1	The Transition to Grandparenthood and its Impact on the Big Five Personality
2	Traits and Life Satisfaction
3	Michael D. Krämer ^{1,2} , Manon A. van Scheppingen ³ , William J. Chopik ⁴ , and & David
4	$\mathrm{Richter}^{1,4}$
5	¹ German Institute for Economic Research
6	Germany
7	2 International Max Planck Research School on the Life Course (LIFE)
8	Max Planck Institute for Human Development
9	Germany
10	³ Tilburg University
1	Netherlands
12	⁴ Michigan State University
13	USA

 5 Freie Universität Berlin

Germany

14

16 Author Note

- Michael D. Krämer https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9883-5676, Socio-Economic
- ¹⁹ Panel (SOEP), German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin); International Max
- Planck Research School on the Life Course (LIFE), Max Planck Institute for Human
- 21 Development
- Manon A. van Scheppingen, Department of Developmental Psychology, Tilburg
- 23 School of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Tilburg University
- William J. Chopik, Department of Psychology, Michigan State University
- David Richter, Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP), German Institute for Economic
- 26 Research (DIW Berlin); Survey Research Division, Department of Education and
- 27 Psychology, Freie Universität Berlin
- The authors made the following contributions. Michael D. Krämer:
- ²⁹ Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing -
- original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing; Manon A. van Scheppingen:
- Methodology, Writing Review & Editing; William J. Chopik: Methodology, Writing -
- Review & Editing; David Richter: Supervision, Methodology, Writing Review & Editing.
- ³³ Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Michael D. Krämer,
- German Institute for Economic Research, Mohrenstr. 58, 10117 Berlin, Germany. E-mail:
- $_{
 m 35}$ mkraemer@diw.de

36 Abstract

зт abc

Keywords: grandparenthood, Big Five, life satisfaction, development, propensity

score matching

Word count: abc

The Transition to Grandparenthood and its Impact on the Big Five Personality Traits and Life Satisfaction

In view of an aging demographic and an increased share of childcare functions being fulfilled by grandparents, intergenerational relations have received heightened attention from psychological and sociological research in recent years (Bengtson, 2001). With regard to personality development, the transition to grandparenthood has been posited as an important developmental task in old age (Hutteman et al., 2014). However, empirical research into the psychological consequences of this transition is sparse. Testing hypotheses derived from neo-socioanalytic theory (Roberts & Wood, 2006) in a matched control-group design (see Luhmann et al., 2014), we aim to investigate whether the transition to grandparenthood affects the Big Five personality traits and life satisfaction.

Personality Development in Middle Adulthood and Old Age

In accordance with the life span perspective characterizing aging as a lifelong
process of development and adaptation (Baltes et al., 2006), personality traits are subject
to change throughout the entire life span (Costa et al., 2019; Specht, 2017; Specht et al.,
2014). Although a major portion of development takes place in adolescence and emerging
adulthood (Bleidorn & Schwaba, 2017; Schwaba & Bleidorn, 2018), evidence has
accumulated that the Big Five personality traits also undergo changes in middle and old
adulthood (e.g., Kandler et al., 2015; Lucas & Donnellan, 2011; Mõttus et al., 2012;
Wagner et al., 2016; for a review, see Specht, 2017).

Changes over time occur both in mean trait levels of these age groups (i.e.,
mean-level change; Roberts et al., 2006) and in the relative ordering of people to each other
on trait dimensions (i.e., rank-order stability; Anusic & Schimmack, 2016; Roberts &
DelVecchio, 2000). Mean-level changes in middle adulthood (ca. 30–60 years old;
Hutteman et al., 2014) are typically characterized in terms of greater maturity as
evidenced by increased agreeableness and conscientiousness, and decreased neuroticism

(Roberts et al., 2006). In old age (ca. 60 years and older; Hutteman et al., 2014), research is generally more sparse but there is some evidence for a reversal of the maturity effect, especially following retirement (sometimes termed La dolce vita effect; Marsh et al., 2013; cf. Schwaba & Bleidorn, 2019) and at the end of life in ill health (Wagner et al., 2016). In 70 terms of rank-order stability, some prior studies have shown support for an inverted 71 U-shape trajectory (Ardelt, 2000; Lucas & Donnellan, 2011; Specht et al., 2011; Wortman et al., 2012): Rank-order stability rises until reaching a plateau in midlife, and decreases, 73 again, in old age. However, evidence is mixed whether rank-order stability actually decreases again in old age (see Costa et al., 2019). Nonetheless, the historical view that 75 personality is stable, or "set like plaster" (Specht, 2017, p. 64) after one reaches adulthood (or leaves emerging adulthood behind; Bleidorn & Schwaba, 2017) can be largely 77 abandoned (Specht et al., 2014). Theories explaining the mechanisms of personality development in middle 79 adulthood and old age emphasize as interdependent sources of stability and change both genetic influences and life experiences (Specht et al., 2014; Wagner et al., 2020). Here, we 81 focus on the latter¹ and conceptualize the transition to grandparenthood as a life experience that offers the adoption of a new social role according to the social investment principle of neo-socioanalytic theory (Lodi-Smith & Roberts, 2007; Roberts & Wood, 2006). According to the social investment principle, normative life events or transitions 85 such as entering the work force or becoming a parent lead to personality maturation through the adoption of new social roles (Roberts et al., 2005). These new roles encourage 87 or compel people to act in a more agreeable, conscientious, and emotionally stable way, and the experiences in these role as well as societal expectations towards them are hypothesized to drive long-term personality development (Lodi-Smith & Roberts, 2007). Conversely, consistent social roles foster personality stability. The paradoxical theory of

¹ In a behavior-genetic twin study, Kandler et al. (2015) found that environmental factors were the main source of personality development in old age.

personality coherence (Caspi & Moffitt, 1993) offers another explanation for personality
development stating that trait change is more likely whenever people transition into
unknown environments where pre-existing behavioral responses are no longer appropriate
and societal norms or social expectations give clear indications how to behave instead
(vs. environments where no such guidance is available). This supports the view that
age-graded, normative life experiences such as possibly the transition to grandparenthood
drive personality development (see also Specht et al., 2014).

Certain life events such as the first romantic relationship (Wagner et al., 2015) or 99 the transition from high school to university (Lüdtke et al., 2011) have (partly) been found 100 to be accompanied by mean-level increases in line with the social investment principle (for 101 a review, see Bleidorn et al., 2018). However, recent evidence regarding the transition to 102 parenthood failed to empirically support the social investment principle (Asselmann & 103 Specht, 2020; van Scheppingen et al., 2016). An analysis of monthly trajectories of the Big 104 Five before and after nine major life events only found limited support for the social investment principle, that is, small increases were only found in emotional stability 106 following the transition to employment but not for the other traits or for the other life 107 events theoretically linked to social investment (Denissen et al., 2019). It has also been 108 emphasized recently that effects of life events on the Big Five personality trends generally 109 tend to be small, and need to be properly analyzed using robust, prospective designs and 110 appropriate control groups (Bleidorn et al., 2018; Luhmann et al., 2014). 111

Overall, much remains unknown regarding the environmental factors underlying
personality development in middle adulthood and old age. One indication that age-graded,
normative life experiences contribute to change following a period of relative stability is
recent research on retirement (Bleidorn & Schwaba, 2018; Schwaba & Bleidorn, 2019).
While these results were only partly in line with the social investment principle in terms of
mean-level changes and displayed substantial individual differences in change trajectories,
the authors also discuss that as social role "divestment" (Schwaba & Bleidorn, 2019, p. X)

retirement functions differently compared to social investment which adds a role. The
transition to grandparenthood could represent such an investment in older
adulthood—given that grandparents have regular contact with their grandchild and
actively take part in childcare (i.e., invest psychologically in the new grandparent role;
Lodi-Smith & Roberts, 2007), to some degree.

