## Finite Element Method Notes

Michael Harmon

January 13, 2018

## Contents

1	Finite Element Methods	2
2	Time Dependent Error Estimates	6
3	Mixed Finite Element Methods	9
4	Local Discontinuous Galerkin Methods	11

## Finite Element Methods

The finite element method is a method for approximating solutions to boundary value problems that has gained much popularity in the realm of numerical methods for partial differential equations. While finite element methods can be applied to a range of boundary value problems we focus our attention on Poisson's equation:

$$-\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla \Phi) = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{1.0.1}$$

Where  $0 < \epsilon_* \le \epsilon(x) \le \epsilon^*$  is bounded positive-definite function. And the boundary conditions are:

$$\Phi = \Phi_D \qquad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega_D \tag{1.0.2}$$

$$-\nabla \Phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = \Phi_N \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega_N \tag{1.0.3}$$

$$\partial\Omega = \partial\Omega_D \cup \partial\Omega_N \qquad \partial\Omega_D \cap \partial\Omega_N = \emptyset \tag{1.0.4}$$

Where  $\partial\Omega_D$  correspond to Dirichlet portions boundary and  $\partial\Omega_N$  corresponds to Neumann portions of the boundary. We require that  $\Phi_D \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_D)$ ,  $\Phi_N \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_N)$ , and  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ .

Finite element methods seek weak solutions of differential equation  $\Phi \in H^1(\Omega)$  by multiplying our equation by a test function  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$  and integrating over entire the domain

$$\int_{\Omega} -v \, \nabla \cdot (\epsilon \, \nabla \, \Phi) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} v \, f \, dx$$

Using integration by parts on the left hand side this becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot (\epsilon \nabla \Phi) \ dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_{D}} v \ (\epsilon \nabla \Phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \ dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_{N}} v \ (\epsilon \nabla \Phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \ dx = \int_{\Omega} v f \, dx$$
 (1.0.5)

Using the condition of (1.0.3) we have the Neumann boundary condition to be  $\Phi_N$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot (\epsilon \nabla \Phi) \ dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_{D}} v \ (\epsilon \nabla \Phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \ dx = \int_{\Omega} v f \ dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_{N}} v \Phi_{N} \ dx$$

Our problem (1.0.1), (1.0.2) and (1.0.3) then becomes,

Find  $\Phi - \Phi_D \in V = \{\phi \in H^1(\Omega) : \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_D\}$ . Such that for all  $v \in V$  we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) \left( \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla v \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} f v dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_N} v \Phi_N dx$$
 (1.0.6)

Note, that we have turned our differential equation in (1.0.1) into a variational problem in (1.0.6). We note our solution  $\Phi$  and our test function v both come from the same function space  $H^1(\Omega)$ , when this occurs our formulation is known as a *Galerkin formulation*.

In the above we have shown that *strong solutions*, that is solutions to, (1.0.1), (1.0.2), and (1.0.3) satisfy the variational formulation (1.0.6). Going the other direction, one can show that with appropriate regularity conditions on  $\Phi_D$ ,  $\Phi_N$  and f, solutions to (1.0.6) satisfy (1.0.1), (1.0.2), and (1.0.3). This is important, because in it will show that our finite element methods (which are based of the variational form of Poisson's equation) are *consistent*. Consistency of a numerical method is a necessity to show that the method converges, i.e. our numerical approximations to the solution converge to the true solution.

