follows: If o is an instance of a non-generic class, $B'_j = B_j, j \in 1...s$. Otherwise, let $X'_1, \ldots, X'_{s'}$ be the formal type parameters of the class of o, and $t'_1, \ldots, t'_{s'}$ be the actual type arguments. Then $B'_j = [t'_1/X'_1, \ldots, t'_{s'}/X'_{s'}]B_j, j \in 1...s$.

That is, we replace the formal type parameters of the enclosing class, if any, by the corresponding actual type arguments.

The parameter types $T_j, j \in 1..n + k$, are determined as follows: Let the method declaration D be the implementation of m which is invoked by the expression in the body. Let T be the class that contains D.

Note that T is the dynamic type of o, or a superclass thereof.

For each parameter p_j , $j \in 1..n + k$, if p_j is covariant (9.2.3) then T_j is the built-in class Object.

This is concerned with the dynamic type of the function object obtained by the member closurization. The static type of the expression that gives rise to the member closurization is specified elsewhere (16.22, 16.22.1). Note that for the static type it is ignored whether a parameter is covariant.

If T is a non-generic class then for $j \in 1..n + k$, T_j is a type annotation that denotes the same type as that which is denoted by the type annotation on the corresponding parameter declaration in D. If that parameter declaration has no type annotation then T_j is **dynamic**.

Otherwise T is a generic instantiation of a generic class G. Let $X_1'', \ldots, X_{s''}''$ be the formal type parameters of G, and $t_1'', \ldots, t_{s''}''$ be the actual type arguments of o at T. Then T_j is a type annotation that denotes $[t_1''/X_1'', \ldots, t_{s''}'/X_{s''}']S_j$, where S_j is the type annotation of the corresponding parameter in D. If that parameter declaration has no type annotation then T_j is **dynamic**.

There is one way in which the function object yielded by the instance method closurization differs from the function object obtained by function closurization on the above mentioned function literal: Assume that o_1 and o_2 are objects, m is an identifier, and c_1 and c_2 are function objects obtained by closurization of m on o_1 respectively o_2 . Then $c_1 == c_2$ evaluates to true if and only if o_1 and o_2 is the same object.

In particular, two closurizations of a method m from the same object are equal, and two closurizations of a method m from non-identical objects are not equal. Assuming that v_i is a fresh variable bound to an object, $i \in 1..2$, it also follows that identical $(v_1.m, v_2.m)$ must be false when v_1 and v_2 are not bound to the same object. However, Dart implementations are not required to canonicalize function objects, which means that identical $(v_1.m, v_2.m)$ is not guaranteed to be true, even when it is known that v_1 and v_2 are bound to the same object.

The special treatment of equality in this case facilitates the use of extracted property functions in APIs where callbacks such as event listeners must often be registered and later unregistered. A common example is the DOM API in web

browsers.

16.22.4 Super Closurization

This section specifies the dynamic semantics of super closurizations.

Note that the non-generic case is covered implicitly using s=0, in which case the type parameter declarations are omitted (14).

Consider expressions in the body of a class T which is a subclass of a given class S, where a method declaration that implements f exists in S, and there is no class U which is a subclass of S and a superclass of T which implements f.

In short, consider a situation where a super invocation of f will execute f as declared in S.

A super closurization is a closurization of a method with respect to a class, as defined next. The closurization of a method f with respect to the class S is defined to be equivalent (except for equality, as noted below) to:

• $< X_1$ extends B'_1, \ldots, X_s extends $B'_s >$ $(T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, T_n \ p_n, \{T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k} = d_k\}) =>$ super. $m < X_1, \ldots, X_s > (p_1, \ldots, p_n, p_{n+1}; p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{n+k}; p_{n+k});$

where f is an instance method named m which has type parameter declarations X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , required parameters p_1, \ldots, p_n , and named parameters p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{n+k} with defaults d_1, \ldots, d_k .

• $<X_1$ extends B'_1, \ldots, X_s extends $B'_s>$ $(T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, T_n \ p_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1} \ = \ d_1, \ \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k} \ = \ d_k]) \Rightarrow$ super. $m < X_1, \ldots, X_s > (p_1, \ldots, p_{n+k})$;

where f is an instance method named m which has type parameter declarations X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , required parameters p_1, \ldots, p_n , and optional parameters p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{n+k} with defaults d_1, \ldots, d_k .

Note that a super closurization is an instance method closurization, as defined in (16.22.3).

 $B'_j, j \in 1...s$, are determined as follows: If S is a non-generic class then $B'_j = B_j, j \in 1...s$. Otherwise, let $X'_1, \ldots, X'_{s'}$ be the formal type parameters of S, and $t'_1, \ldots, t'_{s'}$ be the actual type arguments of **this** at S. Then $B'_j = [t'_1/X'_1, \ldots, t'_{s'}/X'_{s'}]B_j, j \in 1...s$.

That is, we replace the formal type parameters of the enclosing class, if any, by the corresponding actual type arguments. We need to consider the type arguments with respect to a specific class because it is possible for a class to pass different type arguments to its superclass than the ones it receives itself.

The parameter types $T_j, j \in 1...n + k$, are determined as follows: Let the

method declaration D be the implementation of m in S.

For each parameter p_j , $j \in 1..n + k$, if p_j is covariant (9.2.3) then T_j is the built-in class Object.

This is concerned with the dynamic type of the function object obtained by the super closurization. The static type of the expression that gives rise to the super closurization is specified elsewhere (16.22, 16.22.2). Note that for the static type it is ignored whether a parameter is covariant.

If S is a non-generic class then for $j \in 1..n + k$, T_j is a type annotation that denotes the same type as that which is denoted by the type annotation on the corresponding parameter declaration in D. If that parameter declaration has no type annotation then T_j is **dynamic**.

Otherwise S is a generic instantiation of a generic class G. Let $X''_1, \ldots, X''_{s''}$ be the formal type parameters of G, and $t''_1, \ldots, t''_{s''}$ be the actual type arguments of o at S. Then T_j is a type annotation that denotes $[t''_1/X''_1, \ldots, t''_{s''}/X''_{s''}]S_j$, where S_j is the type annotation of the corresponding parameter in D. If that parameter declaration has no type annotation then T_j is **dynamic**.

There is one way in which the function object yielded by the super closurization differs from the function object obtained by function closurization on the above mentioned function literal: Assume that an occurrence of the expression $\mathbf{super.}m$ in a given class is evaluated on two occasions where \mathbf{this} is bound to o_1 respectively o_2 , and the resulting function objects are c_1 respectively c_2 : $c_1 == c_2$ is then true if and only if o_1 and o_2 is the same object.

16.22.5 Generic Method Instantiation

Generic method instantiation is a mechanism that yields a non-generic function object, based on a property extraction which denotes an instance method closurization (16.22.3, 16.22.4).

It is a mechanism which is very similar to instance method closurization, but it only occurs in situations where a compile-time error would otherwise occur.

The essence of generic method instantiation is to allow for "curried" invocations, in the sense that a generic instance method can receive its actual type arguments separately during closurization (it must then receive all type arguments, not just some of them), and that yields a non-generic function object. The type arguments are passed implicitly, based on type inference; a future version of Dart may allow for passing them explicitly. Here is an example:

```
class A {
   X fi<X extends num>(X x) => x;
}

class B extends /*or implements*/ A {
   X fi<X extends num>(X x, [List<X> xs]) => x;
}

void main() {
```

```
A a = B();
int Function(int) f = a.fi;
}
```

The function object which is stored in f at the end of main has dynamic type int Function(int, [List<int>]), and it is obtained by implicitly "passing the actual type argument int" to the denoted generic instance method, thus obtaining a non-generic function object of the specified type. Note that this function object accepts an optional positional argument, even though this is not part of the statically known type of the corresponding instance method, nor of the context type.

In other words, generic method instantiation yields a function whose signature matches the context type as far as possible, but with respect to its parameter list shape (that is, the number of positional parameters and their optionality, or the set of names of named parameters), it will be determined by the method signature of the actual instance method of the given receiver. Of course, the difference can only be such that the actual type is a subtype of the given context type, otherwise the declaration of that instance method would have been a compile-time error.

Let i be a property extraction expression of the form e? .id, e. id, or super. id (16.22, 16.22.2), which is statically resolved to denote an instance method named id, and let G be the static type of i. Consider the situation where G is a function type of the form T_0 Function $< X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s > (parameters)$ with s > 0 (that is, G is a generic function type), and the context type is a non-generic function type F. In this situation a compile-time error occurs (8, 9, 10.6.1, 10.6.1, 10.6.1, 16.15.1, 16.15.2, 16.17.3, 16.23, 17.3, 17.9, 17.12, 17.16.2), except when generic function type instantiation (16.18.1) succeeds, that is:

Type inference is applied to G with context type F, and it succeeds, yielding the actual type argument list T_1, \ldots, T_s .

Consider the situation where generic function type instantiation succeeded. Let $gmiName_{id}$ be a fresh name which is associated with id, which is private if and only if id is private. An implementation could use, say, foo_* when id is foo, which is known to be fresh because user-written identifiers cannot contain '*'. The program is then modified as follows:

- When i is e?.id: Replace i by e?. $gmiName_{id} < T_1, ..., T_s > ()$.
- When i is e.id: Replace i by $e.gmiName_{id} < T_1, ..., T_s > ()$.
- When i is super.id: Replace i by super.gmiName_{id} $< T_1, \ldots, T_s > ()$.

The inserted expressions have no compile-time error and can be executed, because the corresponding generic method is induced implicitly. We use the phrase *generic instantiation method* to denote these implicitly induced methods, and designate the method that induced it as its *target*.

Assume that a class C declares a generic instance method named id, with a method signature corresponding to a generic function type G, formal type

parameters X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s , and formal parameter declarations parameters. Let arguments denote the corresponding actual argument list, passing these parameters. For instance, parameters could be

```
T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ p_n, \ \{T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1} = d_1, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k} = d_k\} in which case arguments would be p_1, \ldots, \ p_n, \ p_{n+1} \colon \ p_{n+1}, \ p_{n+k} \colon \ p_{n+k}.
```

Let G' be the same function type as G, except that it omits the formal type parameter declarations. For instance, if G is **void Function**(X, Y) **extends** num(X, X) List(Y) ys) then G' is **void Function**(X, X) List(Y) ys). Note that G' will typically contain free type variables.

An instance method with the name $gmiName_{id}$ is then implicitly induced, with the same behavior as the following declaration (except for equality of the returned function object, which is specified below):

```
G' gmiName_{id} < X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s > () { return (parameters) =>  this .id < X_1, \ldots, X_s > (arguments); }
```

Let o be an instance of a class which contains an implicitly induced declaration of $gmiName_{id}$ as described above. Consider the situation where the program evaluates two invocations of this method with the same receiver o, and with actual type arguments whose actual values are the same types t_1, \ldots, t_s for both invocations, and assume that the invocations returned the instances o_1 respectively o_2 . It is then guaranteed that o_1 and o_2 are equal according to operator '=='. It is unspecified whether identical(o_1 , o_2) evaluates to **true** or **false**.

No notion of equality is appropriate with different receivers, nor when different type arguments are provided, because execution of two function objects that differ in these ways can have different side-effects and return different results when executed starting from exactly the same state.

16.23 Assignment

An assignment changes the value associated with a mutable variable, or invokes a setter.

```
⟨assignmentOperator⟩ ::= '='
| ⟨compoundAssignmentOperator⟩
⟨compoundAssignmentOperator⟩ ::= '*='
| '/='
| '~|-'
| '%='
| '+='
| '-='
| '<<='
| '>>='
```

```
| '>>>='
| '&='
| '^='
| '??='
```

Case $\langle id = e \rangle$. Consider an assignment a of the form id = e, where id is an identifier. Perform a lexical lookup of id= from the location of id.

- When the lexical lookup yields a declaration D of a local variable v (which may be a formal parameter), a compile-time error occurs if v is final or if the static type of e is not assignable to the declared type of v.
- When the lexical lookup yields a declaration D which is not a local variable, it is guaranteed to be a setter (that may be explicit or induced implicitly by a variable) because other declarations do not have a name of the form id=.

If D is the declaration of a static setter in class or mixin C then a is treated as (5) the assignment $C \cdot id = e$.

Further analysis as well as evaluation of $C \cdot id = e$ proceeds as specified elsewhere.

Otherwise, a compile-time error occurs, unless the static type of e is assignable to the parameter type of D.

• When the lexical lookup yields a member signature, a is treated as (5) this. id = e.

In this case it is known that a has access to **this** (10), and the interface of the enclosing class has a member named id=. Both the static analysis and evaluation proceeds with **this**. id = e, so there is no need to further specify the treatment of a.

ullet The lexical lookup can never yield an import prefix, because they never have a name of the form id=.

In all cases (whether or not id is a local variable, etc.), the static type of a is the static type of e.

Evaluation of an assignment a of the form id = e proceeds as follows. Perform a lexical lookup of id= from the location of id.

- In the case where the lexical lookup yielded a declaration D of a local variable v, (which may be a formal parameter), the expression e is evaluated to an object o, and the variable v is bound to o. Then a evaluates to the object o (16.1).
- In the case where the lexical lookup of id= from the location of id yields a declaration D, D is necessarily a top level setter s (possibly implicitly induced by a variable).

The expression e is evaluated to an object o. Then the setter s is invoked with its formal parameter bound to o. Then a evaluates to the object o.

D cannot be a static setter in a class C, because a is then treated as $C \cdot id = e$, which is specified elsewhere.

• The case where the lexical lookup of id= yields a member signature cannot occur, because that case is treated as **this**.id = e, whose evaluation is specified elsewhere.

Case $\langle p.id = e \rangle$. Consider an assignment a of the form p.id = e, where p is an import prefix and id is an identifier.

A compile-time error occurs, unless p has a member which is a setter s named id= (which may be implicitly induced by a variable declaration) such that the static type of e is assignable to the parameter type of s.

The static type of a is the static type of e.

Evaluation of an assignment a of the form p.id = e proceeds as follows: The expression e is evaluated to an object o. Then the setter denoted by p.id is invoked with its formal parameter bound to o. Then a evaluates to the object o.

Case $\langle e_1?.v = e_2 \rangle$. Consider an assignment a of the form $e_1?.v = e_2$. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $e_1.v = e_2$ are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the static type of e_2 .

Evaluation of an assignment a of the form e_1 ?. $v = e_2$ proceeds as follows: If e_1 is a type literal, a is equivalent to $e_1 \cdot v = e_2$. Otherwise evaluate e_1 to an object o. If o is the null object, a evaluates to the null object (16.4). Otherwise let x be a fresh variable bound to o and evaluate $x \cdot v = e_2$ to an object r. Then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e_1.v = e_2 \rangle$. Consider an assignment a of the form $e_1.v = e_2$. Let T be the static type of e_1 . If T is **dynamic**, no further checks are performed. Otherwise, it is a compile-time error unless T has an accessible instance setter named v=. It is a compile-time error unless the static type of e_2 may be assigned to the declared type of the formal parameter of said setter. Whether or not T is **dynamic**, the static type of e_2 .

Evaluation of an assignment of the form $e_1 \cdot v = e_2$ proceeds as follows: The expression e_1 is evaluated to an object o_1 . Then, the expression e_2 is evaluated to an object o_2 . Then, the setter v= is looked up (16.19) in o_1 with respect to the current library. It is a dynamic type error if the dynamic type of o_2 is not a subtype of the actual parameter type of said setter (19.10.1). Otherwise, the body of the setter is executed with its formal parameter bound to o_2 and **this** bound to o_1 .

If the setter lookup has failed, then a new instance im of the predefined class Invocation is created, such that:

- *im*.isSetter evaluates to **true**.
- im.memberName evaluates to the symbol v=.

- im.positionalArguments evaluates to an unmodifiable list containing the same objects as $\langle \text{Object} \rangle [o_2]$.
- im.namedArguments evaluates to an empty, unmodifiable instance of Map<Symbol, Object>.
- im.typeArguments evaluates to an empty, unmodifiable instance of List<Type>.

Then the method noSuchMethod() is looked up in o_1 and invoked with argument im.

The situation where noSuchMethod is invoked can only arise when the static type of e_1 is **dynamic**.

The value of the assignment expression is o_2 irrespective of whether setter lookup has failed or succeeded.

Case $\langle \mathbf{super}.v = e \rangle$. Consider an assignment a of the form $\mathbf{super}.v = e$. Let S_{static} be the superclass of the immediately enclosing class. It is a compile-time error if S_{static} does not have a concrete accessible instance setter named v=. Otherwise, it is a compile-time error if the static type of e may not be assigned to the static type of the formal parameter of said setter. The static type of e is the static type of e.

Evaluation of an assignment of the form **super**.v = e proceeds as follows: Let g be the currently executing method, and let C be the class in which g was looked up. Let $S_{dynamic}$ be the superclass of C. The expression e is evaluated to an object o. Then, the setter v= is looked up (16.19) in $S_{dynamic}$ with respect to the current library. The body of v= is executed with its formal parameter bound to o and **this** bound to the current value of **this**.

The setter lookup will not fail, because it is a compile-time error when no concrete setter named v= exists in $S_{static}.$

The value of the assignment expression is o.

It is a dynamic type error if o is not the null object (16.4) and the dynamic type of o is not a subtype of the actual type of the formal parameter of v= (19.10.1) in S_{static} .

Case $\langle e_1[e_2] = e_3 \rangle$. Consider an assignment a of the form $e_1[e_2] = e_3$. Let T be the static type of e_1 . If T is **dynamic**, no further checks are performed. Otherwise, it is a compile-time error unless T has a method named []=. Let S_2 be the static type of the first formal parameter of the method []=, and S_3 the static type of the second. It is a compile-time error unless the static type of e_2 respectively e_3 may be assigned to S_2 respectively S_3 . Whether or not T is **dynamic**, the static type of e_3 is the static type of e_3 .

Evaluation of an assignment a of the form $e_1 [e_2] = e_3$ proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object o, then evaluate e_2 to an object i, and finally evaluate e_3 to an object v. Call the method [] = on o with i as first argument and v as second argument. Then a evaluates to v.

Case $\langle \text{super}[e_1] = e_2 \rangle$. Consider an assignment a of the form $\text{super}[e_1] = e_2$. Let S_{static} be the superclass of the immediately enclosing class. It is a

compile-time error if S_{static} does not have a method []=. Otherwise, let S_1 be the static type of the first formal parameter of the method []=, and S_2 the static type of the second. It is a compile-time error if the static type of e_1 respectively e_2 may not be assigned to S_1 respectively S_2 . The static type of e_3 is the static type of e_3 .

For evaluation, an assignment of the form $super[e_1] = e_2$ is equivalent to the expression $super[e_1] = e_2$.

16.23.1 Compound Assignment

Case $\langle v ???=e \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form v ???=e where v is an identifier or an identifier qualified by an import prefix. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by v = e are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the least upper bound of the static type of v and the static type of e.

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form v??= e proceeds as follows: Evaluate v to an object o. If o is not the null object (16.4), a evaluates to o. Otherwise evaluate v = e to an object r, and then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle C.v ???=e \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form C.v???= e where C is a type literal that may or may not be qualified by an import prefix. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by C.v = e are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the least upper bound of the static type of C.v and the static type of e.

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form C.v ??= e where C is a type literal proceeds as follow: Evaluate C.v to an object o. If o is not the null object (16.4), a evaluates to o. Otherwise evaluate C.v = e to an object r, and then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e_1.v ??= e_2 \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form $e_1.v$??= e_2 . Let T be the static type of e_1 and let x be a fresh variable of type T. Except for errors inside e_1 and references to the name x, exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $x.v = e_2$ are also generated in the case of a. Moreover, it is a compile-time error if T does not have a getter named v. The static type of a is the least upper bound of the static type of $e_1.v$ and the static type of e_2 .

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form $e_1.v$??= e_2 proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u. Let x be a fresh variable bound to u. Evaluate x.v to an object o. If o is not the null object (16.4), a evaluates to o. Otherwise evaluate $x.v = e_2$ to an object r, and then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e_1[e_2] ??= e_3 \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form $e_1[e_2] ??= e_3$. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $e_1[e_2] = e_3$ are also generated in the case of a. Moreover, it is a compile-time error if the static type of e_1 does not have an 'operator []'. The static type of a is the least upper bound of the static type of $e_1[e_2]$ and the static type of e_3 .

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form $e_1[e_2]$??= e_3 proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u and then evaluate e_2 to an object i. Call the [] method on u with argument i, and let o be the returned object. If o is

not the null object (16.4), a evaluates to o. Otherwise evaluate e_3 to an object v and then call the [] = method on u with i as first argument and v as second argument. Then a evaluates to v.

Case $\langle \mathbf{super}.v \ ???= e \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form $\mathbf{super}.v \ ??= e$. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $\mathbf{super}.v = e$ are also generated in the case of a. Moreover, exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by evaluation of the expression $\mathbf{super}.v$ are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the least upper bound of the static type of $\mathbf{super}.v$ and the static type of e.

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form **super**.v??= e proceeds as follows: Evaluate **super**.v to an object o. If o is not the null object (16.4) then a evaluates to o. Otherwise evaluate **super**.v = e to an object r, and then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e_1?.v ???= e_2 \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form $e_1?.v ???= e_2$. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $e_1.v ???= e_2$ are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the least upper bound of the static type of $e_1?.v$ and the static type of e_2 .

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form e_1 ?.v ??= e_2 proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u. If u is the null object (16.4) then a evaluates to the null object. Otherwise, let x be a fresh variable bound to u. Evaluate $x \cdot v$ to an object o. If o is not the null object (16.4) then a evaluates to o. Otherwise evaluate $x \cdot v = e_2$ to an object v, and then v evaluates to v.

Case $\langle C?.v ??= e_2 \rangle$. A compound assignment of the form $C?.v ??= e_2$ where C is a type literal that may or may not be qualified by an import prefix is equivalent to the expression C.v ??= e.

Case $\langle v \ op = e \rangle$. For any other valid operator op, a compound assignment of the form $v \ op = e$ is equivalent to $v = v \ op \ e$, where v is an identifier or an identifier qualified by an import prefix.

Case $\langle C.v \ op = e \rangle$. A compound assignment of the form $C.v \ op = e$ where C is a type literal that may or may not be qualified by an import prefix is equivalent to $C.v = C.v \ op \ e$.

Case $\langle e_1.v \ op = e_2 \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form $e_1.v$ $op = e_2$. Let x be a fresh variable whose static type is the static type of e_1 . Except for errors inside e_1 and references to the name x, exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by x.v = x.v op e_2 are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the static type of $e_1.v$ op e_2 .

