Appendix 1: The Mathematical Development of the F-test, W-test, and F*-test: Numerical Example

A summary is presented in Table A1. The complete example is available on Github. The DV is a score that can vary from 0 to 40. The IV is a three-level factor A (levels = A_1 , A_2 and A_3).

Table A1. Summary of the data of the fictive case

	Al	A2	A3
n _i	41.00	21.00	31.00
\bar{X}	24	23	27
S^2	81.75	10.075	38.40

The global mean (i.e. the mean of the global dataset) is a weighted mean of the group means:

$$\frac{(41*24) + (21*23) + (31*27)}{41 + 21 + 31} = \frac{2304}{93} \approx 24.77$$

The F-test statistic and degrees of freedom are computed by applying formulas (1), (2) and (3):

$$F = \frac{\frac{1}{3-1}[41*(24 - \frac{2304}{93})^2 + 21*(23 - \frac{2304}{93})^2 + 31*(27 - \frac{2304}{93})^2]}{\frac{1}{93-3}[(41-1)*81.75 + (21-1)*10.075 + (31-1)*38.4]} \approx 2.377$$

$$df_n = 3 - 1 = 2$$

$$df_d = 93 - 3 = 90$$

The F^* -test and his degrees of freedom are computed by applying formulas 4, 5 and 6:

$$F^* = \frac{41 * (24 - \frac{2304}{93})^2 + 21 * (23 - \frac{2304}{93})^2 + 31 * (27 - \frac{2304}{93})^2}{(1 - \frac{41}{93}) * 81.75 + (1 - \frac{21}{93}) * 10.075 + (1 - \frac{31}{93}) * 38.4} \approx 3.088$$
$$df_n = 3 - 1 = 2$$

$$df_d = \frac{1}{(\frac{(1-\frac{41}{93})*81.75}{\sum_{j=1}^k (1-\frac{n_j}{N})s_j^2})^2 + (\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k (1-\frac{n_j}{93})*10.075}{21-1})^2 + (\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k (1-\frac{n_j}{N})s_j^2}{21-1})^2 + (\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k (1-\frac{n_j}{N})s_j^2}{31-1})^2} \approx 81.149$$

Where
$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} (1 - \frac{n_j}{N}) * s_j^2 \approx 79.11$$

Finally, the W-test and his degrees of freedom are computed in applying formulas 7, 8 and 9:

$$W = \frac{\frac{1}{3-1} \left[\frac{41}{81.75} (24 - \bar{X}')^2 + \frac{21}{10.075} (23 - \bar{X}')^2 + \frac{31}{38.4} (27 - \bar{X}')^2 \right]}{\frac{2(3-2)}{3^2-1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{41-1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\frac{41}{81.75}}{w} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{21-1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\frac{21}{10.075}}{w} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{31-1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\frac{31}{38.4}}{w} \right)^2 \right] + 1} \approx 4.606$$

Where:

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \approx 3.39$$

$$\bar{X'} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} (w_j \bar{x_j})}{w} \approx 24.1$$

$$df_n = 3 - 1$$

$$df_d = \frac{3^2 - 1}{3\left[\frac{(1 - \frac{w_j}{w})^2}{41 - 1} + \frac{(1 - \frac{w_j}{w})^2}{21 - 1} + \frac{(1 - \frac{w_j}{w})^2}{31 - 1}\right]} \approx 59.32$$

One should notice that in this example, the biggest sample size has the biggest variance. As previously mentioned, it means that the F-test will be too conservative, because the F value decreases. The F*-test will also be a little too conservative, even if the test is less affected than the F-test. As a consequence: W > F* > F.

Appendix 2: Justification for the choice of distributions in simulations

The set of simulations described in the article was repeated for 7 distributions. We used R commands to generate data from different distributions:

- k normal distributions (Figure A1): in order to assess the Type I error rate and power of the different tests under the assumption of normality, data were generated by means of the function "rnorm" (from the package "stats"; "R: The Normal Distribution," 2016).
- k double exponential distributions (Figure A2): In order to assess the impact of high kurtosis on the Type I error rate and power of all tests, data were generated by means of the function "rdoublex" (from the package "smoothmest"; "R: The double exponential (Laplace) distribution," 2012).
- k mixed normal distributions (Figure A3): In order to assess the impact of extremely high kurtosis on the Type I error rate and power of all tests, regardless of variance, data were generated by means of the function "rmixnorm" (from the package "bda"; Wang & Wang, 2015).
- k normal right skewed distributions (Figure A4): In order to assess the impact of moderate skewness on the Type I error rate and power, data were generated by means of the function "rsnorm" (from the package "fGarch"; "R: Skew Normal Distribution," 2017). The normal skewed distribution was chosen because it is the only skewed distribution where the standard deviation ratio can vary without having an impact on skewness.
- k-1 normal left skewed distributions (Figure A5) and 1 normal right skewed distribution (Figure A2.4): In order to assess the impact of unequal shapes, in terms of skewness, on the Type I error rate and power, when data have moderate skewness, data were generated by means of the functions "rsnorm" (from the package "fGarch"; "R: Skew Normal Distribution," 2017).
- k-1 chi-squared distributions with two degrees of freedom (See Figure A6), and one normal rigt skewed distribution (Figure A2.4): In order to assess the impact of high asymetry on the Type I error rate an power, k-1 distributions were generated by means of the functions "rchisq" ("R: The (non-central) Chi-squared Distribution," 2016). The last distribution was generated by means of "rsnorm" in order to follow a normal right skewed distribution with a mean of 2 (from the package "fGarch"; "R: Skew

Normal Distribution," 2017). Because the chi-squared is non-negative, it is not possible to generate chi-squared where population SD=1, 4 or 8 and population mean is the same than the chi-squared with two degrees of freedom. However, we wanted to assess the impact of different SD-ratio on Type I error rate. For these reasons, the last distribution was generated by means of "rsnorm" in order to follow a normal skewed distribution with positive skewness of +0.99 and mean = 2 (from the package "fGarch"; "R: Skew Normal Distribution," 2017).

• k-1 chi-squared distributions with two degrees of freedom (See Figure A6), and one normal left skewed distribution (Figure A5): In order to assess the impact of unequal shapes, in terms of skewness, on Type I error rate and power when distributions have extreme skewness, k-1 distributions were generated by means of the functions "rchisq" ("R: The (non-central) Chi-squared Distribution," 2016). The last distribution was generated by means of "rsnorm" in order to follow a normal right skewed distribution with a mean of 2 (from the package "fGarch"; "R: Skew Normal Distribution," 2017)