Calling our function space  $V = H^1(\Omega)$ , we can rewrite the above using a bilinear the functional  $B: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  and our problem becomes

Find  $\Phi - \Phi_D \in V$  such that,

$$B(v, \Phi) = F(v) \qquad \forall v \in V \tag{1.0.7}$$

Where

$$B(v, \Phi) = \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) (\nabla v, \nabla \Phi) dx$$

And

$$F(v) = \int_{\Omega} v f dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_N} v \Phi_N dx$$

In order to prove existence and uniqueness of a solution  $\Phi \in V$  as well those to  $\Phi \in V + \Phi_D$  we must show that our Galerkin formulation satisfies the requirements of the Lax-Milgram theorem. First we show  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous (bounded) by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$|B(v,\Phi)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) \nabla v \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq \|\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}} \|\nabla v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L_{2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \epsilon^{*} \|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \|\Phi\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

And that B is *coercive* by Poincare's inequality:

$$|B(\Phi, \Phi)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) (\nabla \Phi)^{2}, dx \right|$$

$$\geq \inf_{\Omega} |\epsilon| \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

$$\geq \epsilon_{*} C_{p} \|\Phi\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

Where  $C_p$  is the Poincare constant and depends on  $\Omega$ . Additionally, we must show that our right hand side functional, F(v), is a continuous function of  $v \in V$ ,

$$|F(v)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} v f \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_N} v \, \Phi_N \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq ||v||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + ||v||_{L^2(\partial \Omega_N)}^2 ||\Phi_N||_{L^2(\partial \Omega_N)}^2$$

$$\leq ||v||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + ||v||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 ||\Phi_N||_{L^2(\partial \Omega_N)}^2$$

Where we have used the Trace Theorem on the last line. Using  $||v||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq ||v||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$  we then have,

$$|F(v)| \le \left( \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Phi_N\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_N)}^2 \right) \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$$
  
$$\le C_F \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$$

Now that we have shown solutions to (1.0.6) exist and are unique we can discuss how to approximate those solutions with Finite Element methods. In order to approximate solutions of  $\Phi$  in we must project our infinite dimensional test space  $V = H^1(\Omega)$  on to a finite dimensional one  $V_h = \text{span}\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_N\}$ , where N is the dimension of our vector space, our problem (1.0.6) then transforms into the finite dimensional problem:

Find  $\Phi_h - \Phi_D \in V_h$  such that  $\forall v_h \in V_h$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) \left( \nabla v_h \cdot \nabla \Phi_h \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} v_h f dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_N} v_h \Phi_N dx$$
 (1.0.8)

We can rewrite the above using a bilinear the functional  $B: V_h \times V_h \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

Find  $\Phi_h - \Phi_D \in V_h$  such that  $\forall v_h \in V_h$ :

$$B\left(v_h, \Phi_h\right) = F(v_h) \tag{1.0.9}$$

Where

$$B(v_h, \Phi_h) = \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) (\nabla v_h, \nabla \Phi_h) dx$$

And

$$F(v_h) = \int_{\Omega} v_h f \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega_N} v_h \, \Phi_N \, dx$$

By writing defining  $\Phi_D = \sum \Phi_j \, \psi_j$  and  $v = \psi_i$  we arrive at linear system of equations:

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}$$

Where,

$$A_{i,j} = \int_{\Omega} \epsilon(x) \left( \nabla \psi_i \cdot \nabla \psi_j \right) dx$$
$$\mathbf{F}_i = \int_{\Omega} \psi_i f dx$$
$$\mathbf{x}_i = \Phi_i$$

We remark that the coercivity and continuity of B and continuity of F on  $v \in V$  also hold on  $v_h \in V_h \subset V$  ensuring existing and uniqueness of solutions to the finite dimensional problem. The coercivity and symmetry of B ensure that our matrix A is symmetric positive definite.

In numerical methods setting, the coercivity of B is also known as stability. Stability of a numerical method is important because the Lax-Equivalence Theorem states,

If your numerical method is consistent and stable then it is convergent.

We have shown that our variational formulation (1.0.6) is consistent and therefore our numerical method is consistent. This means the true solution,  $\Phi$  satisfies the numerical method,

$$B(v_h, \Phi) = F(v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h \tag{1.0.10}$$

The coerecivity of B shows that our method is stable and therefore says that our numerical method is convergent.