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form $e_1.v$ $op = e_2$ proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u and let x be a fresh variable bound to u. Evaluate x.v = x.v op e_2 to an object r and then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e_1[e_2] \ op= e_3 \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form $e_1[e_2] \ op= e_3$. Let x and i be fresh variables where the static type of the former is the static type of e_1 and the static type of the latter is the static type of e_2 . Except for errors inside e_1 and e_2 and references to the names x and i, exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by x[i] = x[i] op e_3 are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the static type of x[i] op e_3 .

Evaluation of s compound assignment a of the form $e_1[e_2]$ $op = e_3$ proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u and evaluate e_2 to an object v. Let x and i be fresh variables bound to u and v respectively. Evaluate x[i] = x[i] $op e_3$ to an object r, and then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e_1?.v \ op=e_2 \rangle$. Consider a compound assignment a of the form $e_1?.v \ op=e_2$. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $e_1.v \ op=e_2$ are also generated in the case of a. The static type of a is the static type of $e_1.v \ op=e_2$.

Evaluation of a compound assignment a of the form e_1 ?.v $op = e_2$ proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u. If u is the null object, then a evaluates to the null object (16.4). Otherwise let x be a fresh variable bound to u. Evaluate $x \cdot v$ $op = e_2$ to an object r. Then a evaluates to r.

Case $\langle C?.v \ op = e_2 \rangle$. A compound assignment of the form $C?.v \ op = e_2$ where C is a type literal is equivalent to the expression $C.v \ op = e_2$.

16.24 Conditional

A $conditional\ expression$ evaluates one of two expressions based on a boolean $\quad \diamond$ condition.

```
\langle conditional Expression \rangle ::= \langle ifNull Expression \rangle
('?' \langle expression Without Cascade \rangle':' \langle expression Without Cascade \rangle)?
```

Evaluation of a conditional expression c of the form $e_1?e_2:e_3$ proceeds as follows:

First, e_1 is evaluated to an object o_1 . It is a dynamic error if the runtime type of o_1 is not bool. If r is **true**, then the value of c is the result of evaluating the expression e_2 . Otherwise the value of c is the result of evaluating the expression e_3 .

If e_1 shows that a local variable v has type T, then the type of v is known to be T in e_2 , unless any of the following are true:

- v is potentially mutated in e_2 ,
- ullet v is potentially mutated within a function other than the one where v is declared, or
- v is accessed by a function defined in e_2 and v is potentially mutated anywhere in the scope of v.

It is a compile-time error if the static type of e_1 may not be assigned to bool. The static type of c is the least upper bound (19.10.2) of the static type of e_2 and the static type of e_3 .

16.25 If-null Expressions

An *if-null expression* evaluates an expression and if the result is the null \diamond object (16.4), evaluates another.

```
\langle ifNullExpression \rangle ::= \langle logicalOrExpression \rangle  ('??' \langle logicalOrExpression \rangle )*
```

Evaluation of an if-null expression e of the form e_1 ?? e_2 proceeds as follows:

Evaluate e_1 to an object o. If o is not the null object (16.4), then e evaluates to o. Otherwise evaluate e_2 to an object r, and then e evaluates to r.

The static type of e is the least upper bound (19.10.2) of the static type of e_1 and the static type of e_2 .

16.26 Logical Boolean Expressions

The logical boolean expressions combine boolean objects using the boolean conjunction and disjunction operators.

```
\langle logicalOrExpression \rangle ::= \langle logicalAndExpression \rangle ('||' \langle logicalAndExpression \rangle)^* 
\langle logicalAndExpression \rangle ::= \langle equalityExpression \rangle ('&&' \langle equalityExpression \rangle)^*
```

A logical boolean expression is either an equality expression (16.27), or an invocation of a logical boolean operator on an expression e_1 with argument e_2 .

Evaluation of a logical boolean expression b of the form $e_1||e_2|$ causes the evaluation of e_1 to an object o_1 . It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o_1 is not bool. If o_1 is **true**, the result of evaluating b is **true**, otherwise e_2 is evaluated to an object o_2 . It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o_2 is not bool. Otherwise the result of evaluating b is o_2 .

Evaluation of a logical boolean expression b of the form $e_1 \& \& e_2$ causes the evaluation of e_1 producing an object o_1 . It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o_1 is not bool. If o_1 is **false**, the result of evaluating b is **false**, otherwise e_2 is evaluated to an object o_2 . It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o_2 is not bool. Otherwise the result of evaluating b is o_2 .

A logical boolean expression b of the form $e_1 \& \& e_2$ shows that a local variable v has type T if both of the following conditions hold:

- Either e_1 shows that v has type T or e_2 shows that v has type T.
- v is not mutated in e₂ or within a function other than the one where v is declared.

If e_1 shows that a local variable v has type T, then the type of v is known to be T in e_2 , unless any of the following are true:

- v is potentially mutated in e_1 ,
- v is potentially mutated in e_2 ,
- ullet v is potentially mutated within a function other than the one where v is declared, or

• v is accessed by a function defined in e_2 and v is potentially mutated anywhere in the scope of v.

It is a compile-time error if the static type of e_1 may not be assigned to bool or if the static type of e_2 may not be assigned to bool. The static type of a logical boolean expression is bool.

16.27 Equality

Equality expressions test objects for equality.

```
\langle equalityExpression\rangle ::=
\langle relationalExpression\rangle (\langle equalityOperator\rangle \langle relationalExpression\rangle)?
| super \langle equalityOperator\rangle \langle relationalExpression\rangle
| \langle equalityOperator\rangle ::= '=='
| \langle !='
```

An equality expression is either a relational expression (16.28), or an invocation of an equality operator on either **super** or an expression e_1 , with argument e_2 .

Evaluation of an equality expression ee of the form $e_1 == e_2$ proceeds as follows:

- The expression e_1 is evaluated to an object o_1 .
- The expression e_2 is evaluated to an object o_2 .
- If either o_1 or o_2 is the null object (16.4), then ee evaluates to **true** if both o_1 and o_2 are the null object and to **false** otherwise. Otherwise,
- evaluation of ee is equivalent to the method invocation $o_1 :== (o_2)$.

Evaluation of an equality expression ee of the form ${\sf super} == e$ proceeds as follows:

- The expression e is evaluated to an object o.
- If either **this** or o is the null object (16.4), then ee evaluates to evaluates to **true** if both **this** and o are the null object and to **false** otherwise. Otherwise,
- evaluation of ee is equivalent to the method invocation **super.==**(o).

As a result of the above definition, user defined '==' methods can assume that their argument is non-null, and avoid the standard boiler-plate prelude:

```
if (identical(null, arg)) return false;
```

Another implication is that there is never a need to use identical() to test against **null**, nor should anyone ever worry about whether to write **null** ==e or e == **null**.

An equality expression of the form e_1 != e_2 is equivalent to the expression ! $(e_1 == e_2)$. An equality expression of the form **super** != e is equivalent to the expression ! (**super** == e).

The static type of an equality expression is bool.

16.28 Relational Expressions

Relational expressions invoke the relational operators on objects.

A relational expression is either a bitwise expression (16.29), or an invocation of a relational operator on either **super** or an expression e_1 , with argument e_2 .

A relational expression of the form e_1 op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation $e_1 \cdot op(e_2)$. A relational expression of the form **super** op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation **super** $op(e_2)$.

16.29 Bitwise Expressions

Bitwise expressions invoke the bitwise operators on objects.

A bitwise expression is either a shift expression (16.30), or an invocation of \diamond a bitwise operator on either **super** or an expression e_1 , with argument e_2 .

A bitwise expression of the form e_1 op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation $e_1.op(e_2)$. A bitwise expression of the form **super** op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation **super**. $op(e_2)$.

It should be obvious that the static type rules for these expressions are defined by the equivalence above - ergo, by the type rules for method invocation and the signatures of the operators on the type e_1 . The same holds in similar situations throughout this specification.

16.30 Shift

Shift expressions invoke the shift operators on objects.

A *shift expression* is either an additive expression (16.31), or an invocation \diamond of a shift operator on either **super** or an expression e_1 , with argument e_2 .

A shift expression of the form e_1 op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation $e_1.op(e_2)$. A shift expression of the form **super** op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation **super**. $op(e_2)$.

Note that this definition implies left-to-right evaluation order among shift expressions: $e_1 << e_2 << e_3$ is evaluated as $(e_1 << e_2)$. $<< (e_3)$ which is equivalent to $(e_1 << e_2) << e_3$. The same holds for additive and multiplicative expressions.

16.31 Additive Expressions

Additive expressions invoke the addition operators on objects.

```
\langle additiveExpression \rangle ::= \langle multiplicativeExpression \rangle \\ (\langle additiveOperator \rangle \langle multiplicativeExpression \rangle)^* \\ | \mathbf{super} (\langle additiveOperator \rangle \langle multiplicativeExpression \rangle) + \\ \langle additiveOperator \rangle ::= `+` \\ | `-`
```

An additive expression is either a multiplicative expression (16.32), or an invocation of an additive operator on either **super** or an expression e_1 , with argument e_2 .

An additive expression of the form e_1 op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation $e_1 \cdot op(e_2)$. An additive expression of the form **super** op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation **super** $op(e_2)$.

The static type of an additive expression is usually determined by the signature given in the declaration of the operator used. However, invocations of the operators + and - of class int are treated specially by the typechecker. The static type of an expression $e_1 + e_2$ where e_1 has static type int is int if the

static type of e_2 is int, and double if the static type of e_2 is double. The static type of an expression $e_1 - e_2$ where e_1 has static type int is int if the static type of e_2 is int, and double if the static type of e_2 is double.

16.32 Multiplicative Expressions

Multiplicative expressions invoke the multiplication operators on objects.

```
\langle multiplicativeExpression \rangle ::=
        \langle unaryExpression \rangle (\langle multiplicativeOperator \rangle \langle unaryExpression \rangle) *
        | super (\langle multiplicativeOperator \rangle ::= '*'
        | '/'
        | '/'
        | '%'
        | '~/'
```

A multiplicative expression is either a unary expression (16.33), or an invocation of a multiplicative operator on either **super** or an expression e_1 , with argument e_2 .

A multiplicative expression of the form e_1 op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation $e_1.op(e_2)$. A multiplicative expression of the form **super** op e_2 is equivalent to the method invocation **super**. $op(e_2)$.

The static type of an multiplicative expression is usually determined by the signature given in the declaration of the operator used. However, invocations of the operators * and % of class int are treated specially by the typechecker. The static type of an expression e_1*e_2 where e_1 has static type int is int if the static type of e_2 is int, and double if the static type of e_2 is double. The static type of e_2 is int, and double if the static type of e_2 is double.

16.33 Unary Expressions

Unary expressions invoke unary operators on objects.

```
\langle unaryExpression \rangle ::= \langle prefixOperator \rangle \langle unaryExpression \rangle
| \langle awaitExpression \rangle
| \langle postfixExpression \rangle
| \langle (minusOperator \rangle | \langle tildeOperator \rangle) super
| \langle incrementOperator \rangle \langle assignableExpression \rangle
\langle prefixOperator \rangle ::= \langle minusOperator \rangle
| \langle negationOperator \rangle
| \langle tildeOperator \rangle
| \langle tildeOperator \rangle ::= '-'
```

```
\langle negationOperator \rangle ::= '!'
\langle tildeOperator \rangle ::= '~'
```

A unary expression is either a postfix expression (16.35), an await expression (16.34) or an invocation of a prefix operator on an expression or an invocation of a unary operator on either **super** or an expression e.

The expression e is equivalent to the expression e? false : true.

Evaluation of an expression of the form ++e is equivalent to e += 1. Evaluation of an expression of the form --e is equivalent to e -= 1.

Let e be an expression of the form -l where l is an integer literal (16.5) with numeric integer value i, and with static context type T. If double is assignable to T and int is not assignable to T, then the static type of e is double; otherwise the static type of e is int.

If the static type of e is int then e evaluates to to an instance of the int class representing the numeric value -i. If i is zero and the int class can represent a negative zero value, then the resulting instance instead represents that negative zero value. It is a compile-time error if the integer -i cannot be represented exactly by an instance of int.

If the static type of e is double then e evaluates to to an instance of the double class representing the numeric value -i. If i is zero, the resulting instance instead represents the *negative* zero double value, -0.0. It is a compiletime error if the integer -i cannot be represented exactly by an instance of double. We treat -l as if it is a single integer literal with a negative numeric value. We do not evaluate l individually as an expression, or concern ourselves with its static type.

Any other expression of the form $op \ e$ is equivalent to the method invocation e.op(). An expression of the form $op \ \text{super}$ is equivalent to the method invocation (16.21.3) super. op().

16.34 Await Expressions

An await expression allows code to yield control until an asynchronous operation (9) completes.

```
\langle \mathit{awaitExpression} \rangle ::= await \langle \mathit{unaryExpression} \rangle
```

Evaluation of an await expression a of the form **await** e proceeds as follows: First, the expression e is evaluated to an object o.

Then, if o is not an instance of Future, then let f be the result of creating a new object using the constructor Future.value() with o as its argument; otherwise let f be o.

Next, the stream associated with the innermost enclosing asynchronous for loop (17.6.3), if any, is paused. The current invocation of the function body immediately enclosing a is suspended until after f completes. At some time after f is completed, control returns to the current invocation. If f has completed

with an error x and stack trace t, a throws x and t (16.1). If f completes with an object v, a evaluates to v.

It is typically a compile-time error if the function immediately enclosing a is not declared asynchronous. E.g., it can be a syntax error because **await** has no special meaning in the context of a normal function. However, **await**(e) can also be a function invocation.

An await expression has no meaning in a synchronous function. If such a function were to suspend waiting for a future, it would no longer be synchronous.

It is not a compile-time error if the type of e is not a subtype of Future. Tools may choose to give a hint in such cases.

The static type of a is flatten(T) (flatten() is defined in section 16.13) where T is the static type of e.

16.35 Postfix Expressions

Postfix expressions invoke the postfix operators on objects.

```
 \langle postfixExpression \rangle ::= \langle assignableExpression \rangle \langle postfixOperator \rangle \\ | \langle constructorInvocation \rangle \langle selector \rangle^* \\ | \langle primary \rangle \langle selector \rangle^* \\ | \langle postfixOperator \rangle ::= \langle incrementOperator \rangle \\ | \langle constructorInvocation \rangle ::= \\ | \langle typeName \rangle \langle typeArguments \rangle `.` \langle identifier \rangle \langle arguments \rangle \\ | \langle selector \rangle ::= `!` \\ | \langle assignableSelector \rangle \\ | \langle argumentPart \rangle \\ | \langle incrementOperator \rangle ::= `++` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--` \\ | `--
```

A postfix expression is either a primary expression; a function, method or getter invocation; an invocation of a named constructor; or an invocation of a postfix operator on an expression e. All but the latter two are specified elsewhere.

Case $\langle \text{Constructor Invocation} \rangle$. Consider a $\langle \text{constructorInvocation} \rangle$ e of the form n < typeArguments > .id(arguments). If n does not denote a class C that declares a constructor named C.id, a compile-time error occurs.

Otherwise, if e occurs in a constant context (16.3.2) then e is treated as **const** e, and if e does not occur in a constant context then e is treated as **new** e.

Note that e cannot be anything other than an instance creation (constant or not) because e provides actual type arguments to n, which is not supported if n denotes a library prefix, nor if e is a static method invocation.

Case $\langle v++, v-- \rangle$. Consider a postfix expression e of the form v op, where v

is an identifier and op is either '++' or '--'. A compile-time error occurs unless v denotes a variable, or v denotes a getter and there is an associated setter v=. Let T be the static type of the variable v or the return type of the getter. A compile-time error occurs if T is not **dynamic** and T does not have an operator '+' (when op is '++') or operator '-' (when op is '--'), or if the return type of this operator is not assignable to the variable respectively the argument type of the setter. A compile-time error occurs if int is not assignable to the parameter type of said operator. The static type of e is T.

Evaluation of a postfix expression e of the form v++ respectively v--, where v is an identifier, proceeds as follows: Evaluate v to an object r and let y be a fresh variable bound to r. Evaluate v = y + 1 respectively v = y - 1. Then e evaluates to r.

The above ensures that if the evaluation involves a getter, it gets called exactly once. Likewise in the cases below.

Case $\langle C.v++, C.v--\rangle$. Consider a postfix expression e of the form C.v op, where C is a type literal and op is either '++' or '--'. A compile-time error occurs unless C.v denotes a static getter and there is an associated static setter v= (possibly implicitly induced by a class variable). Let T be the return type of said getter. A compile-time error occurs if T is not **dynamic** and T does not have an operator '+' (when op is '++') or operator '-' (when op is '--'), or if the return type of this operator is not assignable to the argument type of the setter. A compile-time error occurs if int is not assignable to the parameter type of said operator. The static type of e is T.

Evaluation of a postfix expression e of the form C.v++ respectively C.v- where C is a type literal proceeds as follows: Evaluate C.v to an object r and let y be a fresh variable bound to r. Evaluate C.v = y + 1 respectively C.v = y - 1. Then e evaluates to r.

Case $\langle e_1.v++, e_1.v--\rangle$. Consider a postfix expression e of the form $e_1.v$ op where e0 is either '++' or '--'. Let e0 be the static type of e1. A compile-time error occurs unless e0 has a getter named e1 and a setter named e2 (possibly implicitly induced by an instance variable). Let e1 be the return type of said getter. A compile-time error occurs if e1 is not **dynamic** and e2 does not have an operator '+' (when e2 is '++') or operator '-' (when e3 is '--'), or if the return type of this operator is not assignable to the argument type of the setter. A compile-time error occurs if int is not assignable to the parameter type of said operator. The static type of e1 is e2.

Evaluation of a postfix expression e of the form $e_1.v++$ respectively $e_1.v--$ proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u and let x be a fresh variable bound to u. Evaluate x.v to an object r and let y be a fresh variable bound to r. Evaluate x.v = y + 1 respectively x.v = y - 1. Then e evaluates to r. \square

Case $\langle e_1[e_2]++, e_1[e_2]--\rangle$. Consider a postfix expression e of the form $e_1[e_2]$ op where op is either '++' or '--'. Let S_1 be the static type of e_1 and S_2 be the static type of e_2 . A compile-time error occurs unless S_1 has an operator '[]' and an operator '[]='. Let T be the return type of the former. A compile-time error occurs unless S_2 is assignable to the first parameter type of said operator '[]='. A compile-time error occurs if T is not **dynamic** and T does not

have an operator '+' (when op is '++') or operator '-' (when op is '--'), or if the return type of this operator is not assignable to the second argument type of said operator '[]='. A compile-time error occurs if passing the integer literal 1 as an argument to said operator '+' or '-' would be an error. The static type of e is T.

Evaluation of a postfix expression e of the form $e_1[e_2]$ ++ respectively $e_1[e_2]$ --proceeds as follows: Evaluate e_1 to an object u and e_2 to an object v. Let a and i be fresh variables bound to u and v respectively. Evaluate a[i] to an object v and let v be a fresh variable bound to v. Evaluate a[i] = v + 1 respectively a[i] = v - 1. Then e evaluates to v.

Case $\langle e_1?.v++, e_1?.v--\rangle$. Consider a postfix expression e of the form $e_1?.v$ op where op is either '++' or '--'. Exactly the same compile-time errors that would be caused by $e_1.v$ op are also generated in the case of $e_1?.v$ op. The static type of e is the static type of $e_1.v$.

Evaluation of a postfix expression e of the form e_1 ?.v++ respectively e_1 ?.v-proceeds as follows: If e_1 is a type literal, evaluation of e is equivalent to evaluation of $e_1.v$ ++ respectively $e_1.v$ --. Otherwise evaluate e_1 to an object u. if u is the null object, e evaluates to the null object (16.4). Otherwise let x be a fresh variable bound to u. Evaluate x.v++ respectively x.v-- to an object o.

16.36 Assignable Expressions

Assignable expressions are expressions that can appear on the left hand side of an assignment. This section describes how to evaluate these expressions when they do not constitute the complete left hand side of an assignment.

Of course, if assignable expressions always appeared as the left hand side, one would have no need for their value, and the rules for evaluating them would be unnecessary. However, assignable expressions can be subexpressions of other expressions and therefore must be evaluated.

```
 \langle assignableExpression \rangle ::= \langle primary \rangle \langle assignableSelectorPart \rangle \\ | \mathbf{super} \langle unconditionalAssignableSelector \rangle \\ | \langle constructorInvocation \rangle \langle assignableSelectorPart \rangle \\ | \langle identifier \rangle \\ \langle assignableSelectorPart \rangle ::= \langle selector \rangle^* \langle assignableSelector \rangle \\ \langle unconditionalAssignableSelector \rangle ::= `[' \langle expression \rangle ']' \\ | `.' \langle identifier \rangle \\ \langle assignableSelector \rangle ::= \langle unconditionalAssignableSelector \rangle \\ | `?.' \langle identifier \rangle \\ | `?.' \langle expression \rangle `]'
```

- An identifier.
- An invocation (possibly conditional) of a getter (10.2) or list access operator on an expression e.
- An invocation of a getter or list access operator on **super**.

An assignable expression of the form id is evaluated as an identifier expression (16.38).

An assignable expression of the form $e \cdot id$ or $e? \cdot id$ is evaluated as a property extraction (16.22).

An assignable expression of the form $e_1[e_2]$ is evaluated as a method invocation of the operator method [] on e_1 with argument e_2 .

An assignable expression of the form $\mathbf{super}.id$ is evaluated as a property extraction.

Evaluation of an assignable expression of the form $super[e_2]$ is equivalent to evaluation of the method invocation $super[e_2]$.

16.37 Lexical Lookup

This section specifies how to look up a name based on the enclosing lexical scopes. This is known as a *lexical lookup*. When id is an identifier, it may look up a name n of the form id as well as of the form id=.

A lexical lookup yields a result which is a declaration, an import prefix, or a member signature. A lexical lookup can not fail (so it also makes no sense to say that it succeeds): a compile-time error may occur during the lexical lookup, but this specification does not mention the propagation of errors.

A lexical lookup differs from a lookup of an instance member (16.19) because that operation searches through a sequence of superclasses, whereas a lexical lookup searches through a sequence of enclosing scopes. A lexical lookup differs from a straightforward lookup in the enclosing scopes because the lexical lookup "bundles" getters and setters, as detailed below.

