

When do Koopman embeddings exist?¹

Matthew Kvalheim

(joint work with Philip Arathoon and Eduardo Sontag)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Maryland, Baltimore County

kvalheim@umbc.edu

¹Funding from AFOSR award FA9550-24-1-0299 is gratefully acknowledged.

Motivation

Given: a (possibly unknown) nonlinear system

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

- ▶ Extended Dynamic Mode Decomposition:² seeks $y = h(x)$, matrix A with *linear* dynamics

$$\dot{y} = Ay.$$

- ▶ To not lose information: want h one-to-one (1-1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= h^{-1}(y(t)) \\ &= h^{-1}(e^{At}h(x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Such **1-1 linearizing maps** h have also been called **Koopman embeddings** (?), **faithful linear representations** (Mezić 2021), **1-1 linear immersions** (Liu-Ozay-Sontag 2023, 2025).

²Williams, Kevrekidis, and Rowley. J Nonlinear Science (2015)

Main question considered in this talk

Necessary and sufficient conditions for 1-1 linearizing h to exist were obtained by Mezić (2021).

However, those conditions do not assume h is continuous (or smoother), which would be nice for theory and applications.



Main question:

when do continuous (or smoother) 1-1 linearizing h exist?

When do Koopman embeddings exist?

Positive results (sufficient conditions)

Negative results (necessary conditions)

A counterexample for multiple isolated equilibria

Necessary and sufficient conditions

When do Koopman embeddings exist?

Positive results (sufficient conditions)

Negative results (necessary conditions)

A counterexample for multiple isolated equilibria

Necessary and sufficient conditions

Some positive results

Continuous 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$ always exists:³

- ▶ near a hyperbolic equilibrium or limit cycle (Hartman-Grobman, Floquet);
- ▶ on the basin of any exponentially stable equilibrium or limit cycle (Lan-Mezić 2013, K-Revzen 2021);
- ▶ On the basin of ANY asymptotically stable equilibrium, not necessarily exponentially stable / hyperbolic (K-Sontag 2025)!

³There are also C^k versions of all of these results.

Global linearization for equilibria without hyperbolicity

Let x_* be asymptotically stable with basin B for

$$\dot{x} = f(x).$$

Assume f is continuous w/ unique trajectories defined for all time.

Theorem (K-Sontag 2025). There is a homeomorphism $h: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $y = h(x)$ satisfies

$$\dot{y} = Ay$$

and hence $x(t) = h^{-1}(e^{At}h(x_0))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

And if $f \in C^{k \geq 1}$, $n \neq 5$: h is a C^k diffeomorphism on $B \setminus \{x_*\}$.

Remarks

- ▶ Exponential stability / hyperbolicity of x_* is not needed.
- ▶ Proof relies on solutions to Poincaré conjecture (Smale, Perelman, Freedman). In fact:

Proposition (K-Sontag 2025). The C^k statement for $n = 5$ in last theorem is true \iff the smooth 4-D Poincaré conjecture is true.

Proposition (K 2025). In the last theorem, if the vector field f_p depends continuously on parameter $p \in P$, there is a continuous family $h_p : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of linearizing homeomorphisms if either (i) $n > 5$ and $\dim P = 1$ or (ii) $n < 4$.

- ▶ Proof of latter relies on corollary of:

Theorem (K 2025). The space of proper C^∞ Lyapunov-like functions on \mathbb{R}^n is path-connected and simply connected if $n \neq 4, 5$ and weakly contractible if $n < 4$. (Same for $C^{k \geq 1}$ & GAS vf)

When do Koopman embeddings exist?

Positive results (sufficient conditions)

Negative results (necessary conditions)

A counterexample for multiple isolated equilibria

Necessary and sufficient conditions

Some negative results

Assume a connected state space to avoid trivialities. Then

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

does **not** have a continuous 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$ if **either**:

- ▶ there is a non-global compact attractor (K-Arathoon 2024), **or**
- ▶ all forward trajectories are precompact, and there are ≥ 2 but at most countably many omega-limit sets, e.g., **multiple isolated equilibria** (Liu-Ozay-Sontag 2023, 2025).

On the other hand, on the subject of multiple isolated equilibria...

When do Koopman embeddings exist?

Positive results (sufficient conditions)

Negative results (necessary conditions)

A counterexample for multiple isolated equilibria

Necessary and sufficient conditions

Smooth 1-1 linearization despite multiple isolated equilibria

If we drop the assumption that forward trajectories are precompact, then (another positive result):

Theorem (Arathoon-K 2023). For any $n > 1$ there is a smooth vector field on \mathbb{R}^n with any given finite number of isolated equilibria such that there exists a smooth 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$.

