Accuracy Analysis of the National Weather Service (NWS) Weather Predictions

Jordan Brooker ^{1,2}, Justin Lavoie ^{1,3}, Md Hasan ^{1,4}

¹ Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, 61801-2302, USA

²brooker3@illinois.edu

³jlavoie2@illinois.edu

⁴mdhasan2@illinois.edu

Abstract—In this project, we will use Cloud Computing Applications (CCA) to collect, analyze, and measure the accuracy of the weather forecasted by the National Weather Service (NWS) [1] for different geographic locations in the United States. We look forward to answering three main research questions 1) The accuracy of predictions of whether there will be precipitation or not 2) The accuracy and precision of temperature predictions compare to the actual observed weather, 3) Identify National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) offices that make better predictions than others.

Keywords— ATLAS, DynamoDB, Ridge Regression

I. INTRODUCTION

Being able to predict the weather with a high amount of accuracy is an invaluable tool. According to a report published by ForecastWatch [2], the weather forecasts can predict tomorrow's high temperature to within three degrees with 80% accuracy. They also found the forecasts reach the same accuracy 57% of the time, which is an improvement from a previous three-degree accuracy of 44%. This report lacks analysis of other aspects of weather, like precipitation, wind, and hazardous weather conditions.

In another report, Robbins and Richard [3] examined the difference in precipitation forecast accuracy from the same day out to 10-day predictions at one location over the course of five months, with forecasted and actual precipitation data from the Weather Channel. In addition to only covering one location, this project also only covered one season, while it has been shown that prediction accuracy varies across different seasons (with accuracy being lower in November and December, for this particular region).

In this project, we will analyze the accuracy of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) weather prediction abilities by locations and seek answers to the following research questions.

- 1) Accuracy of precipitation predictions: Of the days with a probability of at least 50% rain, what percent actually had rain? Also, the reverse for days with <50% rain probability.
- 2) Accuracy and precision of temperature predictions: Does the daily high-temperature prediction tend to be higher or

lower than what is actually observed? What is the variability of the difference between actual and observed temperature?

3) Which NOAA Offices regularly make better predictions than others? Which are the best and which are worst?

II. RELATED WORKS

Weather prediction is an invaluable tool for modern society. Knowledge of potential future weather conditions is used for everything from mundane tasks like planning picnics to potentially life-saving ones, like warning communities of impending natural disasters. Consequently, improving the accuracy of weather forecasts would result in a variety of positive outcomes, such as increased productivity of construction projects [4], crop yield efficiency [5], and reduced energy costs of businesses like heating plants [6], on top of reducing property damage and personal harm resulting from extreme weather events.

There are many different ways that researchers are trying to improve weather predictions. A software library called ATLAS has been created specifically for parallel processing of weather data on HPCs [7]. Others have tried to come up with specific algorithms for predicting individual weather conditions, such as wind speed [8]. There is also a push to move numerical weather prediction to cloud-based storage and processing. Work has been done to prove that the cloud is a viable option over HPC [9].

The current state of weather prediction has greatly improved over the last few decades. The accuracy of 5 to 7-day forecasts is the same as 1-day forecasts 50 years ago [10]. However, there is still room for improvement in general temperature forecasts and for precipitation, wind speed, and extreme weather events.

III. DATA PRE-PROCESSING

In order to compare weather predictions to actually observed weather, it is necessary to have a data set with that records these predictions and outcomes in the same date range. The NOAA's weather API at api.weather.gov offers the currently observed weather and predictions for the next several days. By calling this API repeatedly over many days, a dataset

could be created where a day's actual weather could be compared to several predictions from the days leading up to it.

The NOAA bases its predictions off of data from over 2400 observation stations throughout the United States. The predictions are made for windows of time that are usually 6 hours long rather than for an entire day. To gather as much data as possible, it was decided to poll all weather stations that include precipitation data every 6 hours, and to store all the returned JSON data for later processing. This way, it is always possible to narrow the focus to geographic areas of interest later. Also, since the forecasts include data besides precipitation and temperature, these could be included if they were found to be interesting later.

We wrote a python script to request the data from the API store it in Amazon Web Service's DynamoDB key-value store database [11]. The data is stored with the location URL and timestamp as keys. An Amazon EC2 instance has been used to run this as a cron job every 6 hours since March 23. The DynamoDB table currently contains 78406 JSON objects.

To analyze the accuracy of these predictions, we will build a model that will predict utilizing the Global Surface Summary of the Day [12] data produced by the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). The online repository provides 118 years of observed historical data starting 1929.

IV. PROTOTYPE

In order to build a prototype of the model, we filter out the GSOD data for the 1st week of April from 1929 to 2018. We are particularly interested in the 1st week of April because the Masters' Golf tournament [13] will be held in Augusta, GA in this week and amount of rain in this week plays a significant role for the golfers as well as the fans.

We simply start with a linear regression model [11]. This model predicts total precipitation in inches from the GSOD [12] data. Just examining the p-values, we noticed that Mean Temperature, Mean Dew Point, and Mean Wind Speed values are the most significant variables to predict the amount of precipitation. We also noted the Root Mean Square Error value to be 0.2049012.

Next, we perform a ridge regression to see if parameterization can improve the model. We found 0.1242661 cross-validation error for the minimum regularization parameter of 0.02037. We also found the Root Mean Square Error value to be 0.2030056 which is 0.92% improvement from the linear regression model.