We can prove convergence of our numerical method formally by deriving error estimates for our approximation  $\Phi_h$  of  $\Phi$  by introducing a projection operator  $P: V \to V_h$  that satisfies,

$$\|\Phi - P\Phi\|_{L^2}(\Omega) \le Ch^{k+1}$$
 and  $\|\Phi - P\Phi\|_{H^1}(\Omega) \le Ch^k$  (1.0.11)

Then subtracting (1.0.9) from (1.0.10) we have,

$$B(v_h, \Phi - \Phi_h) = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h \tag{1.0.12}$$

Then adding and subtracting  $P\Phi$  we have,

$$B(v_h, \Phi_h - P\Phi) = B(v_h, \Phi - P\Phi) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$
(1.0.13)

Choosing  $v_h = \Phi_h - P\Phi \in V_h$  we have,

$$B(\Phi_h - P\Phi, \Phi_h - P\Phi) = B(\Phi_h - P\Phi, \Phi - P\Phi)$$
(1.0.14)

Using the coercivity and continuity of B results in,

$$\epsilon_* C_P \|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_V^2 \le \epsilon^* \|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_V \|\Phi - P\Phi\|_V$$
 (1.0.15)

Dividing both sides by  $\epsilon_* C_P \|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_V$  results in,

$$\|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_V \le \frac{\epsilon^*}{\epsilon_* C_P} \|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_V \|\Phi - P\Phi\|_V$$
 (1.0.16)

$$\|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_V \le \frac{\epsilon^*}{\epsilon_* C_P} h^k \tag{1.0.17}$$

Alternatively, we note that  $\|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq \|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_{L^2}$  and that Cauchy Schwartz,

$$B(\Phi_h - P\Phi, \Phi - P\Phi) \le \epsilon^* \|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_{L^2} \|\Phi - P\Phi\|_{L^2}$$

Which gives us,

$$\|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \frac{\epsilon^*}{\epsilon_* C_P} \|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\Phi - P\Phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
(1.0.18)

$$\|\Phi_h - P\Phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \frac{\epsilon^*}{\epsilon_* C_P} h^{k+1} \tag{1.0.19}$$

As  $h \to 0$  we can see that our approximation  $\Phi_h$  converges to  $\Phi$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

## Time Dependent Error Estimates

$$\partial_t u - \nabla \cdot (D(\mathbf{x})\nabla u) = f(\mathbf{x}, t)$$
  $\Omega \times (0, T]$  (2.0.1)

$$(-D\nabla u) \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}_N = g(u) \qquad \partial \Omega_N \times (0, T] \qquad (2.0.2)$$

$$u = u_D(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \partial \Omega_D \times (0, T] \qquad (2.0.3)$$

$$u = u_I(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \qquad \Omega \times \{t = 0\} \tag{2.0.4}$$

Where  $0 < D_* \le D(\mathbf{x}) \le D^*$ . The boundary condition  $g: H^1(\Omega) \to L^2(\partial \Omega_N)$  is Lipschitz function such that,

$$||g(u) - g(v)||_{L_2(\partial\Omega_N)} \le L||u - v||_{H^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall u, v \in H^1(\Omega). \quad L > 0.$$
 (2.0.5)

Let  $\mathcal{T}_h = \{\Omega_e\}_e$  be the triangulation of  $\Omega$ . The boundary  $\partial \Omega = \partial \Omega_D \cup \partial \Omega_N$  is smooth enough such that the trace identity,

$$||v||_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \le C_{tr}(\Omega)||v||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2, \qquad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)$$
 (2.0.6)

holds. The semidiscrete finite element method is,

Find  $u_h(t) \in H_D^1(\Omega) + u_D$  such that,

$$(v, \partial_t u_h)_{\Omega} + B(v, u_h) = (v, f)_{\Omega} - \langle v, g(u_h) \rangle_{\partial \Omega_N} \qquad \forall v \in V_h \quad a.e. \ t \in (0, T], \tag{2.0.7}$$

$$(v, u_h(t=0)_{\Omega} = (v, u_I)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v \in V_h. \tag{2.0.8}$$

