

# Lecture 25: Online Learning

Michael Dinitz

December 2, 2025  
601.433/633 Introduction to Algorithms  
Slides by Michael Dinitz and Jessica Sorrell

# Introduction

Machine Learning from the point of view of theoretical computer science

- ▶ Proofs about performance
- ▶ Minimize assumptions
- ▶ *Not* going to talk about useful in practice, etc.

Today:

- ▶ Online Learning

# Online Learning

# Online Learning

Learning over time, not just one-shot

- ▶ See data one piece at a time
- ▶ Try to use historical data to make decisions as we go
- ▶ We don't assume data comes from a distribution. Could be adversarially chosen!

# Example: Learning From Expert Advice

Intuition: stock market

- ▶  $N$  experts
- ▶ Every day:
  - ▶ Every expert predicts up/down
  - ▶ Algorithm makes prediction
  - ▶ Find out what happened

What can/should we do? Can we always make an accurate prediction?

# Example: Learning From Expert Advice

Intuition: stock market

- ▶  $N$  experts
- ▶ Every day:
  - ▶ Every expert predicts up/down
  - ▶ Algorithm makes prediction
  - ▶ Find out what happened

What can/should we do? Can we always make an accurate prediction?

- ▶ No! Experts could all be essentially random, uncorrelated with market

# Example: Learning From Expert Advice

Intuition: stock market

- ▶  $N$  experts
- ▶ Every day:
  - ▶ Every expert predicts up/down
  - ▶ Algorithm makes prediction
  - ▶ Find out what happened

What can/should we do? Can we always make an accurate prediction?

- ▶ No! Experts could all be essentially random, uncorrelated with market

Easier (but still interesting) goal: can we do as well as the best expert?

- ▶ Don't try to learn the market: learn which expert knows the market best

## Warmup

Assume best expert makes **0** mistakes: always correctly predicts the market.  
How should we predict market to minimize #mistakes?

## Warmup

Assume best expert makes **0** mistakes: always correctly predicts the market.  
How should we predict market to minimize #mistakes?

Each day:

# Warmup

Assume best expert makes **0** mistakes: always correctly predicts the market.  
How should we predict market to minimize #mistakes?

Each day:

- ▶ Majority vote of remaining experts
- ▶ Remove incorrect experts

## Warmup

Assume best expert makes **0** mistakes: always correctly predicts the market.  
How should we predict market to minimize #mistakes?

Each day:

- ▶ Majority vote of remaining experts
- ▶ Remove incorrect experts

Best expert makes **0** mistakes

We make:

## Warmup

Assume best expert makes **0** mistakes: always correctly predicts the market.  
How should we predict market to minimize #mistakes?

Each day:

- ▶ Majority vote of remaining experts
- ▶ Remove incorrect experts

Best expert makes **0** mistakes

We make:  $O(\log N)$  mistakes

## Warmup

Assume best expert makes **0** mistakes: always correctly predicts the market.  
How should we predict market to minimize #mistakes?

Each day:

- ▶ Majority vote of remaining experts
- ▶ Remove incorrect experts

Best expert makes **0** mistakes

We make:  $O(\log N)$  mistakes

- ▶ Each mistake decreases # experts by **1/2**

## General case: no perfect expert

## General case: no perfect expert

### Weighted Majority

- ▶ Initialize all experts to weight **1**
- ▶ Predict based on *weighted* majority vote
- ▶ Penalize mistakes by cutting weights in half

$M$  = # mistakes we've made

$m$  = # mistakes best expert has made

$W$  = total weight

## General case: no perfect expert

### Weighted Majority

- ▶ Initialize all experts to weight **1**
- ▶ Predict based on *weighted* majority vote
- ▶ Penalize mistakes by cutting weights in half

$M$  = # mistakes we've made

$m$  = # mistakes best expert has made

$W$  = total weight

$$W \geq (1/2)^m$$

- ▶ Best expert has weight at least  $(1/2)^m$

## General case: no perfect expert

### Weighted Majority

- ▶ Initialize all experts to weight **1**
- ▶ Predict based on *weighted* majority vote
- ▶ Penalize mistakes by cutting weights in half

$M$  = # mistakes we've made

$m$  = # mistakes best expert has made

$W$  = total weight

$$W \geq (1/2)^m$$

- ▶ Best expert has weight at least  $(1/2)^m$

$$W \leq N(3/4)^M$$

- ▶ Every time we make a mistake, at least **1/2** the total weight gets decreased by **1/2**, so left with at most **3/4** of the original total weight

## General case: no perfect expert

### Weighted Majority

- ▶ Initialize all experts to weight **1**
- ▶ Predict based on *weighted* majority vote
- ▶ Penalize mistakes by cutting weights in half

$M = \#$  mistakes we've made

$m = \#$  mistakes best expert has made

$W = \text{total weight}$

$W \geq (1/2)^m$

- ▶ Best expert has weight at least  $(1/2)^m$

$$\implies (1/2)^m \leq W \leq N(3/4)^M \implies (4/3)^M \leq N2^m$$

$$\implies M \leq \log_{4/3}(N2^m) = \frac{m + \log N}{\log(4/3)} \approx 2.4(m + \log N)$$

$$W \leq N(3/4)^M$$

- ▶ Every time we make a mistake, at least **1/2** the total weight gets decreased by **1/2**, so left with at most **3/4** of the original total weight

# A Better Algorithm (and More General Framework!)

What if we have more than two choices? What if some mistakes are “worse” than others?