In fact, h is a smooth embedding, and can moreover be taken of the form $h(x) = (x, g(x))$!⁴

This theorem gives a family of strong counterexamples to an oft-repeated claim.

⁴Linearizing embeddings of this form were further studied by Claude, Fliess, and Isidori (1983) and recently Belabbas, Chen, Harshana, and Ko (2022–).

Example





Figure: Smoothly embedding a nonlinear system on \mathbb{R}^2 with two isolated equilibria as an invariant subset of a linear system on \mathbb{R}^3 .

When do Koopman embeddings exist?

Positive results (sufficient conditions)

Negative results (necessary conditions)

A counterexample for multiple isolated equilibria

Necessary and sufficient conditions

Where is the boundary between the positive and negative results?

We have now seen a variety of necessary conditions and sufficient conditions on

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$

for a continuous 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$ to exist.



Fundamental question: what are necessary **and** sufficient conditions on f for such an h to exist?

Recall: without continuity, necessary and sufficient conditions for 1-1 linearizing h to exist were obtained by Mezić (2021).

Preamble to answering the fundamental question

Assume a connected state space to avoid trivialities.

- ▶ **We can answer the fundamental question** for any continuous f with unique trajectories defined for all time **if there is at least one compact attractor**.
- ▶ Recall there does not exist such an h if there are ≥ 2 such attractors, or even a single non-global compact attractor (K-Arathoon 2024).



- ▶ Remains to consider case of a global compact attractor (can also restrict to basin of local attractor to apply next result).

Torus preliminaries

The **m -torus** $T = T^m$ is Lie group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^m$, vectors w/ m real entries but w/ addition defined elementwise modulo 1.

A **torus action** on a space S is a map $\Theta: T \times S \rightarrow S$ satisfying $\Theta^{\tau_1 + \tau_2}(s) = \Theta^{\tau_1} \circ \Theta^{\tau_2}(s)$ for all $s \in S$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T$.

A **1-parameter subgroup** of Θ is a map $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times S \rightarrow S$ of the form $\Phi^t(x) = \Theta^{\omega t}(x)$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Θ has **finite orbit types** if there are only finitely many subgroups $H \subset T$ such that, for some $x \in S$,

$$H = \text{Fix}(x) := \{\tau \in T : \Theta^\tau(x) = x\}.$$

Finishing the answer to the fundamental question

Assume f is continuous with unique trajectories defined for all time, so f generates a continuous flow $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$.⁵


Theorem (K-Arathoon 2024). Assume there is a global compact attractor A (or restrict to the basin of a local attractor). Then a continuous 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$ exists \iff

- ▶ $\Phi|_{\mathbb{R} \times A}$ is a 1-parameter subgroup of a continuous torus action with finite orbit types, and
- ▶ A has continuous **asymptotic phase** $P: X \rightarrow A$.

Moreover, such h is automatically a proper topological embedding.

⁵ $t \mapsto \Phi^t(x_0)$ is the unique solution of $\dot{x} = f(x)$ satisfying $x(0) = x_0$.

Asymptotic phase



Asymptotic phase means: for all $x \in X$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(\Phi^t(x)) = \Phi^t(P(x)).$$

\implies if P continuous, then $\text{dist}(\Phi^t(x), \Phi^t(P(x))) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$;
 x is “**asymptotically in phase with**” $P(x)$.

Example: limit cycles

Previous theorem implies that dynamics on basin of limit cycle attractor admit a continuous 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$ if and only if there is continuous asymptotic phase (w/ level sets “isochrons”).

Example. Using polar coordinates (r, θ) on \mathbb{R}^2 , the system

$$\dot{r} = -(r - 1)^3, \quad \dot{\theta} = r$$

generates a smooth flow Φ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with globally asymptotically stable limit cycle $A = \{r = 1\}$. Closed-form expression for $\Phi \implies$

$$\text{dist}(\Phi^t(x), \Phi^t(y)) \not\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow \infty$$

for any $x \notin A$, $y \in A$, so A does not have continuous asymptotic phase, so a continuous 1-1 linearizing $y = -h(x)$ **does not exist**.

What about smooth linearizations?

- ▶ **Natural question:** when does there exist a smooth 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$ with smooth inverse $x = h^{-1}(y)$ ($y \in \text{image}(h)$)?
- ▶ Such an h is called a **smooth embedding**.
- ▶ So far, less satisfying answer in this case. But in particular, have the following necessary conditions:

Theorem (K-Arathoon 2024). Assume $\dot{x} = f(x)$ has a global compact attractor $A \subset X$ and is linearizable by a smooth embedding. Then:

- ▶ A is a smoothly embedded submanifold and normally hyperbolic,
- ▶ A has smooth asymptotic phase, and
- ▶ $\Phi|_{\mathbb{R} \times A}$ is a 1-parameter subgroup of a smooth torus action.