Where  $V_h$  is the finite dimensional subspace of the Hilbert space  $H_D^1(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v \mid_{\partial\Omega_D} = 0\}$ . Let be  $V_H$  be the such that  $v \in V_h$  then  $v \mid_{\Omega_e} \in Q_k(\Omega_e)$  where  $Q_k$  is the tensor product of polynomials of order k. The bilinear  $B: H^1 \times H^1 \to \mathbb{R}$  in (2.0.7) is coercive, meaning there exists an  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that,

$$B(v,v) \ge \alpha^2 \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \qquad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega)$$
 (2.0.9)

**Error Estimate:** If  $\alpha^2 \geq 2\sqrt{L}C_{tr}$  then the finite element method defined in (2.0.7) and (2.0.8) has errors such that,

$$||u - u_h||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^2(\Omega))}^2 \le Ch^k,$$
 (2.0.10)

for some constant  $C = C(T, \alpha^2, \Omega, L) > 0$ .

Proof:

Let  $P: H_D^1 \to V_h$  be the projection that satisfies the elliptic projection property,

$$B(v, u - Pu) = 0 \qquad \forall v \in V_h \tag{2.0.11}$$

And has the approximation properties,

$$||u - Pu||_{L^2}(\Omega) \le Ch^{k+1}$$
 and  $||u - Pu||_{H^1}(\Omega) \le Ch^k$  (2.0.12)

The function  $u(t) \in H^1 + u_D$  also satisfies the finite element method,

$$(v, \partial_t u)_{\Omega} + B(v, u) = (v, f)_{\Omega} - \langle v, g(u) \rangle_{\partial \Omega_N} \qquad \forall v \in V_h \quad a.e. \ t \in (0, T]$$
 (2.0.13)

$$(v, u(t=0)_{\Omega} = (v, u_I)_{\Omega} \qquad \forall v \in V_h$$
(2.0.14)

Subtracting the (2.0.7) from (2.0.13) we have,

$$(v, \partial_t(u - u_h)) + B(v, u - u_h) \le |\langle v, g(u) - g(u_h) \rangle_{\partial \Omega_N}| \qquad a.e. t \qquad (2.0.15)$$

Define  $e_I(t) = u(t) - Pu(t)$  and  $e_A(t) = Pu(t) - u_h(t)$  and using the elliptic projection property then the above becomes,

$$(v, \partial_t e_A) + B(v, e_A) \le |(v, \partial_t e_I)| + |\langle v, g(u) - g(u_h) \rangle_{\partial \Omega_N}| \qquad a.e. t \qquad (2.0.16)$$

Taking  $v = e_A(t)$  and using the coercivity property of  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\alpha^2 \|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \le 2 |(e_A, \partial_t e_I)| + 2 |\langle e_A, g(u) - g(u_h) \rangle_{\partial \Omega_N}| \qquad a.e. t \qquad (2.0.17)$$

We now use Young's inequality to obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\alpha^2 \|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \le \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\|\partial_t e_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta} \|e_A\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_N)}^2 + \delta \|g(u) - g(u_h)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_N)}^2$$
(2.0.18)

Using the Lipschitz property of  $g(\cdot)$  we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\alpha^2\|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \le \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\|\partial_t e_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{\delta}\|e_A\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega_N)}^2 + \delta L\|u - u_h\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$$
 (2.0.19)

Using the Trace identity (2.0.6) on the second to last term and the triangle inequality on the last term,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\alpha^2 \|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \le \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\|\partial_t e_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left(\frac{C_{tr}^2}{\delta} + \delta L\right) \|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \delta L \|e_I\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \tag{2.0.20}$$

Rearranging terms we have,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left(2\alpha^2 - \frac{C_{tr}^2}{\delta} - \delta L\right)\|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \le \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\|\partial_t e_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \delta L\|e_I\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$$
(2.0.21)

