

Searching for the Missing Baryon Fraction with SPTpol and the Dark Energy Survey

Mitchell de Zylva

Supervised by
Dr Chrisitan Reichardt

School of Physics
Faculty of Science
University of Melbourne



August 16, 2019

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of
Master of Science (Physics)

Statement of contribution:

This is to certify that:

- This thesis entitled “Studying weakly lensed galaxies with velocity maps” comprises only my original work except where indicated otherwise.
- Due acknowledgement has been made in the text to all other material used.
- The thesis is no longer than 50 pages in length, inclusive of tables, figures, bibliographies and appendices.

.....

Mitchell de Zylva

Acknowledgements:

You put all the people you want to thanks here :)

You need a statement of contribution, which you will sign before you submit.

Abstract

Abstract goes here..

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	The Cosmic Microwave Background	5
1.2	Cosmological Parameters	5
2	The Missing Baryon Problem	7
2.1	Stellar Baryons	7
2.2	Cold Interstellar Medium	8
2.3	Lyman α	8
2.4	OVI and BLA Absorbers	8
2.5	Hot Gas in Clusters	8
3	The Warm-Hot Interstellar Medium	9
4	The Sunayev-Zeldovich Effect	10
4.1	Atomic Physics	10
4.2	CMB Signal	10
5	Stacking Methodology	11
6	Results	12
7	Conclusion	13

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 The Cosmic Microwave Background

The basis for modern cosmology relies on several fundamental assumptions stemming from observation, the chief of which is the Big Bang Model. Following Hubble’s discovery of a relation between distances to galaxies and their recessional velocities, the *Copernican Principle* leads to the conclusion that in the past, objects in the universe were much closer together. His observations gave rise to the Lemaitre’s Hubble Law,

$$v \propto d \tag{1.1}$$

This suggests that at some point in the past, the universe was much smaller than it is at present, the conservation of energy then implies that at some point in the past, the universe must have been an incredibly hot, dense environment. Using general relativity, the extrapolation backwards in time yields a singularity of infinite density and temperature, which is commonly called the *Big Bang*.

Another assumption stemming from observation is that of isotropy. Based on observation, there appears to be no favoured direction in the universe, since distributions of distant galaxies and other extragalactic sources seem to be evenly distributed across the sky. Perhaps the most spectacular example of this isotropy is the presence of the *Cosmic Microwave Background*.

Discovered in 1964 (?), it was noticed that there was isotropic black-body radiation at $T \approx 2.7$ K. Since the peak of this radiation is in the microwave section of the electromagnetic spectrum, it was termed the *Cosmic Microwave Background*.

The Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) provides the most accurate and detailed measures of the primary cosmological parameters to date.

1.2 Cosmological Parameters

For a Λ CDM universe, there are six independent parameters which describe the evolution and behaviour of the universe, the physical baryon density $\Omega_b h^2$, the physical dark matter density $\Omega_c h^2$, the age of the universe t_0 (or its reciprocal, the Hubble constant H_0), the scalar spectral index n_s , the curvature fluctuation amplitude Δ_R^2 , and the reionisation optical depth τ .

Currently, the highest precision measures of these features from the CMB come from Planck Collaboration et al. (2018), which details that baryonic matter only comprises $\approx 5\%$ of the universe’s energy density. In principle, this component of the universe should be directly measurable. At just three minutes after the Big Bang, deuterium can be used as a tracer for this abundance (Steigman, 2007), and at redshift $z \geq 2$, the baryon fraction can be found in the absorption lines of quasars




Figure 1.1: *Planck* Satellite Full Sky CMB Map

passing through the diffuse, photo-ionised intergalactic medium, known as the Lyman- α forest (Weinberg et al., 1997). However as the universe evolved, this gas became sparser as it became more ionised. This makes searching for the entirety of the baryon fraction at low redshift difficult. When this fraction is calculated directly from observations, it shows only one tenth of the baryonic content shown in high redshift measurements is contained in galactic structures (Persic & Salucci, 1992). Some revised estimates considered that the limitations of observations were primarily to blame for this discrepancy, and not inherent new physics (Bristow & Phillipps, 1994; Fukugita et al., 1998)