124 Grandparenthood

The transition to grandparenthood, that is, the birth of the first grandchild, can be described as a time-discrete life event marking the beginning of one's status as a grandparent (Luhmann et al., 2012). In terms of characteristics of major life events (Luhmann et al., 2020), the transition to grandparenthood stands out in that it is externally caused (by one's own children), while at the same time predictable (as soon as one's children reveal their family planning or pregnancy), as well as generally positive in valence and emotionally significant.

Grandparenthood can also be characterized as a developmental task (Hutteman et 132 al., 2014) mostly associated with the period of (early) old age—although considerable 133 variation in the age at the transition to grandparenthood exists both within and across 134 cultures (Leopold & Skopek, 2015; Skopek & Leopold, 2017). Still, the period where 135 parents on average experience the birth of their first grandchild coincides with the end of 136 midlife stability in terms of personality development (Specht, 2017), where retirement, 137 shifting social roles, and initial cognitive and health declines can potentially be disruptive 138 to life circumstances putting personality development into motion (e.g., Mueller et al., 2016; Stephan et al., 2014). As a developmental task, grandparenthood is expected to follow a normative sequence of aging that is subject to societal expectations and values differing across cultures and historical time (Hutteman et al., 2014). Mastering developmental tasks to a high degree is hypothesized to drive personality development towards maturation 143 similarly to propositions by the social investment principle, that is, leading to higher levels

145

of agreeableness and conscientiousness, and lower levels of neuroticism (Roberts et al.,

2005; Roberts & Wood, 2006). In comparison to the transition to parenthood which has 146 been found to be ambivalent in terms of both personality maturation and life satisfaction 147 (Krämer & Rodgers, 2020; van Scheppingen et al., 2016), Hutteman et al. (2014) 148 hypothesize that the transition to grandparenthood is generally seen as positive because it 149 (usually) does not impose the stressful daily demands of childcare on grandparents. 150 While we could not find prior studies investigating development of the Big Five over 151 the transition to grandparenthood, there is some evidence on life satisfaction although it is 152 conflicting: Past research on associations of grandparenthood with life satisfaction often 153 relied on cross-sectional designs (e.g., Mahne & Huxhold, 2014; Triadó et al., 2014). 154 Longitudinal studies utilizing panel data from the Survey of Health, Ageing and 155 Retirement in Europe (SHARE) showed that the birth of a grandchild was followed by improvements to quality of life and life satisfaction only among women (Tanskanen et al., 2019), and only in first-time grandmothers via their daughters (Di Gessa et al., 2019). Several studies emphasized that grandparents actively involved in childcare experienced 159 larger positive effects to life satisfaction (Arpino, Bordone, et al., 2018; Danielsbacka et al., 160 2019; Danielsbacka & Tanskanen, 2016). On the other hand, fixed effects regression 161 $models^2$ using SHARE data did not find any effects of first-time grandparenthood on life 162 satisfaction regardless of grandparental investment and only minor decreases of 163 grandmothers' depressive symptoms (Sheppard & Monden, 2019). In a similar vein, some 164 prospective studies reported beneficial effects of the transition to grandparenthood and of 165 grandparental childcare investment on various health measures, especially in women 166 (Chung & Park, 2018; Condon et al., 2018; Di Gessa et al., 2016a, 2016b). Again, effects 167 on self-rated health did not persevere in fixed effects analyses as reported in Ates (2017) 168 who used longitudinal data from the German Aging Survey (DEAS). 160

² Fixed effects regression models exclusively rely on within-person variance (see Brüderl & Ludwig, 2015; McNeish & Kelley, 2019).

70 Current Study

176

177

178

179

Three research questions motivate the current study which is the first to analyze personality development over the transition to grandparenthood with regards to the Big Five traits:

- 174 1. What are the effects of the transition to grandparenthood on mean-level trajectories of the Big Five traits and life satisfaction?
 - 2. How large are interindividual differences in intraindividual change for the Big Five traits and life satisfaction over the transition to grandparenthood?
 - 3. How does the transition to grandparenthood affect rank-order stability of the Big Five traits and life satisfaction?

To address these questions, we will compare development over the transition to 180 grandparenthood with that of matched participants that do not experience this transition 181 during the study period (Luhmann et al., 2014). This is necessary because pre-existing 182 differences in variables related to the development of the Big Five or life satisfaction 183 between those who are observed to become a grandparent and those who are not introduce 184 confounding bias when trying to estimate the effect of the transition to grandparenthood 185 (e.g., VanderWeele et al., 2020). Propensity score matching accounts for confounding 186 through equating the groups in their propensity to experience the event in question, which 187 is calculated from a broad range of covariates related to the event and the outcomes. 188 Thereby, to address confounding balance between the covariates used to calculate the 189 propensity score is also aimed for (Stuart, 2010). We adopt a prospective design that tests effects of first-time grandparents against 191

We adopt a prospective design that tests effects of first-time grandparents against
two propensity-score-matched control groups: first, a matched control group of parents
(but not grandparents) with at least their oldest child in reproductive age, and, second, a
matched control group of nonparents. This allows us to disentangle potential effects
attributable to becoming a grandparent from effects attributable to being a parent, thus,

addressing selection effects into grandparenthood and confounding more comprehensively 196 than previous research. Our comparative design also controls for average age-related and 197 historical trends in the Big Five traits and life satisfaction (Luhmann et al., 2014), and 198 enables us to report effects of the transition to grandparenthood unconfounded by 199 instrumentation effects, which describe the tendency of reporting lower well-being scores 200 with each repeated measurement (Baird et al., 2010). We go beyond previous studies 201 utilizing matched control groups (Anusic et al., 2014a, 2014b; Yap et al., 2012) in that we 202 performed the matching at a specific time point preceding the transition to 203 grandparenthood (at least two years before) and not based on individual survey years. 204 This design choice ensures that the covariates involved in the matching procedure are not 205 already influenced by the event or anticipation of it (Elwert & Winship, 2014; Greenland, 206 2003; Rosenbaum, 1984; VanderWeele, 2019; VanderWeele et al., 2020), thereby also reducing the risk of confounding through collider bias (Elwert & Winship, 2014). Similar approaches in the study of life events have recently been adopted (Balbo & Arpino, 2016; Krämer & Rodgers, 2020; van Scheppingen & Leopold, 2020). 210 Informed by the social investment principle and previous research on personality 211 development in middle adulthood and old age, we preregistered the following hypotheses (prior to data analysis; osf.io/): 213

- H1a: Following the birth of their first grandchild, grandparents increase slightly in agreeableness and conscientiousness, and decrease in neuroticism as compared to the matched control groups of parents (but not grandparents) and nonparents, but do not differ in their trajectories of extraversion and openness to experience.
- H1b: Grandmothers increase in life satisfaction following the transition to grandparenthood as compared to the matched control groups (but grandfathers do not).
- H2: Individual differences in intraindividual change in the Big Five and life satisfaction are larger in the grandparent group than the control group.

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

- H3a: Compared to the matched control groups, grandparents' rank-order stability of 223 the Big Five decreases over the transition to grandparenthood. 224
 - H3b: Grandparents' rank-order stability of life satisfaction is comparatively stable over the transition to grandparenthood.

Exploratorily, we further probe the social investment principle by testing two moderators of potential social investment and role conflict, hours of grandchild care and 228 performing paid work.

