Mark Demore, 2d Lt

CSCE686 – Dr. Lamont

Homework 6

**A)**

**1.11:**

Input: A node subset X ⊂ V , a fleet K of vehicles and a capacity C ≥ 0.

Output: Routes {Ai ⊆ Vi : i ∈ K} for each vehicle with each route of capacity at most C.

Objective: maximize | ∪i∈K Ai ∩ X|, the number of nodes covered

Greedy Algorithm for CVRP:

input : A fleet K of vehicles, a subset X ⊂ V and a capacity C

output: A set H of routes with capacity at most C, one route for each vehicle

X0 ← X

for i ∈ K do

Ai = O(X0 ∩ Vi , C, i)

X0 ← X0\Ai

end

return H = {Ai : i ∈ K}

Some additional constraints for the CVRP problem would be: to minimize the distance each vehicle travels, each route needs to be completed in a given amount of time, and vehicles are picking up new deliveries along the route.

**1.17:**

The objective function for minimizing total distance for all vehicles in the VRP is difficult, because when a customer is moved between vehicles, both routes need recalculated entirely. Which, in itself, is difficult, as it is unlikely the customer will be at the beginning or end of the old or new route.

**B)**

**i)** Let G = (V, A) be a graph where V = {1, …, n} is a set of vertices representing cities with the depot located at vertex 1, and A is the set of arcs. With every arc (i, j) i =/=j is associated a non-negative cost matrix C = (cij). Constraints: (i) each city in V\{1} is visited exactly once by exactly one vehicle; (ii) all vehicle routes start and end at the depot. Objective: minimize cost. In simple terms, there are a set of locations that need to be visited by a vehicle with a fixed cost for travel between locations, all vehicles start and end their route at the same location, the goal is to minimize cost while visiting each location. The complexity of the PD is NPC.

**ii) *algorithm domain requirements speciﬁcation form:***

*• name: A\* (Di, Do)*

*• domains: Di is set-of-candidates,*

*Do are sets of solutions (solution space of subsets)*

*• operations:*

*I(x); x in Di; x is a possible candidate from input set*

*O(x,z); x in Di, z in Do; z is an satisfying solution*

***algorithm domain design speciﬁcation form:***

*• name: A\* (Di, Do)*

*• domains: Di is set-of-candidates, Do are the sets of solutions, Dp is set of partial solutions (one vehicle’s route)*

*• imports: ADT set, list, queue, real/integer/character*

*• operations:*1

*I(x); x in Di*

*O(x,z); x in Di, z in Do;*

*“condition on z being a satisfying solution”*

*I’(x,y); x in Di, y in Dp; condition on y being a partial solution in Dp*

*Dp is the “open” list; Dc is the ”closed” list*

**–** *deﬁne state*

**– *next-state-generator***

*i)* ***selection*** *of a partial solution y in Dp based upon its superiority and put in Dc and delete from Dp*

*“based upon heuristic cost function”*

*ii)* ***Generation*** *of* all *next states xj of y*

**– *feasibility*** *(xj , y) − > boolean [if true union (xj , y) and put result* *in* *Dp]*

**– *solution*** *(y) − > boolean; z = y; delay termination and ﬁnd all*

*“optimal” solutions (if satisfying accept first solution)*

**–** *objective solution(Dp) − > “ordered set over Dp”*

**– *heuristics***  *come from problem domain insight:*

***-- Attempt use PD next state generator to reduce set-of candidates* *ASAP***

***-- Attempt to generate a combination once and only once in combinatorial problem domain***

***-- Attempt to generate early pruning condition simple solution check***

***algorithm domain intermediate speciﬁcation form: (iterative)***

*• Heuristics: distance to next point, distance back to depot*

*• Data structures: input – graph: set of nodes (locations), set of edge weight (cost between each location), output – list of sets (route for each vehicle)*

***algorithm domain function speciﬁcation form: (iterative)***

*•*  Function A\*(initial, Expand, Goal, Cost, Heuristic)

q <- New-Priority-Queue()

Insert(initial, q, Heuristic(initial))

**while** q is not empty

**do** current <- Extract-Min(q)

**if** Goal(current) then **return** solution

**for** each next in Expand(current)

**do** Insert(next, q, Cost(next) + Heuristic(next))

return failure

**iii)**

Di = list of tuples - nodes and locations on grid, node 0 is depot location, number of vehicles

Graphical representation of input is below.

**A picture containing screen, text

Description automatically generated**

Implementation of A\*, start search at depot.

# vehicles: 2

Customers per vehicle: 5

Start at Depot

minDistance(0) OPEN: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]

returns 9

vehicle1: [9]

minDistance(9) OPEN: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10]

returns 10

vehicle1: [9, 10]

minDistance(10) OPEN: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

returns 2

vehicle1: [9, 10, 2]

minDistance(2) OPEN: [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]

returns 6

vehicle1: [9, 10, 2, 6]

minDistance(6) OPEN: [1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8]

returns 8

vehicle1:[9, 10, 2, 6, 8]

route complete, add return to depot

vehicle1:[9, 10, 2, 6, 8, 0]

Repeat for vehicle2.

minDistance(0) OPEN: [1, 3, 4, 5, 7]

returns 7

vehicle2: [7]

minDistance(7) OPEN: [1, 3, 4, 5]

returns 5

vehicle2: [7, 5]

minDistance(5) OPEN: [1, 3, 4]

returns 1

vehicle2: [7, 5, 1]

minDistance(1) OPEN: [3, 4]

returns 4

vehicle2: [7, 5, 1, 4]

minDistance(4) OPEN: [3]

returns 3

vehicle2: [7, 5, 1, 4, 3]

route complete, add return to depot

vehicle2: [7, 5, 1, 4, 3, 0]

Search complete.

Do = set of lists – vehicle routes

Graphical representation of output is below.

A picture containing text, map

Description automatically generated

**iv)**

**A close up of a logo

Description automatically generated**

The search tree represents the return value from the minDistance function. The branches are color coordinated with the routes from the previous part as well. The objective function, minDistance, returns the node that has the best heuristic value, balancing nearest node to current location and subsequent distance from the depot (to which it needs to return). The algorithm domain becomes more complex in this way as it needs to search all nodes in the open list to calculate the heuristics for the new location. Depending on the specificity of the input, this could be diminished with additional information (i.e. not searching locations that are known to be even further away).

**v)**
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