The baryon content has been confirmed to a very high accuracy with recent CMB experiments, first with the *Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe* (WMAP) (Spergel et al., 2007), and then with the *Planck* Satellite (Planck Collaboration et al., 2018). When we quote quantities, we take values from the latest *Planck* paper

Parameter	Value	Error
$\Omega_c h^2$	0.120	± 0.001
$\Omega_b h^2$	0.0224	± 0.0001
n_s	0.965	± 0.004
τ	0.054	± 0.007
$100\Theta_*$	1.0411	± 0.0003
H_0 (km s $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-1}$)	67.4	± 0.5

Chapter 2

The Missing Baryon Problem

The Missing Baryon problem is one that arises when we try and make an account of the baryons at low redshift. At high redshift ($z > 2$), the Lyman- α forest provides a good measure of the proportion of baryons, since at these redshifts the majority of the baryons in the universe are contained in diffuse gas. These analyses give a reported value

$$\Omega_{baryon} \geq 0.035$$

Observed light-element ratios and standard nucleosynthesis allows for direct computation of the expected baryon densities, which is in agreement with the above figure *** (Burles and Tytler 1998)***

$$\Omega_{baryon} = (0.019 \pm 0.001)h^{-2} = 0.039 \pm 0.002$$

The agreement between these two measures of baryon density, and the measurement obtained from the CMB lends confidence to the value obtained.

However, at low redshifts, all analysis indicates that the summing over all observed contributions gives a value of

$$\Omega_{\star} + \Omega_{HI} + \Omega_{H_2} + \Omega_{X-Ray,cl} \approx 0.0068 \leq 0.011$$

This severe discrepancy between measurements at high and low redshifts suggests that either the majority of the baryons at low redshifts are yet to be detected, or there are fundamental errors in numerous independant measures of the baryon density at high redshift.

2.1 Stellar Baryons

The most obvious location to search for baryons are in the stellar populations of galaxies. At a broad level, we can imagine that there are two distinct stellar populations which can be considered to be found in high density galaxies; a class of old stars which exists in the spheroidal region of a galaxy, and a class of young stars in the disk region, as well as a third population existing in irregular galaxies.

Estimating the proportion of stellar baryons therefore becomes an exercise in galactic morphology and luminosity density function computation. *** Do I need to go through the explicit calculation of star densities here?*** Perfroming this calculation gives mean mass density numbers

for three classes of stars

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\text{Spheroid Stars}} &= (0.00180^{+0.00121}_{-0.00085})h^{-1} \\ \Omega_{\text{Disk Stars}} &= (0.00060^{+0.00030}_{-0.00024})h^{-1} \\ \Omega_{\text{Stars in Irregular Galaxies}} &= (0.000048^{+0.000033}_{-0.000026})h^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

These numbers depend on the mass-to-light ratio for age estimation, and so in turn are dependant on the cosmological parameters in a complex way. Even if efforts were made to remove this dependancy by changing the methodology used to calculate the mass-to-light function, the necessity for the new methodology to hold consistent with other measurements would force the dependancy regardless. If dynamics were used to estimate M/L, the estimates of Ω would not depend on h , but in that case $h_{B0.7}$ would be needed for dynamics to agree with the synthesis calculations. Either way, consistency holds for $h_{B0.7}$ in a low-density universe.

2.2 Cold Interstellar Medium

2.3 Lyman α

2.4 OVI and BLA Absorbers

2.5 Hot Gas in Clusters

Chapter 3

The Warm-Hot Interstellar Medium

High resolution hydrodynamical simulations allow us to predict the overall structure of the cold dark matter in the universe (Cen & Ostriker, 1999). These can in turn be used to estimate the baryon distribution at low and moderate redshifts.