Methods 230

Samples 231

225

226

227

To evaluate these hypotheses, we used data from two population-representative 232 panel studies: the Longitudinal Internet Studies for the Social Sciences (LISS) panel from 233 the Netherlands and the Health and Retirement Study (HRS) from the United States. 234 The LISS panel is a representative sample of the Dutch population initiated in 2008 235 with data collection still ongoing (Scherpenzeel, 2011; van der Laan, 2009). It is 236 administered by CentERdata (Tilburg University, The Netherlands). Included households 237 are a true probability sample of households drawn from the population register 238 (Scherpenzeel & Das, 2010). While originally roughly half of invited households consented 239 to participate, refreshment samples were drawn in order to oversample previously 240 underrepresented groups using information about response rates and their association with 241 demographic variables (household type, age, ethnicity; see 242 https://www.lissdata.nl/about-panel/sample-and-recruitment). Data collection was carried out online and participants lacking the necessary technical equipment were outfitted with it. We included yearly assessments from 2008 to 2020 from several different modules (see Measures) as well as data on basic demographics which was assessed on a monthly rate. For later coding of covariates from these monthly demographic data we used the first 247 available assessment in each year.

The HRS is a longitudinal population-representative study of older adults in the US 249 (Sonnega et al., 2014) administered by the Survey Research Center (University of 250 Michigan, United States). Initiated in 1992 with a first cohort of individuals aged 51-61 251 and their spouses, the study has since been extended with additional cohorts in the 1990s. 252 In addition to the HRS core interview every two years (in-person or as a telephone survey), 253 the study has since 2006 included a leave-behind questionnaire covering a broad range of 254 psychosocial topics including the Big Five personality traits and life satisfaction. These 255 topics, however, were only administered every four years starting in 2006 for one half of the 256 sample and in 2008 for the other half. We included personality data from 2006 to 2016, all 257 available data for the coding of the transition to grandparenthood from 1996 to 2016, as 258 well as covariate data from 2006 to 2016 including variables drawn from the Imputations 259 File and the Family Data (available up to 2014).

These two panel studies provided the advantage that they contained several waves 261 of personality data as well as information on grandparent status and a broad range of 262 covariates at each wave. While the HRS provided a large sample with a wider age range, 263 the LISS panel was smaller and vounger³ but provided more frequent personality 264 assessments spaced every one to two years. Note that M. van Scheppingen has previously 265 used the LISS panel to analyze???. B. Chopik has previously used the HRS to analyze 266 ???. These publications do not overlap with the current study in the central focus of 267 grandparenthood. The present study used de-identified archival data in the public 268 domain, and, thus, it was not necessary to obtain ethical approval from an IRB. 269

Measures

³ The reason for the included grandparents from the LISS panel being younger was that grandparenthood questions were part of the *Work and Schooling* module and—for reasons unknown to us—filtered to participants performing paid work. Thus, older, retired first-time grandparents from the LISS panel could not be identified.

⁴ Publications using LISS panel data can be searched at https://www.dataarchive.lissdata.nl/publications/. Publications using HRS data can be searched at https://hrs.isr.umich.edu/publications/biblio/.

$_{\scriptscriptstyle{11}}$ Personality

In the LISS panel, the Big Five personality traits were assessed using the 50-item 272 version of the IPIP Big-Five Inventory scales (Goldberg, 1992). For each Big Five trait, ten 273 5-point Likert-scale items were answered (1 = very inaccurate, 2 = moderately inaccurate, 3 274 = neither inaccurate nor accurate, 4 = moderately accurate, 5 = very accurate). Example items included "Like order" (conscientiousness), "Sympathize with others' feelings" (agreeableness), "Worry about things" (neuroticism), "Have a vivid imagination" (openness 277 to experience), and "Start conversations" (extraversion). At each wave, we took a 278 participant's mean of each subscale as their trait score. Internal consistencies, as indicated 279 by McDonald's ω (McNeish, 2018), averaged XX over all traits and years ranging from XX 280 (X) in year to XX (X) in year. Another study has shown measurement invariance for these 281 scales across time and age groups (Schwaba & Bleidorn, 2018). The Big Five (and life 282 satisfaction) were contained in the *Personality* module which was administered yearly but 283 with planned missingness in some years for certain cohorts (see Denissen et al., 2019). 284 Thus, there are one to two years between included assessments, given no other sources of 285 missingness. 286 In the HRS, the Midlife Development Inventory (MIDI) scales were administered to 287 measure the Big Five (Lachman & Weaver, 1997). This scale was constructed for use in 288 large-scale panel studies of adults and consisted of 26 adjectives (five each for 280 conscientiousness, agreeableness, and extraversion, four for neuroticism, and seven for 290 openness to experience). Participants were asked to rate on a 4-point scale how well each 291 item described them (1 = a lot, 2 = some, 3 = a little, 4 = not at all). Example items included "Organized" (conscientiousness), "Sympathetic" (agreeableness), "Worrying" (neuroticism), "Imaginative" (openness to experience), and "Talkative" (extraversion). For better comparability with the LISS panel, we reverse scored all items so that higher values 295 corresponded to higher trait levels and, at each wave, took the mean of each subscale as 296 the trait score. Big Five trait scores showed satisfactory internal consistencies which 297

²⁹⁸ averaged XX over all traits and years ranging from XX (X) in year to XX (X) in year.

$_{299}$ Life satisfaction

In both samples, life satisfaction was assessed using the 5-item Satisfaction with Life
Scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al., 1985) which participants answered on a 7-point Likert scale (1

scale (SWLS; Diener et al.

307 Transition to Grandparenthood

The procedure to obtain information on grandparents' transition to 308 grandparenthood generally followed the same steps in both samples. The items this coding 309 was based on, however, differed slightly: In the LISS panel, participants were asked "Do 310 you have children and/or grandchildren?" with "children", "grandchildren", and "no children or grandchildren" as possible answer categories. This question was part of the Work and Schooling module and filtered to participants performing paid work. In the HRS, 313 all participants were asked for the total number of grandchildren: "Altogether, how many 314 grandchildren do you (or your husband / wife / partner, or your late husband / wife / 315 partner) have? Include as grandchildren any children of your (or your [late] husband's / 316 wife's / partner's) biological, step- or adopted children". 317 In both samples, we tracked grandparenthood status ($0 = no \ qrandchildren, 1 = at$ 318 least one grandchild) over time. Due to longitudinally inconsistent data in some cases, we 319 included in the grandparent group only participants with exactly one transition from 0 to 1 320 in this grandparenthood status variable, and no transitions back (see Fig. SX). We marked

⁵ In the LISS panel, the "somewhat" was omitted and instead of "or" "nor" was used.

⁶ The reference to step- or adopted children has been added since wave 2006.

participants who continually indicated that they had no grandchildren as potential members of the control groups.

For propensity score matching, we used a broad set of covariates (VanderWeele et

Covariates

325

al., 2020) covering participants' demographics (e.g., education), economic situation (e.g., income), and health (e.g., mobility difficulties). We also included the pre-transition 327 outcome variables as covariates—as recommended in the literature (Cook et al., 2020; 328 Hallberg et al., 2018; Steiner et al., 2010; VanderWeele et al., 2020), as well as the panel 329 wave participation count and the assessment year in order to control for instrumentation 330 effects and historical trends (e.g., 2008 financial crisis; Baird et al., 2010; Luhmann et al., 331 2014). For matching grandparents with the parent control group we additionally included 332 as covariates variables related to fertility and family history (e.g., number of children, age 333 of first three children) which were causally related to the timing of the transition to 334 grandparenthood (i.e., entry into treatment; Arpino, Gumà, et al., 2018; Margolis & 335 Verdery, 2019). 336 Covariate selection has seldom been explicitly discussed in previous longitudinal 337 studies estimating treatment effects of life events (e.g., through a matching design). We see 338 two (in part conflicting) traditions that address covariate selection: First, classical 339 recommendations from psychology argue to include all available variables that are to 340 associated with both the treatment assignment process (i.e., selection into treatment) and 341 the outcome (e.g., Steiner et al., 2010; Stuart, 2010). Second, recommendations from a structural causal modeling perspective (see Elwert & Winship, 2014; Rohrer, 2018) are more cautious aiming to avoid pitfalls such as conditioning on a pre-treatment collider (collider bias) or a mediator (overcontrol bias). Structural causal modeling, however, 345 requires advanced knowledge of the causal structures underlying all involved variables 346 (Pearl, 2009).

