

Assignment 2 Solutions

Marco De Liso (18-120-261)

March 26, 2023

Contents

1 Task 1: Counter concurrent	1
2 Task 2: Read/Write implementation	2
3 Task 3: Linearizability and Sequential Consistency	3

1 Task 1: Counter concurrent

Consider the “Counter” program seen in the labs and provided on ILIAS with different synchronization mechanisms used to protect a shared integer. As a reminder, the program takes as arguments two integers, T and N, and forks T threads that modify a shared integer as follows. Even threads (i.e., threads 0, 2, 4...) increment the integer N times while odd threads (i.e., threads 1, 3, 5...) decrement it N times. The program prints the final value of the integer (which should be 0 if T is even, or N otherwise). Execute the program with N=10'000'000 and T=2,4,8,16 and all provided synchronization mechanisms. Report execution times.

- **Answer:**
- Input: N = 10'000'000, T = 2, 4, 8, 16
- Output:
 - Counter.java (no synchronized blocks)
 - * Start with 2 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 497513 in 163 ms
 - * Start with 4 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 665362 in 414 ms
 - * Start with 8 threads:
 - * Finished with total of -1107673 in 840 ms
 - * Start with 16 threads:
 - * Finished with total of -1621931 in 1662 ms
 - CounterAtomic.java
 - * Start with 2 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 188 ms
 - * Start with 4 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 420 ms
 - * Start with 8 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 886 ms
 - * Start with 16 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 1782 ms
 - CounterBakery.Java

- * Start with 2 threads:
- * Finished with total of 0 in 2065 ms
- * Start with 4 threads:
- * Finished with total of 0 in 6027 ms
- * Start with 8 threads:
- * Finished with total of 0 in 16092 ms
- * Start with 16 threads:
- * ... Not Finished ... (more than 20 minutes)
- CounterFilter.java
 - * Start with 2 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 2146 ms
 - * Start with 4 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 10337 ms
 - * Start with 8 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 55366 ms
 - * Start with 16 threads:
 - * ... Not Finished ...
- CounterLock.java
 - * Start with 2 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 264 ms
 - * Start with 4 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 254 ms
 - * Start with 8 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 506 ms
 - * Start with 16 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 988 ms
- CounterMonitor.java
 - * Start with 2 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 438 ms
 - * Start with 4 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 1032 ms
 - * Start with 8 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 1936 ms
 - * Start with 16 threads:
 - * Finished with total of 0 in 3816 ms
- Note: From the different implementations, the worst one are for sure the Filter and Bakery ones. The Counter.java is fast but encounters a lot of conflicts, since it does not implement any lock and synchronized strategies to avoid concurrent access, hence giving a lot of errors and inconsistencies.

2 Task 2: Read/Write implementation

1. Read-write lock:

A read-write lock allows either a single writer or multiple readers to execute in a critical section. Provide an implementation of a read-write lock in Java. You can use synchronized methods and the wait/notify mechanism if you wish. The class should provide the 4 methods `lockRead()`, `unlockRead()`, `lockWrite()`, and `unlockWrite()`. This implementation does not need to be FIFO, starvation-free, nor reentrant. HINT: you might want to keep track of the number of readers and writers.

2. Starvation-free read-write lock

Try to make the read-write lock starvation free for writes (a writer cannot be blocked forever by readers continuously requesting and acquiring the lock).

3. FIFO and reentrant read-write lock (optional):

Try to make the read-write lock FIFO and reentrant.

- Answers:

- (a) See the script `ReadWrite.java`

- (b) See the script `ReadWriteAging.java`

- (c) See the script `ReadWriteReentrant.java`

- Note: This is the optional Exercise of the second part, I didn't have time to finish it before the deadline by finding all bugs and problems that happened while trying to adapt the implementation of `ReadWriteAging.java`, still wanted to hand in to show my effort on trying it.

3 Task 3: Linearizability and Sequential Consistency

Are the following histories linearizable or sequentially consistent? Explain your answers and write the equivalent linearizable/sequential consistent histories where applicable.

1. Read/write register

a) Concurrent threads A, B, C, register r:

```
A: r.write(1)
C: r.read()
A: r: void
A: r.write(2)
C: r:2
C: r.read()
B: r.read()
A: r: void
C: r:1
A: r.write(1)
B: r:1
A: r: void
```

- Answer: please check the file `Assignment_2.3.pdf`

b) Concurrent threads A, B, C, register r.

```
A: r.write(1)
B: r.read()
A: r: void
A: r.write(2)
A: r: void
A: r.write(1)
B: r:1
C: r.read()
A: r: void
C: r:2
```

- Answer: please check the file `Assignment_2.3.pdf`

2. Stack:

We have the following operations:

- `push(x)` pushes element `x` on the stack, returns `void`;
- `pop()` retrieves an element from the stack;
- `empty()` returns `true` if stack is empty and `false` otherwise.

a) Concurrent threads A, B, C, stack `s`:

```
C: s.empty()
A: s.push(10)
B: s.pop()
A: s: void
A: s.push(20)
B: s:10
A: s: void
C: s: true
```

- Answer: please check the file `Assignment_2.3.pdf`

b) Concurrent threads A, B, C, stack `s`:

```
A: s.push(10)
B: s.push(10)
A: s: void
A: s.pop()
B: s: void
B: s.empty()
A: s:10
B: s: true
A: s.pop()
A: s:10
```

- Answer: please check the file `Assignment_2.3.pdf`

3. Queue:

We have the following operations:

- `enq(x)` inserts element `x` in the queue, returns `void`;
- `deq()` retrieves an element from the queue.

a) Concurrent threads A, B, C, queue `q`.

```
A: q.enq(x)
B: q.enq(y)
A: q: void
B: q: void
A: q.deq()
C: q.deq()
A: q:y
C: q:y
```

- Answer: please check the file `Assignment_2.3.pdf`