

## **1. Briefly describe your approach to this problem and the steps you took**

By analysing the data, we get to know Dataset has number of missing values.

**So I followed different steps to deal with missing value:**

### **1. Identify missing data**

- Count missing values in each column

### **2. Deal with missing data**

- Drop Dataframe columns containing either 50% or more than 50% NaN values
- We have 3 features still left with missing data with one approx 50% missing data. So we will drop one feature with approx 50% missing data and fill rest 2 columns data with their most occurred value.
- After these steps, there is no any missing data in our dataframe and features column reduce from 73 to 21

### **3. Correct data format**

- Check data type for each column
- Check unique value for each column
- we figure out that, in dataframe the columns which have 2 unique value are basically "yes" or "No". so to bring it into proper data type we will change "yes" with "1" and "No" with "0"
- now we have stills some columns with multiclass classification. so we used labelencoder to bring it into correct data type.

## **Detecting Outliers**

- Plotting a boxplot of odometer\_reading vs rating\_engineTransmission
- We detect some outliers above 500000 odometer\_reading
- we deleted all odometer\_reading value, which was above 500000

**then after we check:**

### **odometer\_reading as potential predictor variable of rating\_engineTransmission:-**

we found that, As the odometer\_reading goes down, the rating\_engineTransmission goes up: this indicates a negative direct correlation between these two variables.

The correlation between 'odometer\_reading' and 'rating\_engineTransmission' is approximately: - 0.37

### **year as potential predictor variable of rating\_engineTransmission:-**

we found that, As the year goes up, the rating\_engineTransmission goes up: this indicates a positive direct correlation between these two variables.

We can examine the correlation between 'year' and 'rating\_engineTransmission' is approximately: 0.58

## **Pearson Correlation**

The Pearson Correlation measures the linear dependence between two variables X and Y. The resulting coefficient is a value between -1 and 1 inclusive, where:

1: Total positive linear correlation.

0: No linear correlation, the two variables most likely do not affect each other.

-1: Total negative linear correlation.

## **Data Preprocessing**

- Normalising odometer\_reading variable
- Encoding the target label using LabelEncoder
- Separating the features and target variable from the dataframe
- Splitting the data into training set & test set

## **Model Building**

### **DECISION TREE CLASSIFIER**

Accuracy = 0.4026615969581749  
mean\_squared\_error = 2.6372623574144485  
F1 Score = 0.4006055607213342

### **LOGISTIC REGRESSION**

Efficiency = 0.48897338403041823  
mean\_squared\_error = 2.2699619771863118  
F1 Score = 0.4354797226130068

### **RANDOM FOREST**

Efficiency = 0.43155893536121676  
mean\_squared\_error = 2.314828897338403  
F1 Score = 0.42075143693272843

### **KNN ALGORITHM**

Efficiency = 0.4984790874524715  
mean\_squared\_error = 2.2258555133079847  
F1 Score = 0.4539112120836323

## **2. Basics:**

### **a. How well does your model work?**

My model worked well with **KNN ALGORITHM** , accuracy is 49.84.

### **b. How do you know for sure that's how well it works?**

I compared F1 score and mean\_squared\_error of different model and figure out that KNN ALGORITHM has highest F1 Score = 0.4539112120836323 and lowest mean\_squared\_error=2.2258555133079847, among all models.

The F1 score can be interpreted as a harmonic mean of the precision and recall, where an F1 score reaches its best value at 1 and worst score at 0.

### **c. What stats did you use to prove its predictive performance and why?**

I used **accuracy\_score**, that gives me idea about the efficiency of my model,

**mean\_squared\_error**, it gives a relatively high weight to large errors.

**F1 Score**, its best value at 1 and worst score at 0.

### **d. What issues did you encounter?**

- Lots of missing values are there which needed to be handled for best fit model
- Handled categorical data using One- hot encoding for multivariable
- Highly imbalanced data

### **e. What insights did you obtain from this data? For example: What features are important? Why? What visualizations help you understand the data?**

- As we have to handle lot of missing values here, we have to choose features that have enough data to train our model better
- negative direct correlation between odometer\_reading and predictor variable of rating\_engineTransmission
- positive direct correlation between “year” and predictor variable of “rating\_engineTransmission”

### **3.Next steps:**

#### **a.What other data (if any) would have been useful?**

Engine life numerical data, engine servicing time span, would have been useful with good correlation with predictor.

#### **b.What are some other things you would have done if you had more time?**

I would do more work to deal with missing data