It is clear that by the current era, hierarchical structure formation collects baryons in gravitational potential wells formed by the dark matter, which moves a significant portion of the baryon component that was previously located in the intergalactic medium at higher redshifts, into structure, such as stars, galaxies, groups, and clusters.

These simulations indicate that the baryons at low redshift fall into four general phases, defined by the overdensity $\delta \equiv \rho/\bar{\rho} - 1$ (where $\bar{\rho}$ is the mean density of baryons).

- Diffuse Gas: $\delta < 1000$, $T < 10^5 K$, Photoionised gas which is visible in Lyman- α absorption spectra
- Condensed: $\delta > 1000$, $T < 10^5 K$, Stars and cool galactic gas
- Hot: $T > 10^7 K$, Galaxy Clusters and Groups
- Warm-Hot: $10^5 K < T < 10^7 K$, Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium (WHIM)

Simulations (Cen & Ostriker, 1999; Davé et al., 2001) indicate that at redshift $z = 0$ approximately 30 – 40% of baryonic mass is contained within the last category, in the WHIM. WHIM gas seems to primarily trace filamentary large scale structures, and clusters around sites of galaxy formation. Because the gas is not bound or virialised, it is apparent that the mechanism which heats it to such high temperatures is shock-heating, caused by gas accreting onto large scale structure. This is consistent with measurements from the soft X-ray background.

Because the temperature and density of the WHIM are correlated, and the WHIM is in turn correlated with the large scale structure, we can use the presence of other tracers of large structure, temperature, and density to search for the baryons contained in the WHIM.

Chapter 4

The Sunayev-Zeldovich Effect

4.1 Atomic Physics

4.2 CMB Signal

Chapter 5

Stacking Methodology

Given the signal-to-noise ratio expected for the thermal Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect of a single filament, many such filaments must be co-added, so as to drive the signal-to-noise to a detectable level. Initially outlined in Clampitt et al. (2016),

Chapter 6

Results

This is another example chapter. I imagine this would be one of the last chapters...

This line is indented

This line isn't

Nor is this one cos it's right below another line.

Here's a reference: ?

Here's a reference in brackets: (?)

Chapter 7

Conclusion

All your Concluding.

Bibliography

- Bristow, P. D. & Phillipps, S., 1994, On the Baryon Content of the Universe, *MNRAS* 267, 13
- Cen, R. & Ostriker, J. P., 1999, Where Are the Baryons?, *ApJ* 514, 1, 1
- Clampitt, J.; Miyatake, H.; Jain, B. & Takada, M., 2016, Detection of stacked filament lensing between SDSS luminous red galaxies, *MNRAS* 457, 3, 2391
- Davé, R.; Cen, R.; Ostriker, J. P.; Bryan, G. L.; Hernquist, L.; Katz, N.; Weinberg, D. H.; Norman, M. L. & O'Shea, B., 2001, Baryons in the Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium, *ApJ* 552, 2, 473
- Fukugita, M.; Hogan, C. J. & Peebles, P. J. E., 1998, The Cosmic Baryon Budget, *ApJ* 503, 2, 518
- Persic, M. & Salucci, P., 1992, The baryon content of the universe, *MNRAS* 258, 1, 14P
- Planck Collaboration; Aghanim, N.; Akrami, Y.; Ashdown, M.; Aumont, J.; Baccigalupi, C.; Ballardini, M.; Banday, A. J.; Barreiro, R. B. & Bartolo, N., 2018, Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:1807.06209
- Spergel, D. N.; Bean, R.; Doré, O.; Nolta, M. R.; Bennett, C. L.; Dunkley, J.; Hinshaw, G.; Jarosik, N.; Komatsu, E.; Page, L. et al., 2007, Three-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Implications for Cosmology, *ApJS* 170, 2, 377
- Steigman, G., 2007, Primordial Nucleosynthesis in the Precision Cosmology Era, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* 57, 1, 463
- Weinberg, D. H.; Miralda-Escudé, J.; Hernquist, L. & Katz, N., 1997, A Lower Bound on the Cosmic Baryon Density, *ApJ* 490, 2, 564