TABLE I. PREDICTED PRECIPITATION

Model Prototype	Precipitation in Inches (April 18)							
	01Apr	02Apr	03Apr	04Apr	05Apr	06Apr	07Apr	
Linear Regression	1.24	1.22	0.99	1.24	1.04	0.93	1.05	
Ridge Regression	0.29	0.31	0.29	0.35	0.22	0.22	0.25	

TABLE II. FORCASTED PRECIPITATION [14]

Model Prototype	Precipitation (Inches) / Prob. of Precipitation (%) (April 18)							
	01Apr	02Apr	03Apr	04Apr	05Apr	06Apr	07Apr	
Masters Golf Week	0/0	0/1	0/5	0.13/70	0/4	0/19	0.17/46	

We see that the ridge regression performs significantly better than the linear regression model though we need to conduct more analysis to ensure the model is functioning accurately. The accuracy of the model is close to 100%, as per our research questions we are seeking for "Accuracy of precipitation predictions" only for days with at least 50% chance of rain.

V. CHALLENGES

It was originally planned to have the python script called as an AWS Lambda function to avoid having to have a server continually running just to request the data. Unfortunately, AWS Lambda functions have a maximum time-out limit of 5 minutes and it takes longer than this to download all the forecast data. Because of this, it is necessary to maintain a server just for this task.

It is useful to maintain a copy of the original data pulled from the API in JSON format, but this isn't convenient or efficient for comparing outcomes to predictions since these are in different entries. The next step in building this dataset will be to copy the values of interest (precipitation and temperature) to the second database with all the data for a time period and location in a single entry. This database should ideally be distributed and accessible by Spark so that analysis on many dates and locations can be done quickly. The method we develop to move data from DynamoDB to the second database, such as a Hadoop job, should be automatable so that new data from the API can be added on a regular basis without additional work.

VI. TIMETABLE

We plan to finish this project according to the following timetable with the associated task such as Model Comparison, Model Selection, and writing the final report.

TABLE III. PROJECT SCHEDULE

Project	Milestones						
Schedule	Highlights	Status	As of Date				
02/23/2018	Milestone 2: Project Proposal	Approved	03/06/2018				
04/01/2018	Milestone 3: Progress Report	Submitted	04/01/2018				
04/11/2018	Milestone 3.1: Model Comparison						
04/25/2018	Milestone 3.2: Model Selection						
04/29/2018	Milestone 3.3: Draft for Final Report						
05/02/2018	Milestone 4: Final Report						

a. Project Schedule (Team 42)

REFERENCES

- [1] NWS API Site: https://forecast-v3.weather.gov/documentation
- [2] Rose, Bruce and Floehr, Eric. 2017. Analysis of High Temperature Forecast Accuracy of Consumer Weather Forecasts from 2005-2016. Forecast Watch, LLC. https://www.forecastwatch.com/static/High_Temperature_Accuracy_Study_12_Years.pdf
- [3] Robbins, Richard. 2015. How accurate are weather forecasts? The Huffington Post. https://www.huffingtonpost.com/richard-robbins/howaccurate-are-weather-_b_6558770.html
- [4] P. Ballesteros-Perez, Y. A. Rojas-Cespedes, W. Hughes, S. Kabiri, E. Pellicer, D. Mora-Melia, and M.L. del Campo Hitschfeld, "Weatherwise: a weather-aware planning tool for improving construction productivity and dealing with claims," Auto. in Constr. Vol 84, pp. 81 95, August 2018.
- [5] T. V. Prabha and G. Hoogenboom, "Evaluation of the weather research and forecasting model for two frost events," Comp. and Elect. in Agri. Vol 64, pp. 234 - 247, December 2008.

- [6] J. Vascak, R. Jaksa, J. Koscak, and J. Adamcak, "Local weather prediction system for a heating plant using cognitive approaches," Comp. in Industry. Vol 74, June 2015.
- [7] W. Deconinck, P. Bauer, M. Diamantakis, M. Hamrud, C. Kuhnlein, et al, "Atlas: A library for numerical weather prediction and climate modeling," Comp. Phys. Comm. Vol 220, pp. 188 - 204, November 2017.
- [8] X. Zhao, J. Liu, D. Yu, J. Chang, "One-day-ahead probabilistic wind speed forecast based on optimized numerical weather prediction data," Energy Conv. and Mgmt. Vol 164, pp. 560 - 569, May 2018.
- [9] E. D. Carreno, E. Roloff, P. O. A. Navaux, "Challenges and solutions in executing numerical weather prediction in a cloud infrastructure," Proc. Comp. Sci. Vol 51, pp. 2832 - 2837, 2015.
- [10] H. Stern and N. E. Davidson, "Trends in the skill of weather prediction at lead times of 1-14 days," Roy. Met. Soc. Vol. 141, pp. 2726 - 2736, October 2015.
- [11] Source Codes to access, collect, and analyze the data https://github.com/JustinL42/Team42Project/tree/master/weatherAPIScr ipts
- [12] GSOD Site: ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/gsod
- [13] Masters Golf: https://www.masters.com/en_US/index.html
- [14] Masters Golf Tournament Week Weather Forcast: https://api.weather.gov/gridpoints/FFC/94,63