Taking  $2\alpha^2 - \frac{C_{tr}^2}{\delta} - \delta = \alpha^2$  we obtain the quadratic form,

$$L\delta^2 - \alpha^2 \delta + C_{tr}^2 = 0, (2.0.22)$$

which has a real positive solution if  $\alpha^2 \geq 2\sqrt{L}C_{tr}$ . With these choices then (2.0.21) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \alpha^2\|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \le \|e_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4\|\partial_t e_I\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \delta\left(\alpha^2, C_{tr}\right)L\|e_I\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$$
(2.0.23)

Integrating over (0, t) with  $t \leq T$  yields,

$$||e_{A}(t)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{t} ||e_{A}||_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} ds \leq \int_{0}^{t} ||e_{A}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} ds$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \left( ||e_{A}(0)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + 4||\partial_{t}e_{I}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \delta\left(\alpha^{2}, C_{tr}\right) L||e_{I}||_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \right) ds$$

$$(2.0.25)$$

Using a Gromwall's inequality, the approximation properties (2.0.12) and the fact the  $||e_A(0)||^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$  we have,

$$\|e_A(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \alpha^2 \int_0^t \|e_A\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 ds \le C(t, \alpha^2, \Omega, L) h^{2k}$$
 (2.0.26)

where the dependency on constants dependency on  $\Omega$  comes from the Trace theorem constant  $C_{tr}(\Omega)$ . Then taking the maximum over all  $t \in (0,T)$  we have,

$$||e_A||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} \le C(T,\alpha^2,\Omega,L)h^k$$
 (2.0.27)

Using the triangle inequality  $||u-u_h||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} \leq ||e_A||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^2(\Omega))} + ||e_I||_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^2(\Omega))}$  gives the desired results.

## Mixed Finite Element Methods

The problem with the general finite element approximation of  $\Phi$  is that  $\nabla \Phi$  must come from post processing and we cannot control the accuracy or continuity of  $\nabla \Phi$ . This is necessary not only for use in solving the drift diffusion equation, but also when we are working with a semiconductor-electrolyte system as will be explained in the next section.

One way to overcome these limitations is to use a mixed finite element approach to solve Poisson's equation. In the mixed formulation we are solving the problem as in (1.0.1), but we define define the a new variable related to the electric field as:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\epsilon \, \nabla \, \Phi$$

Then (1.0.1) becomes the system:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = f \tag{3.0.1}$$

$$\epsilon^{-1} \mathbf{E} + \nabla \Phi = 0 \tag{3.0.2}$$

With the boundary conditions:

$$\Phi = \Phi_D \qquad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega_D \tag{3.0.3}$$

$$\mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega_N \tag{3.0.4}$$

$$\partial\Omega = \partial\Omega_D \cup \partial\Omega_N \qquad \partial\Omega_D \cap \partial\Omega_N = \emptyset \tag{3.0.5}$$

Now, mixed finite element methods seek weak solutions of both  $\Phi$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  by multiplying our (3.0.1) by a test function  $v \in L_2(\Omega)$  (3.0.2) by a test function  $\mathbf{p} \in H(\text{div}, \Omega)$  then integrating by parts over entire the domain for just (3.0.2):

$$\int_{\Omega} v \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} v f dx \tag{3.0.6}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \mathbf{E} \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega_N} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \, \Phi \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega_D} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \, \Phi \, dx - \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}) \, \Phi \, dx = 0$$
 (3.0.7)

If we enforce the boundary conditions and the restrict  $\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} = 0$ , on  $\partial \Omega_N$ , so that  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  are in the space function space, then we have the weak formulation:

Find 
$$(\Phi, \mathbf{E}) \in L_2(\Omega) \times V$$
 such that for all  $(v, \mathbf{p}) \in L_2(\Omega) \times V$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} v \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} v f dx \tag{3.0.8}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{p} \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \mathbf{E} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}) \, \Phi \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega_N} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}) \, \Phi_D \, dx \tag{3.0.9}$$

Where  $V = \{ \phi \in H(\text{div}; \Omega) : \phi \cdot \eta = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega_N \}$ 

Now we wish to project our functions onto a finite dimensional vector space. However, we allow  $\Phi$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  to be in different vector spaces. Therefore we write:

$$\Phi = \sum_J \Phi_J \, \psi_J, \qquad \mathbf{E} = \sum_J E_J \mathbf{\Upsilon}_J$$

Where  $\psi \in L_2(\Omega)$  and we enforce that  $\Upsilon \in V$  be continuous across element nodes. We also take  $\mathbf{p} = \Upsilon_I$  and  $v = \psi_I$  this changes the weak formulation into:

$$\sum_{I} E_{I} \underbrace{\left( \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{I}) \, \psi_{J} \, dx \right)}_{A_{I\Omega}} = \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} f \, \psi_{J} \, dx}_{F}$$

And

$$\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{I} E_{i} \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{I} \cdot \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{J} dx}_{A_{00}} + \sum_{I} \Phi_{I} \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \psi_{I} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{J})}_{A_{01}} = \underbrace{\int_{\partial \Omega} \Phi_{D} \mathbf{\Upsilon}_{I} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} ds}_{V} = 0$$

Then we write the two linear systems as one larger linear system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{10} & 0 \\ \epsilon^{-1} A_{00} & -A_{01} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{DOF} \\ E_{DOF} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ F \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} V \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3.0.10)

However, this time we cannot use the Lax-Milgram theorem to prove existence and uniqueness of the solutions.

# Local Discontinuous Galerkin Methods

We discuss the local discontinuous Galerkin (LDG) method to approximate solutions of the Poisson equation:

$$-\nabla \cdot (\nabla u) = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
  

$$-\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = g_N(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_N$$
  

$$u = g_D(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_D.$$
(4.0.1)

We first introduce some notation. Let  $\mathcal{T}_h = \mathcal{T}_h(\Omega) = \{\Omega_e\}_{e=1}^N$  be the general triangulation of a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , d=1,2,3, into N non-overlapping elements  $\Omega_e$  of diameter  $h_e$ . The maximum size of the diameters of all the elements is  $h=\max(h_e)$ . We define  $\mathcal{E}_h$  to be the set of all element faces and  $\mathcal{E}_h^i$  to be the set of all interior faces of elements which do not intersect the total boundary  $(\partial\Omega)$ . We define  $\mathcal{E}_D$  and  $\mathcal{E}_N$  to be the sets of all element faces and on the Dirichlet and Neumann boundaries respectively. Let  $\partial\Omega_e \in \mathcal{E}_h^i$  be a interior boundary face element, we define the unit normal vector to be,

$$\mathbf{n} = \text{unit normal vector to } \partial \Omega_e \text{ pointing from } \Omega_e^- \to \Omega_e^+.$$
 (4.0.2)

We take the following definition on limits of functions on element faces,

$$w^{-}(\mathbf{x})|_{\partial\Omega_{e}} = \lim_{s \to 0^{-}} w(\mathbf{x} + s\mathbf{n}), \qquad w^{+}(\mathbf{x})|_{\partial\Omega_{e}} = \lim_{s \to 0^{+}} w(\mathbf{x} + s\mathbf{n}). \tag{4.0.3}$$

We define the average and jump of a function across an element face as,

$$\{f\} = \frac{1}{2}(f^- + f^+), \quad \text{and} \quad [\![f]\!] = f^+ \mathbf{n}^+ + f^- \mathbf{n}^-,$$
 (4.0.4)

and,

$$\{\mathbf{f}\} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f}^- + \mathbf{f}^+), \quad \text{and} \quad [\![\mathbf{f}]\!] = \mathbf{f}^+ \cdot \mathbf{n}^+ + \mathbf{f}^- \cdot \mathbf{n}^-,$$
 (4.0.5)

where f is a scalar function and  $\mathbf{f}$  is vector-valued function. We note that for a faces that are on the boundary of the domain we have,

$$\llbracket f \rrbracket = f \mathbf{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \llbracket \mathbf{f} \rrbracket = \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{n}.$$
 (4.0.6)

We denote the volume integrals and surface integrals using the  $L^2(\Omega)$  inner products by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\Omega}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\partial\Omega}$  respectively.