Consider the situation where a name n has basename id (10.10) where id is an identifier, and a lexical lookup of n is performed from a given location ℓ .

We specify a name and a location from where a lexical lookup is performed. The location is not always redundant: In some situations we perform a lookup for a setter named id=, but the token id= does not occur in the program. To handle such situations we must specify both the name which is being looked up, and the location that determines which scopes are the enclosing ones.

When we consider an occurrence of an identifier id and say that a lexical lookup of id is performed, it is understood that the lookup is performed from the location of said occurrence of id.

Let S be the innermost lexical scope containing ℓ which has a declaration with basename id. In the case where S has a declaration named id as well as a declaration named id=, let D be the declaration named n. In the situation where S has exactly one declaration with basename id, let D be that declaration.

A non-local variable declaration named id will implicitly induce a getter id and possibly a setter id= into the enclosing scope. This means that D may denote an implicitly induced getter or setter rather than the underlying variable declaration. That is significant in the case where an error must arise because the lookup was for one kind, but only the other kind exists.

If we are looking up a name n with basename id, we stop searching if we find any declaration named id or id=. If, in that scope, there are declarations for both id and id=, we return the one which has the requested name n. In the case where only one declaration is present, we return it, even though it may have the name id when n is id= or vice versa. That situation may cause an error, as specified below.

Case $\langle D \text{ does not exist} \rangle$. When no declaration with basename id is in scope at the location ℓ , the following errors apply:

- It is a compile-time error if ℓ does not have access to **this** (10).
- When \(\ell \) has access to this it is a compile-time error if the interface of the enclosing class does not have a member named \(n \). So it is an error if there is no member with the right basename at all, and also if there is one such member, but it is a non-setter and we are looking for a setter, or vice versa.

Case $\langle D \text{ exists} \rangle$. In this case, at least one declaration with basename id is in scope at the location ℓ .

It is a compile-time error if the name of D is not n, unless D is an instance member or a local variable (which may be a formal parameter).

When ℓ has access to **this** (10), it is a compile-time error if D is an instance member, and the interface of the enclosing class does not have a member named n. When ℓ does not have access to **this**, it is a compile-time error if D is an instance member.

We are always looking up both id and id=, no matter whether n is id or id=. This approach creates a tighter connection between a pair of declarations where one is a getter named id and the other is a setter named id=. This allows developers to think about a getter and setter that are declared together as a single entity, rather than two independent declarations.

For example, if a term refers to id and needs a setter, and the innermost declaration named id or id= is a getter g and there is no corresponding setter, it is a compile-time error. This error occurs even in the case where a more remote enclosing scope has a declaration of a setter s named id=, because we already committed to using g (so that's actually "a setter/getter pair where the setter is missing"), and we could say that this "pair" shadows s:

```
set id(int value) {} // This is s

class A {
  int get id => 42; // This is g
  user() {
   id = 0; // Compile-time error
```

. .

```
}
}
```

Now proceed as described in the first applicable case from the following list:

- When D does not exist, the lexical lookup yields the member signature of the member of the interface of the enclosing class which has the name n. In this case it is guaranteed that ℓ occurs inside the body of an instance member or generative constructor, and said member exists.
- Consider the case where D is a formal type parameter declaration of a class or a mixin. It is a compile-time error if ℓ occurs inside a static method, static getter, or static setter, or inside a class variable initializer. Otherwise, the lexical lookup yields D.
- Consider the case where D is an instance member declaration. The lexical lookup then yields the member signature named n from the interface of the enclosing class. It is again guaranteed that ℓ occurs inside the body of an instance member or generative constructor, and said member exists.
- Otherwise, the lexical lookup yields D.

Note that a lexical lookup will never yield a declaration of an instance member. In each case where it is determined that there is no error and an instance member is the result of the lookup, the member signature from the interface of the enclosing class is yielded.

The reason for this is that there may not be a declaration, e.g., in the case where D does not exist, but the interface of the class has the required member because more than one superinterface has the same member signature for the given name. So, for uniformity, we always report that an instance member must be used by yielding the member signature.

16.38 Identifier Reference

An *identifier expression* consists of a single identifier; it provides access to \diamond an object via an unqualified name.

```
 \langle identifier \rangle ::= \langle IDENTIFIER \rangle \ .   \langle IDENTIFIER\_NO\_DOLLAR \rangle ::= \langle IDENTIFIER\_START\_NO\_DOLLAR \rangle   \langle IDENTIFIER\_PART\_NO\_DOLLAR \rangle ^*   \langle IDENTIFIER \rangle ::= \langle IDENTIFIER\_START \rangle \ \langle IDENTIFIER\_PART \rangle ^*   \langle BUILT\_IN\_IDENTIFIER \rangle ::= \mathbf{abstract}   | \mathbf{as} | \mathbf{covariant} | \mathbf{deferred}
```

```
dynamic
     export
     external
     factory
     Function
     get
     implements
     import
     interface
     library
     operator
     mixin
     part
     set
     static
     typedef
\langle IDENTIFIER \ START \rangle ::= \langle IDENTIFIER \ START \ NO \ DOLLAR \rangle \mid `\$
\langle IDENTIFIER\_START\_NO\_DOLLAR \rangle ::= \langle LETTER \rangle \mid `\_`
\langle IDENTIFIER \ PART \ NO \ DOLLAR \rangle ::=
     \langle IDENTIFIER\_START\_NO\_DOLLAR \rangle \mid \langle DIGIT \rangle
\langle IDENTIFIER \ PART \rangle ::= \langle IDENTIFIER \ START \rangle \mid \langle DIGIT \rangle
\langle qualified \rangle ::= \langle identifier \rangle \ (`.' \langle identifier \rangle)?
```

A built-in identifier is one of the identifiers produced by the production $\langle BUILT_IN_IDENTIFIER \rangle$. It is a compile-time error if a built-in identifier is used as the declared name of a prefix, class, mixin, type parameter, or type alias. It is a compile-time error to use a built-in identifier other than **dynamic** or **Function** as an identifier in a type annotation or a type parameter bound.

Built-in identifiers are identifiers that are used as keywords in Dart, but are not reserved words. A built-in identifier may not be used to name a class or type. In other words, they are treated as reserved words when used as types. This eliminates many confusing situations, both for human readers and during parsing.

It is a compile-time error if either of the identifiers **await** or **yield** is used as an identifier in a function body marked with either **async**, **async*** or **sync***.

This makes the identifiers **await** and **yield** behave like reserved words in a limited context. This approach was chosen because it was less breaking than it would have been to make **await** and **yield** reserved words or built-in identifiers, at the time where these features were added to the language.

The static type of an identifier expression e which is an identifier id is determined as follows. Perform a lexical lookup of id (16.37) from the location of e.

Case \langle Lexical lookup yields a declaration \rangle . Let D be the declaration yielded by the lexical lookup of id.

- If D declares a class, mixin, type alias or type parameter, the static type of e is Type.
- If D is the declaration of a library getter (which may be implicitly induced by a library variable), the static type of e is the static type of the library getter invocation id (16.20).
- If D is a static method, library function, or local function, the static type of e is the function type of D.
 - Note that e may subsequently be subjected to generic function instantiation (16.18.1).
- If D is the declaration of a static getter (which may be implicitly induced by a class variable) and D occurs in the class C, the static type of e is the return type of the getter $C \cdot id$.
- If D is a local variable declaration (which can be a formal parameter) the static type of e is the type of the variable v declared by D, unless v is known to have some type T, where T is a subtype of any other type S such that v is known to have type S, in which case the static type of e is T.
- A lexical lookup will never yield a declaration D which is an instance member.

Case (Lexical lookup yields an import prefix). In this case the lexical lookup (16.37) for id yields an import prefix p. In this case a compile-time error occurs, unless the token immediately following e is '.'. No static type is associated with e in this case.

No such type is needed, because every construct where an import prefix p is used and followed by '.' is specified in such a way that the type of p is not used. \square

Case (Lexical lookup yields a member signature). In this case the lexical lookup (16.37) for id yields a member signature s. In this situation e is treated as (5) this. id.

In this case it is known that e has access to **this** (10), and the interface of the enclosing class has a member named id. Both the static analysis and evaluation proceeds with **this**. id, so there is no need to further specify the treatment of e. \Box

Evaluation of an identifier expression e of the form id proceeds as follows:

Case (Lexical lookup yields a declaration). In this case the lexical lookup (16.37) for id yields a declaration D. The evaluation of e proceeds as follows:

- If *D* is a class, mixin, or type alias, the value of *e* is an object implementing the class Type which reifies the corresponding type.
- If D is a type parameter X then the value of e is the value of the actual type argument corresponding to X that was passed to the generative constructor that created the current binding of **this**.

- If D is the declaration of a library getter (which may be implicitly induced by a library variable), evaluation of e is equivalent to evaluation of an invocation of the library getter id (16.20).
- If D is a library, class, or local constant variable of one of the forms const v = e'; or const T v = e'; then the value of e is the value of the constant expression e'.
- If D is a declaration of a top-level function, static method, or local function, then e evaluates to the function object obtained by closurization (16.18) of D.
- If D is a local variable v (which can be a formal parameter) then e evaluates to the current binding of v.

Note that D cannot be the declaration of a class variable, static getter or static setter declared in a class C, because in that case e is treated as (5) the property extraction (16.22) $C \cdot id$, which also determines the evaluation of e.

Case \langle Lexical lookup yields an import prefix \rangle . This situation cannot arise, because it is a compile-time error to evaluate an import prefix as an expression, and no constructs involving an import prefix (e.g., such as a property extraction p.m) will evaluate the import prefix.

Case $\langle \text{Lexical lookup yields a member signature} \rangle$. This situation cannot arise, because this only occurs when e is treated as **this**. id, whose evaluation is specified elsewhere (16.22).

16.39 Type Test

The *is-expression* tests if an object is a member of a type.

```
\langle typeTest \rangle ::= \langle isOperator \rangle \langle typeNotVoid \rangle
\langle isOperator \rangle ::= is `!`?
```

Evaluation of the is-expression e is T proceeds as follows:

The expression e is evaluated to an object v. If the dynamic type of v is a subtype of T, the is-expression evaluates to **true**. Otherwise it evaluates to **false**.

It follows that e is Object is always true. This makes sense in a language where everything is an object.

Also note that **null is** T is false unless T= Object, T= **dynamic** or T= Null. The former two are useless, as is anything of the form e **is** Object or e **is dynamic**. Users should test for the null object (16.4) directly rather than via type tests.

The is-expression e is! T is equivalent to ! (e is T).

Let v be a local variable (which can be a formal parameter). An is-expression of the form v is T shows that v has type T if T is a subtype of the type of the expression v. Otherwise, if the declared type of v is the type variable X, and

T is a subtype of the bound of X, and X&T is a subtype of the type of the expression v, then e shows that v has type X&T. Otherwise e does not show that v has type T for any T.

The motivation for the "shows that v has type T" relation is to reduce spurious errors thereby enabling a more natural coding style. The rules in the current specification are deliberately kept simple. It would be upwardly compatible to refine these rules in the future; such a refinement would accept more code without errors, but not reject any code now error-free.

The rule only applies to locals and parameters, as instance and static variables could be modified via side-effecting functions or methods that are not accessible to a local analysis.

It is pointless to deduce a weaker type than what is already known. Furthermore, this would lead to a situation where multiple types are associated with a variable at a given point, which complicates the specification. Hence the requirement that the promoted type is a subtype of the current type.

In any case, it is not an error when a type test does not show that a given variable does not have a "better" type than previously known, but tools may choose to give a hint in such cases, if suitable heuristics indicate that a promotion is likely to be intended.

The static type of an is-expression is bool.

16.40 Type Cast

The *cast expression* ensures that an object is a member of a type.

```
\langle typeCast \rangle ::= \langle asOperator \rangle \langle typeNotVoid \rangle
\langle asOperator \rangle ::= as
```

Evaluation of the cast expression e as T proceeds as follows:

The expression e is evaluated to an object v. It is a dynamic type error if o is not the null object (16.4), and the dynamic type of o is not a subtype of T. Otherwise e evaluates to v.

The static type of a cast expression e as T is T.

17 Statements

A *statement* is a fragment of Dart code that can be executed at run time. Statements, unlike expressions, do not evaluate to an object, but are instead executed for their effect on the program state and control flow.

```
\langle statement \rangle ::= \langle statement \rangle^*
\langle statement \rangle ::= \langle label \rangle^* \langle nonLabelledStatement \rangle
```

 \Diamond

```
\langle nonLabelledStatement \rangle ::= \langle block \rangle
       \langle localVariableDeclaration \rangle
       \langle forStatement \rangle
       \langle whileStatement \rangle
        \langle doStatement \rangle
        \langle switchStatement \rangle
       \langle ifStatement \rangle
        \langle rethrowStatement \rangle
       \langle tryStatement \rangle
       \langle breakStatement \rangle
       \langle continueStatement \rangle
       \langle returnStatement \rangle
        \langle yieldStatement \rangle
        \langle yieldEachStatement \rangle
       \langle expressionStatement \rangle
        \langle assertStatement \rangle
       \langle localFunctionDeclaration \rangle
```

17.0.1 Statement Completion

Execution of a statement *completes* in one of five ways: either it *completes* normally, it breaks or it continues (either to a label or without a label), it returns (with or without an object), or it throws an exception object and an associated stack trace.

In descriptions of statement execution the default is that the execution completes normally unless otherwise stated.

If the execution of a statement, s, is defined in terms of executing another statement, and the execution of that other statement does not complete normally, then, unless otherwise stated, the execution of s stops at that point and completes in the same way. For example, if execution of the body of a **do** loop returns an object, so does execution of the **do** loop statement itself.

If the execution of a statement is defined in terms of evaluating an expression and the evaluation of that expression throws, then, unless otherwise stated, the execution of the statement stops at that point and throws the same exception object and stack trace. For example, if evaluation of the condition expression of an **if** statement throws, then so does execution of the **if** statement. Likewise, if evaluation of the expression of a **return** statement throws, so does execution of the **return** statement.

17.1 Blocks

```
A block statement supports sequencing of code.
```

Execution of a block statement $\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ proceeds as follows:

For $i \in 1...n$, s_i is executed.

A block statement introduces a new scope, which is nested in the lexically enclosing scope in which the block statement appears.

17.2 Expression Statements

An expression statement consists of an expression that does not begin with \diamond a '{' character.

```
\langle expressionStatement \rangle ::= \langle expression \rangle? ';'
```

The expression of an expression statement is not allowed to begin with a '{'. This means that if some source text could otherwise be parsed as an expression followed by a ';', then this grammar production does not apply when the expression starts with a '{'. The restriction resolves an ambiguity while parsing where a '{' can start either a block (17.1) or a map literal (16.10). By disallowing the latter from starting an expression statement, the parser does not need to look further ahead before deciding that it is parsing a block statement.

Execution of an expression statement e; proceeds by evaluating e. If the expression evaluates to an object, then the object is ignored and the execution completes normally.

17.3 Local Variable Declaration

A variable declaration statement, also known as a local variable declaration, has the following form:

```
\langle localVariableDeclaration \rangle ::= \langle initializedVariableDeclaration \rangle;
```

Each local variable declaration introduces a $local\ variable$ into the innermost enclosing scope.

Local variables do not induce getters and setters. Note that a formal parameter declaration also introduces a local variable into the associated formal parameter scope (9.2).

The properties of being *initialized*, *constant*, *final*, and *mutable* apply to local variables with the same definitions as for other variables (8).

We say that a local variable v is *potentially mutated* in some scope s if v is mutable, and an assignment to v occurs in s.

A local variable declaration of the form var v; is equivalent to var v = null;. A local variable declaration of the form T v; is equivalent to T v = null;.

This holds regardless of the type T. E.g., int i; is equivalent to int i = mulli:

The type of a local variable with a declaration of one of the forms $T \ v = e$; const $T \ v = e$; final $T \ v = e$; is T. The type of a local variable with a declaration of one of the forms var v = e; const v = e; final v = e; is dynamic.

Let v be a local variable declared by an initializing variable declaration, and let e be the associated initializing expression. It is a compile-time error if the static type of e is not assignable to the type of v. It is a compile-time error if a local variable v is final, and the declaration of v is not an initializing variable declaration.

It is also a compile-time error to assign to a final local variable (16.23).

It is a compile-time error if a local variable is referenced at a source code location that is before the end of its initializing expression, if any, and otherwise before the declaring occurrence of the identifier which names the variable.

The example below illustrates the expected behavior. A variable 'x' is declared at the library level, and another 'x' is declared inside the function 'f'.

```
var x = 0;

f(y) {
  var z = x; // compile-time error
  if (y) {
    x = x + 1; // two compile-time errors
    print(x); // compile-time error
  }
  var x = x++; // compile-time error
  print(x);
}
```

The declaration inside 'f' hides the enclosing one. So all references to 'x' inside 'f' refer to the inner declaration of 'x'. However, many of these references are illegal, because they appear before the declaration. The assignment to 'z' is one such case. The assignment to 'x' in the if statement suffers from multiple problems. The right hand side reads 'x' before its declaration, and the left hand side assigns to 'x' before its declaration. Each of these are, independently, compile-time errors. The print statement inside the if is also illegal.

The inner declaration of 'x' is itself erroneous because its right hand side attempts to read 'x' before the declaration has terminated. The occurrence of 'x' that declares and names the variable (that is, the one to the left of '=' in the inner declaration) is not a reference, and so is legal. The last print statement is perfectly legal as well.

As another example var x = 3, y = x; is legal, because x is referenced after its initializer.

A particularly perverse example involves a local variable name shadowing a type. This is possible because Dart has a single namespace for types, functions and variables.

```
class C {}
perverse() {
  var v = new C(); // compile-time error
  C aC; // compile-time error
  var C = 10;
}
```

Inside perverse(), 'C' denotes a local variable. The type 'C' is hidden by the variable of the same name. The attempt to instantiate 'C' causes a compile-time

error because it references a local variable prior to its declaration. Similarly, for the declaration of 'aC'.

Execution of a variable declaration statement of one of the forms $var\ v = e$; $T\ v = e$; $const\ v = e$;

The expression e is evaluated to an object o. Then, the variable v is set to o. A dynamic type error occurs if the dynamic type of o is not a subtype of the actual type (19.10.1) of v.

17.4 Local Function Declaration

A function declaration statement declares a new local function (9.1).

```
\langle localFunctionDeclaration \rangle ::= \langle functionSignature \rangle \langle functionBody \rangle
```

A function declaration statement of one of the forms id signature { statements } or T id signature { statements } causes a new function named id to be added to the innermost enclosing scope. It is a compile-time error to reference a local function before its declaration.

This implies that local functions can be directly recursive, but not mutually recursive. Consider these examples:

```
f(x) \Rightarrow x++; \text{ // a top level function}
top() \text{ { // another top level function }}
f(3); \text{ // illegal }}
f(x) \Rightarrow x > 0? \text{ $x*f(x-1): 1; \text{ // recursion is legal }}
g1(x) \Rightarrow h(x, 1); \text{ // error: h is not declared yet }}
h(x, n) \Rightarrow x > 1? \text{ h}(x-1, n*x): n; \text{ // again, recursion is fine }}
g2(x) \Rightarrow h(x, 1); \text{ // legal }}
p1(x) \Rightarrow q(x,x); \text{ // illegal }}
q1(a, b) \Rightarrow a > 0? p1(a-1): b; \text{ // fine }}
q2(a, b) \Rightarrow a > 0? p2(a-1): b; \text{ // illegal }}
p1(x) \Rightarrow q2(x,x); \text{ // fine }}
```

There is no way to write a pair of mutually recursive local functions, because one always has to come before the other is declared. These cases are quite rare, and can always be managed by defining a pair of variables first, then assigning them appropriate function literals:

```
top2() { // a top level function
  var p, q;
  p = (x) => q(x,x);
  q = (a, b) => a > 0 ? p(a-1): b;
```

 \Diamond

}

The rules for local functions differ slightly from those for local variables in that a function can be accessed within its declaration but a variable can only be accessed after its declaration. This is because recursive functions are useful whereas recursively defined variables are almost always errors. It therefore makes sense to harmonize the rules for local functions with those for functions in general rather than with the rules for local variables.

17.5 If

The if statement allows for conditional execution of statements.

```
\langle ifStatement \rangle ::= if '(' \langle expression \rangle ')' \langle statement \rangle (else \langle statement \rangle)?
```

An if statement of the form **if** (e) s_1 **else** s_2 where s_1 is not a block statement is equivalent to the statement **if** (e) $\{s_1\}$ **else** s_2 . An if statement of the form **if** (e) s_1 **else** s_2 where s_2 is not a block statement is equivalent to the statement **if** (e) s_1 **else** $\{s_2\}$.

The reason for this equivalence is to catch errors such as

```
void main() {
  if (somePredicate)
    var v = 2;
  print(v);
}
```

Under reasonable scope rules such code is problematic. If we assume that v is declared in the scope of the method main(), then when somePredicate is false, v will be uninitialized when accessed. The cleanest approach would be to require a block following the test, rather than an arbitrary statement. However, this goes against long standing custom, undermining Dart's goal of familiarity. Instead, we choose to insert a block, introducing a scope, around the statement following the predicate (and similarly for else and loops). This will cause a compile-time error in the case above. Of course, if there is a declaration of v in the surrounding scope, programmers might still be surprised. We expect tools to highlight cases of shadowing to help avoid such situations.

Execution of an if statement of the form **if** (b) s_1 **else** s_2 where s_1 and s_2 are block statements, proceeds as follows:

First, the expression b is evaluated to an object o. It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o is not bool. If o is **true**, then the block statement s_1 is executed, otherwise the block statement s_2 is executed.

It is a compile-time error if the type of the expression b may not be assigned to bool.

If b shows that a local variable v has type T, then the type of v is known to be T in s_2 , unless any of the following are true

 \Diamond

- v is potentially mutated in s_1 ,
- v is potentially mutated within a function other than the one where v is declared, or
- v is accessed by a function defined in s_1 and v is potentially mutated anywhere in the scope of v.

An if statement of the form **if** (e) s is equivalent to the if statement **if** (e) s **else** $\{\}$.

17.6 For

The for statement supports iteration.

```
\langle forStatement \rangle ::= await? \ for \ `(' \langle forLoopParts \rangle \ `)' \langle statement \rangle 
\langle forLoopParts \rangle ::= \langle forInitializerStatement \rangle \langle expression \rangle? \ `;' \langle expressionList \rangle? 
| \langle declaredIdentifier \rangle \ in \langle expression \rangle 
| \langle identifier \rangle \ in \langle expression \rangle 
\langle forInitializerStatement \rangle ::= \langle localVariableDeclaration \rangle 
| \langle expression \rangle? \ `;'
```

The for statement has three forms - the traditional for loop and two forms of the for-in statement - synchronous and asynchronous.