# A Better Algorithm (and More General Framework!)

What if we have more than two choices? What if some mistakes are “worse” than others?

General setup:

- ▶  $T$  time steps (days)
- ▶  $N$  actions the algorithm can take (experts)
- ▶ At each time step  $t \in [T]$ , algorithm  $A$  chooses an action  $i \in [N]$
- ▶ Each action  $i \in [N]$  then receives a loss  $\ell_i^t \in [0, 1]$ , and the algorithm receives loss  $\ell_A^t = \ell_i^t$  corresponding to the action  $i$  that it chose at time  $t$

# Regret

Our new goal is to minimize regret.

## Definition (Regret)

For all  $t \in [T]$ , let  $\ell_A^t$  be the loss suffered by algorithm  $A$  at time  $t$ . Then the *regret* of algorithm  $A$  is

$$\text{Regret}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_A^t - \frac{1}{T} \min_{i \in [N]} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t$$

# Regret

Our new goal is to minimize regret.

## Definition (Regret)

For all  $t \in [T]$ , let  $\ell_A^t$  be the loss suffered by algorithm  $A$  at time  $t$ . Then the *regret* of algorithm  $A$  is

$$\text{Regret}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_A^t - \frac{1}{T} \min_{i \in [N]} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t$$

An algorithm is a *no-regret* algorithm if its regret goes to  $0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Multiplicative Weights Algorithm

---

## Algorithm Multiplicative Weights (MW)

---

For  $i \in [N]$ , let  $w_i^1 = 1$  be the weight of action  $i$  at time 1.

**for**  $t = 1, \dots, T$  **do**

    Let  $W^t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$  be the total weight at time  $t$ .

    Choose action  $i \in [N]$  at random according to the distribution  $D(i) = \frac{w_i^t}{W^t}$

    Pay loss  $\ell_i^t$  for action  $i$  at time  $t$

    Update weights:  $w_j^{t+1} \leftarrow w_j^t \cdot e^{-\varepsilon \ell_j^t}$  for all  $j \in [N]$

**end for**

---

# Multiplicative Weights Analysis

## Theorem

*MW is a no-regret algorithm. Specifically, it has expected regret  $O(\varepsilon + \frac{\log(N)}{\varepsilon T})$ . That is,*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \frac{1}{T} \min_{i \in [N]} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t \in O\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\ln(N)}{\varepsilon T}\right)$$

# Multiplicative Weights Analysis

## Theorem

*MW is a no-regret algorithm. Specifically, it has expected regret  $O(\varepsilon + \frac{\log(N)}{\varepsilon T})$ . That is,*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \frac{1}{T} \min_{i \in [N]} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t \in O\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\ln(N)}{\varepsilon T}\right)$$

If we set  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{T}}$ , we get that the expected regret of MW is at most  $2\sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{T}}$ .

# Multiplicative Weights Analysis

## Theorem

*MW is a no-regret algorithm. Specifically, it has expected regret  $O(\varepsilon + \frac{\log(N)}{\varepsilon T})$ . That is,*

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \frac{1}{T} \min_{i \in [N]} \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t \in O\left(\varepsilon + \frac{\ln(N)}{\varepsilon T}\right)$$

If we set  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{T}}$ , we get that the expected regret of MW is at most  $2\sqrt{\frac{\ln N}{T}}$ . This means that MW is a no-regret algorithm, since its regret  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Proof Sketch

Let  $W_t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$

- ▶ We can show that

$$W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

## Proof Sketch

Let  $W_t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$

- ▶ We can show that

$$W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

For any action  $i$ , we know that

$$\triangleright W_{T+1} \geq w_i^{T+1} = \exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t)$$

## Proof Sketch

Let  $W_t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$

- ▶ We can show that

$$W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

For any action  $i$ , we know that

$$\triangleright W_{T+1} \geq w_i^{T+1} = \exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t)$$

So, like in the analysis for Weighted Majority, we have upper and lower bounds on  $W_{T+1}$ :

$$\exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t) = w_i^{T+1} \leq W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

## Proof Sketch

Let  $W_t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$

- We can show that

$$W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

For any action  $i$ , we know that

$$\triangleright W_{T+1} \geq w_i^{T+1} = \exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t)$$

So, like in the analysis for Weighted Majority, we have upper and lower bounds on  $W_{T+1}$ :

$$\exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t) = w_i^{T+1} \leq W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

$$\Rightarrow -\varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \ln N + \varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]$$

## Proof Sketch

Let  $W_t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$

- We can show that

$$W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

For any action  $i$ , we know that

$$\triangleright W_{T+1} \geq w_i^{T+1} = \exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t)$$