Answer to fundamental question for compact invariant sets

If state space X is compact, can view $A = X$ as a (trivial) compact attractor (with basin $B = A = X$). For this special case, we have:

Theorem (K-Arathoon 2024). Assume f generates a smooth (resp. continuous) flow Φ and X is compact. Then there is a smooth (resp. continuous) linearizing embedding $y = h(x) \iff \Phi$ is a 1-parameter subgroup of a smooth (resp. continuous w/ finite orbit types) torus action.

For X noncompact, can still apply this theorem by restricting to a compact invariant set.

Surprising (?) examples with continuous 1-1 linearizations




Figure: For all of these flows, a continuous 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$ exists (easy to see using preceding theorem).

Some corollaries of preceding theorem

Corollary (K-Arathoon 2024). If X is a compact smooth manifold and f has at most finitely many equilibria, and if there exists a smooth linearizing embedding $y = h(x)$, then


$$\underbrace{\chi(X)}_{\text{Euler characteristic}} = \#\{\text{equilibria}\} \geq 0.$$

Corollary (K-Arathoon 2024). If X is an odd-dimensional compact smooth manifold and f has at least one isolated equilibrium, then there does not exist a smooth linearizing embedding $y = h(x)$.


Proof sketch. Using previous theorem, Bochner's linearization theorem for fixed points of torus actions \implies the Hopf index of any equilibrium is $+1$. Apply the Poincaré-Hopf theorem to deduce the first corollary. Deduce the second corollary from the first using $\chi(X) = 0$ if X is an odd-dimensional compact manifold.

A primer on the Euler characteristic⁶


Goes back to Francesco Maurolico (1537), Leonhard Euler (1758).



Euler Characteristic (χ) = Faces + Corners - Edges



$$\chi = 4 + 2 - 4 = 2$$



$$\chi = 4 + 4 - 8 = 0$$

Notation: $\chi(Y) :=$ Euler characteristic of Y .

Examples: $\chi(\bullet) = 1$, $\chi(S^1) = 0$, $\chi(S^2) = 2$, $\chi(\Sigma_g) = 2 - 2g$



Σ_g for $g = 1, 2, 3$ (not linearizable by smooth embedding for $g > 1$ if there is an isolated equilibrium).

⁶Figures from Quanta Magazine and Wikipedia.

Some open problems

1. Do asymptotically stable equilibria of arbitrary C^∞ vector fields on \mathbb{R}^5 have locally linearizing homeomorphisms that are C^1 diffeomorphisms on the complement of the equilibria?⁷
2. Necessary & sufficient conditions for linearizability by [topological or smooth] [embeddings or 1-1 maps] for arbitrary continuous/smooth flows.
3. Necessary & sufficient conditions for linearizability by [topological or smooth] [embeddings or 1-1 maps] for discrete-time systems.
4. Necessary & sufficient conditions for linearizability by piecewise-continuous [embeddings or 1-1 maps].

⁷Equivalent to smooth 4-dimensional Poincaré conjecture (K-Sontag 2025)

References for (non)existence of 1-1 linearizing $y = h(x)$

- ▶ **Linearization in the large of nonlinear systems and Koopman operator spectrum.** Lan & Mezić. Phys D (2013)
- ▶ **Existence and uniqueness of global Koopman eigenfunctions for stable fixed points and periodic orbits.** Kvalheim & Revzen. Phys D (2021)
- ▶ **Linearizability of flows by embeddings.** Kvalheim and Arathoon. arXiv:2305.18288 (2024)
- ▶ **Koopman embedding and super-linearization counterexamples with isolated equilibria.** Arathoon and Kvalheim. arXiv:2306.15126 (2023)
- ▶ **Properties of immersions for systems with multiple limit sets with implications to learning Koopman embeddings.** Liu, Ozay, & Sontag. Automatica (2025)
- ▶ **Global linearization without hyperbolicity.** Kvalheim and Sontag. arXiv:2502.07708 (2025)
- ▶ **Differential topology of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions.** Kvalheim. arXiv:2503.10828 (2025)

Thank you for your attention.

Please see **mdkvalheim.github.io** for slides and a
“user’s guide to slides”
containing precise references to all results from these slides that
are relevant to linearizing embeddings.

Linearizability of dynamical systems by embeddings

Positive results (sufficient conditions)

Negative results (necessary conditions)

A counterexample for multiple isolated equilibria

Necessary and sufficient conditions