17.6.1 For Loop

Execution of a for statement of the form for (var $v = e_0$; c; e) s proceeds as follows:

If c is empty then let c' be **true** otherwise let c' be c.

First the variable declaration statement var $v = e_0$ is executed. Then:

- 1. If this is the first iteration of the for loop, let v' be v. Otherwise, let v' be the variable v'' created in the previous execution of step 3.
- 2. The expression [v'/v]c is evaluated to an object o. It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o is not bool. If o is **false**, the for loop completes normally. Otherwise, execution continues at step 3.
- 3. The statement $\lfloor v'/v \rfloor \{s\}$ is executed.

If this execution completes normally, continues without a label, or continues to a label (17.13) that prefixes this **for** statement (17.0.1), then execution of the statement is treated as if it had completed normally.

Let v'' be a fresh variable. v'' is bound to the value of v'.

4. The expression $\lfloor v''/v \rfloor e$ is evaluated, and the process recurses at step 1.

The definition above is intended to prevent the common error where users create a function object inside a for loop, intending to close over the current binding of the loop variable, and find (usually after a painful process of debugging and learning) that all the created function objects have captured the same value—the one current in the last iteration executed.

Instead, each iteration has its own distinct variable. The first iteration uses the variable created by the initial declaration. The expression executed at the end of each iteration uses a fresh variable v'', bound to the value of the current iteration variable, and then modifies v'' as required for the next iteration.

It is a compile-time error if the static type of c may not be assigned to bool.

17.6.2 For-in

Let D be derived from $\langle finalConstVarOrType \rangle$?. A for statement of the form **for** (D id **in** e) S is then treated as the following code, where id_1 and id_2 are fresh identifiers:

```
T \ id_1 = e;

\mathsf{var} \ id_2 = id_1.\mathsf{iterator};

\mathsf{while} \ (id_2.\mathsf{moveNext}()) \ \{

D \ id = id_2.\mathsf{current};

\{ \ S \ \}

\}
```

If the static type of e is a top type (14.2) then T is Iterable
<dynamic>, otherwise T is the static type of e. It is a compile-time error if T is not assignable to Iterable
<dynamic>.

It follows that it is a compile-time error if D is empty and id is a final variable; and it is a dynamic error if e has a top type, but e evaluates to an instance of a type which is not a subtype of Iterable<dynamic>.

17.6.3 Asynchronous For-in

A for-in statement may be asynchronous. The asynchronous form is designed to iterate over streams. An asynchronous for loop is distinguished by the keyword **await** immediately preceding the keyword **for**.

Let D be derived from $\langle finalConstVarOrType \rangle$?. Execution of a for-in statement, f, of the form **await for** (D id **in** e) s proceeds as follows:

The expression e is evaluated to an object o. It is a dynamic type error if o is not an instance of a class that implements Stream. It is a compile-time error if D is empty and id is a final variable.

The stream associated with the innermost enclosing asynchronous for loop, if any, is paused. The stream o is listened to, producing a stream subscription u, and execution of the asynchronous for-in loop is suspended until a stream event is available. This allows other asynchronous events to execute while this loop is waiting for stream events.

Pausing an asynchronous for loop means pausing the associated stream subscription. A stream subscription is paused by calling its pause method. If the subscription is already paused, an implementation may omit further calls to pause.

The pause call can throw, although that should never happen for a correctly implemented stream.

For each $data\ event$ from u, the statement s is executed with id bound to the value of the current data event.

Either execution of s is completely synchronous, or it contains an asynchronous construct (await, await for, yield or yield*) which will pause the stream subscription of its surrounding asynchronous loop. This ensures that no other event of u occurs before execution of s is complete, if o is a correctly implemented stream. If o doesn't act as a valid stream, for example by not respecting pause requests, the behavior of the asynchronous loop may become unpredictable.

If execution of s continues without a label, or to a label (17.13) that prefixes the asynchronous for statement (17.0.1), then the execution of s is treated as if it had completed normally.

If execution of s otherwise does not complete normally, the subscription u is canceled by evaluating **await** $v.\mathtt{cancel}()$ where v is a fresh variable referencing the stream subscription u. If that evaluation throws, execution of f throws the same exception and stack trace. Otherwise execution of f completes in the same way as the execution of s. Otherwise the execution of f is suspended again, waiting for the next stream subscription event, and u is resumed if it has been paused. The resume call can throw, in which case the asynchronous for loop also throws. That should never happen for a correctly implemented stream.

On an error event from u, with error object e and stack trace st, the subscription u is canceled by evaluating **await** v.cancel() where v is a fresh variable referencing the stream subscription u. If that evaluation throws, execution of f throws the same exception object and stack trace. Otherwise execution of f throws with e as exception object and st as stack trace.

When u is done, execution of f completes normally.

It is a compile-time error if an asynchronous for-in statement appears inside a synchronous function (9). It is a compile-time error if a traditional for loop (17.6.1) is prefixed by the **await** keyword.

An asynchronous loop would make no sense within a synchronous function, for the same reasons that an await expression makes no sense in a synchronous function.

17.7 While

The while statement supports conditional iteration, where the condition is evaluated prior to the loop.

```
\langle whileStatement \rangle ::= while '(' \langle expression \rangle ')' \langle statement \rangle
```

Execution of a while statement of the form **while** (e) s; proceeds as follows:

The expression e is evaluated to an object o. It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o is not bool.

If o is **false**, then execution of the while statement completes normally (17.0.1).

Otherwise o is **true** and then the statement $\{s\}$ is executed. If that execution completes normally or it continues with no label or to a label (17.13) that prefixes the **while** statement (17.0.1), then the while statement is re-executed. If the execution breaks without a label, execution of the while statement completes normally. If the execution breaks with a label that prefixes the **while** statement, it does end execution of the loop, but the break itself is handled by the surrounding labeled statement (17.13).

It is a compile-time error if the static type of e may not be assigned to bool.

17.8 Do

The do statement supports conditional iteration, where the condition is evaluated after the loop.

```
\langle doStatement \rangle ::= do \langle statement \rangle  while '(' \langle expression \rangle ')' ';'
```

Execution of a do statement of the form $do\ s$ while (e); proceeds as follows:

The statement $\{s\}$ is executed. If that execution continues with no label, or to a label (17.13) that prefixes the do statement (17.0.1), then the execution of s is treated as if it had completed normally.

Then, the expression e is evaluated to an object o. It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o is not bool. If o is **false**, execution of the do statement completes normally (17.0.1). If o is **true**, then the do statement is re-executed.

It is a compile-time error if the static type of e may not be assigned to bool.

17.9 Switch

The $switch\ statement\ supports\ dispatching\ control\ among\ a\ large\ number\ of\ \diamond$ cases.

```
\langle switchStatement \rangle ::=
switch `(` \langle expression \rangle `)` `` \{` \langle switchCase \rangle * \langle defaultCase \rangle ?`` \}`
\langle switchCase \rangle ::= \langle label \rangle * case \langle expression \rangle `:` \langle statements \rangle
\langle defaultCase \rangle ::= \langle label \rangle * default `:` \langle statements \rangle
Consider a switch statement of the form
switch (e) \{
label_{11} \ldots label_{1j_1} case e_1 : s_1 \ldots
```

Note that each expression e_j , $j \in 1..n$ occurs in a constant context (16.3.2), which means that **const** modifiers need not be specified explicitly.

It is a compile-time error unless each expression $e_j, j \in 1..n$ is constant. It is a compile-time error if the value of the expressions $e_j, j \in 1..n$ are not either:

- instances of the same class C, for all $j \in 1..n$, or
- instances of a class that implements int, for all $j \in 1..n$, or
- instances of a class that implements String, for all $j \in 1..n$.

In other words, all the expressions in the cases evaluate to constants of the exact same user defined class or are of certain known types. Note that the values of the expressions are known at compile time, and are independent of any type annotations.

It is a compile-time error if the operator = of class C is not primitive (10.1.3).

The prohibition on user defined equality allows us to implement the switch efficiently for user defined types. We could formulate matching in terms of identity instead, with the same efficiency. However, if a type defines an equality operator, programmers would presumably find it quite surprising if equal objects did not match.

The **switch** statement should only be used in very limited situations (e.g., interpreters or scanners).

Execution of a switch statement of the form

```
label_{11} ... label_{1j_1} case e_1: s_1 ... label_{nj_n} case e_n: s_n
```

proceeds as follows:

The statement **var** id = e; is evaluated, where id is a fresh variable. It is a dynamic error if the value of e is not an instance of the same class as the constants e_1, \ldots, e_n .

Note that if there are no case clauses (n = 0), the type of e does not matter.

Next, the case clause **case** e_1 : s_1 is matched against id, if n > 0. Otherwise if there is a **default** clause, the case statements s_{n+1} are executed (17.9.1).

Matching of a case clause case $e_k : s_k$ of a switch statement

against the value of a variable id proceeds as follows:

The expression $e_k == id$ is evaluated to an object o. It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o is not bool. If o is **false** the following case, **case** $e_{k+1}: s_{k+1}$ is matched against id if k < n, and if k = n, then the **default** clause's statements are executed (17.9.1). If o is **true**, let h be the smallest number such that $h \ge k$ and s_h is non-empty. If no such h exists, let h = n + 1. The case statements s_h are then executed (17.9.1).

Matching of a case clause case $e_k : s_k$ of a switch statement

against the value of a variable id proceeds as follows:

The expression $e_k == id$ is evaluated to an object o. It is a dynamic error if the run-time type of o is not bool. If o is **false** the following case, **case** $e_{k+1}: s_{k+1}$ is matched against id if k < n. If o is **true**, let h be the smallest integer such that $h \ge k$ and s_h is non-empty. If such a h exists, the case statements s_h are executed (17.9.1). Otherwise the switch statement completes normally (17.0.1).

It is a compile-time error if the type of e may not be assigned to the type of e_k . Let s be the last statement of the statement sequence s_k . If s is a non-empty block statement, let s instead be the last statement of the block statement. It is

a compile-time error if s is not a **break**, **continue**, **rethrow** or **return** statement or an expression statement where the expression is a **throw** expression.

The behavior of switch cases intentionally differs from the C tradition. Implicit fall through is a known cause of programming errors and therefore disallowed. Why not simply break the flow implicitly at the end of every case, rather than requiring explicit code to do so? This would indeed be cleaner. It would also be cleaner to insist that each case have a single (possibly compound) statement. We have chosen not to do so in order to facilitate porting of switch statements from other languages. Implicitly breaking the control flow at the end of a case would silently alter the meaning of ported code that relied on fall-through, potentially forcing the programmer to deal with subtle bugs. Our design ensures that the difference is immediately brought to the coder's attention. The programmer will be notified at compile time if they forget to end a case with a statement that terminates the straight-line control flow.

The sophistication of the analysis of fall-through is another issue. For now, we have opted for a very straightforward syntactic requirement. There are obviously situations where code does not fall through, and yet does not conform to these simple rules, e.g.:

```
switch (x) {
  case 1: try { ... return; } finally { ... return; }
}
```

Very elaborate code in a case clause is probably bad style in any case, and such code can always be refactored.

It is a static warning if all of the following conditions hold:

- The switch statement does not have a default clause.
- The static type of e is an enumerated type with elements id_1, \ldots, id_n .
- The sets $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ and $\{id_1, \ldots, id_n\}$ are not the same.

In other words, a static warning will be emitted if a switch statement over an enum is not exhaustive.

17.9.1 Switch case statements

Execution of the case statements s_h of a switch statement

or a switch statement

proceeds as follows:

Execute $\{s_h\}$. If this execution completes normally, and if s_h is not the statements of the last case of the switch (h=n) if there is no **default** clause, h=n+1 if there is a **default** clause), then the execution of the switch case throws an error. Otherwise s_h are the last statements of the switch case, and execution of the switch case completes normally.

In other words, there is no implicit fall-through between non-empty cases. The last case in a switch (default or otherwise) can 'fall-through' to the end of the statement.

If execution of $\{s_h\}$ breaks with no label (17.0.1), then the execution of the switch statement completes normally.

If execution of $\{s_h\}$ continues to a label (17.0.1), and the label is $label_{ij}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ if the **switch** statement has a **default**, or $1 \leq i \leq n$ if there is no **default**, and where $1 \leq j \leq j_i$, then let h be the smallest number such that $h \geq i$ and s_h is non-empty. If no such h exists, let h = n+1 if the **switch** statement has a **default**, otherwise let h = n. The case statements s_h are then executed (17.9.1).

If execution of $\{s_h\}$ completes in any other way, execution of the **switch** statement completes in the same way.

17.10 Rethrow

The $rethrow\ statement$ is used to re-throw an exception and its associated $\,\diamond\,$ stack trace.

```
\langle rethrowStatement \rangle ::= rethrow ';'
```

Execution of a **rethrow** statement proceeds as follows:

Let f be the immediately enclosing function, and let **on** T **catch** (p_1, p_2) be the immediately enclosing catch clause (17.11).

A **rethrow** statement always appears inside a **catch** clause, and any **catch** clause is semantically equivalent to some **catch** clause of the form **on** T **catch** (p1, p2). So we can assume that the **rethrow** is enclosed in a **catch** clause of that form

The **rethrow** statement throws (17.0.1) with p_1 as the exception object and p_2 as the stack trace.

It is a compile-time error if a **rethrow** statement is not enclosed within an **on-catch** clause.

17.11 Try

The try statement supports the definition of exception handling code in a structured way.

```
 \langle tryStatement \rangle ::= \mathbf{try} \ \langle block \rangle \ (\langle onPart \rangle + \langle finallyPart \rangle? \ | \ \langle finallyPart \rangle) 
 \langle onPart \rangle ::= \langle catchPart \rangle \ \langle block \rangle 
 | \mathbf{on} \ \langle typeNotVoid \rangle \ \langle catchPart \rangle? \ \langle block \rangle 
 \langle catchPart \rangle ::= \mathbf{catch} \ `(` \langle identifier \rangle \ (`,` \langle identifier \rangle)? \ `)` 
 \langle finallyPart \rangle ::= \mathbf{finally} \ \langle block \rangle
```

A try statement consists of a block statement, followed by at least one of:

- 1. A set of **on-catch** clauses, each of which specifies (either explicitly or implicitly) the type of exception object to be handled, one or two exception parameters, and a block statement.
- 2. A **finally** clause, which consists of a block statement.

The syntax is designed to be upward compatible with existing Javascript programs. The **on** clause can be omitted, leaving what looks like a Javascript catch clause.

A try statement of the form $\operatorname{try} s_1 \ on - \operatorname{catch}_1 \dots on - \operatorname{catch}_n$; is equivalent to the statement $\operatorname{try} s_1 \ on - \operatorname{catch}_1 \dots on - \operatorname{catch}_n$ finally $\{\}$.

An on-catch clause of the form on T catch (p_1) s is equivalent to an on-catch clause on T catch (p_1, p_2) s where p_2 is a fresh identifier.

An on-catch clause of the form on T s is equivalent to an on-catch clause on T catch (p_1, p_2) s where p_1 and p_2 are fresh identifiers.

An **on-catch** clause of the form **catch** (p) s is equivalent to an **on-catch** clause **on dynamic catch** (p, p_2) s where p_2 is a fresh identifier.

An on-catch clause of the form catch (p_1, p_2) s is equivalent to an on-catch clause on dynamic catch (p_1, p_2) s.

An **on-catch** clause of the form **on** T **catch** (p_1, p_2) s introduces a new scope CS in which final local variables specified by p_1 and p_2 are defined. The statement s is enclosed within CS. The static type of p_1 is T and the static type of p_2 is StackTrace.

Execution of a **try** statement s of the form:

```
try b on T_1 catch (e_1, t_1) c_1 ... on T_n catch (e_n, t_n) c_n finally f
```

First b is executed. If execution of b throws (17.0.1) with exception object e and stack trace t, then e and t are matched against the **on-catch** clauses to yield a new completion (17.11.1).

Then, even if execution of b did not complete normally or matching against the **on-catch** clauses did not complete normally, the f block is executed.

If execution of f does not complete normally, execution of the **try** statement completes in the same way. Otherwise if execution of b threw (17.0.1), the **try** statement completes in the same way as the matching against the **on-catch** clauses. Otherwise the **try** statement completes in the same way as the execution of b.

It is a compile-time error if T_i , $1 \le i \le n$ is a deferred type.

17.11.1 on-catch clauses

Matching an exception object e and stack trace t against a (potentially empty) sequence of **on-catch** clauses of the form

```
on T_1 catch (e_1, st_1) { s_1 } ... on T_n catch (e_n, st_n) { s_n }
```

proceeds as follows:

If there are no **on-catch** clauses (n = 0), matching throws the exception object e and stack trace t (17.0.1).

Otherwise the exception is matched against the first clause.

Otherwise, if the type of e is a subtype of T_1 , then the first clause matches, and then e_1 is bound to the exception object e and t_1 is bound to the stack trace t, and s_1 is executed in this scope. The matching completes in the same way as this execution.

Otherwise, if the first clause did not match e, e and t are recursively matched against the remaining **on-catch** clauses:

```
on T_2 catch (e_2, t_2) { s_2 } ... on T_n catch (e_n, t_n) { s_n }
```

17.12 Return

The return statement returns a result to the caller of a synchronous function, completes the future associated with an asynchronous function, or terminates the stream or iterable associated with a generator (9).

```
\langle returnStatement \rangle ::= return \langle expression \rangle? ';'
```

Consider a return statement s of the form **return** e?; Let S be the static

type of e, if e is present, let f be the immediately enclosing function, and let T be the declared return type of f.

Case \langle Synchronous non-generator functions \rangle . Consider the case where f is a synchronous non-generator function (9). It is a compile-time error if s is return; unless T is void, dynamic, or Null. It is a compile-time error if s is return e; T is void, and S is neither void, dynamic, nor Null. It is a compile-time error if s is return e; T is neither void, dynamic, nor Null, and S is void. It is a compile-time error if s is return s; S is not void, and S is not assignable to T.

Note that T cannot be **void**, **dynamic**, or Null in the last case, because all types are assignable to those types. An error will not be raised if f has no declared return type, since the return type would be **dynamic**, to which every type is assignable. However, a synchronous non-generator function that declares a return type which is not "voidy" must return an expression explicitly. This helps catch situations where users forget to return an object in a return statement.

Case \langle Asynchronous non-generator functions \rangle . Consider the case where f is an asynchronous non-generator function (9). It is a compile-time error if s is return; unless flatten(T) (16.13) is void, dynamic, or Null. An asynchronous non-generator always returns a future of some sort. If no expression is given, the future will be completed with the null object (16.4) which motivates this rule. It is a compile-time error if s is return e; flatten(T) is void, and flatten(S) is neither void, dynamic, nor Null. It is a compile-time error if s is return e; flatten(T) is neither void, dynamic, nor Null, and flatten(S) is void. It is a compile-time error if s is return e; flatten(S) is not assignable to T.

Note that flatten(T) cannot be **void**, **dynamic**, or Null in the last case, because then Future<U> is assignable to T for all U. In particular, when T is FutureOr<Null> (which is equivalent to Future<Null>), Future<flatten(S)> is assignable to T for all S. This means that no compile-time error is raised, but only the null object (16.4) or an instance of Future<Null> can successfully be returned at run time. This is not an anomaly, it corresponds to the treatment of a synchronous function with return type Null; but tools may choose to give a hint that a downcast is unlikely to succeed.

An error will not be raised if f has no declared return type, since the return type would be **dynamic**, and Futureflattenf is assignable to **dynamic** for all f. However, an asynchronous non-generator function that declares a return type which is not "voidy" must return an expression explicitly. This helps catch situations where users forget to return an object in a return statement of an asynchronous function.

Case \langle Generator functions \rangle . It is a compile-time error if a return statement of the form **return** e; appears in a generator function.

In the case of a generator function, the object returned by the function is the iterable or stream associated with it, and individual elements are added to that iterable using yield statements, and so returning an object makes no sense.

Case \langle Generative constructors \rangle . It is a compile-time error if a return statement of the form **return** e; appears in a generative constructor (10.6.1).

It is quite easy to forget to add the **factory** modifier for a constructor, accidentally converting a factory into a generative constructor. The static checker may detect a type mismatch in some, but not all, of these cases. The rule above helps catch such errors, which can otherwise be very hard to recognize. There is no real downside to it, as returning an object from a generative constructor is meaningless.

Executing a return statement **return** e; proceeds as follows:

First the expression e is evaluated, producing an object o. Let S be the run-time type of o and let T be the actual return type of f (19.10.1). If the body of f is marked **async** (9) and S is a subtype of Future<flatten(T)> then let r be the result of evaluating await v where v is a fresh variable bound to o. Otherwise let r be o. Then the return statement returns the object r (17.0.1).

Let U be the run-time type of r.

- If the body of f is marked async (9) it is a dynamic type error if Future<U>
 is not a subtype of T.
- Otherwise, it is a dynamic type error if U is not a subtype of T.

Executing a return statement with no expression, **return**; returns without an object (17.0.1).

17.13 Labels

A label is an identifier followed by a colon. A labeled statement is a statement prefixed by a label L. A labeled case clause is a case clause within a switch statement (17.9) prefixed by a label L.

The sole role of labels is to provide targets for the break (17.14) and continue (17.15) statements.

```
\langle label \rangle ::= \langle identifier \rangle ':'
```

Execution a labeled statement s, label: s_l , consists of executing s_l . If execution of s_l breaks to the label label (17.0.1), then execution of s completes normally, otherwise execution of s completes in the same ways as the execution of s_l .

The namespace of labels is distinct from the one used for types, functions and variables.

The scope of a label that labels a statement s is s. The scope of a label that labels a case clause of a switch statement s is s.

Labels should be avoided by programmers at all costs. The motivation for including labels in the language is primarily making Dart a better target for code generation.

17.14 Break

The *break statement* consists of the reserved word **break** and an optional \diamond label (17.13).

```
⟨breakStatement⟩ ::= break ⟨identifier⟩? ';'
```

Let s_b be a **break** statement. If s_b is of the form **break** L;, then it is a compile-time error if s_b is not enclosed in a labeled statement with the label L within the innermost function in which s_b occurs. If s_b is of the form **break**;, then it is a compile-time error if s_b is not enclosed in an **await for** (17.6.3), **do** (17.8), **for** (17.6), **switch** (17.9) or **while** (17.7) statement within the innermost function in which s_b occurs.

Execution of a **break** statement **break** L; breaks to the label L (17.0.1). Execution of a **break** statement **break**; breaks without a label (17.0.1).

17.15 Continue

The *continue statement* consists of the reserved word **continue** and an optional label (17.13).

```
\langle continueStatement \rangle ::= continue \langle identifier \rangle? ';'
```

Let s_c be a **continue** statement. If s_c is of the form **continue** L;, then it is a compile-time error if s_c is not enclosed in either an **await for** (17.6.3), **do** (17.8), **for** (17.6), or **while** (17.7) statement labeled with L, or in a **switch** statement with a case clause labeled with L, within the innermost function in which s_c occurs. If s_c is of the form **continue**; then it is a compile-time error if s_c is not enclosed in an **await for** (17.6.3) **do** (17.8), **for** (17.6), or **while** (17.7) statement within the innermost function in which s_c occurs.

Execution of a **continue** statement **continue** L; continues to the label L (17.0.1). Execution of a **continue** statement **continue**; continues without a label (17.0.1).

17.16 Yield and Yield-Each

17.16.1 Yield

The *yield statement* adds an element to the result of a generator function \diamond (9).

```
\langle yieldStatement \rangle ::= yield \langle expression \rangle ';'
```

Execution of a statement s of the form **yield** e; proceeds as follows:

First, the expression e is evaluated to an object o. If the enclosing function m is marked **async*** (9) and the stream u associated with m has been paused, then the nearest enclosing asynchronous for loop (17.6.3), if any, is paused and execution of m is suspended until u is resumed or canceled.

Next, o is added to the iterable or stream associated with the immediately enclosing function.

If the enclosing function m is marked **async*** and the stream u associated

with m has been canceled, then the **yield** statement returns without an object (17.0.1), otherwise it completes normally.

The stream associated with an asynchronous generator could be canceled by any code with a reference to that stream at any point where the generator was passivated. Such a cancellation constitutes an irretrievable error for the generator. At this point, the only plausible action for the generator is to clean up after itself via its **finally** clauses.

Otherwise, if the enclosing function m is marked **async*** (9) then the enclosing function may suspend, in which case the nearest enclosing asynchronous for loop (17.6.3), if any, is paused first.

If a **yield** occurred inside an infinite loop and the enclosing function never suspended, there might not be an opportunity for consumers of the enclosing stream to run and access the data in the stream. The stream might then accumulate an unbounded number of elements. Such a situation is untenable. Therefore, we allow the enclosing function to be suspended when a new object is added to its associated stream. However, it is not essential (and in fact, can be quite costly) to suspend the function on every **yield**. The implementation is free to decide how often to suspend the enclosing function. The only requirement is that consumers are not blocked indefinitely.

If the enclosing function m is marked **sync*** (9) then:

- Execution of the function m immediately enclosing s is suspended until the nullary method moveNext() is invoked upon the iterator used to initiate the current invocation of m.
- The current call to moveNext() returns true.

It is a compile-time error if a yield statement appears in a function that is not a generator function.

Let T be the static type of e and let f be the immediately enclosing function. It is a compile-time error if either:

- the body of f is marked async* and the type Stream<T> may not be assigned to the declared return type of f.
- the body of f is marked **sync*** and the type Iterable<T> may not be assigned to the declared return type of f.

17.16.2 Yield-Each

The *yield-each statement* adds a series of objects to the result of a generator \diamond function (9).

```
\langle yieldEachStatement \rangle ::= yield '*' \langle expression \rangle ';'
```

Execution of a statement s of the form **yield*** e; proceeds as follows: First, the expression e is evaluated to an object o.

If the immediately enclosing function m is marked sync* (9), then:

- 1. It is a dynamic type error if the class of o does not implement Iterable. Otherwise
- 2. The method iterator is invoked upon o returning an object i.
- 3. The moveNext method of i is invoked on it with no arguments. If moveNext returns **false** execution of s is complete. Otherwise
- 4. The getter current is invoked on *i*. If the invocation throws (16.1), execution of *s* throws the same exception object and stack trace (17.0.1). Otherwise, the result *x* of the getter invocation is added to the iterable associated with *m*. Execution of the function *m* immediately enclosing *s* is suspended until the nullary method moveNext() is invoked upon the iterator used to initiate the current invocation of *m*, at which point execution of *s* continues at 3.
- 5. The current call to moveNext() returns true.

If m is marked **async*** (9), then:

- It is a dynamic type error if the class of o does not implement Stream.
 Otherwise
- The nearest enclosing asynchronous for loop (17.6.3), if any, is paused.
- The o stream is listened to, creating a subscription s, and for each event x, or error e with stack trace t, of s:
 - If the stream u associated with m has been paused, then execution of m is suspended until u is resumed or canceled.
 - If the stream u associated with m has been canceled, then s is canceled by evaluating **await** v.cancel() where v is a fresh variable referencing the stream subscription s. Then, if the cancel completed normally, the stream execution of s returns without an object (17.0.1).
 - Otherwise, x, or e with t, are added to the stream associated with m in the order they appear in o. The function m may suspend.
- If the stream o is done, execution of s completes normally.

It is a compile-time error if a yield-each statement appears in a function that is not a generator function.

Let T be the static type of e and let f be the immediately enclosing function. It is a compile-time error if T may not be assigned to the declared return type of f. If f is synchronous it is a compile-time error if T may not be assigned to Iterable. If f is asynchronous it is a compile-time error if T may not be assigned to Stream.

17.17 Assert

An assert statement is used to disrupt normal execution if a given boolean \diamond condition does not hold.

```
\langle assertStatement \rangle ::= \langle assertion \rangle ';'
\langle assertion \rangle ::= assert '(' \langle expression \rangle (',' \langle expression \rangle )? ','? ')'
```

The grammar allows a trailing comma before the closing parenthesis, similarly to an argument list. That comma, if present, has no effect. An assertion with a trailing comma is equivalent to one with that comma removed.

An assertion of the form assert(e) is equivalent to an assertion of the form assert(e, null).

Execution of an assert statement executes the assertion as described below and completes in the same way as the assertion.

When assertions are not enabled, execution of an assertion immediately completes normally (17.0.1). That is, no subexpressions of the assertion are evaluated. When assertions are enabled, execution of an assertion assert(c, e) proceeds as follows:

The expression c is evaluated to an object r. It is a dynamic type error if r is not of type bool. Hence it is a compile-time error if that situation arises during evaluation of an assertion in a **const** constructor invocation. If r is **true** then execution of the assert statement completes normally (17.0.1). Otherwise, e is evaluated to an object m and then the execution of the assert statement throws (17.0.1) an AssertionError containing m and with a stack trace corresponding to the current execution state at the assertion.

It is a compile-time error if the type of c may not be assigned to bool.

Why is this a statement, not a built in function call? Because it is handled magically so it has no effect and no overhead when assertions are disabled. Also, in the absence of final methods, one could not prevent it being overridden (though there is no real harm in that). It cannot be viewed as a function call that is being optimized away because the arguments might have side effects.

18 Libraries and Scripts

A Dart program consists of one or more libraries, and may be built out of one or more *compilation units*. A compilation unit may be a library or a part (18.3).

A library consists of (a possibly empty) set of imports, a set of exports, and a set of top-level declarations. A top-level declaration is either a class (10), a type alias declaration (19.3), a function (9) or a variable declaration (8). The members of a library L are those top level declarations given within L.

```
\langle topLevelDefinition \rangle ::= \langle classDefinition \rangle
| \langle mixinDeclaration \rangle
```

```
\langle enumType \rangle
        \langle typeAlias \rangle
       external? \(\( \text{function} Signature \) \(\cdot\):
       external? \( \( \text{getterSignature} \) \( \text{'}; \) \( \text{'}; \)
       external? \(\( setterSignature \) \( \cdot\) \( \cdot\)
        \langle functionSignature \rangle \langle functionBody \rangle
        \langle getterSignature \rangle \langle functionBody \rangle
        \langle setterSignature \rangle \langle functionBody \rangle
        (final | const) \langle type \rangle? \langle staticFinalDeclarationList \rangle ';'
       late final \langle type \rangle? \langle initializedIdentifierList \rangle ';'
       late? \langle varOrType \rangle \langle initializedIdentifierList \rangle ';'
\langle libraryDefinition \rangle ::=
        \langle scriptTag \rangle? \langle libraryName \rangle? \langle importOrExport \rangle^* \langle partDirective \rangle^*
       \langle metadata \rangle \langle topLevelDefinition \rangle^*
\langle scriptTag \rangle ::= `#!' (~\langle NEWLINE \rangle) * \langle NEWLINE \rangle
\langle libraryName \rangle ::= \langle metadata \rangle  library \langle dottedIdentifierList \rangle  ';'
\langle importOrExport \rangle ::= \langle libraryImport \rangle
     \langle libraryExport \rangle
\langle dottedIdentifierList \rangle ::= \langle identifier \rangle (`.` \langle identifier \rangle)^*
```

Libraries may be explicitly named or implicitly named. An *explicitly named library* begins with the word **library** (possibly prefaced with any applicable metadata annotations), followed by a qualified identifier that gives the name of the library.

Technically, each dot and identifier is a separate token and so spaces between them are acceptable. However, the actual library name is the concatenation of the simple identifiers and dots and contains no spaces.

An implicitly named library has the empty string as its name.

The name of a library is used to tie it to separately compiled parts of the library (called parts) and can be used for printing and, more generally, reflection. The name may be relevant for further language evolution.

Libraries intended for widespread use should avoid name collisions. Dart's pub package management system provides a mechanism for doing so. Each pub package is guaranteed a unique name, effectively enforcing a global namespace.

A library may optionally begin with a *script tag*. Script tags are intended for use with scripts (18.4). A script tag can be used to identify the interpreter of the script to whatever computing environment the script is embedded in. The script tag must appear before any whitespace or comments. A script tag begins with '#!' and ends at the end of the line. Any characters that follow '#!' in the script tag are ignored by the Dart implementation.

Libraries are units of privacy. A private declaration declared within a library

L can only be accessed by code within L. Any attempt to access a private member declaration from outside L will cause a method, getter or setter lookup failure.

Since top level privates are not imported, using the top level privates of another library is never possible.

The *public namespace* of library L is the mapping that maps the simple name of each public top-level member m of L to m. The scope of a library L consists of the names introduced by all top-level declarations declared in L, and the names added by L's imports (18.1).

18.1 Imports

An *import* specifies a library to be used in the scope of another library.

An import specifies a URI x where the declaration of an imported library is to be found.

Imports may be *deferred* or *immediate*. A deferred import is distinguished by the appearance of the built-in identifier **deferred** after the URI. Any import that is not deferred is immediate.

It is a compile-time error if the specified URI of an immediate import does not refer to a library declaration. The interpretation of URIs is described in section 18.5 below.

It is a compile-time error if the specified URI of a deferred import does not refer to a library declaration.

One cannot detect the problem at compile time because compilation often occurs during execution and one does not know what the URI refers to. However the development environment should detect the problem.

The *current library* is the library currently being compiled. The import modifies the namespace of the current library in a manner that is determined by the imported library and by the optional elements of the import.

An immediate import directive I may optionally include a prefix clause of the form **as** id used to prefix names imported by I. A deferred import must include a prefix clause or a compile-time error occurs. It is a compile-time error if a prefix used in a deferred import is used in another import clause.

An import directive I may optionally include namespace combinator clauses

used to restrict the set of names imported by I. Currently, two namespace combinators are supported: **hide** and **show**.

Let I be an import directive that refers to a URI via the string s_1 . Evaluation of I proceeds as follows:

If I is a deferred import, no evaluation takes place. Instead, a mapping of the name of the prefix, p to a deferred prefix object is added to the scope of the current library L. The deferred prefix object has the following methods:

- loadLibrary. This method returns a future f. When called, the method causes an immediate import I' to be executed at some future time, where I' is derived from I by eliding the word **deferred** and adding a **hide** loadLibrary combinator clause. When I' executes without error, f completes successfully. If I' executes without error, we say that the call to loadLibrary has succeeded, otherwise we say the call has failed.
- For every top level function f named id in the imported library B, a corresponding method named id with the same signature as f. Calling the method results in a dynamic error.
- For every top level getter g named id in B, a corresponding getter named id with the same signature as g. Calling the method results in a dynamic error.
- For every top level setter s named id in B, a corresponding setter named id with the same signature as s. Calling the method results in a dynamic error.
- For every type T named id in B, a corresponding getter named id with return type Type. Calling the method results in a dynamic error.

The purpose of adding members of B to p is to ensure that any errors raised when using p are correct, and no spurious errors are generated. In fact, at run time we cannot add these members until B is loaded; but any such invocations will fail at run time as specified by virtue of being completely absent.

The static type of the prefix object p is a unique interface type that has those members whose names and signatures are listed above.

After a call succeeds, the name p is mapped to a non-deferred prefix object as described below. In addition, the prefix object also supports the loadLibrary method, and so it is possible to call loadLibrary again. If a call fails, nothing happens, and one again has the option to call loadLibrary again. Whether a repeated call to loadLibrary succeeds will vary as described below.

The effect of a repeated call to p.loadLibrary is as follows:

- If another call to *p.*loadLibrary has already succeeded, the repeated call also succeeds. Otherwise,
- If another call to *p*.loadLibrary has failed:

- If the failure is due to a compilation error, the repeated call fails for the same reason.
- If the failure is due to other causes, the repeated call behaves as if no previous call had been made.

In other words, one can retry a deferred load after a network failure or because a file is absent, but once one finds some content and loads it, one can no longer reload.

We do not specify which object the future returned resolves to.

If I is an immediate import then, first

- If the URI that is the value of s₁ has not yet been accessed by an import
 or export (18.2) directive in the current isolate then the contents of the
 URI are compiled to yield a library B. Because libraries may have mutually
 recursive imports, care must be taken to avoid an infinite regress.
- Otherwise, the contents of the URI denoted by s_1 have been compiled into a library B within the current isolate.

Let NS_0 be the exported namespace (18.2) of B. Then, for each combinator clause C_i , $i \in 1...n$ in I:

• If C_i is of the form

```
show id_1, \ldots, id_k
then let NS_i = \text{show}([id_1, \ldots, id_k], NS_{i-1})
```

where show(l, n) takes a list of identifiers l and a namespace n, and produces a namespace that maps each name in l to the same element that n does. Furthermore, for each name x in l, if n defines the name x= then the new namespace maps x= to the same element that n does. Otherwise the resulting mapping is undefined.

• If C_i is of the form

hide
$$id_1, \ldots, id_k$$

then let $NS_i = \text{hide}([id_1, \ldots, id_k], NS_{i-1})$

where hide(l, n) takes a list of identifiers l and a namespace n, and produces a namespace that is identical to n except that for each name k in l, k and k= are undefined.

If I includes a prefix clause of the form **as** p, let $NS = \{p : prefixObject(NS_n)\}$, where $prefixObject(NS_n)$ is a prefix object for the namespace NS_n , which is \diamond an object that has the following members:

- For every top level function f named id in NS_n , a corresponding method with the same name and signature as f that forwards (9.1) to f.
- For every top level getter with the same name and signature as g named id in NS_n , a corresponding getter that forwards to g.

- For every top level setter s with the same name and signature as named id in NS_n , a corresponding setter that forwards to s.
- For every type T named id in NS_n , a corresponding getter named id with return type Type, that, when invoked, returns the type object for T.

It is a compile-time error if the current library declares a top-level member named p.

The static type of the prefix object p is a unique interface type that has those members whose names and signatures are listed above.

Otherwise (when the import is not prefixed), let $NS = NS_n$.

Then, for each entry mapping key k to declaration d in NS, d is made available in the top level scope of L under the name k unless either:

- a top-level declaration with the name k exists in L, OR
- a prefix clause of the form **as** k is used in L.

The greatly increases the chance that a member can be added to a library without breaking its importers.

A system library is a library that is part of the Dart implementation. Any other library is a non-system library.

If a name N is referenced by a library L and N would be introduced into the top level scope of L by imports of two libraries, L_1 and L_2 , the exported namespace of L_1 binds N to a declaration originating in a system library, and the exported namespace of L_2 binds N to a declaration that does not originate in a system library, then the import of L_1 is implicitly extended by a **hide** N clause.

Whereas normal conflicts are resolved at deployment time, the functionality of dart: libraries is injected into an application at run time, and may vary over time as browsers are upgraded. Thus, conflicts with dart: libraries can arise at run time, outside the developer's control. To avoid breaking deployed applications in this way, conflicts with the dart: libraries are treated specially.

It is recommended that tools that deploy Dart code produce output in which all imports use show clauses to ensure that additions to the namespace of a library never impact deployed code.

If a name N is referenced by a library L and N is introduced into the top level scope of L by more than one import and not all the imports denote the same declaration, a compile-time error occurs.

We say that the namespace NS has been imported into L.

It is not an error if N is introduced by two or more imports but never referred to.

The policy above makes libraries more robust in the face of additions made to their imports.

A clear distinction needs to be made between this approach, and seemingly similar policies with respect to classes or interfaces. The use of a class or interface, and of its members, is separate from its declaration. The usage and

 \Diamond

declaration may occur in widely separated places in the code, and may in fact be authored by different people or organizations. It is important that errors are given at the offending declaration so that the party that receives the error can respond to it a meaningful way.

In contrast a library comprises both imports and their usage; the library is under the control of a single party and so any problem stemming from the import can be resolved even if it is reported at the use site.

It is a static warning to import two different libraries with the same name unless their name is the empty string.

A widely disseminated library should be given a name that will not conflict with other such libraries. The preferred mechanism for this is using pub, the Dart package manager, which provides a global namespace for libraries, and conventions that leverage that namespace.

Note that no errors are raised if one hides or shows a name that is not in a namespace. This prevents situations where removing a name from a library would cause breakage of a client library.

The dart core library dart: core is implicitly imported into every dart library other than itself via an import clause of the form

import 'dart:core';

unless the importing library explicitly imports dart:core.

Any import of dart:core, even if restricted via **show**, **hide** or **as**, preempts the automatic import.

It would be nice if there was nothing special about dart:core. However, its use is pervasive, which leads to the decision to import it automatically. However, some library L may wish to define entities with names used by dart:core (which it can easily do, as the names declared by a library take precedence). Other libraries may wish to use L and may want to use members of L that conflict with the core library without having to use a prefix and without encountering errors. The above rule makes this possible, essentially canceling dart:core's special treatment by means of yet another special rule.

18.2 Exports

A library L exports a namespace (6.1), meaning that the declarations in the namespace are made available to other libraries if they choose to import L (18.1). The namespace that L exports is known as its *exported namespace*.

A library always exports all names and all declarations in its public namespace. In addition, a library may choose to re-export additional libraries via export directives, often referred to simply as exports:

```
\langle libraryExport \rangle ::= \langle metadata \rangle  export \langle configurableUri \rangle \langle combinator \rangle * '; '
```

An export specifies a URI x where the declaration of an exported library is to be found. The interpretation of URIs is described in section 18.5 below. It is a compile-time error if the specified URI does not refer to a library declaration.

We say that a name is exported by a library (or equivalently, that a library

exports a name) if the name is in the library's exported namespace. We say that a declaration is exported by a library (or equivalently, that a library exports a declaration) if the declaration is in the library's exported namespace.

We define an operation for combining namespaces with disjoint sets of keys as follows. The *union of two namespaces*, $NS_a \cup NS_b$, is the namespace that maps each key n of NS_a to the corresponding value $NS_a(n)$, and each key n of NS_b to $NS_b(n)$.

Note that this is well-defined because the union does not exist in the case where the sets of keys of the two namespaces overlap.

The exported namespace of a library is then determined as follows. Let L be a library, let E_1, \ldots, E_m be the export directives of L, and let L_1, \ldots, L_m be libraries such that E_i refers to L_i for all $i \in 1..m$.

Let NS_L be the namespace that for each public top-level declaration D with name n in L maps n to D. For each $i \in 1..m$, let $NS_{export,i}$ be the exported namespace of L_i , and let NS_i be a namespace obtained from $NS_{export,i}$ by the narrowing process described below.

The narrowing process that yields NS_i from $NS_{export,i}$ consists of two steps. Each of them restricts the domain of the namespace to a subset (that is, it deletes the binding from a key to a value, for certain keys).

Let $NS_{narrowing,i,0}$ be $NS_{export,i}$. Then, for each combinator clause C_j , $j \in 1..l$ in E_i (where **show**(...) and **hide**(...) are defined in 18.1):

- If C_j is of the form **show** id_1, \ldots, id_k then let $NS_{narrowing,i,j} = \mathbf{show}([id_1, \ldots, id_k], NS_{narrowing,i,j-1}).$
- If C_i is of the form **hide** id_1, \ldots, id_k then let $NS_{narrowing,i,j} = \mathsf{hide}([id_1, \ldots, id_k], NS_{narrowing,i,j-1}).$

Let $NS_{narrowing,i}$ be $NS_{narrowing,i,l}$.

This first step of narrowing performs the actions requested by **show** and **hide** clauses in the export E_i and yields the result $NS_{narrowing,i}$. The second step deals with conflicts

The second step starts from $NS_{narrowing,i}$ and obtains the final result, NS_i , by eliminating each key n, bound to declaration D, where one of the following conditions hold:

- NS_L maps n to a declaration. In other words, a declaration in L shadows
 re-exported declarations with the same name.
- The first case does not apply, L_i is a system library, there exists a $j \neq i$ in 1..m such that L_j is not a system library, and $NS_{narrowing,j}$ maps n to a declaration. So a re-exported declaration from a non-system library shadows re-exported declarations from system libraries. See the discussion in section 18.1 for the reasoning behind this rule.

The removal of these bindings from $NS_{narrowing,i}$ yields the final, narrowed namespace NS_i .

 \Diamond

Assume that i and j are distinct numbers in 1..m. It is a compile-time error if there exist a key n such that NS_i maps n to a declaration D_i , and NS_j maps n to a declaration D_j , and D_i and D_j are not the same declaration.

It is possible for \mathcal{D}_i and \mathcal{D}_j to be the same declaration, e.g., due to multiple re-exports.

Finally, the exported namespace of L is $NS_L \cup NS_1 \cup ... NS_m$.

This union is well-defined because all these namespaces have pairwise disjoint sets of keys.

For a given i, we say that L re-exports library L_i , and also that L re-exports namespace NS_i . When no confusion can arise, we may simply state that L re-exports L_i , or that L re-exports NS_i .

It is a compile-time error to export two different libraries with the same name unless their name is the empty string.

18.3 Parts

A library may be divided into *parts*, each of which can be stored in a separate location. A library identifies its parts by listing them via **part** directives.

A part directive specifies a URI where a Dart compilation unit that should be incorporated into the current library may be found.

```
\langle partDirective \rangle ::= \langle metadata \rangle \  \,  part \langle uri \rangle ';'
\langle partHeader \rangle ::= \langle metadata \rangle \  \,  part of \langle identifier \rangle ('.' \langle identifier \rangle)* ';'
\langle partDeclaration \rangle ::= \langle partHeader \rangle \langle topLevelDefinition \rangle * \langle EOF \rangle
```

A part header begins with **part of** followed by the name of the library the part belongs to. A part declaration consists of a part header followed by a sequence of top-level declarations.

Compiling a part directive of the form $part\ s$; causes the Dart system to attempt to compile the contents of the URI that is the value of s. The top-level declarations at that URI are then compiled by the Dart compiler in the scope of the current library. It is a compile-time error if the contents of the URI are not a valid part declaration. It is a compile-time error if the referenced part declaration p names a library other than the current library as the library to which p belongs.

It is a compile-time error if a library contains two part directives with the same URI.

We say that a library L_1 is reachable from a library L if any of the following \diamond is true (18.1, 18.2):

- L and L_1 is the same library.
- L imports or exports a library L_2 , and L_1 is reachable from L_2 .

Let L be a library, let u be a URI, and let L_1 and L_2 be distinct libraries which are reachable from L. It is a compile-time error if L_1 and L_2 both contain a part directive with URI u.

In particular, it is an error to use the same part twice in the same program (18.4). Note that a relative URI is interpreted as relative to the location of the enclosing library (18.5), which means that L_1 and L_2 may both have a part identified by 'myPart.dart', but they are not the same URI unless L_1 and L_2 have the same location.

18.4 Scripts

A script is a library whose exported namespace (18.2) includes a top-level function declaration named main that has either zero, one or two required arguments.

A script S is executed as follows:

First, S is compiled as a library as specified above. Then, the top-level function defined by main in the exported namespace of S is invoked (16.17) as follows: If main can be called with with two positional arguments, it is invoked with the following two actual arguments:

- 1. An object whose run-time type implements List<String>.
- 2. An object specified when the current isolate *i* was created, for example through the invocation of Isolate.spawnUri that spawned *i*, or the null object (16.4) if no such object was supplied.

If main cannot be called with two positional arguments, but it can be called with one positional argument, it is invoked with an object whose run-time type implements List<String> as the only argument. If main cannot be called with one or two positional arguments, it is invoked with no arguments.

Note that if main requires more than two positional arguments, the library is not considered a script.

A Dart program will typically be executed by executing a script.

It is a compile-time error if a library's export scope contains a declaration named main, and the library is not a script. This restriction ensures that all top-level main declarations introduce a script main-function, so there cannot be a top-level getter or field named main, nor can it be a function that requires more than two arguments. The restriction allows tools to fail early on invalid main methods, without needing to know whether a library will be used as the entry point of a Dart program. It is possible that this restriction will be removed in the future.

18.5 URIs

URIs are specified by means of string literals:

 $\langle uri \rangle ::= \langle stringLiteral \rangle$

```
\langle configurable Uri \rangle ::= \langle uri \rangle \langle configuration Uri \rangle^*

\langle configuration Uri \rangle ::= \mathbf{if} '(' \langle uri Test \rangle ')' \langle uri \rangle

\langle uri Test \rangle ::= \langle dotted Identifier List \rangle ('==' \langle string Literal \rangle)?
```

It is a compile-time error if the string literal x that describes a URI contains a string interpolation.

It is a compile-time error if the string literal x that is used in a $\langle uriTest \rangle$ is not a constant expression, or if x involves string interpolation.

A configurable URI c of the form $uri\ configuration Uri_1\ \dots configuration Uri_n\ \diamond\ specifies\ a\ URI\ as\ follows:$

- Let u be uri.
- For each of the following configuration URIs of the form **if** ($test_i$) uri_i , in source order, do the following.
 - If $test_i$ is ids with no '==' clause, it is equivalent to ids == "true".
 - If $test_i$ is ids == string, then create a string, key, from ids by concatenating the identifiers and dots, omitting any spaces between them that may occur in the source.
 - Look up key in the available compilation environment. The compilation environment is provided by the platform. It maps some string keys to string values, and can be accessed programmatically using the const String.fromEnvironment constructor. Tools may choose to only make some parts of the compilation environment available for choosing configuration URIs.
 - If the environment contains an entry for key and the associated value is equal, as a constant string value, to the value of the string literal string, then let u be uri_i and stop iterating the configuration URIs.
 - Otherwise proceed to the next configuration URI.
- The URI specified by c is u.

This specification does not discuss the interpretation of URIs, with the following exceptions.

The interpretation of URIs is mostly left to the surrounding computing environment. For example, if Dart is running in a web browser, that browser will likely interpret some URIs. While it might seem attractive to specify, say, that URIs are interpreted with respect to a standard such as IETF RFC 3986, in practice this will usually depend on the browser and cannot be relied upon.

A URI of the form dart:s is interpreted as a reference to a system library (18.1) s.

A URI of the form $\mathtt{package}:s$ is interpreted in an implementation specific manner.

The intent is that, during development, Dart programmers can rely on a package manager to find elements of their program.

Otherwise, any relative URI is interpreted as relative to the location of the current library. All further interpretation of URIs is implementation dependent.

This means it is dependent on the embedder.

19 Types

Dart supports optional typing based on interface types.

The type system is unsound, due to the covariance of generic classes. This is a deliberate choice (and undoubtedly controversial). Experience has shown that sound type rules for generics fly in the face of programmer intuition. It is easy for tools to provide a sound type analysis if they choose, which may be useful for tasks like refactoring.

19.1 Static Types

Type annotations can occur in variable declarations (8), including formal parameters (9.2), in the return types of functions (9), and in the bounds of type variables (14). Type annotations are used during static checking and when running programs. Types are specified using the following grammar rules.

In the grammar rules below, $\langle typeIdentifier \rangle$ denotes an identifier which can be the name of a type, that is, it denotes an $\langle IDENTIFIER \rangle$ which is not a $\langle BUILT\ IN\ IDENTIFIER \rangle$.

Non-terminals with names of the form $\langle \dots NotFunction \rangle$ derive terms which are types that are not function types. Note that it *does* derive the type **Function**, which is not itself a function type, but it is the least upper bound of all function types.

```
\langle type \rangle ::= \langle functionType \rangle '?'?

| \langle typeNotVoid \rangle ::= \langle functionType \rangle '?'?

| \langle typeNotVoidNotFunction \rangle

\langle typeNotFunction \rangle ::= \mathbf{void}

| \langle typeNotVoidNotFunction \rangle

\langle typeNotVoidNotFunction \rangle ::= \langle typeName \rangle \langle typeArguments \rangle? '?'?

| \mathbf{Function} '?'?

\langle typeName \rangle ::= \langle typeIdentifier \rangle ('.' \langle typeIdentifier \rangle)?

\langle typeArguments \rangle ::= '<' \langle typeList \rangle '>'

\langle typeList \rangle ::= \langle type \rangle (',' \langle type \rangle)*
```

 \Diamond

```
\langle typeNotVoidNotFunctionList \rangle ::=
      \langle typeNotVoidNotFunction \rangle (', '\langle typeNotVoidNotFunction \rangle)*
\langle functionType \rangle ::= \langle functionTypeTails \rangle
     \langle typeNotFunction \rangle \langle functionTypeTails \rangle
\langle functionTypeTails \rangle ::= \langle functionTypeTail \rangle '?'? \langle functionTypeTails \rangle
      \langle function Type Tail \rangle
\langle functionTypeTail \rangle ::=  Function \langle typeParameters \rangle ? \langle parameterTypeList \rangle
\langle parameterTypeList \rangle ::= '(' ')'
      `(` \langle normalParameterTypes\rangle `,` \langle optionalParameterTypes\rangle `)`
      '(' \(\langle normalParameterTypes\)', '?')'
      '(' \langle optional Parameter Types \rangle ')'
\langle normalParameterTypes \rangle ::=
      \langle normalParameterType \rangle (',' \langle normalParameterType \rangle)*
\langle normalParameterType \rangle ::= \langle typedIdentifier \rangle
      \langle type \rangle
\langle optionalParameterTypes \rangle ::= \langle optionalPositionalParameterTypes \rangle
     \langle namedParameterTypes \rangle
\langle optionalPositionalParameterTypes \rangle ::= `[' \langle normalParameterTypes \rangle ', '?' ']'
\langle namedParameterTypes \rangle ::= `\{` \langle namedParameterType \rangle (`,` \langle namedParameterType \rangle)^*
      `,'? '}'
\langle namedParameterType \rangle ::= required? \langle typedIdentifier \rangle
\langle typedIdentifier \rangle ::= \langle type \rangle \langle identifier \rangle
```

A Dart implementation must provide a static checker that detects and reports exactly those situations this specification identifies as compile-time errors, and only those situations. Similarly, the static checker must emit static warnings for at least the situations specified as such in this specification.

Nothing precludes additional tools that implement alternative static analyses (e.g., interpreting the existing type annotations in a sound manner such as either non-variant generics, or inferring declaration based variance from the actual declarations). However, using these tools must not preclude successful compilation and execution of Dart code.

A type T is malformed iff:

• T has the form id or the form prefix.id, and in the enclosing lexical scope, the name id (respectively prefix.id) does not denote a type.

- T denotes a type variable in the enclosing lexical scope, but occurs in the signature or body of a static member.
- T is a parameterized type of the form $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n >$, and G is malformed, or G is not a generic type, or G is a generic type, but it declares n' type parameters and $n' \neq n$, or S_j is malformed for some $j \in 1..n$.
- T is a function type of the form

$$T_0$$
 Function< X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_m extends B_m > $(T_1 \ x_1, \ldots, \ T_k \ x_k, \ [T_{k+1} \ x_{k+1}, \ldots, \ T_n \ x_n])$ or of the form

$$T_0$$
 Function< X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_m extends B_m > $(T_1 \ x_1, \ldots, T_k \ x_k, \{T_{k+1} \ x_{k+1}, \ldots, T_n \ x_n\})$

where each x_j which is not a named parameter may be omitted, and T_j is malformed for some $j \in 0..n$, or B_j is malformed for some $j \in 1..m$.

ullet T denotes declarations that were imported from multiple imports clauses.

Any occurrence of a malformed type in a library is a compile-time error.

A type T is deferred iff it is of the form p.T where p is a deferred prefix. It is a compile-time error to use a deferred type in a type annotation, type test, type cast or as a type parameter. However, all other compile-time errors must be issued under the assumption that all deferred libraries have successfully been loaded.

19.1.1 Type Promotion

The static type system ascribes a static type to every expression. In some cases, the type of a local variable (which can be a formal parameter) may be promoted from the declared type, based on control flow.

We say that a variable v is known to have type T whenever we allow the type of v to be promoted. The exact circumstances when type promotion is allowed are given in the relevant sections of the specification (16.26, 16.24 and 17.5).

Type promotion for a variable v is allowed only when we can deduce that such promotion is valid based on an analysis of certain boolean expressions. In such cases, we say that the boolean expression b shows that v has type T. As a rule, for all variables v and types T, a boolean expression does not show that v has type T. Those situations where an expression does show that a variable has a type are mentioned explicitly in the relevant sections of this specification (16.39 and 16.26).

19.2 Dynamic Type System

Let o be an instance. The dynamic type of o is the class which is specified \diamond

for the situation where o was obtained as a fresh instance (10.6.2, 16.9, 16.10, 16.15.1, 16.17).

In particular, the dynamic type of an instance never changes. It is at times only specified that the given class implements a certain type, e.g., for a list literal. In these cases the dynamic type is implementation dependent, except of course that said subtype requirement must be satisfied.

The dynamic types of a running Dart program are equivalent to the static types with regard to subtyping.

Certain dynamic type checks are performed during execution (8, 10.6.1, 10.6.1, 10.6.2, 10.6.2, 16.9, 16.15.1, 16.17.3, 16.21.1, 16.23, 16.40, 17.3, 17.6.3, 17.12, 17.16.2, 17.17). As specified in those locations, these dynamic checks are based on the dynamic types of instances, and the actual types of declarations <math>(19.10.1).

When types are reified as instances of the built-in class Type, those objects override the '==' operator inherited from the Object class, so that two Type objects are equal according to operator '==' iff the corresponding types are subtypes of each other.

For example, the Type objects for the types **dynamic** and Object are equal to each other and hence dynamic == Object must evaluate to **true**. No constraints are imposed on the built-in function identical, so identical(dynamic, Object) may be **true** or **false**.

Similarly, Type instances for distinct type alias declarations declaring a name for the same function type are equal:

```
typedef F = void Function<X>(X);
typedef G = void Function<Y>(Y);
void main() {
  assert(F == G);
}
```

Instances of Type can be obtained in various ways, for example by using reflection, by reading the runtimeType of an object, or by evaluating a *type literal* expression.

An expression is a *type literal* if it is an identifier, or a qualified identifier, which denotes a class, mixin or type alias declaration, or it is an identifier denoting a type parameter of a generic class or function. It is a *constant type literal* if it does not denote a type parameter, and it is not qualified by a deferred prefix. A constant type literal is a constant expression (16.3).

19.3 Type Aliases

A type alias declares a name for a type expression.

It is common to use the phrase "a typedef" for such a declaration, because of the prominent occurrence of the token **typedef**.

```
\langle typeAlias \rangle ::=
typedef \langle typeIdentifier \rangle \langle typeParameters \rangle? '=' \langle functionType \rangle';'
typedef \langle functionTypeAlias \rangle
\langle functionTypeAlias \rangle ::= \langle functionPrefix \rangle \langle formalParameterPart \rangle';'
\langle functionPrefix \rangle ::= \langle type \rangle? \langle identifier \rangle
```

The effect of a type alias of the form **typedef** id = T; declared in a library L is to introduce the name id into the scope of L, bound to the type T.

The effect of a type alias of the form

```
typedef T id(T_1 \ p_1, \ldots, \ T_n \ p_n, \ [T_{n+1} \ p_{n+1}, \ldots, \ T_{n+k} \ p_{n+k}]); declared in a library L is to introduce the name id into the scope of L, bound to the function type T Function(T_1, \ldots, T_n, \ [T_{n+1}, \ldots, \ T_{n+k}]).
```

The effect of a type alias of the form

typedef T $id(T_1, p_1, ..., T_n, p_n, \{T_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, ..., T_{n+k}, p_{n+k}\})$; declared in a library L is to introduce the name id into the scope of L, bound to the function type T **Function** $(T_1, ..., T_n, \{T_{n+1}, p_{n+1}, ..., T_{n+k}, p_{n+k}\})$.

In either case, iff no return type is specified, it is taken to be **dynamic**. Likewise, if a type annotation is omitted on a formal parameter, it is taken to be **dynamic**.

It is a compile-time error if any default values are specified in the signature of a function type in a type alias. Any self reference in a type alias, either directly or recursively via another type declaration, is a compile-time error.

This kind of error may also arise when type arguments have been omitted in the program, but are added during static analysis via instantiation to bound (14.3) or via type inference (which will be specified later (6)).

A type alias can be used as a type annotation, as a return type or parameter type in a function declaration, in a function type, as a type argument, in a type test, in a type cast, and in an **on** clause of a **try** statement.

Consider the case where the body of a given type alias F is a $\langle typeName \rangle$ that denotes a non-generic class, or it is a parameterized type that starts with a $\langle typeName \rangle$ that denotes a generic class, or one of these cases occur indirectly via another type alias. In this case F or a parameterized type that starts with F, whichever is not an error, can also be used to name a constructor in an instance creation expression (16.15), and it can be used as a superclass, mixin, or superinterface (10.8, 10.9, 12.3). Moreover, F or a parameterized type that starts with F, whichever is not an error, can be used to invoke static methods of the denoted class.

This indirectly allows an invocation of a static method to pass a list of actual type arguments to the class. This is currently an error when it occurs directly (e.g., List<int>.castFrom(xs)). But it may be part of a future language extension to allow static methods to use the type parameters declared by the enclosing class, in which case both the direct and indirect approach will be allowed. At that time, existing invocations where type arguments are passed indirectly will

not break, because it is currently an error for a static method to depend on the value of a formal type parameter of the enclosing class.

The generic variants of type alias declarations are specified in the section about generics (14).

19.4 Subtypes

This section defines when a type is a *subtype* of another type. The core of this section is the set of rules defined in Figure 2, but we will need to introduce a few concepts first, in order to clarify what those rules mean.

A reader who has read many research papers about object-oriented type systems may find the meaning of the given notation obvious, but we still need to clarify a few details about how to handle syntactically different denotations of the same type, and how to choose the right initial environment, Γ . For a reader who is not familiar with the notation used in this section, the explanations given here should suffice to clarify what it means, with reference to the natural language explanations given at the end of the section for obtaining an intuition about the meaning.

This section is concerned with subtype relationships between types during static analysis as well as subtype relationships as queried in dynamic checks, type tests (16.39), and type casts (16.40).

A variant of the rules described here is shown in an appendix (20.2), demonstrating that Dart subtyping can be decided efficiently.

Types of the form X&S arise during static analysis due to type promotion (19.1.1). They never occur during execution, they are never a type argument of another type, nor a return type or a formal parameter type, and it is always the case that S is a subtype of the bound of X. The motivation for X&S is that it represents the type of a local variable v whose type is declared to be the type variable X, and which is known to have type S due to promotion. Similarly, X&S may be seen as an intersection type, which is a subtype of S and also a subtype of S. Intersection types are not supported in general, only in this special case. Every other form of type may occur during static analysis as well as during execution, and the subtype relationship is always determined in the same way.

19.4.1 Meta-Variables

A meta-variable is a symbol which stands for a syntactic construct that \diamond satisfies some static semantic requirements.

For instance, X is a meta-variable standing for an identifier W, but only if W denotes a type variable declared in an enclosing scope. In the definitions below, we specify this by saying that 'X ranges over type variables'. Similarly, C is a meta-variable standing for a $\langle typeName \rangle$, for instance, p.D, but only if p.D denotes a class in the given scope. We specify this as 'C ranges over classes'.

In this section we use the following meta-variables:

- X ranges over type variables.
- C ranges over classes,

Figure 2: Subtype rules

- F ranges over type aliases.
- T and S range over types, possibly with an index like T_1 or S_j .
- B ranges over types, again possibly with an index; it is only used as a type variable bound.

19.4.2 Subtype Rules

We define several rules about subtyping in this section. Whenever a rule contains one or more meta-variables, that rule can be used by *instantiating* it, that is, by consistently replacing each occurrence of a given meta-variable by concrete syntax denoting the same type.

In general, this means that two or more occurrences of a given meta-variable in a rule stands for identical pieces of syntax, and the instantiation of the rule proceeds as a simple search-and-replace operation. For instance, rule 1 in Figure 2 can be used to conclude $\emptyset \vdash \text{int} <: \text{int}$, where \emptyset denotes the empty environment (any environment would suffice because no type variables occur).

However, the wording 'denoting the same type' above covers additional situations as well: For instance, we may use rule 1 to show that p1.C is a subtype of p2.C when C is a class declared in a library L which is imported by libraries L_1 and L_2 and used in declarations there, when L_1 and L_2 are imported with prefixes p1 respectively p2 by the current library. The important point is that all occurrences of the same meta-variable in a given rule instantiation stands for the same type, even in the case where that type is not denoted by the same syntax in both cases.

Conversely, we can *not* use the same rule to conclude that C is a subtype of C in the case where the former denotes a class declared in library L_1 and the latter denotes a class declared in L_2 , with $L_1 \neq L_2$. This situation can arise without compile-time errors, e.g., if L_1 and L_2 are imported indirectly into the current library and the two "meanings" of C are used as type annotations on variables or formal parameters of functions declared in intermediate libraries importing L_1 respectively L_2 . The failure to prove " $\emptyset \vdash \mathsf{C} <: \mathsf{C}$ " will then occur, e.g., in a situation where we check whether such a variable can be passed as an actual argument to such a function, because the two occurrences of C do not denote the same type.

Every $\langle typeName \rangle$ used in a type mentioned in this section is assumed to have no compile-time error and denote a type.

That is, no subtyping relationship can be proven for a type that is or contains an undefined name or a name that denotes something other than a type. Note that it is not necessary in order to determine a subtyping relationship that every type satisfies the declared bounds, the subtyping relation does not depend on bounds. However, if an attempt is made to prove a subtype relationship and one or more $\langle typeName \rangle$ s receives an actual type argument list whose length does not match the declaration (including the case where some type arguments are given to a non-generic class, and the case where a generic class occurs, but no type arguments are given) then the attempt to prove the relationship simply fails.

The rules in Figure 2 use the symbol Γ to denote the given knowledge about the bounds of type variables. Γ is a partial function that maps type

variables to types. At a given location where the type variables in scope are X_1 extends B_1, \ldots, X_s extends B_s (as declared by enclosing classes and/or functions), we define the environment as follows: $\Gamma = \{X_1 \mapsto B_1, \ldots X_s \mapsto B_s\}$. That is, $\Gamma(X_1) = B_1$, and so on, and Γ is undefined when applied to a type variable Y which is not in $\{X_1, \ldots, X_s\}$. When the rules are used to show that a given subtype relationship exists, this is the initial value of Γ .

If a generic function type is encountered, an extension of Γ is used, as shown in the rules 15 and 16 of Figure 2. Extension of environments uses the operator Θ , which is the operator that produces the union of disjoint sets, and gives priority to the right hand operand in case of conflicts.

So $\{X \mapsto \text{int}, Y \mapsto \text{double}\} \uplus \{Z \mapsto \text{Object}\} = \{X \mapsto \text{int}, Y \mapsto \text{double}, Z \mapsto \text{Object}\}\$ and $\{X \mapsto \text{int}, Y \mapsto \text{FutureOr<List<double>>}\} \uplus \{Y \mapsto \text{int}\} = \{X \mapsto \text{int}, Y \mapsto \text{int}\}.$ Note that operator \uplus is concerned with scopes and shadowing, with no connection to, e.g., subtypes or instance method overriding.

In this specification we frequently refer to subtype relationships and assignability without mentioning the environment explicitly, as in S <: T. This is only done when a specific location in code is in focus, and it means that the environment is that which is obtained by mapping each type variable in scope at that location to its declared bound.

Each rule in Figure 2 has a horizontal line, to the left of which the *rule number* is indicated; under the horizontal line there is a judgment which is the *conclusion* of the rule, and above the horizontal line there are zero or more *premises* of the rule, which are typically also subtype judgments. When that is not the case for a given premise, we specify the meaning explicitly.

Instantiation of a rule, mentioned above, denotes the consistent replacement of meta-variables by actual syntactic terms denoting types everywhere in the rule, that is, in the premises as well as in the conclusion, simultaneously.

19.4.3 Being a subtype

A type S is shown to be a *subtype* of another type T in an environment Γ by providing an instantiation of a rule R whose conclusion is $\Gamma \vdash S <: T$, along with rule instantiations showing each of the premises of R, continuing until a rule with no premises is reached.

For rule 4, note that the Null type is a subtype of all non- \bot types, even though it doesn't actually extend or implement those types. The other types are effectively treated as if they were nullable, which makes the null object (16.4) assignable to them

The first premise in the rules 5 and 6 is a type alias declaration. This premise is satisfied in each of the following situations:

- A non-generic type alias named F is declared. In this case s is zero, no assumptions are made about the existence of any formal type parameters, and actual type argument lists are omitted everywhere in the rule.
- We may choose s and X_1, \ldots, X_s such that the following holds: A generic type alias named F is declared, with formal type parameters X_1, \ldots, X_s .

Each formal type parameter X_j may have a bound, but the bounds are never used in this context, so we do not introduce metavariables for them.

Rule 14 has as a premise that 'T is a function type'. This means that T is a type of one of the forms introduced in section 9.3. This is the same as the forms of type that occur at top level in the conclusions of rule 15 and rule 16.

In rules 17 and 18, the first premise is a class declaration. This premise is satisfied in each of the following situations:

- \bullet A non-generic class named C is declared. In this case s is zero, no assumptions are made about the existence of any formal type parameters, and actual type argument lists are omitted everywhere in the rule.
- We may choose s and X_1, \ldots, X_s such that the following holds: A generic class named C is declared, with formal type parameters X_1, \ldots, X_s . Each formal type parameter X_j may have a bound, but the bounds are never used in this context, so we do not introduce metavariables for them.

The second premise of rule 18 specifies that a parameterized type D < ... > belongs to Superinterfaces(C). The semantic function $Superinterfaces(_)$ applied to a generic class C yields the set of direct superinterfaces of C (10.9).

Note that one of the direct superinterfaces of C is the interface of the superclass of C, and that may be a mixin application (12.3), in which case D in the rule is the synthetic class which specifies the semantics of that mixin application (??).

The last premise of rule 18 substitutes the actual type arguments S_1, \ldots, S_s for the formal type parameters X_1, \ldots, X_s , because T_1, \ldots, T_m may contain those formal type parameters.

The rules 17 and 18 are applicable to interfaces, but they can be used with classes as well, because a non-generic class C which is used as a type denotes the interface of C, and similarly for a parameterized type $C < T_1, \ldots, T_k >$ where C denotes a generic class.

19.4.4 Informal Subtype Rule Descriptions

This section gives an informal and non-normative natural language description of each rule in Figure 2.

The descriptions use the rule numbers to make the connection explicit, and also adds names to the rules that may be helpful in order to understand the role played by each rule.

In the following, many rules contain meta-variables (19.4.1) like S and T, and it is always the case that they can stand for arbitrary types. For example, rule 10 says that "The type S is a . . . of FutureOr<T> . . .", and this is taken to mean that for any arbitrary types S and T, showing that S is a subtype of T is sufficient to show that S is a subtype of FutureOr<T>.

Another example is the wording in rule 1: "... in any environment Γ ", which indicates that the rule can be applied no matter which bindings of type variables to bounds there exist in the environment. It should be noted that the environment

matters even with rules where it is simply stated as a plain Γ in the conclusion and in one or more premises, because the proof of those premises could, directly or indirectly, include the application of a rule where the environment is used.

- **Reflexivity:** Every type is a subtype of itself, in any environment Γ . In the following rules except for a few, the rule is also valid in any environment and the environment is never used explicitly, so we will not repeat that.
- **Top:** Every type is a subtype of Object, every type is a subtype of **dynamic**, and every type is a subtype of **void**. Note that this implies that these types are equivalent according to the subtype relation. We denote these types, and others with the same property (such as FutureOr<Object>), as top types (14.2).
- **Bottom:** Every type is a supertype of \bot .
- **Null:** Every type other than \perp is a supertype of Null.
- **Type Alias Left:** An application of a type alias to some actual type arguments is a subtype of another type T if the expansion of the type alias to the type that it denotes is a subtype of T. Note that a non-generic type alias is handled by letting s=0.
- **Type Alias Right:** A type S is a subtype of an application of a type alias if S is a subtype of the expansion of the type alias to the type that it denotes. Note that a non-generic type alias is handled by letting S=0.
- **Left FutureOr:** The type FutureOr<S> is a subtype of a given type T if S is a subtype of T and Future<S> is a subtype of T, for every type S and T.
- **Left Promoted Variable:** The type X&S is a subtype of X.
- **Right Promoted Variable A:** The type S is a subtype of X&T if S is a subtype of both X and T.
- **Right FutureOr A:** The type S is a subtype of FutureOr<T> if S is a subtype of Future<T>.
- **Right FutureOr B:** The type S is a subtype of FutureOr<T> if S is a subtype of T.
- **Left Promoted Variable B:** The type X&S is a subtype of T if S is a subtype of T.
- **Left Variable Bound:** The type variable X is a subtype of a type T if the bound of X (as specified in the current environment Γ) is a subtype of T.
- **Right Function**: Every function type is a subtype of the type **Function**.

- 15 **Positional Function Type:** A function type F_1 with positional optional parameters is a subtype of another function type F_2 with positional optional parameters if the former has at most the same number of required parameters as the latter, and the latter has at least the same total number of parameters as the former; the return type of F_1 is a subtype of that of F_2 ; and each parameter type of F_1 is a supertype of the corresponding parameter type of F_2 , if any. Note that the relationship to function types with no optional parameters, and the relationship between function types with no optional parameters, is covered by letting $k_2 = 0$ respectively $k_1 = k_2 = 0$. For every subtype relation considered in this rule, the formal type parameters of F_1 and F_2 must be taken into account (as reflected in the use of the extended environment Γ'). We can assume without loss of generality that the names of type variables are pairwise identical, because we consider types of generic functions to be equivalent under consistent renaming (9.3). In short, "during the proof, we will rename them as needed". Finally, note that the relationship between non-generic function types is covered by letting s=0.
- 16 Named Function Type: A function type F_1 with named optional parameters is a subtype of another function type F_2 with named optional parameters if they have the same number of required parameters, and the set of names of named parameters for the latter is a subset of that for the former; the return type of F_1 is a subtype of that of F_2 ; and each parameter type of F_1 is a supertype of the corresponding parameter type of F_2 , if any. Note that the relationship to function types with no optional parameters, and the relationship between function types with no optional parameters, is covered by letting $k_2=0$ respectively $k_1=k_2=0$, and also that the latter case is identical to the rule obtained from rule 15 concerning subtyping among function types with no optional parameters. As in rule 15, we can assume without loss of generality that the names of type variables are pairwise identical. Similarly, non-generic functions are covered by letting s=0.
- 17 **Class Covariance:** A parameterized type based on a generic class C is a subtype of a parameterized type based on the same class C if each actual type argument of the former is a subtype of the corresponding actual type argument of the latter. This rule may have s=0 and cover a non-generic class as well, but that is redundant because this is already covered by rule 1.
- 18 Superinterface: Considering the case where s=0 and m=0 first, a parameterized type based on a non-generic class C is a subtype of a parameterized type based on a different non-generic class D if D is a direct superinterface of C. When s>0 or m>0, this rule describes a subtype relationship which includes one or more generic classes, in which case we need to give names to the formal type parameters of C, and specify how they are used in the specification of the superinterface based on D. With those pieces in place, we can specify the subtype relationship that exists between two parameterized types based on C and D. The case where the superclass is a mixin application is covered via the equivalence with a declaration of a regular (possibly generic)

 \Diamond

superclass (12.3), and this means that there may be multiple subtype steps from a given class declaration to the class specified in an **extends** clause.

19.4.5 Additional Subtyping Concepts

S is a supertype of T in a given environment Γ , written $\Gamma \vdash S :> T$, iff $\diamond \Gamma \vdash T <: S$.

A type T may be assigned to a type S in an environment Γ , written $\Gamma \vdash S \iff T$, iff either $\Gamma \vdash S <: T \text{ or } \Gamma \vdash T <: S$. In this case we say that the types S and T are assignable.

This rule may surprise readers accustomed to conventional typechecking. The intent of the \iff relation is not to ensure that an assignment is guaranteed to succeed dynamically. Instead, it aims to only flag assignments that are almost certain to be erroneous, without precluding assignments that may work.

For example, assigning an object of static type Object to a variable with static type String, while not guaranteed to be correct, might be fine if the runtime value happens to be a string.

A static analyzer or compiler may support more strict static checks as an option.

19.5 Function Types

Function types come in two variants:

1. The types of functions that only have positional parameters. These have the general form

$$T$$
 Function $\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, [T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k}])$.

2. The types of functions with named parameters. These have the general form

$$T$$
 Function $\langle X_1 \triangleleft B_1, \ldots, X_s \triangleleft B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, T_n, \{T_{n+1} \ x_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+k} \ x_{n+k}\}).$

Note that the non-generic case is covered by having s=0, in which case the type parameter declarations are omitted (14). The case with no optional parameters is covered by having k=0; note that all rules involving function types of the two kinds coincide in this case.

Two function types are considered equal if consistent renaming of type parameters can make them identical.

A common way to say this is that we do not distinguish function types which are alpha-equivalent. For the subtyping rule below this means we can assume that a suitable renaming has already taken place. In cases where this is not possible because the number of type parameters in the two types differ or the bounds are different, no subtype relationship exists.

A function object is always an instance of some class C that implements the class **Function** (19.6), and which has a method named call, whose signature is the function type C itself. Consequently, all function types are subtypes of **Function** (19.4).

19.6 Type Function

The built-in class **Function** is a supertype of all function types (19.5). It is impossible to extend, implement, or mix in the class **Function**.

If a class declaration or mixin application has **Function** as superclass, it instead uses **Object** as superclass.

If a class or mixin declaration implements **Function**, it has no effect. It is as if the **Function**was removed from the implements clause (and if it's the only implemented interface, the entire clause is removed). The resulting class or mixin interface does not have **Function** as a superinterface.

If a mixin application mixes **Function** onto a superclass, it follows the normal rules for mixin-application, but since the result of that mixin application is equivalent to a class with implements Function, and that clause has no effect, the resulting class also does not implement **Function**. The **Function** class declares no concrete instance members, so the mixin application creates a sub-class of the superclass with no new members and no new interfaces.

Since using **Function** in these ways has no effect, it would be reasonable to disallow it completely, like we do extending, implementing or mixing in types like int or String. For backwards compatibility with Dart 1 programs, the syntax is allowed to remain, even if it has no effect. Tools may choose to warn users that their code has no effect.

19.7 Type dynamic

The type **dynamic** is a static type which is a supertype of all other types, just like <code>Object</code>, but it it differs from other types in that the static analysis assumes that every member access has a corresponding member with a signature that admits the given access.

For instance, when the receiver in an ordinary method invocation has type **dynamic**, any method name can be invoked, with any number of type arguments or none, with any number of positional arguments, and any set of named arguments, of any type, without error. Note that the invocation will still cause a compile-time error if there is an error in one or more arguments or other subterms.

If no static type annotation has been provided, the type system considers declarations to have type **dynamic**. If a generic type is used but type arguments are not provided, the type arguments default to type **dynamic**.

This means that given a generic declaration $G < P_1, \ldots, P_n > \ldots$, where P_i is a formal type parameter declaration, $i \in 1..n$, the type G is equivalent to $G < \mathbf{dynamic}, \ldots, \mathbf{dynamic} > \ldots$

The built-in type declaration dynamic, which is declared in the library dart:core, denotes the dynamic type. When the name dynamic exported by dart:core is evaluated as an expression, it evaluates to a Type object representing the dynamic type, even though dynamic is not a class.

This Type object must compare equal to the corresponding Type objects for Object and **void** according to operator '==' (19.2).

To improve the precision of static types, member accesses on a receiver of

type **dynamic** that refer to declarations of the built-in class Object are given the static type corresponding to those declarations whenever doing so is sound.

- Let e be an expression of the form d.id, which is not followed by an argument part, where the static type of d is **dynamic**, and id is the name of a getter declared in Object; if the return type of Object.id is T then the static type of e is T. For instance, d.hashCode has type int and d.runtimeType has type Type.
- Let e be an expression of the form d.id, which is not followed by an argument part, where the static type of d is **dynamic**, and id is the name of a method declared in Object whose method signature has type F (which is a function type). The static type of e is then F. For instance, d.toString has type String Function().
- Let e be an expression which is of the form d.id(arguments) or the form d.id < typeArguments > (arguments), where the static type of d is dy-namic, id is the name of a getter declared in Object with return type F, arguments are derived from $\langle arguments \rangle$, and typeArguments are derived from $\langle typeArguments \rangle$, if present. Static analysis will then process e as a function expression invocation where an object of static type F is applied to the given argument part. So this is always a compile-time error. For instance, d.runtimeType(42) is a compile-time error, because it is checked as a function expression invocation where an entity of static type Type is invoked. Note that it could actually succeed: An overriding implementation of runtimeType could return an instance whose dynamic type is a subtype of Type that has a call method. We decided to make it an error because it is likely to be a mistake, especially in cases like d.hashCode() where a developer might have forgotten that hashCode is a getter.
- Let e be an expression of the form d.id(arguments) where the static type of d is **dynamic**, arguments is an actual argument list derived from $\langle arguments \rangle$, and id is the name of a method declared in Object whose method signature has type F. If the number of positional actual arguments in arguments is less than the number of required positional arguments of F or greater than the number of positional arguments in F, or if arguments includes any named arguments with a name that is not declared in F, the type of e is **dynamic**. Otherwise, the type of e is the return type in F. So d.toString(bazzle: 42) has type **dynamic** whereas d.toString() has type String. Note that invocations which "do not fit" the statically known declaration are not errors, they just get return type **dynamic**.
- Let e be an expression of the form d.id < type Arguments > (arguments) where the static type of d is **dynamic**, type Arguments is a list of actual type arguments derived from $\langle type Arguments \rangle$, and arguments is an actual argument list derived from $\langle arguments \rangle$. It is a compile-time error if id is the name of a non-generic method declared in Object. No generic methods are declared in Object. Hence, we do not specify that there must be the

statically required number of actual type arguments, and they must satisfy the bounds. That would otherwise be the consistent approach, because the invocation is guaranteed to fail when any of those requirements are violated, but generalizations of this mechanism would need to include such rules.

• For an instance method invocation e (including invocations of getters, setters, and operators) where the receiver has static type **dynamic** and e does not match any of the above cases, the static type of e is **dynamic**. When an expression derived from $\langle cascadeSection \rangle$ performs a getter or method invocation that corresponds to one of the cases above, the corresponding static analysis and compile-time errors apply. For instance, d..foobar(16)..hashCode() is an error.

Note that only very few forms of instance method invocation with a receiver of type **dynamic** can be a compile-time error. Of course, some expressions like x[1, 2] are syntax errors even though they could also be considered "invocations", and subexpressions are checked separately so any given actual argument could be a compile-time error. But almost any given argument list shape could be handled via noSuchMethod, and an argument of any type could be accepted because any formal parameter in an overriding declaration could have its type annotation contravariantly changed to Object. So it is a natural consequence of the principle of that a **dynamic** receiver admits almost all instance method invocations. The few cases where an instance method invocation with a receiver of type **dynamic** is an error are either guaranteed to fail at run time, or they are very, very likely to be developer mistakes.

19.8 Type FutureOr

The built-in type declaration FutureOr, which is exported by the library dart:async, defines a generic type with one type parameter (14). The type FutureOr<T> is a non-class type which is regular-bounded for all T.

The subtype relations involving FutureOr are specified elsewhere (19.4.2). Note, however, that they entail certain useful properties:

- T <: FutureOr < T >.
- Future< T > <: Future0 r < T >.
- If T <: S and Future< T > <: S, then FutureOr < T > <: S.

That is, FutureOr is in a sense the union of T and the corresponding future type. The last point guarantees that FutureOr<T> <: Object, and also that FutureOr is covariant in its type parameter, just like class types: if S <: T then FutureOr<S> <: FutureOr<T>.

If the type arguments passed to FutureOr would incur compile-time errors if applied to a normal generic class with one type parameter, the same compile-time errors are issued for FutureOr. The name FutureOr as an expression denotes a Type object representing the type FutureOr<

 \Diamond

The FutureOr<T> type represents a case where an object can be either an instance of the type T or the type Future<T>. Such cases occur naturally in asynchronous code. The available alternative would be to use a top type (e.g., dynamic), but FutureOr allows some tools to provide a more precise type analysis.

The type FutureOr<T> has an interface that is identical to that of Object. That is, only members that Object has can be invoked on an object with static type FutureOr<T>.

We only want to allow invocations of members that are inherited from a common supertype of both T and Future<T>. In most cases the only common supertype is Object. The exceptions, like FutureOr<Future<Object>> which has Future<Object> as common supertype, are few and not practically useful, so for now we choose to only allow invocations of members inherited from Object.

We define the auxiliary function futureOrBase(T) as follows:

- If T is FutureOr<5> for some S then futureOrBase(T) = futureOrBase(S).
- Otherwise futureOrBase(T) = T.

19.9 Type Void

The special type **void** is used to indicate that the value of an expression is meaningless and intended to be discarded.

A typical case is that the type **void** is used as the return type of a function that "does not return anything". Technically, there will always be *some* object which is returned (9). But it is perfectly meaningful to have a function whose sole purpose is to create side-effects, such that *any* use of the returned object would be misguided. This does not mean that there is anything wrong with the returned object as such. It could be any object whatsoever. But the developer who chose the return type **void** did that to indicate that it is a misunderstanding to ascribe any meaning to that object, or to use it for any purpose.

The type **void** is a top type (14.2), so **void** and Object are subtypes of each other (19.4), which also implies that any object can be the value of an expression of type **void**. Consequently, any instance of type Type which reifies the type **void** must compare equal (according to the '==' operator 16.27) to any instance of Type which reifies the type Object (19.2). It is not guaranteed that identical(**void**, Object) evaluates to true. In fact, it is not recommended that implementations strive to achieve this, because it may be more important to ensure that diagnostic messages (including stack traces and dynamic error messages) preserve enough information to use the word 'void' when referring to types which are specified as such in source code.

In support of the notion that the value of an expression with static type **void** should be discarded, such objects can only be used in specific situations: The occurrence of an expression of type **void** is a compile-time error unless it is permitted according to one of the following rules.

- In an \(\lambde\)erressionStatement\(\rangle\) e;, e may have type void. The value of e is discarded.
- In the initialization and increment expressions of a for-loop, for $(e_1; e_2; e_3) \ldots, e_1$ may have type **void**, and each of the expressions in the expression list e_3 may have type **void**. The values of e_1 and e_3 are discarded.
- In a type cast e as T, e may have type void. Developers thus obtain the ability to override the constraints on usages of values with static type void. This means that it is not enforced that such values are discarded, but they can only be used when the wish to do so has been indicated explicitly.
- In a parenthesized expression (e), e may have type void. Note that (e) itself has type void, which implies that it must occur in some context where it is not an error to have it.
- In a conditional expression $e ? e_1 : e_2$, e_1 and e_2 may have type **void**. The static type of the conditional expression is then **void**, even if one of the branches has a different type, which means that the conditional expression must again occur in some context where it is not an error to have it.
- In a null coalescing expression e_1 ?? e_2 , e_2 may have type **void**. The static type of the null coalescing expression is then **void**, which in turn restricts where it can occur.
- In a return statement **return** e;, e may have type **void** in a number of situations (17.12).
- In an arrow function body => e, the returned expression e may have type void in a number of situations (9).
- An initializing expression for a variable of type void may have type void.
 Usages of that variable are constrained.
- An actual parameter expression corresponding to a formal parameter whose statically known type annotation is **void** may have type **void**. Usages of that parameter in the body of the callee are statically expected to be constrained by having type **void**. See the discussion about soundness below (19.9.1).
- In an expression of the form $e_1 = e_2$ where e_1 is an $\langle assignable Expression \rangle$ denoting a variable or formal parameter of type **void**, e_2 may have type **void**. Usages of that variable or formal parameter are statically expected to be constrained by having type **void**. See the discussion about soundness below (19.9.1).
- Let e be an expression ending in a $\langle cascadeSection \rangle$ of the form . . S = $e_1,$ where S is of the form
 - $(\langle cascadeSelector \rangle \langle argumentPart \rangle^*) (\langle assignableSelector \rangle \langle argumentPart \rangle^*)^*$

```
and e_1 is of the form \langle expression Without Cascade \rangle.
```

If s is an $\langle assignableSelector \rangle$ of the form .id or ?.id where the static type of the identifier id is \mathbf{void} , e_1 may have type \mathbf{void} . Otherwise, if s is an $\langle assignableSelector \rangle$ of the form $[e_0]$ where the static type of the first formal parameter in the statically known declaration of operator [] = is \mathbf{void} , e_0 may have type \mathbf{void} . Also, if the static type of the second formal parameter is \mathbf{void} , e_1 may have type \mathbf{void} .

Finally, we need to address situations involving implicit usage of an object whose static type can be **void**. It is a compile-time error for a for-in statement to have an iterator expression of type T such that $\mathtt{Iterator} < \mathtt{void} >$ is the most specific instantiation of $\mathtt{Iterator}$ that is a superinterface of T, unless the iteration variable has type \mathtt{void} . It is a compile-time error for an asynchronous for-in statement to have a stream expression of type T such that $\mathtt{Stream} < \mathtt{void} >$ is the most specific instantiation of \mathtt{Stream} that is a superinterface of T, unless the iteration variable has type \mathtt{void} .

Here are some examples:

```
for (Object x in <void>[]) {} // Error.
await for (int x in new Stream<void>.empty()) {} // Error.
for (void x in <void>[]) {...} // OK.
for (var x in <void>[]) {...} // OK, type of x inferred.
```

However, in the examples that are not errors the usage of x in the loop body is constrained, because it has type **void**.

19.9.1 Void Soundness

The constraints on usage of an object obtained from the evaluation of an expression with static type **void** are not strictly enforced.

