the variability will be smaller compared to a school that

Second, a quasi-experimental treatment can have dif-

ferent impacts on variances between pre-existing groups,

that can even be of theoretical interest. For example, in

the field of linguistics and social psychology, Wasserman

and Weseley (2009) investigated the impact of language

gender structure on sexist attitudes of women and men.

They tested differences between sexist attitude scores of

subjects who read a text in English (i.e. a language without grammatical gender) or in Spanish (i.e. a language

with grammatical gender). The results showed that (for a

reason not explained by the authors), the women's score

on the sexism dimension was more variable when the

text was read in Spanish than in English ($SD_{spanish} = .80 >$

 $SD_{english} = .50$). For men, the reverse was true ($SD_{spanish} = .97$

Third, even when the variances of groups are the same

before treatment (due to a complete succesful randomiza-

tion in group assignment), unequal variances can emerge

accepts all students.

 $< SD_{english} = 1.33).^{1}$

the impact of these conditions on the use of gender-fair wording (measured as a frequency). They report that the standard deviations increase after treatment in all experimental conditions. Consequences of Assumption Violations Assumptions violations would not be a matter per se, if the F-test was perfectly robust against departures from them (Glass et al., 1972). When performing a test, two

types of errors can be made: Type I errors and Type II

errors. A Type I error consists of falsely rejecting the null

hypothesis in favour of an alternative hypothesis, and the

Type I error rate (α) is the proportion of tests that, when

null hypothesis, and the Type II error rate (β) is the propor-

tion of tests, when sampling many times from the same

population, that fail to reject the null hypothesis when

sampling many times from the same population, reject the null hypothesis when there is no true effect in the population. A Type II error consists of failing to reject the

there is a true effect. Finally, the statistical power $(1 - \beta)$ is the proportion of tests, when sampling many times from the same population, that correctly reject the null hypothesis when there is a true effect in the population. Violation of the Normality Assumption

Regarding the Type I error rate, the shape of the distri-

al., 1992). When departures are very small (i.e. a kurtosis between 1.2 and 3 or a skewness between -0.4 and 0.4), the Type I error rate of the F-test is very close to expectations, even with sample sizes as small as 11 subjects per group (Hsu & Feldt, 1969).

lined that departures from normality do not seriously

bution has very little impact on the F-test (Harwell et

later, as a consequence of an experimental treatment (Box, 1954; Bryk & Raudenbush, 1988; Cumming, 2005; Erceg-Hurn & Mirosevich, 2008; Keppel & Wickens, 2004).

For example, Koeser and Sczesny (2014) have compared case of a positive pairing (i.e. the group with the larger arguments advocating either masculine generic or gensample size also has the larger variance), the test is too der-fair language with control messages in order to test

conservative, meaning that the Type I error rate of the test is lower than the nominal alpha level, whereas in case of a negative pairing (i.e. the group with the larger sample size has the smaller variance), the test is too liberal (Glass et al., 1972; Nimon, 2012; Overall et al., 1995;

power increases).

(1997).

increase (Srivastava, 1959).

Tomarken & Serlin, 1986). Regarding the Type II error rate, there is a small impact of unequal variances when sample sizes are equal (Harwell et al., 1992), but there is a strong effect of the sample size and variance pairing (Nimon, 2012; Overall et al., 1995). In case of a positive pairing, the Type II error rate increases (i.e. the power decreases), and in case of

affect the power (Boneau, 1960; David & Johnson, 1951;

Glass et al., 1972; Harwell et al., 1992; Srivastava, 1959;

Tiku, 1971). However, we can conclude from Srivastava

(1959) and Boneau (1960) that kurtosis has a slightly

larger impact on the power than skewness. The effect

of non-normality on power increases when sample sizes

are unequal between groups (Glass et al., 1972). Lastly

the effect of non-normality decreases when sample sizes

Violation of Homogeneity of Variances Assumption

Regarding the Type I error rate, the F-test is sensitive to

unequal variances (Harwell et al., 1992). More specifi-

cally, the more unequal the SD of the population's sam-

ples are extracted from, the higher the impact. When

there are only two groups, the impact is smaller than

when there are more than two groups (Harwell et al.,

1992). When there are more than two groups, the F-test

becomes more liberal, meaning that the Type I error rate is larger than the nominal alpha level, even when

sample sizes are equal across groups (Tomarken & Serlin,

1986). Moreover, when sample sizes are unequal, there is

a strong effect of the sample size and variance pairing. In

Cumulative Violation of Normality and Homogeneity of Variance Regarding both Type I and Type II error rates, following Harwell et al. (1992), there is no interaction between nor-

mality violations and unequal variances. Indeed, the effect of heteroscedasticity is relatively constant regardless of the shape of the distribution. Based on mathematical explanations and Monteo Carlo simulations we chose to compare the F-test with

a negative pairing, the Type II error decreases (i.e. the

the W-test and F*-test and to exclude the James' secondorder and Alexander-Govern's test because the latter two yield very similar results to the W-test, but are less readily

available in statistical software packages. Tomarken and

Serlin (1986) have shown that from the available alternatives, the F^* -test and the W-test perform best, and both tests are available in SPSS, which is widely used software in the psychological sciences (Hoekstra et al., 2012). For a more extended description of the James' second-order Regarding the Type II error rate, many authors underand Alexander-Govern's test, see Schneider and Penfield