360

361

362

363

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

In selecting covariates, we followed guidelines laid out by VanderWeele et al. (2019; 348 2020) which reconcile both views and offer practical guidance when complete knowledge of 349 the underlying causal structures is unknown: They propose a "modified disjunctive cause 350 criterion" (VanderWeele, 2019, p. 218) recommending to select all available covariates 351 which are assumed to be causes of the outcomes, treatment exposure (i.e., the transition to 352 grandparenthood), or both, as well as any proxies for an unmeasured common cause of the 353 outcomes and treatment exposure. To be excluded from this list are variables assumed to 354 be instrumental variables (i.e., assumed causes of treatment exposure that are unrelated to 355 the outcomes except through the exposure) and collider variables (Elwert & Winship, 356 2014). Because all our covariates were measured at the time of matching (i.e., at least two 357 years before the birth of the grandchild), we judge the risk of covariates introducing 358 collider bias and overcontrol bias to be relatively small.

An overview of the variables we used to compute the propensity scores for matching can be found in the Supplemental Material, alongside justification for each covariate on whether we assume it to be causally related to treatment assignment, the outcomes, or both. Generally, we tried to find substantively equivalent covariates in both samples but had to compromise in a few cases (e.g., children's educational level only in HRS vs. children living at home only in LISS).

Estimating propensity scores requires complete covariate data. Therefore, before computing propensity scores, we performed multiple imputations in order to account for missingness in our covariates (Greenland & Finkle, 1995). Using five imputed data sets computed by classification and regression trees (CART; Burgette & Reiter, 2010) in the *mice* R package (van Buuren & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011), we predicted treatment assignment (i.e., the transition to grandparenthood) five times per observation in logistic regressions with a logit link function.⁷ We averaged these five scores to create the final

 $^{^{7}}$ In these logistic regressions we included all covariates listed above as predictors except for *female* which was later used for exact matching and health-related covariates in LISS-wave 2014 which altogether were not assessed in that wave.

propensity score to be used for matching (Mitra & Reiter, 2016). We only used imputed data for propensity score computation and not in later analyses because missing data in the outcome variables due to nonresponse was negligible.

Moderators

376

Based on insights from previous research, we tested three variables as potential 377 moderators of the mean-level trajectories of the Big Five and life satisfaction over the 378 transition to grandparenthood: First, we analyzed whether gender acted as a moderator as 379 indicated by research on life satisfaction (see Tanskanen et al., 2019; Di Gessa et al., 2019). 380 We coded a dummy variable indicating female gender (0 = male, 1 = female). Second, we 381 tested whether performing paid work or not was associated with divergent trajectories of 382 the Big Five and life satisfaction (see Schwaba & Bleidorn, 2019). Since the LISS 383 subsample of grandparents we identified was based exclusively on participants performing 384 paid work, we performed these analyses only in the HRS subsample. This served two 385 purposes: first, to test how participants involved in the workforce (even if officially retired) 386 differed from those not working, which might shed light on role conflict. Second, to assess 387 whether potential differences in the main results between the LISS and HRS samples 388 disappeared once we constrained the HRS sample in the same way that the LISS sample 380 had already been constrained through filtering. 390 Third, we tested how the involvement in grandchild care affected trajectories of the 391 Big Five and life satisfaction in grandparents after the transition to grandparenthood (see 392 Arpino, Bordone, et al., 2018; Danielsbacka et al., 2019; Danielsbacka & Tanskanen, 2016). 393 We coded a dummy variable (0 = provided less than 100 hours of grandchild care, 1 =provided 100 or more hours of grandchild care) as a moderator based on the question "Did you (or your [late] husband / wife / partner) spend 100 or more hours in total since the last interview / in the last two years taking care of grand- or great grandchildren?".8 This

⁸ Although dichotomization of a continuous construct (hours of care) is not ideal for moderation analysis (MacCallum et al., 2002), there were too many missing values in the variable assessing hours of care

information was only available in the HRS; in the LISS panel only very few participants answered follow-up questions on intensity of care (>50 in the final analysis sample).

400 Procedure

Drawing on all available data, three main restrictions defined the final analysis 401 samples of grandparents (see Fig. X for participant flowcharts): First, we identified 402 participants who indicated having grandchildren for the first time during study 403 participation (see Measures; $N_{LISS} = 337$; $N_{HRS} = 2982$, including HRS waves 1996-2004 404 before personality assessments were introduced). Second, we restricted the sample to 405 participants with at least one valid personality assessment $(N_{LISS} = 335; N_{HRS} = 1577)$. 406 Third, we included in the analysis samples only participants with both a valid personality 407 assessment before and one after the transition to grandparenthood ($N_{LISS} = 253; N_{HRS} =$ 408 721). Lastly, few participants were excluded because of inconsistent or missing information 400 regarding their children¹⁰ resulting the final analysis samples of first-time grandparents, 410 $N_{LISS} = 250$ (XX% female; age at transition to grandparenthood M = XX, SD = XX) and 411 $N_{HRS} = 712$ (XX% female; age at transition to grandparenthood M = XX, SD = XX). 412 To disentangle effects of the transition to grandparenthood from effects of being a 413 parent, we defined two pools of potential control subjects to be involved in the matching 414 procedure: The first pool of potential control subjects comprised parents who had at least 415 one child in reproductive age (defined as $15 \leq age_{firstborn} \leq 65$) but no grandchildren 416 throughout the observation period ($N_{LISS} = 844$ with 3,040 longitudinal observations; $N_{HRS} = 1,891$ with 3,300 longitudinal observations). The second pool of potential matches comprised participants who reported being childless throughout the observation period

directly (variables *E063).

⁹ For the HRS subsample, we also excluded N=30 grandparents in a previous step who reported unrealistically high numbers of grandchildren (> 10) in their first assessment following the transition to grandparenthood.

¹⁰ We opted not to use multiple imputation for these child-related variables such as number of children which defined the control groups and were also later used for computing the propensity scores.

 $(N_{LISS} = 1077 \text{ with } 4,337 \text{ longitudinal observations}; N_{HRS} = 1,577 \text{ with } 2,357 \text{ longitudinal}$ observations). The two control groups were, thus, by definition mutually exclusive.

In order to match each grandparent with a control participant who was most similar 422 in terms of the included covariates we utilized propensity score matching. Propensity score 423 matching of grandparents was performed in a grandparent's survey year which preceded 424 the first wave after reporting the transition by at least two years. This served the purpose 425 to ensure that the covariates used for matching were not affected by the event itself or its 426 anticipation (i.e., when one's child was already pregnant with the grandchild; Greenland, 427 2003; Rosenbaum, 1984; VanderWeele et al., 2020). Propensity score matching was 428 performed using the MatchIt R package (Ho et al., 2011) with exact matching on gender 429 combined with Mahalanobis distance matching on the propensity score. In total, four 430 matchings were performed; two per sample (LISS; HRS) and two per control group (parents but not grandparents; nonparents). We matched 1:1 with replacement because of 432 the relatively small pools of available non-grandparent controls. This meant that control 433 observations were allowed to be used multiple times for matching (i.e., duplicated in the 434 analysis samples¹¹). We did not specify a caliper because our goal was to find matches for 435 all grandparents, and because we achieved satisfactory covariate balance this way.

We evaluated the matching procedure in terms of covariate balance and, graphically,
in terms of overlap of the distributions of the propensity scores and (non-categorical)
covariates (Stuart, 2010). Covariate balance as indicated by the standardized difference in
means between the grandparent and the controls after matching was satisfactory (see Table
X) lying below 0.25 as recommended in the literature (Stuart, 2010). Graphically, the
differences between the distributions of the propensity score and the covariates were also

 $^{^{11}}$ In the LISS data, 250 grandparent observations were matched with 250 control observations corresponding to 186 unique person-year observations stemming from 130 unique participants for the parent control group and to 174 unique person-year observations stemming from 107 unique participants for the nonparent control group. In the HRS data, 712 grandparent observations were matched with 712 control observations corresponding to 503 unique person-year observations stemming from 442 unique participants for the parent control group and to 418 unique person-year observations stemming from 350 unique participants for the nonparent control group.

small and indicated no missing overlap (see Fig. SX).

After matching, each matched control observation received the same value as their 444 matched grandparent in the time variable describing the temporal relation to treatment, 445 and the control subject's other longitudinal observations were centered around this matched 446 observation. Thereby, we coded a counterfactual transition time frame for each control 447 subject. Due to left- and right censored longitudinal data (i.e., panel entry or attrition), we 448 restricted the final analysis samples to six years before and six years after the transition as 440 shown in Table X. We analyzed unbalanced panel data where not every participant 450 provided all person-year observations. The final LISS analysis samples, thus, contained 250 451 grandparents with XXXX longitudinal observations, matched with 250 control subjects 452 with either XXXX (parent control group) or XXXX lognitudinal observations (nonparent 453 control group). The final HRS analysis samples contained 712 grandparents with XXXX longitudinal observations, matched with 250 control subjects with either XXXX (parent 455 control group) or XXXX lognitudinal observations (nonparent control group).

457 Analytical Strategy

Our design can be referred to as an interrupted time-series with a "nonequivalent no-treatment control group" (Shadish et al., 2002, p. 182) where treatment, that is, the transition to grandparenthood, is not deliberately manipulated.

First, to analyze mean-level changes, we used linear piecewise regression coefficients in multilevel regression models with person-year observations nested within participants (Hoffman, 2015). To model change over time in relation to the birth of the first grandchild, we coded three piecewise regression coefficients: a before-slope representing linear change in the years leading up to the transition to grandparenthood, an after-slope representing linear change in the years after the transition, and a jump coefficient shifting the intercept directly after the transition was first reported, thus representing sudden changes that go beyond changes already modeled by the after-slope (see Table SX for the coding scheme of

these coefficients). Similar piecewise growth-curve models have recently been adopted to study personality development (e.g., Bleidorn & Schwaba, 2018; Krämer & Rodgers, 2020; Schwaba & Bleidorn, 2019; van Scheppingen & Leopold, 2020).

All effects of the transition to grandparenthood on the Big Five and life satisfaction 472 were modeled as deviations from patterns in the matched control groups by interacting the 473 three piecewise coefficients with the binary treatment variable ($0 = control \ subject$, 1 =474 grandparent). In additional models, we interacted these coefficients with the binary gender 475 variable (0 = male, 1 = female) resulting in three-way interactions that tested whether 476 effects varied significantly by gender. To test differences in the growth parameters between 477 two groups in cases where these differences were represented by multiple fixed-effects 478 coefficients, we defined linear contrasts using the "linear Hypothesis" command from the car 479 R package (Fox & Weisberg, 2019). All models of mean-level changes were estimated using 480 maximum likelihood and included random intercepts but no random slopes of the piecewise 481 regression coefficients. 482

Second, to assess interindividual differences in intraindividual change in the Big Five 483 and life satisfaction we added random slopes to the models assessing mean-level changes 484 (see Denissen et al., 2019 for a similar approach). In other words, we allowed for differences 485 between individuals in their trajectories of change to be modeled, that is, differences in the 486 before-slope, after-slope, and jump coefficients. Because multiple simultaneous random 487 slopes are often not computationally feasible, we added random slopes one at a time and 488 used likelihood ratio test to determine whether the addition of the respective random slope 489 led to a significant improvement in model fit. We plotted distributions of random slopes 490 (for a similar approach, see Denissen et al., 2019; Doré & Bolger, 2018). To test differences 491 in the random slopes between the grandparent group and the control groups, we???. 492

Third, to examine rank-order stability in the Big Five and life satisfaction over the transition to grandparenthood, we computed the test-retest correlation of measurements prior to the transition to grandparenthood (at the time of matching) with the first

available measurement after the transition. To test the difference in test-retest stability
between grandparents and either of the control groups, we entered the pre-treatment
measure as well as the treatment variable (0 = controls, 1 = grandparents) and their
interaction into regression models predicting the Big Five and life satisfaction. The
interaction tests for significant differences in the test-retest stability between those who
experienced the transition to grandparenthood and those who did not (for a similar
approach, see Denissen et al., 2019; McCrae, 1993).

We used R (Version 4.0.4; R Core Team, 2021) and the R-packages lme4 (Version 1.1.26; Bates et al., 2015), and lmerTest (Version 3.1.3; Kuznetsova et al., 2017) for multilevel modeling, as well as tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019) for data wrangling, and papaja (Aust & Barth, 2020) for reproducible manuscript production. Additional modeling details and a list of all software we used is provided in the Supplemental Material. In line with Benjamin et al. (n.d.), we set the α -level for all confirmatory analyses to .005.

2. How large are interindividual differences in intraindividual change for the Big Five traits and life satisfaction over the transition to grandparenthood?

511 Results

512 Discussion

Based on

509

510

514

515

- personality maturation cross-culturally: (Bleidorn et al., 2013; Chopik & Kitayama, 2018)
- facets / nuances (Mõttus & Rozgonjuk, 2021)
- arrival of grandchild associated with retirement decisions (Lumsdaine & Vermeer, 2015); pers X WB interaction over retirement (Henning et al., 2017);

- Does the Transition to Grandparenthood Deter Gray Divorce? A Test of the Braking

 Hypothesis (Brown et al., 2021)
- prolonged period of grandparenthood? (Margolis & Wright, 2017)
- subjective experience of aging (Bordone & Arpino, 2015)
- policy relevance of personality (Bleidorn et al., 2019), e.g., health outcomes (Turiano et al., 2012), but not really evidence for healthy neuroticism (Turiano et al., 2020)

525 Limitations

Despite

527 Conclusions

528 Our

529 Acknowledgements

We thank X for valuable feedback.

References

```
Anusic, I., & Schimmack, U. (2016). Stability and change of personality traits, self-esteem,
and well-being: Introducing the meta-analytic stability and change model of retest
correlations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 110(5), 766–781.
```

- https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000066
- Anusic, I., Yap, S., & Lucas, R. E. (2014a). Does personality moderate reaction and adaptation to major life events? Analysis of life satisfaction and affect in an Australian national sample. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 51, 69–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2014.04.009
- Anusic, I., Yap, S., & Lucas, R. E. (2014b). Testing set-point theory in a Swiss national sample: Reaction and adaptation to major life events. *Social Indicators Research*, 119(3), 1265–1288. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0541-2
- Ardelt, M. (2000). Still stable after all these years? Personality stability theory revisited.