As with the mixed finite element method, the LDG discretization requires the Poisson equations be written as a first-order system. We do this by introducing an auxiliary variable which we call the current

flux variable q:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_n = f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{4.0.7}$$

$$\mathbf{q}_n = -\nabla u \qquad \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{4.0.8}$$

$$\mathbf{q}_n \cdot \mathbf{n} = g_N(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega_N, \tag{4.0.9}$$

$$u = g_D(\mathbf{x})$$
 on  $\partial \Omega_D$ . (4.0.10)

In our numerical methods we will use approximations to scalar valued functions that reside in the finitedimensional broken Sobolev spaces,

$$W_{h,k} = \left\{ w \in L^2(\Omega) : w|_{\Omega_e} \in \mathcal{Q}_{k,k}(\Omega_e), \quad \forall \Omega_e \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}, \tag{4.0.11}$$

where  $Q_{k,k}(\Omega_e)$  denotes the tensor product of discontinuous polynomials of order k on the element  $\Omega_e$ . We use approximations of vector valued functions that are as,

$$\mathbf{W}_{h,k} = \left\{ \mathbf{w} \in \left( L^2(\Omega) \right)^d : \mathbf{w}|_{\Omega_e} \in \left( \mathcal{Q}_{k,k}(\Omega_e) \right)^d, \quad \forall \Omega_e \in \mathcal{T}_h \right\}$$
(4.0.12)

We seek approximations for densities  $u_h \in W_{h,k}$  and gradients  $\mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{W}_{h,k}$ . Multiplying (4.0.7) by  $w \in W_{h,k}$  and (4.0.8) by  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{W}_{h,k}$  and integrating the divergence terms by parts over an element  $\Omega_e \in \mathcal{T}_h$  we obtain,

$$\begin{split} &-(\nabla w\,,\,\mathbf{q}_h)_{\Omega_e} + \langle w\,,\,\mathbf{q}_h\rangle_{\partial\Omega_e} \;=\; (w,\,f)_{\Omega_e}\,,\\ &(\mathbf{w}\,,\,\mathbf{q}_h)_{\Omega_e} - (\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}\,,\,u_h)_{\Omega_e} + \langle \mathbf{w}\,,\,u_h\rangle_{\partial\Omega_e} \;=\; 0, \end{split}$$

Summing over all the elements leads to the **weak formulation**:

Find  $u_h \in W_{h,k}$  and  $\mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{W}_{h,k}$  such that,

$$-\sum_{e} (\nabla w, \mathbf{q}_{h})_{\Omega_{e}} + \langle \llbracket w \rrbracket, \widehat{\mathbf{q}_{h}} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{h}^{i}} + \langle \llbracket w \rrbracket, \widehat{\mathbf{q}_{h}} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{D} \cup \mathcal{E}_{N}} = (w, f)_{\Omega}$$

$$(4.0.13)$$

$$\sum_{i} (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q}_{h})_{\Omega_{e}} - \sum_{i} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}, u_{h})_{\Omega_{e}} + \langle [\mathbf{w}], \widehat{u_{h}} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{h}^{i}} + \langle [\mathbf{w}], \widehat{u_{h}} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{D} \cup \mathcal{E}_{N}} = 0$$

$$(4.0.14)$$

for all  $(w, \mathbf{w}) \in W_{h,k} \times \mathbf{W}_{h,k}$ .

The terms  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}_h}$  and  $\widehat{u_h}$  are the numerical fluxes. The numerical fluxes are introduced to ensure consistency, stability, and enforce the boundary conditions weakly. The flux  $\widehat{u_h}$  is,

$$\widehat{u_h} = \begin{cases} \{u_h\} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \llbracket u_h \rrbracket & \text{in } \mathcal{E}_h^i \\ u_h & \text{in } \mathcal{E}_N \\ g_D(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } \mathcal{E}_D \end{cases}$$

$$(4.0.15)$$

The flux  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}_h}$  is,

$$\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_{h} = \begin{cases} \{\mathbf{q}_{h}\} - [\![\mathbf{q}_{h}]\!]\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma[\![u_{h}]\!] & \text{in } \mathcal{E}_{h}^{i} \\ g_{N}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{n} & \text{in } \mathcal{E}_{N} \\ \mathbf{q}_{h} + \sigma(u_{h} - q_{D}(\mathbf{x}))\mathbf{n} & \text{in } \mathcal{E}_{D} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.0.16)$$

The term  $\beta$  is a constant vector which does not lie parallel to any element face in  $\mathcal{E}_h^i$ . For  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_h$  and  $\widehat{u}_h$  are called the central or Brezzi et. al. fluxes. For  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbf{q}}_h$  and  $\widehat{u}_h$  are called the LDG/alternating fluxes. The term  $\sigma$  is the penalty parameter that is defined as,

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \tilde{\sigma} \min \left( h_{e_1}^{-1}, h_{e_2}^{-1} \right) & \mathbf{x} \in \langle \Omega_{e_1}, \Omega_{e_2} \rangle \\ \tilde{\sigma} h_e^{-1} & \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega_e \cap \in \mathcal{E}_D \end{cases}$$
(4.0.17)

with  $\tilde{\sigma}$  being a positive constant.

We can now substitute (4.0.15) and (4.0.16) into (4.0.13) and (4.0.14) to obtain the solution pair  $(u_h, \mathbf{q}_h)$  to the semi-discrete LDG approximation to the drift-diffusion equation given by:

Find  $u_h \in W_{h,k}$  and  $\mathbf{q}_h \in \mathbf{W}_{h,k}$  such that,

$$a(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q}_h) + b^T(\mathbf{w}, u_h) = G(\mathbf{w})$$
  
$$b(w, \mathbf{q}_h) + c(w, u_h) = F(w)$$
(4.0.18)

for all  $(w, \mathbf{w}) \in W_{h,k} \times \mathbf{W}_{h,k}$ . This leads to the linear system,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -B^T \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.0.19}$$

Where **U** and **Q** are the degrees of freedom vectors for  $u_h$  and  $\mathbf{q}_h$  respectively. The terms **G** and **F** are the corresponding vectors to  $G(\mathbf{w})$  and F(w) respectively. The matrix in for the LDG system is non-singular for any  $\sigma > 0$ .

The bilinear forms in (4.0.18) and right hand functions are defined as,

$$b(w, \mathbf{q}_h) = -\sum_{e} (\nabla w, \mathbf{q}_h)_{\Omega_e} + \langle \llbracket w \rrbracket, \{\mathbf{q}_h\} - \llbracket \mathbf{q}_h \rrbracket \boldsymbol{\beta} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_h^i} + \langle w, \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_h \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_D}$$
(4.0.20)

$$a(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q}_h) = \sum_{e} (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q}_h)_{\Omega_e}$$
(4.0.21)

$$-b^{T}(w, \mathbf{q}_{h}) = -\sum_{e} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}, u_{h})_{\Omega_{e}} + \langle \llbracket \mathbf{w} \rrbracket, \{u_{h}\} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \cdot \llbracket u_{h} \rrbracket \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{h}^{i}} + \langle w, u_{h} \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_{N}}$$
(4.0.22)

$$c(w, u_h) = \langle \llbracket w \rrbracket, \sigma \llbracket u_h \rrbracket \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_h^i} + \langle w, \sigma u_h \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_D}$$

$$(4.0.23)$$

$$G(\mathbf{w}) = -\langle \mathbf{w}, g_D \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_D} \tag{4.0.24}$$

$$F(w) = (w, f) - \langle w, g_N \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_N} + \langle w, \sigma g_D \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_D}$$

$$(4.0.25)$$