The usage of a "void value" is not a soundness issue, that is, no invariants needed for correct execution of a Dart program can be violated because of such a usage.

It could be said that the type **void** is used to help developers maintain a certain self-imposed discipline about the fact that certain objects are not intended to be used. Because of the fact that enforcement is not necessary, and because of the treatment of **void** in earlier versions of Dart, the language uses a best effort approach to ensure that the value of an expression of type **void** will not be used.

In fact, there are numerous ways in addition to the type cast in which a developer can get access to such an object:

```
abstract class A<X> {
  final X x;
  A(this.x);
  Object foo(X x);
}
```

```
class B<X> extends A<X> {
    B(X x): super(x);
    Object foo(Object x) => x;
}

Object f<X>(X x) => x;

void main() {
    void x = 42;
    print(f(x)); // (1)

    A<void> a = B<void>(x);
    A<Object> aObject = a;
    print(aObject.x); // (2)
    print(a.foo(x)); // (3)
}
```

- At (1), a variable x of type **void** is passed to a generic function f, which is allowed because the actual type argument **void** is inferred, and it is allowed to pass an actual argument of type **void** to a formal parameter with the same type. However, no special treatment is given when an expression has a type which is or contains a type variable whose value could be **void**, so we are allowed to return x in the body of f, even though this means that we indirectly get access to the value of an expression of type **void**, under the static type Object.
- At (2), we indirectly obtain access to the value of the variable x with type **void**, because we use an assignment to get access to the instance of B which was created with type argument **void** under the type A<0bject>. Note that A<0bject> and A<**void>** are subtypes of each other, that is, they are equivalent according to the subtype rules, so neither static nor dynamic type checks will fail.
- At (3), we indirectly obtain access to the value of the variable x with type void under the static type Object, because the statically known method signature of foo has parameter type void, but the actual implementation of foo which is invoked is an override whose parameter type is Object, which is allowed because Object and void are both top types.

Obviously, the support for preventing developers from using objects obtained from expressions of type **void** is far from sound, in the sense that there are many ways to circumvent the rule that such an object should be discarded.

However, we have chosen to focus on the simple, first-order usage (where an expression has type **void**, and the value is used), and we have left higher-order cases largely unchecked, relying on additional tools such as linters to perform an analysis which covers indirect data flows.

It would certainly have been possible to define sound rules, such that the value of an expression of type **void** would be guaranteed to be discarded after some number of transfers from one variable or parameter to the next one, all with type **void**, explicitly, or as the value of a type parameter. In particular, we could

require that method overrides should never override return type <code>Object</code> by return type <code>void</code>, or parameter types in the opposite direction; parameterized types with type argument <code>void</code> could not be assigned to variables where the corresponding type argument is anything other than <code>void</code>, etc. etc.

But this would be quite impractical. In particular, the need to either prevent a large number of type variables from ever having the value **void**, or preventing certain usages of values whose type is such a type variable, or whose type contains such a type variable, that would be severely constraining on a very large part of all Dart code.

So we have chosen to help developers maintain this self-imposed discipline in simple and direct cases, and leave it to ad-hoc reasoning or separate tools to ensure that the indirect cases are covered as closely as needed in practice.

19.10 Parameterized Types

A parameterized type is a syntactic construct where the name of a generic type declaration is applied to a list of actual type arguments. A generic instantiation is the operation where a generic type is applied to actual type arguments.

So a parameterized type is the syntactic concept that corresponds to the semantic concept of a generic instantiation. When using the former, we will often leave the latter implicit.

Let T be a parameterized type $G < S_1, \ldots, S_n > .$

It is a compile-time error if G is not a generic type, or G is a generic type, but the number of formal type parameters in the declaration of G is not n. Otherwise, let X_1, \ldots, X_n be the formal type parameters of G, and let B_1, \ldots, B_n be the corresponding upper bounds, using **dynamic** when no bound is declared.

T is malbounded iff either S_i is malbounded for one or more $i \in 1..n$, or T is not well-bounded (14.2).

It is a compile-time error if T is malbounded.

T is evaluated as follows. Let t_i be the result of evaluating S_i , for $i \in 1..n$. T then evaluates to the generic instantiation where G is applied to t_1, \ldots, t_n .

Let T be a parameterized type of the form $G < A_1, \ldots, A_n >$ and assume that T is not malformed and not malbounded. If S is the static type of a member m of G, then the static type of the member m of an expression of type $G < A_1, \ldots, A_n >$ is $[A_1/X_1, \ldots, A_n/X_n]S$, where X_1, \ldots, X_n are the formal type parameters of G.

19.10.1 Actual Type of Declaration

Let T be the declared type of a declaration d, as it appears in the program source. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be the formal type parameters in scope at d. In a context where the actual type arguments corresponding to X_1, \ldots, X_n are t_1, \ldots, t_n , the actual type of d is $[t_1/X_1, \ldots, t_n/X_n]T$.

In the non-generic case where n=0 the actual type is equal to the declared type. Note that X_1, \ldots, X_n may be declared by multiple entities, e.g., one or more enclosing generic functions and an enclosing generic class.

Let X extends B be a formal type parameter declaration. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be the formal type parameters in scope at the declaration of X. In a context where the actual type arguments corresponding to X_1, \ldots, X_n are t_1, \ldots, t_n , the actual bound for X is $[t_1/X_1, \ldots, t_n/X_n]B$.

Note that there exists a j such that $X=X_j$, because each formal type parameter is in scope at its own declaration.

19.10.2 Least Upper Bounds

Given two interfaces I and J, let S_I be the set of superinterfaces of I, let S_J be the set of superinterfaces of J and let $S = (\{I\} \cup S_I) \cap (\{J\} \cup S_J)$. Furthermore, we define $S_n = \{T | T \in S \land depth(T) = n\}$ for any finite n where depth(T) is the number of steps in the longest inheritance path from T to Object. Let q be the largest number such that S_q has cardinality one, which must exist because S_0 is $\{\text{Object}\}$. The least upper bound of I and J is the sole element of S_q .

The least upper bound of **dynamic** and any type T is **dynamic**. The least upper bound of **void** and any type $T \neq$ **dynamic** is **void**. The least upper bound of \bot and any type T is T. Let U be a type variable with upper bound B. The least upper bound of U and a type $T \neq \bot$ is the least upper bound of D and D.

The least upper bound operation is commutative and idempotent, but it is not associative.

The least upper bound of a function type and an interface type T is the least upper bound of **Function** and T. Let F and G be function types. If F and G differ in their number of required parameters, then the least upper bound of F and G is **Function**. Otherwise:

- If $F = \langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle \langle T_1, \ldots, \ T_r, \ [T_{r+1}, \ldots, \ T_n]) \to T_0 \text{ and }$ $G = \langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle \langle S_1, \ldots, \ S_r, \ [S_{r+1}, \ldots, \ S_k]) \to S_0$ where $k \leq n$ then the least upper bound of F and G is $\langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle \langle L_1, \ldots, \ L_r, \ [L_{r+1}, \ldots, \ L_k]) \to L_0$ where L_i is the least upper bound of T_i and $S_i, i \in 0..k$.
- F = $\langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle (T_1, \ldots, \ T_r, \ [T_{r+1}, \ldots, \ T_n]) \rightarrow T_0,$ $G = \langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle (S_1, \ldots, \ S_r, \ \{ \ \ldots \ \}) \rightarrow S_0$ then the least upper bound of F and G is $\langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle (L_1, \ldots, \ L_r) \rightarrow L_0$ where L_i is the least upper bound of T_i and $S_i, i \in 0..r$.
- If $F = \langle X_1 \ B_1, \dots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle (T_1, \dots, \ T_r, \{T_{r+1} \ p_{r+1}, \dots, \ T_f \ p_f\}) \rightarrow T_0,$

$$G = \langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle (S_1, \ldots, \ S_r, \ \{S_{r+1} \ q_{r+1}, \ldots, \ S_g \ q_g\}) \to S_0$$
 then let $\{x_m, \ldots, x_n\} = \{p_{r+1}, \ldots, p_f\} \cap \{q_{r+1}, \ldots, q_g\}$ and let X_j be the least upper bound of the types of x_j in F and $G, j \in m..n$. Then the least upper bound of F and G is

$$\langle X_1 \ B_1, \ldots, \ X_s \ B_s \rangle (L_1, \ldots, \ L_r, \{X_m \ x_m, \ldots, \ X_n \ x_n\}) \rightarrow L_0$$

where L_i is the least upper bound of T_i and $S_i, i \in 0...r$

Note that the non-generic case is covered by using s=0, in which case the type parameter declarations are omitted (14).

20 Reference

20.1 Lexical Rules

Dart source text is represented as a sequence of Unicode code points. This sequence is first converted into a sequence of tokens according to the lexical rules given in this specification. At any point in the tokenization process, the longest possible token is recognized.

20.1.1 Reserved Words

A reserved word may not be used as an identifier; it is a compile-time error \diamond if a reserved word is used where an identifier is expected.

assert, break, case, catch, class, const, continue, default, do, else, enum, extends, false, final, finally, for, if, in, is, new, null, rethrow, return, super, switch, this, throw, true, try, var, void, while, with.

```
⟨LETTER⟩ ::= 'a' .. 'z'

| 'A' .. 'Z'

⟨DIGIT⟩ ::= '0' .. '9'

⟨WHITESPACE⟩ ::= ('\t' | ' ' | ⟨NEWLINE⟩)+
```

20.1.2 Comments

Comments are sections of program text that are used for documentation.

$$\langle SINGLE_LINE_COMMENT \rangle ::= '//' \ ^(\langle NEWLINE \rangle)^* \ (\langle NEWLINE \rangle)^* \ (\langle MULTI_LINE_COMMENT \rangle ::= '/*' \ (\langle MULTI_LINE_COMMENT \rangle \ | \ ^ '*/')^* '*/'$$

Dart supports both single-line and multi-line comments. A *single line comment* begins with the token //. Everything between // and the end of line must be ignored by the Dart compiler unless the comment is a documentation comment.

A multi-line comment begins with the token /* and ends with the token */. \diamond Everything between /* and */ must be ignored by the Dart compiler unless the comment is a documentation comment. Comments may nest.

Documentation comments are comments that begin with the tokens /// or ◇ /**. Documentation comments are intended to be processed by a tool that produces human readable documentation.

The scope of a documentation comment immediately preceding the declaration of a class C is the instance scope of C.

The scope of a documentation comment immediately preceding the declaration of a function f is the scope in force at the very beginning of the body of f.

20.2 Operator Precedence

Operator precedence is given implicitly by the grammar.

The following non-normative table may be helpful

Description	Operator	Associativity	Precedence
Unary postfix	e., e?., e++, e, e1[e2], e()	None	16
Unary prefix	-e, !e, ~e, ++e,e, await e	None	15
Multiplicative	*, /, ~/, %	Left	14
Additive	+, -	Left	13
Shift	<<, >>, >>>	Left	12
Bitwise AND	&	Left	11
Bitwise XOR	^	Left	10
Bitwise Or		Left	9
Relational	<, >, <=, >=, as, is, is!	None	8
Equality	==, !=	None	7
Logical AND	&&	Left	6
Logical Or		Left	5
lf-null	??	Left	4
Conditional	e1?e2:e3	Right	3
Cascade		Left	2
Assignment	=, *=, /=, +=, -=, &=, ^=, etc.	Right	1

Appendix: Algorithmic Subtyping

The text in this appendix is not part of the specification of the Dart language. However, we still use the notation where precise information uses the style

Figure 3: Algorithmic subtype rules. Rules 2–18 are unchanged and hence omitted here.

associated with normative text in the specification (this style), whereas examples and explanations use commentary style (like this).

This appendix presents a variant of the subtype rules given in Figure 2 on page 186.

The rules will prove the same set of subtype relationships, but the rules given here show that there is an efficient implementation that will determine whether $\Gamma \vdash S <: T$ holds, for any given types S and T. It is easy to see that the algorithmic rules will prove at most the same subtype relationships, because all rules given here can be proven by means of rules in Figure 2. It is also relatively straightforward to sketch out proofs that the algorithmic rules can prove at least the same subtype relationships, also when the following ordering and termination constraints are observed.

The only rule which is modified is number 1, which is modified to $1_{\rm algo}$. This only changes the applicability of the rule: This rule is only used for types which are not atomic. An *atomic type* is a type which is not a type variable, not a promoted type variable, not a function type, and not a parameterized type.

In other words, rule $1_{\rm algo}$ is used for special types like **dynamic**, **void**, and **Function**, and it is used for non-generic classes, but it is not used for any type where it is an operation that takes more than one comparison to detect whether it is the same as some other type. The point is that the remaining rules will force a structural traversal anyway, as far as needed, and we may hence just as well omit the initial structural traversal which might take many steps only to report that two large type terms are not quite identical.

The rules are ordered by means of their rule numbers: A rule given here numbered N.1 is inserted immediately after rule N, followed by rule N.2, and so on, followed by the rule whose number is N+1. So the order is 1_{algo} , 2–8, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 9, and so on.

We now specify the procedure which is used to determine whether $\Gamma \vdash S <$: T holds, for some specific types S and T: Select the first rule R whose syntactic constraints are satisfied by the given types S and T, and proceed to show that its premises hold. If so, we terminate and conclude that the subtype relationship holds. Otherwise we terminate and conclude that the subtype relationship does not hold, except if R is 10, 11, 11.1, or 11.2. In particular, for the original query $\Gamma \vdash S <$: T, we do not backtrack into trying to use a rule that has a higher rule

number than that of R, except that we may try all of the rules with FutureOr<T> to the right.

Apart from the fact that the full complexity of subtyping is potentially incurred each time it is checked whether a premise holds, the checks applied for each rule is associated with an amount of work which is constant for all rules except the following: First, the group of rules 10, 11, 11.1, and 11.2 may cause backtracking to take place. Next, rules 15–17 require work proportional to the size of S and T, due to the number of premises that must be checked. Finally, rule 18 requires work proportional to the size of S, and it may also incur the cost of searching up to the entire set of direct and indirect superinterfaces of the candidate subtype S, until the corresponding premise for one of them is shown to hold, if any.

Additional optimizations are applicable. For instance, we can immediately conclude that the subtype relationship does not hold when we are about to check rule 18 if T is a type variable or a function type. For several other forms of type, e.g., a promoted type variable, Object, **dynamic**, **void**, FutureOr<T> for any T, or **Function**, it is known that it will never occur as T for rule 18, which means that this seemingly expensive step can be confined to some extent.

Appendix: Integer Implementations

The int type represents integers. The specification is written with 64-bit two's complement integers as the intended implementation, but when Dart is compiled to JavaScript, the implementation of int will instead use the JavaScript number type.

This introduces a number of differencs:

- Valid values of JavaScript int are any IEEE-754 64-bit floating point number with no fractional part. This includes positive and negative *infinity*, which can be reached by overflowing (integer division by zero is still not allowed). Otherwise valid integer literals (including any leading minus sign) that represent invalid JavaScript int values cannot be compiled to JavaScript. Operations on integers may lose precision since 64-bit floating point numbers are limited to 53 significant bits.
- JavaScript int instances also implement double, and integer-valued double instances also implement int. The int and double class are still separate subclasses of the class num, but *instances* of either class that represent an integer act as if they are actually instances of a common subclass implementing both int and double. Fractional numbers only implement double.
- Bitwise operations on integers (and, or, xor, negate and shifts) all truncate the operands to 32-bit values.
- The identical method cannot distinguish the values 0.0 and -0.0, and it cannot recognize any NaN value as identical to itself. For efficiency, the \diamond identical operation uses the JavaScript === operator.

\mathbf{Index}

Γ , 187	completion, 149
$\Gamma \vdash S \iff T$, 188	breaks, 149
$NS_a \cup NS_b$, 176	continues, 149
⊎, 188	normally, 149
$[x/y\ldots]E, 8$	returns, 149
X&S, 185	throws, 149
S <: T, 188	conditional expression, 132
	configurable URI, 179
accessible to a library, 12	constant context, 82
actual bound, 201	constant expression, 76
actual type, 200	constant object expression, 99
additive expression, 136	constant type expression, 79
assert statement, 169	constructor, 37
assignable, 192	constant, 45
assignable expression, 141	factory, 43
assignable match, 104, 105	generative, 38
available in scope, 11	redirectee, 39, 44
await expression, 138	redirecting, 39
	redirecting factory, 43
basename, 50	constructor name, 37
bitwise expression, 135	constructor parameter list, 38
block statement, 149	continue statement, 166
break statement, 165	contravariant position, 64
	correct override, 55
cast expression, 148	covariant position, 63
class, 26	current library, 171
abstract, 27	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
concrete, 27	data event, 156
generic, 61	declares member, 28
class declaration	declaring identifier, 15
abstract, 27	declaring occurrence, 15
concrete, 27	deferred prefix object, 172
generic, 61	direct superinterfaces, 49, 52
class interface, 52	documentation comments, 203
closurization, 110	dynamic error, 14
closurization of a method, 123	dynamic type, 182
closurization of method, 121	dynamic type error, 14
combined interface, 52	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
combined member signature, 53	embedder, 13
comment, 202	enum, 60
compilation environment, 179	enumerated type, 60
compilation units, 169	equality expression, 134
compile-time error, 13	error event, 156
	,

explicitly named library, 170	has access to this , 27
export directives, 175	has member, 28
exported by a library, 175	hides, 11
exported namespace, 177	11.44
exports, 175	identifier expression, 144
declaration, 176	if statement, 153
name, 176	if-null expression, 132
expression, 73	immediate subterm, 8
produces an object, 74	import, 171
throws, 74	deferred, 171
expression statement, 150	immediate, 171
	in scope, 11
false, the object, 84	infinity, 205
flatten(T), 94	inherits, 47, 55
for statement, 154	initializers, 40
formal constructor parameter, 38	initializing expression, 15
formal parameter list, 20	initializing formal, 38
formal parameter part, 20	initializing variable declaration, 15
formal type parameter list, 20	instance, 26
forwards, 20	instance getters of a class, 35
fresh instance, 39	instance method closurization, 121
function	instance methods of a class, 29
asynchronous, 18	instance setters of a class, 35
external, 25	instance variable initializers, 40
generator, 18	instance variables of a class, 37
generic, 20	instantiation
library, 19	subtype rule, 187
local, 19	instantiation to bound, 70
non-generic, 20	interface, 52
synchronous, 18	class, 52
function closurization, 110	combined, 52
function declaration, 19	invariant position, 65
generic, 62	is equivalent to, 9
function type, 24	is exported by a library, 176
of a constructor, 37	is in, 10
futureOrBase(T), 196	is-expression, 147
•	isolates, 13
generic, 60	,
generic function type instantiation, 112	key, 91
generic instantiation method, 125	
target, 125	label, 165
getter	labeled case clause, 165
abstract, 36	labeled statement, 165
concrete, 36	lexical lookup, 142
	literal
has a non-trivial noSuchMethod, 31	boolean, 84

decimal integer, 83	mixin member declarations, 58
double, 83	multi-line comment, 203
function, 94	multiplicative expression, 137
declared return type, 94	
hexadecimal integer, 83	namespace, 10
integer, 83	exported, 175
list, 89	imported, 174
constant, 89	union, 176
run-time, 90	NaN, 205
map, 91	new expression, 97
constant, 91	non-system library, 174
run-time, 91	noSuchMethod forwarded, 31
numeric, 83	noSuchMethod forwarder, 32
set, 92	null object, 82
constant, 93	
run-time, 93	occurs contravariantly, 63
symbol, 88	occurs covariantly, 63
local variable declaration, 150	occurs invariantly, 63
logical boolean expression, 133	operator '=='
lookup, 113	is not primitive, 35
• /	primitive, 34
malbounded, 200	operators, 29
malformed, 181	overrides, 55
may be assigned, 192	
member signature, 51	parameter
member signature equality, 53	covariant, 24
members, 27	covariant-by-class, 23
instance, 27	covariant-by-declaration, 23
static, 27	parameter corresponds to parameter
meta-variable, 185	23
method	part directive, 177
abstract, 36	part header, 177
concrete, 36	parts, 177
instance, 29	postfix expression, 139
static, 46	potentially constant expression, 76
method invocation	potentially mutated, 150
cascaded, 116	prefix object, 173
conditional ordinary, 114	privacy, 12
unconditional ordinary, 115	private
method signature	declaration, 12
function type, 51	identifier, 12
mixin	name, 12
combined superinterface, 57	property extraction, 118
implemented interface, 57	conditional, 118
member declaration, 57	unconditional, 119
required superinterface, 57	public

declaration, 12	static setters of a class, 35
identifier, 12	static warning, 13
name, 12	string, 84
public namespace, 171	string interpolation, 88
r and a market of	substitution, 8
raw string, 87	subterm, 8
raw type, 68	subtype, 185, 188
raw type expression, 68	subtype match, 104, 105
raw-depends on	super closurization, 123
type, 69	super-bounded, 66
re-exports library, 177	superclass, 47
re-exports namespace, 177	superinitializer, 40
reachable from, 177	superinterface, 54
redirection-reachable, 44	Superinterfaces(C), 189
referencing identifier, 15	superinvocation interface, 58
referencing occurrence, 15	supertype, 192
regular-bounded, 66	switch statement, 157
relational expression, 135	system library, 174
required formal parameter, 21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
reserved word, 202	term, 7
rethrow statement, 161	throw expression, 94
return statement, 163	throwing a class, 14
rule	throwing an exception, 14
conclusion, 188	top type, 66
premise, 188	treated as, 9
rule number, 188	true, the object, 84
	type
scope	atomic, 204
formal parameter, 20	deferred, 182
formal parameter initializer, 38	generic, 62
function body, 21	generic, has simple bounds, 70
instance, 27	mixin of, 56
static, 27	of the form $X\&S$, 185
type parameter, 20, 27	type alias, 183
script, 178	generic, 61
script tag, 170	type parameter
setter	contravariant, 65
abstract, 36	covariant, 65
concrete, 36	invariant, 65
shift expression, 136	
simple bound, 69	unary expression, 138
single line comment, 203	upper bound, 62
specify a URI, 179	value 01
statement, 148	value, 91
static argument list type, 104	variable
static getters of a class, 35	constant, 15, 150

```
final, 15, 150
fresh, 8
initialized, 150
library, 15
local, 150
mutable, 15, 150
static, 15
top-level, 15
variable declaration statement, 150
variables
instance, 37
well-bounded, 66
x.op(y), 8
yield statement, 166
yield-each statement, 167
```