References

Baguley, T. (2012). *Serious stats: A guide to advanced statistics for the behavioral sciences.* Palgrave Macmillan. Retrieved from https://books.google.fr/books?hl=fr&lr=&id=ObUcBQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=baguley+2012&ots=-eiUlHiCYs&sig=YUUKZ7jiGF33wdo3WVO-8l-OUu8.

Banerjee, A., Chitnis, U., Jadhav, S., Bhawalkar, J. & **Chaudhury, S.** (2009). Hypothesis testing, type I and type II errors. *Industrial Psychiatry Journal*, 18(2), 127. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-6748.62274

Baumgartner, H. & **Steenkamp, J.-B. E.** (2001). Response styles in marketing research: A cross-national investigation. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2), 143–156. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.38.2.143.18840

Bryk, A. S. & **Raudenbush, S. W.** (1988). Heterogeneity of variance in experimental studies: A challenge to conventional interpretations. *Psychological Bulletin*, 104(3), 396. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.104.3.396

Carroll, R. J. & **Schneider, H.** (1985). A note on Levene's tests for equality of variances. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 3(4), 191–194. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7152(85)90016-1

Cowdry, R. W., Gardner, D. L., O'Leary, K. M., Leibenluft, E. & **Rubinow, D. R.** (1991). Mood variability: A study of four groups. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 148(11), 1505–1511. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.148.11.1505

Cumming, G. (2013). *Understanding the new statistics: Effect sizes, confidence intervals, and meta-analysis.* Routledge. Retrieved from https://books.google.fr/books?hl=fr&lr=&id=1W6laNc7Xt8C&oi=fnd&pg=PR1&dq=understanding+the+new+statistics:+effect+sizes,+confidence+intervals,+and+meta-analysis&ots=PuJZVHb03Q&sig=lhSjkfzp4o5OXAKhZ_zYzP9nsr8.

Erceg-Hurn, D. M. & **Mirosevich, V. M.** (2008). Modern robust statistical methods: An easy way to maximize the accuracy and power of your research. *American Psychologist*, 63(7), 591. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.63.7.591

Feingold, A. (1992). Sex differences in variability in intellectual abilities: A new look at an old controversy. *Review of Educational Research*, 62(1), 61–84. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543062001061

Glass, G. V., Peckham, P. D. & **Sanders, J. R.** (1972). Consequences of failure to meet assumptions underlying the fixed effects analyses of variance and covariance. *Review of Educational Research*, 42(3), 237–288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543042003237

Grissom, R. J. (2000). Heterogeneity of variance in clinical data. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(1), 155. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.68.1.155

Hanushek, E. A. & **Wößmann, L.** (2006). Does educational tracking affect performance and inequality? Differences-in-differencesevidenceacrosscountries*. *Economic Journal*, 116(510), C63–C76. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2006.01076.x

Hayes, A. F. & **Cai, L.** (2007). Further evaluating the conditional decision rule for comparing two independent means. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 60(2), 217–244. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1348/000711005X62576

Henrich, J., Heine, S. J. & **Norenzayan, A.** (2010). Most people are not WEIRD. *Nature*, 466(7302), 29–29. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/466029a

Hoekstra, R., Kiers, H. & **Johnson, A.** (2012). Are assumptions of well-known statistical techniques checked, and why (not)? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 3, 137. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00137

Keppel, G. (1991). Design and analysis: A researcher's handbook. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Retrieved from http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/1991-98751-000.

Keselman, H. J., Huberty, C. J., Lix, L. M., Olejnik, S., Cribbie, R. A., Donahue, B., Levin, J. R., et al. (1998). Statistical practices of educational researchers: An analysis of their ANOVA, MANOVA, and ANCOVA analyses. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(3), 350–386. DOI:https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543068003350

Keselman, H. J., Othman, A. R., Wilcox, R. R. & **Fradette, K.** (2004). The new and improved two-sample *t* test. *Psychological Science*, 15(1), 47–51. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2004.01501008.x

Kester, S. W. (1969). The communication of teacher expectations and their effects on the achievement and attitudes of secondary school pupils. University of Oklahoma. Retrieved from https://shareok.org/handle/11244/2570.

Lakens, D., Hilgard, J. & **Staaks, J.** (2016). On the reproducibility of meta-analyses: Six practical recommendations. *BMC Psychology*, 4(1), 1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-016-0126-3

Lim, T.-S. & **Loh, W.-Y.** (1996). A comparison of tests of equality of variances. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 22(3), 287–301. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-9473(95)00054-2

Luh, W.-M. & **Guo, J.-H.** (2007). Approximate sample size formulas for the two-sample trimmed mean test with unequal variances. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 60(1), 137–146. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1348/000711006X100491

Moser, B. K. & **Stevens, G. R.** (1992). Homogeneity of variance in the two-sample means test. *American Statistician*, 46(1), 19–21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1992.10475839

Nachar, N. (2008). The Mann-Whitney U: A test for assessing whether two independent samples come from the same distribution. *Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology*, 4(1), 13–20. DOI: https://doi.org/10.20982/tqmp.04.1.p013

Neuhäuser, M. & **Ruxton, G. D.** (2009). Distribution-free two-sample comparisons in the case of heterogeneous variances. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 63(4), 617–623. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-008-0683-4