 Social Psychology Quarterly, 63(4), 392–405. https://doi.org/10.2307/2695848
- Arpino, B., Bordone, V., & Balbo, N. (2018). Grandparenting, education and subjective well-being of older Europeans. *European Journal of Ageing*, 15(3), 251–263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-018-0467-2
- Arpino, B., Gumà, J., & Julià, A. (2018). Family histories and the demography of grandparenthood. *Demographic Research*, 39(42), 1105–1150.

 https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2018.39.42
- Asselmann, E., & Specht, J. (2020). Testing the Social Investment Principle Around

 Childbirth: Little Evidence for Personality Maturation Before and After Becoming

 a Parent. European Journal of Personality, n/a(n/a).
- https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2269
- Ates, M. (2017). Does grandchild care influence grandparents' self-rated health? Evidence

- from a fixed effects approach. Social Science & Medicine, 190, 67–74.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.08.021
- Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). papaja: Prepare reproducible APA journal articles with R

 Markdown. https://github.com/crsh/papaja
- Baird, B. M., Lucas, R. E., & Donnellan, M. B. (2010). Life satisfaction across the lifespan:
- Findings from two nationally representative panel studies. *Social Indicators*
- search, 99(2), 183–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-010-9584-9
- Balbo, N., & Arpino, B. (2016). The role of family orientations in shaping the effect of
- fertility on subjective well-being: A propensity score matching approach.
- 565 Demography, 53(4), 955–978. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-016-0480-z
- Baltes, P. B., Lindenberger, U., & Staudinger, U. M. (2006). Life Span Theory in
- Developmental Psychology. In R. M. Lerner & W. Damon (Eds.), Handbook of child
- psychology: Theoretical models of human development (pp. 569–664). John Wiley &
- Sons Inc.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects
- models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1), 1–48.
- https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Bengtson, V. L. (2001). Beyond the Nuclear Family: The Increasing Importance of
- Multigenerational Bonds. Journal of Marriage and Family, 63(1), 1–16.
- 575 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2001.00001.x
- Benjamin, D. J., Berger, J. O., Clyde, M., Wolpert, R. L., Johnson, V. E., Johannesson,
- M., Dreber, A., Nosek, B. A., Wagenmakers, E. J., Berk, R., & Brembs, B. (n.d.).
- Redefine statistical significance. Nature Human Behavior, 2, 6–10.
- 579 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0189-z
- Bleidorn, W., Hill, P. L., Back, M. D., Denissen, J. J. A., Hennecke, M., Hopwood, C. J.,

```
Jokela, M., Kandler, C., Lucas, R. E., Luhmann, M., Orth, U., Wagner, J., Wrzus,
581
          C., Zimmermann, J., & Roberts, B. W. (2019). The policy relevance of personality
582
          traits. American Psychologist, 74(9), 1056–1067.
583
          https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000503
584
   Bleidorn, W., Hopwood, C. J., & Lucas, R. E. (2018). Life events and personality trait
585
          change. Journal of Personality, 86(1), 83–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12286
586
   Bleidorn, W., Klimstra, T. A., Denissen, J. J. A., Rentfrow, P. J., Potter, J., & Gosling, S.
587
          D. (2013). Personality Maturation Around the World: A Cross-Cultural
588
          Examination of Social-Investment Theory. Psychological Science, 24 (12),
580
          2530–2540. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613498396
590
   Bleidorn, W., & Schwaba, T. (2018). Retirement is associated with change in self-esteem.
591
          Psychology and Aging, 33(4), 586-594. https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000253
592
   Bleidorn, W., & Schwaba, T. (2017). Personality development in emerging adulthood. In
593
          J. Specht (Ed.), Personality Development Across the Lifespan (pp. 39–51).
594
          Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804674-6.00004-1
595
   Bordone, V., & Arpino, B. (2015). Do Grandchildren Influence How Old You Feel? Journal
596
          of Aging and Health, 28(6), 1055–1072. https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264315618920
597
   Brown, S. L., Lin, I.-F., & Mellencamp, K. A. (2021). Does the Transition to
          Grandparenthood Deter Gray Divorce? A Test of the Braking Hypothesis. Social
599
          Forces, 99(3), 1209–1232. https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/soaa030
600
   Brüderl, J., & Ludwig, V. (2015). Fixed-Effects Panel Regression (H. Best & C. Wolf,
601
          Eds.). SAGE.
```

Burgette, L. F., & Reiter, J. P. (2010). Multiple Imputation for Missing Data via

Sequential Regression Trees. American Journal of Epidemiology, 172(9), 1070–1076.

https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwq260

- Caspi, A., & Moffitt, T. E. (1993). When do individual differences matter? A paradoxical theory of personality coherence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 4(4), 247–271.
- https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli 0404_1
- 609 Chopik, W. J., & Kitayama, S. (2018). Personality change across the life span: Insights
- from a cross-cultural, longitudinal study. Journal of Personality, 86(3), 508–521.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12332
- 612 Chung, S., & Park, A. (2018). The longitudinal effects of grandchild care on depressive
- symptoms and physical health of grandmothers in South Korea: A latent growth
- approach. Aging & Mental Health, 22(12), 1556-1563.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2017.1376312
- 616 Condon, J., Luszcz, M., & McKee, I. (2018). The transition to grandparenthood: A
- prospective study of mental health implications. Aging & Mental Health, 22(3),
- 336-343. https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2016.1248897
- Cook, T. D., Zhu, N., Klein, A., Starkey, P., & Thomas, J. (2020). How much bias results
- if a quasi-experimental design combines local comparison groups, a pretest outcome
- measure and other covariates?: A within study comparison of preschool effects.
- Psychological Methods, Advance Online Publication, 0.
- https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000260
- Costa, P. T., McCrae, R. R., & Löckenhoff, C. E. (2019). Personality Across the Life Span.
- Annual Review of Psychology, 70(1), 423-448.
- https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-103244
- Danielsbacka, M., & Tanskanen, A. O. (2016). The association between grandparental
- investment and grandparents' happiness in Finland. Personal Relationships, 23(4),
- 787–800. https://doi.org/10.1111/pere.12160
- Danielsbacka, M., Tanskanen, A. O., Coall, D. A., & Jokela, M. (2019). Grandparental
- childcare, health and well-being in Europe: A within-individual investigation of

```
longitudinal data. Social Science & Medicine, 230, 194–203.
632
          https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.03.031
633
   Denissen, J. J. A., Luhmann, M., Chung, J. M., & Bleidorn, W. (2019). Transactions
634
          between life events and personality traits across the adult lifespan. Journal of
635
           Personality and Social Psychology, 116(4), 612–633.
636
          https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000196
637
   Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction With Life
638
          Scale. Journal of Personality Assessment, 49(1), 71–75.
639
          https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa4901__13
   Di Gessa, G., Bordone, V., & Arpino, B. (2019). Becoming a Grandparent and Its Effect
          on Well-Being: The Role of Order of Transitions, Time, and Gender. The Journals
642
           of Gerontology, Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, Advance
643
           Online Publication. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbz135
644
   Di Gessa, G., Glaser, K., & Tinker, A. (2016a). The Health Impact of Intensive and
645
           Nonintensive Grandchild Care in Europe: New Evidence From SHARE. The
646
           Journals of Gerontology, Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences,
647
           71(5), 867–879. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbv055
648
    Di Gessa, G., Glaser, K., & Tinker, A. (2016b). The impact of caring for grandchildren on
640
           the health of grandparents in Europe: A lifecourse approach. Social Science \mathcal{E}
650
           Medicine, 152, 166–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.01.041
651
   Doré, B., & Bolger, N. (2018). Population- and individual-level changes in life satisfaction
652
          surrounding major life stressors. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 9(7),
653
          875-884. https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550617727589
654
   Elwert, F., & Winship, C. (2014). Endogenous Selection Bias: The Problem of
655
           Conditioning on a Collider Variable. Annual Review of Sociology, 40(1), 31–53.
656
```

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071913-043455

- Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2019). An R companion to applied regression (Third). Sage.
- 659 Goldberg, L. R. (1992). The development of markers for the Big-Five factor structure.
- Psychological Assessment, 4(1), 26-42. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.4.1.26
- 661 Greenland, S. (2003). Quantifying biases in causal models: Classical confounding vs
- collider-stratification bias. *Epidemiology*, 14(3), 300–306.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/01.EDE.0000042804.12056.6C
- 664 Greenland, S., & Finkle, W. D. (1995). A Critical Look at Methods for Handling Missing
- 665 Covariates in Epidemiologic Regression Analyses. American Journal of
- Epidemiology, 142(12), 1255–1264.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a117592
- 668 Hallberg, K., Cook, T. D., Steiner, P. M., & Clark, M. H. (2018). Pretest Measures of the
- Study Outcome and the Elimination of Selection Bias: Evidence from Three Within
- Study Comparisons. Prevention Science, 19(3), 274–283.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-016-0732-6
- Henning, G., Hansson, I., Berg, A. I., Lindwall, M., & Johansson, B. (2017). The role of
- personality for subjective well-being in the retirement transition Comparing
- variable- and person-oriented models. Personality and Individual Differences, 116,
- 675 385–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.05.017
- 676 Ho, D. E., Imai, K., King, G., & Stuart, E. A. (2011). MatchIt: Nonparametric
- preprocessing for parametric causal inference. Journal of Statistical Software, 42(8),
- 1-28.
- 679 Hoffman, L. (2015). Longitudinal analysis: Modeling within-person fluctuation and change.
- Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Hutteman, R., Hennecke, M., Orth, U., Reitz, A. K., & Specht, J. (2014). Developmental
- Tasks as a Framework to Study Personality Development in Adulthood and Old

- Age. European Journal of Personality, 28(3), 267–278. 683 https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1959 684 Kandler, C., Kornadt, A. E., Hagemeyer, B., & Neyer, F. J. (2015). Patterns and sources 685 of personality development in old age. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 686 109(1), 175–191. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000028 687 Krämer, M. D., & Rodgers, J. L. (2020). The impact of having children on domain-specific 688 life satisfaction: A quasi-experimental longitudinal investigation using the 689 Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP) data. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 690 119(6), 1497–1514. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000279 691 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., & Christensen, R. H. B. (2017). lmerTest package: Tests 692 in linear mixed effects models. Journal of Statistical Software, 82(13), 1–26. 693 https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13 694 Lachman, M. E., & Weaver, S. L. (1997). The Midlife Development Inventory (MIDI) 695 personality scales: Scale construction and scoring. Brandeis University. 696 Leopold, T., & Skopek, J. (2015). The Demography of Grandparenthood: An International 697 Profile. Social Forces, 94(2), 801–832. https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/sov066 698 Lodi-Smith, J., & Roberts, B. W. (2007). Social Investment and Personality: A Meta-Analysis of the Relationship of Personality Traits to Investment in Work, 700 Family, Religion, and Volunteerism. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 701 11(1), 68–86. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868306294590 702 Lucas, R. E., & Donnellan, M. B. (2011). Personality development across the life span: 703 Longitudinal analyses with a national sample from Germany. Journal of Personality 704 and Social Psychology, 101(4), 847–861. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024298
- Luhmann, M., Fassbender, I., Alcock, M., & Haehner, P. (2020). A dimensional taxonomy 706 of perceived characteristics of major life events. Journal of Personality and Social 707

- Psychology, No Pagination Specified—No Pagination Specified.

 https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000291
- Luhmann, M., Hofmann, W., Eid, M., & Lucas, R. E. (2012). Subjective well-being and adaptation to life events: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social*Psychology, 102(3), 592–615. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025948
- Luhmann, M., Orth, U., Specht, J., Kandler, C., & Lucas, R. E. (2014). Studying changes in life circumstances and personality: It's about time. European Journal of

 Personality, 28(3), 256–266. https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1951
- Lumsdaine, R. L., & Vermeer, S. J. C. (2015). Retirement timing of women and the role of
 care responsibilities for grandchildren. *Demography*, 52(2), 433–454.

 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-015-0382-5
- Lüdtke, O., Roberts, B. W., Trautwein, U., & Nagy, G. (2011). A random walk down
 university avenue: Life paths, life events, and personality trait change at the
 transition to university life. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 101(3),
 620–637. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023743
- MacCallum, R. C., Zhang, S., Preacher, K. J., & Rucker, D. D. (2002). On the practice of dichotomization of quantitative variables. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 19–40.

 https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.7.1.19
- Mahne, K., & Huxhold, O. (2014). Grandparenthood and Subjective Well-Being:

 Moderating Effects of Educational Level. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*,

 70(5), 782–792. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbu147
- Margolis, R., & Verdery, A. M. (2019). A Cohort Perspective on the Demography of
 Grandparenthood: Past, Present, and Future Changes in Race and Sex Disparities
 in the United States. *Demography*, 56(4), 1495–1518.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-019-00795-1

- Margolis, R., & Wright, L. (2017). Healthy Grandparenthood: How Long Is It, and How
- Has It Changed? Demography, 54(6), 2073-2099.
- 735 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-017-0620-0
- Marsh, H. W., Nagengast, B., & Morin, A. J. S. (2013). Measurement invariance of big-five
- factors over the life span: ESEM tests of gender, age, plasticity, maturity, and la
- dolce vita effects. Developmental Psychology, 49(6), 1194–1218.
- https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026913
- McCrae, R. R. (1993). Moderated analyses of longitudinal personality stability. Journal of
- Personality and Social Psychology, 65(3), 577–585.
- 742 https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.65.3.577
- McNeish, D. (2018). Thanks coefficient alpha, we'll take it from here. Psychological
- 744 Methods, 23(3), 412–433. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000144
- McNeish, D., & Kelley, K. (2019). Fixed effects models versus mixed effects models for
- clustered data: Reviewing the approaches, disentangling the differences, and making
- recommendations. Psychological Methods, 24(1), 20–35.
- https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000182
- Mitra, R., & Reiter, J. P. (2016). A comparison of two methods of estimating propensity
- scores after multiple imputation. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 25(1),
- 751 188–204. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280212445945
- ⁷⁵² Mõttus, R., Johnson, W., & Deary, I. J. (2012). Personality traits in old age: Measurement
- and rank-order stability and some mean-level change. Psychology and Aging, 27(1),
- ⁷⁵⁴ 243–249. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023690
- Mõttus, R., & Rozgonjuk, D. (2021). Development is in the details: Age differences in the
- Big Five domains, facets, and nuances. Journal of Personality and Social
- Psychology, 120(4), 1035–1048. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000276

```
Mueller, S., Wagner, J., Drewelies, J., Duezel, S., Eibich, P., Specht, J., Demuth, I.,
758
           Steinhagen-Thiessen, E., Wagner, G. G., & Gerstorf, D. (2016). Personality
759
           development in old age relates to physical health and cognitive performance:
760
           Evidence from the Berlin Aging Study II. Journal of Research in Personality, 65,
761
          94–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2016.08.007
762
   Pearl, J. (2009). Causal inference in statistics: An overview. Statistics Surveys, 3, 96–146.
763
          https://doi.org/10.1214/09-SS057
764
   R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
765
           Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
766
   Roberts, B. W., & DelVecchio, W. F. (2000). The rank-order consistency of personality
767
           traits from childhood to old age: A quantitative review of longitudinal studies.
768
           Psychological Bulletin, 126(1), 3-25. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.126.1.3
769
   Roberts, B. W., Walton, K. E., & Viechtbauer, W. (2006). Patterns of mean-level change
770
          in personality traits across the life course: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies.
771
           Psychological Bulletin, 132, 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.1.1
772
   Roberts, B. W., & Wood, D. (2006). Personality Development in the Context of the
773
           Neo-Socioanalytic Model of Personality. In D. K. Mroczek & T. D. Little (Eds.),
774
           Handbook of Personality Development. Routledge.
775
   Roberts, B. W., Wood, D., & Smith, J. L. (2005). Evaluating Five Factor Theory and
776
          social investment perspectives on personality trait development. Journal of
           Research in Personality, 39(1), 166–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2004.08.002
778
   Rohrer, J. M. (2018). Thinking Clearly About Correlations and Causation: Graphical
           Causal Models for Observational Data. Advances in Methods and Practices in
780
```

Rosenbaum, P. (1984). The consquences of adjustment for a concomitant variable that has

781

Psychological Science, 1(1), 27-42. https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245917745629

- been affected by the treatment. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A

 (General), 147(5), 656–666. https://doi.org/10.2307/2981697
- Scherpenzeel, A. (2011). Data Collection in a Probability-Based Internet Panel: How the
- LISS Panel Was Built and How It Can Be Used. Bulletin of Sociological
- Methodology/Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique, 109(1), 56-61.
- 788 https://doi.org/10.1177/0759106310387713
- Scherpenzeel, A. C., & Das, M. (2010). True" longitudinal and probability-based internet
- panels: Evidence from the Netherlands. In M. Das, P. Ester, & L. Kaczmirek
- (Eds.), Social and behavioral research and the internet: Advances in applied methods
- and research strategies (pp. 77–104). Taylor & Francis.
- Schwaba, T., & Bleidorn, W. (2019). Personality trait development across the transition to
- retirement. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 116(4), 651–665.
- https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000179
- Schwaba, T., & Bleidorn, W. (2018). Individual differences in personality change across the
- adult life span. Journal of Personality, 86(3), 450-464.
- 798 https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12327
- ⁷⁹⁹ Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). Experimental and
- quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Houghton, Mifflin and
- 801 Company.
- Sheppard, P., & Monden, C. (2019). Becoming a First-Time Grandparent and Subjective
- Well-Being: A Fixed Effects Approach. Journal of Marriage and Family, 81(4),
- 804 1016–1026. https://doi.org/10.1111/jomf.12584
- Skopek, J., & Leopold, T. (2017). Who becomes a grandparent and when? Educational
- differences in the chances and timing of grandparenthood. Demographic Research,
- 37(29), 917–928. https://doi.org/10.4054/DemRes.2017.37.29

- Sonnega, A., Faul, J. D., Ofstedal, M. B., Langa, K. M., Phillips, J. W., & Weir, D. R. 808 (2014). Cohort Profile: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS). International 809 Journal of Epidemiology, 43(2), 576-585. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu067 810 Specht, J. (2017). Personality development in adulthood and old age. In J. Specht (Ed.), 811 Personality Development Across the Lifespan (pp. 53–67). Academic Press. 812 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804674-6.00005-3 813 Specht, J., Bleidorn, W., Denissen, J. J. A., Hennecke, M., Hutteman, R., Kandler, C., 814 Luhmann, M., Orth, U., Reitz, A. K., & Zimmermann, J. (2014). What Drives 815 Adult Personality Development? A Comparison of Theoretical Perspectives and 816 Empirical Evidence. European Journal of Personality, 28(3), 216–230. 817 https://doi.org/10.1002/per.1966 818 Specht, J., Egloff, B., & Schmukle, S. C. (2011). Stability and change of personality across 819 the life course: The impact of age and major life events on mean-level and 820 rank-order stability of the Big Five. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 821 101(4), 862–882. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024950 822 Steiner, P., Cook, T., Shadish, W., & Clark, M. (2010). The Importance of Covariate 823 Selection in Controlling for Selection Bias in Observational Studies. Psychological 824 Methods, 15, 250–267. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018719 825 Stephan, Y., Sutin, A. R., & Terracciano, A. (2014). Physical activity and personality 826 development across adulthood and old age: Evidence from two longitudinal studies. 827 Journal of Research in Personality, 49, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2013.12.003 829 Stuart, E. A. (2010). Matching methods for causal inference: A review and a look forward. 830 Statistical Science: A Review Journal of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 831
- Tanskanen, A. O., Danielsbacka, M., Coall, D. A., & Jokela, M. (2019). Transition to

25(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1214/09-STS313

```
Grandparenthood and Subjective Well-Being in Older Europeans: A Within-Person
834
          Investigation Using Longitudinal Data. Evolutionary Psychology, 17(3),
835
           1474704919875948. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474704919875948
836
   Triadó, C., Villar, F., Celdrán, M., & Solé, C. (2014). Grandparents Who Provide
837
           Auxiliary Care for Their Grandchildren: Satisfaction, Difficulties, and Impact on
838
          Their Health and Well-being. Journal of Intergenerational Relationships, 12(2),
830
           113–127. https://doi.org/10.1080/15350770.2014.901102
840
   Turiano, N. A., Graham, E. K., Weston, S. J., Booth, T., Harrison, F., James, B. D.,
          Lewis, N. A., Makkar, S. R., Mueller, S., Wisniewski, K. M., Zhaoyang, R., Spiro,
842
           A., Willis, S., Schaie, K. W., Lipton, R. B., Katz, M., Sliwinski, M., Deary, I. J.,
           Zelinski, E. M., ... Mroczek, D. K. (2020). Is Healthy Neuroticism Associated with
           Longevity? A Coordinated Integrative Data Analysis. Collabra: Psychology, 6(33).
845
          https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.268
846
   Turiano, N. A., Pitzer, L., Armour, C., Karlamangla, A., Ryff, C. D., & Mroczek, D. K.
847
           (2012). Personality Trait Level and Change as Predictors of Health Outcomes:
848
           Findings From a National Study of Americans (MIDUS). The Journals of
849
           Gerontology: Series B, 67B(1), 4-12. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbr072
850
   van Buuren, S., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011). mice: Multivariate imputation by
851
          chained equations in r. Journal of Statistical Software, 45(3), 1-67.
852
   van der Laan, J. (2009). Representativity of the LISS panel (Discussion Paper 09041).
853
           Statistics Netherlands.
854
   VanderWeele, T. J. (2019). Principles of confounder selection. European Journal of
           Epidemiology, 34(3), 211–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-019-00494-6
856
   VanderWeele, T. J., Mathur, M. B., & Chen, Y. (2020). Outcome-Wide Longitudinal
857
           Designs for Causal Inference: A New Template for Empirical Studies. Statistical
858
```

Science, 35(3), 437–466. https://doi.org/10.1214/19-STS728

```
van Scheppingen, M. A., Jackson, J. J., Specht, J., Hutteman, R., Denissen, J. J. A., &
860
           Bleidorn, W. (2016). Personality Trait Development During the Transition to
861
           Parenthood: A Test of Social Investment Theory. Social Psychological and
862
           Personality Science, 7(5), 452–462. https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550616630032
863
   van Scheppingen, M. A., & Leopold, T. (2020). Trajectories of life satisfaction before, upon,
          and after divorce: Evidence from a new matching approach. Journal of Personality
           and Social Psychology, 119(6), 1444–1458. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000270
   Wagner, J., Becker, M., Lüdtke, O., & Trautwein, U. (2015). The First Partnership
867
           Experience and Personality Development: A Propensity Score Matching Study in
868
           Young Adulthood. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 6(4), 455–463.
860
          https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550614566092
870
   Wagner, J., Orth, U., Bleidorn, W., Hopwood, C. J., & Kandler, C. (2020). Toward an
871
          Integrative Model of Sources of Personality Stability and Change. Current
872
           Directions in Psychological Science, 29(5), 438–444.
873
          https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721420924751
874
   Wagner, J., Ram, N., Smith, J., & Gerstorf, D. (2016). Personality trait development at
875
           the end of life: Antecedents and correlates of mean-level trajectories. Journal of
876
           Personality and Social Psychology, 111(3), 411–429.
          https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000071
878
   Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R.,
870
           Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T. L., Miller,
880
          E., Bache, S. M., Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D. P., Spinu, V., ...
881
           Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software,
882
           4(43), 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686
883
   Wortman, J., Lucas, R. E., & Donnellan, M. B. (2012). Stability and change in the Big
884
```

Five personality domains: Evidence from a longitudinal study of Australians.

Psychology and Aging, 27(4), 867–874. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029322

Yap, S., Anusic, I., & Lucas, R. E. (2012). Does personality moderate reaction and

adaptation to major life events? Evidence from the British Household Panel Survey.

Journal of Research in Personality, 46(5), 477–488.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2012.05.005

888