

- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2013). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioural sciences*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203774441>
- Cumming, G. (2005). *Understanding the new statistics: Effect sizes, confidence intervals, and meta-analysis*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- David, F. N., & Johnson, N. L. (1951). The effect of non-normality on the power function of the *f*-test in the analysis of variance. *Biometrika*, 38(1–2), 43–57. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2332316>
- DeCarlo, L. T. (1997). On the meaning and use of kurtosis. *Psychological Methods*, 2(3), 292–307. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037//1082-989X.2.3.292>
- Delacre, M., Lakens, D., & Leys, C. (2017). Why psychologists should by default use Welch's *t*-test instead of student's *t*-test. *International Review of Social Psychology*, 30(1), 92–101. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.82>
- Erceg-Hurn, D. M., & Mirosevich, V. M. (2008). Modern robust statistical methods: An easy way to maximize the accuracy and power of your research. *American Psychologist*, 63(7), 591–601. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.63.7.591>
- Glass, G. V., Peckham, P. D., & Sanders, J. R. (1972). Consequences of failure to meet assumptions underlying the fixed effects analyses of variance and covariance. *Review of Educational Research*, 42(3), 237–288. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543042003237>
- Green, E. G., Deschamps, J.-C., & Páez, D. (2005). Variation of individualism and collectivism within and between 20 countries: A typological analysis. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 36(3), 321–339. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022104273654>
- Grey, S., & Mathews, A. (2000). Effects of training on interpretation of emotional ambiguity. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 53(4), 1143–1162. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/713755937>
- Grissom, R. (2000). Heterogeneity of variance in clinical data. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(1), 155–165. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-006X.68.1.155>
- Haar, J. M., Russo, M., Suñe, A., & Ollier-Malaterre, A. (2014). Outcomes of work-life balance on job satisfaction, life satisfaction and mental health: A study across seven cultures. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 85(3), 361–373. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2014.08.010>
- Harwell, M. R., Rubinstein, E. N., Hayes, W. S., & Olds, C. C. (1992). Summarizing Monte Carlo results in methodological research: The one- and two-factor fixed effects anova cases. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 17(4), 315–339. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3102/10769986017004315>
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). Most people are not weird. *Nature*, 466, 29–29. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/466029a>
- Heun, R., Burkart, M., Maier, W., & Bech, P. (1999). Internal and external validity of the who well-being scale in the elderly general population. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 99(3), 171–178. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1999.tb00973.x>
- Hoekstra, R., Kiers, H. A., & Johnson, A. (2012). Are assumptions of well-known statistical techniques checked, and why (not)? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3(137), 1–9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00137>
- Hsu, T.-C., & Feldt, L. S. (1969). The effect of limitations on the number of criterion score values on the significance level of the *f*-test. *American Educational Research Journal*, 6(4), 515–527. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312006004515>
- Keppel, G., & Wickens, T. D. (2004). *Design and analysis: A researcher's handbook*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Keselman, H., Huberty, C. J., Lix, L. M., Olejnik, S., Cribbie, R. A., Donahue, B., Levin, J. R., et al. (1998). Statistical practices of educational researchers: An analysis of their anova, manova, and ancova analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(3), 350–386. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543068003350>
- Koeser, S., & Sczesny, S. (2014). Promoting gender-fair language: The impact of arguments on language use, attitudes, and cognitions. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 33(5), 548–560. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X14541280>
- Liu, H. (2015). *Comparing welch anova, a kruskal-wallis test, and traditional anova in case of heterogeneity of variance* (PhD thesis). Virginia Commonwealth University.
- Lix, L. M., Keselman, J. C., & Keselman, H. (1996). Consequences of assumption violations revisited: A quantitative review of alternatives to the one-way analysis of variance **f** test. *Review of Educational Research*, 66(4), 579–619. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543066004579>
- Micceri, T. (1989). The unicorn, the normal curve, and other improbable creatures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 105(1), 156–166. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.105.1.156>
- Montoya, D. Y., & Briggs, E. (2013). Shared ethnicity effects on service encounters: A study across three us subcultures. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(3), 314–320. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.08.011>
- Nimon, K. F. (2012). Statistical assumptions of substantive analyses across the general linear model: A mini-review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3(322), 1–5. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00322>
- Overall, J. E., Atlas, R. S., & Gibson, J. M. (1995). Tests that are robust against variance heterogeneity in $k \times 2$ designs with unequal cell frequencies. *Psychological Reports*, 76(3), 1011–1017. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1995.76.3.1011>
- Quensel, C.-E. (1947). The validity of the **z**-criterion when the variates are taken from different normal populations. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*, 30(1), 44–55. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/03461238.1947.10419648>