So, like in the analysis for Weighted Majority, we have upper and lower bounds on  $W_{T+1}$ :

$$\exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t) = w_i^{T+1} \leq W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

$$\Rightarrow -\varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \ln N + \varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \ln N + \varepsilon^2 T$$

## Proof Sketch

Let  $W_t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$

- We can show that

$$W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

For any action  $i$ , we know that

$$\triangleright W_{T+1} \geq w_i^{T+1} = \exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t)$$

So, like in the analysis for Weighted Majority, we have upper and lower bounds on  $W_{T+1}$ :

$$\exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t) = w_i^{T+1} \leq W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

$$\Rightarrow -\varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \ln N + \varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \ln N + \varepsilon^2 T$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \frac{\ln N}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon T$$

## Proof Sketch

Let  $W_t = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t$

- We can show that

$$W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

For any action  $i$ , we know that

$$\triangleright W_{T+1} \geq w_i^{T+1} = \exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t)$$

So, like in the analysis for Weighted Majority, we have upper and lower bounds on  $W_{T+1}$ :

$$\exp(-\varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_i^t) = w_i^{T+1} \leq W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$$

$$\Rightarrow -\varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \ln N + \varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \ln N + \varepsilon^2 T$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \frac{\ln N}{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon T \Rightarrow \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t] - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t \in [T]} \ell_i^t \leq \frac{\ln N}{\varepsilon T} + \varepsilon$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) \quad \text{By definition of } w_i^{t+1}$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $\mathbf{W}_{T+1} \leq \mathbf{N} \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_{t+1} &= \sum_{i \in [N]} \mathbf{w}_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} \mathbf{w}_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) && \text{By definition of } \mathbf{w}_i^{t+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} \mathbf{w}_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2}) && \exp(-x) \leq 1 - x + x^2 \text{ for } x > 0\end{aligned}$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) \quad \text{By definition of } w_i^{t+1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2}) \quad \exp(-x) \leq 1 - x + x^2 \text{ for } x > 0$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2) \quad \text{All losses in } [0, 1]$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t+1} &= \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) && \text{By definition of } w_i^{t+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2}) && \exp(-x) \leq 1 - x + x^2 \text{ for } x > 0 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2) && \text{All losses in } [0, 1] \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \ell_i^t \end{aligned}$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t+1} &= \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \ell_i^t \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) W_t - \varepsilon W_t \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t \quad \text{by def. of } W_t \end{aligned}$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t+1} &= \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \ell_i^t \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) W_t - \varepsilon W_t \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t \\ &= W_t (1 + \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t) \end{aligned} \quad \text{by def. of } W_t$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t+1} &= \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \ell_i^t \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) W_t - \varepsilon W_t \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t && \text{by def. of } W_t \\ &= W_t (1 + \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t) \\ &\leq W_t \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t) && \exp(x) \geq 1 + x \end{aligned}$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2)$$

$$= (1 + \varepsilon^2) \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \ell_i^t$$

$$= (1 + \varepsilon^2) W_t - \varepsilon W_t \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t \quad \text{by def. of } W_t$$

$$= W_t (1 + \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t)$$

$$\leq W_t \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t) \quad \exp(x) \geq 1 + x$$

$$= W_t \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]) \quad \text{by def. of } \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2)$$

$$= (1 + \varepsilon^2) \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \ell_i^t$$

$$= (1 + \varepsilon^2) W_t - \varepsilon W_t \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t \quad \text{by def. of } W_t$$

$$= W_t (1 + \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t)$$

$$\leq W_t \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t) \quad \exp(x) \geq 1 + x$$

$$= W_t \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]) \quad \text{by def. of } \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]$$

## Proof Sketch

Still need to show that  $W_{T+1} \leq N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])$

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_{t+1} &= \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^{t+1} = \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \exp(-\varepsilon \ell_i^t) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2 \ell_i^{t^2}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \cdot (1 - \varepsilon \ell_i^t + \varepsilon^2) \\
 &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} w_i^t \ell_i^t \\
 &= (1 + \varepsilon^2) W_t - \varepsilon W_t \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t \quad \text{by def. of } W_t \\
 &= W_t (1 + \varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t) \\
 &\leq W_t \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \sum_{i \in [N]} \frac{w_i^t}{W_t} \ell_i^t) \quad \exp(x) \geq 1 + x \\
 &= W_t \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]) \quad \text{by def. of } \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]
 \end{aligned}$$

Unrolling over all  $t \in [T]$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow W_{T+1} &\leq W_1 \cdot \prod_{t \in [T]} \exp(\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t]) \\
 &= N \exp(\varepsilon^2 T - \varepsilon \sum_{t \in [T]} \mathbb{E}[\ell_A^t])
 \end{aligned}$$

# Summary

- ▶ Online learning models learning problems where data arrives “online” (one at a time)
- ▶ Given a set of actions to choose from, we want to learn a good sequence of actions to take so that we do not incur too much loss
- ▶ We can analyze the performance of online learning algorithms using the notion of *regret*: how well did the algorithm perform compared to the best action in hindsight?
- ▶ We showed the multiplicative weights algorithm is a no-regret algorithm (its expected regret goes to  $\mathbf{0}$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ).