

Design Studio:

Co-group:

Group Member present: Sajit, (Name might be wrong, I forgot to write it down), only one member present.

Group topic: Flow of Remittances over time (and between countries) and the relationship between pay levels across countries given different levels of education. (Kennedy School Students)

Comments:

1. Most comments were about trying to understand the data I was presenting
 - a. What was the data communicating, how was it produced and what does it mean sort of questions
2. General Praise for the different map layouts
 - a. Reviewer thought that the map layouts looked really good, thought that maybe mixing up the overall layout structure might be wise to make it more visually dynamic.
3. Might be taking on too much
 - a. Reviewer thought I might have too many visualizations
4. Need for Data Legends and explanations:
 - a. I have not yet incorporated legends explaining what some of the symbols mean in the maps. Suggested I get those in there soon, so I can play around with different formats, layouts etc.

Response:

In general, the comments were helpful, I agreed with most of what the reviewer said. I think the reviewer was confused about what the data means, so I need to work on making that much clearer. My intended audience is a policy maker or other transport professional. Both of these audiences would have experience in this type of analysis-BUT I recognize that I need to make the meaning and interpretation of the data clearer.

Feedback Quality:

Feedback was helpful but I did not receive many substantive design suggestions. I believe the feedback was fair.