On Professor Smale's legacy for asymptotic stability theory¹

Matthew D. Kvalheim

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Maryland, Baltimore County

kvalheim@umbc.edu

Slides are available at my website: mdkvalheim.github.io

¹Funding from AFOSR award FA9550-24-1-0299 is gratefully acknowledged.

Motivation

- Asymptotic stability is a fundamental dynamical systems concept modeling robust steady-state behavior.

Motivation

- Asymptotic stability is a fundamental dynamical systems concept modeling robust steady-state behavior.

Goal: allow stabilizing feedback control to depend on parameters to shape transient response (when perturbed from steady state).

Question: when can parametric stabilizing feedback be extended from subset of parameter space to all of parameter space?

Insight: given sufficient control authority, the answer depends only on the topology of the space of asymptotically stable vector fields.

Asymptotic stability

Consider an ordinary differential equation


$$\dot{x}(t) = F(x(t)),\tag{1}$$

where F is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^n . Unless stated otherwise, F and everything else in this talk is smooth (C^{∞}) .

Let $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an **equilibrium**, meaning F(e) = 0.

We say that $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is (globally) **asymptotically stable** if

- every solution of (1) converges to e as $t \to \infty$.
- for every open $U \ni e$ there is a smaller open $V \ni e$ s.t. every solution of (1) starting in V at t = 0 stays in U for all $t \ge 0$.



Lyapunov functions

- ▶ A Lyapunov function for a vector field F with equilibrium e is a proper function $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ such that $L^{-1}(0) = \{e\}$ and $dL(x) \cdot F(x) \leq 0$ for all x with equality iff x = e.
- ► History:
 - Lyapunov (1892) discovered: Lyapunov function exists \implies e is asymptotically stable.
 - Massera (1956), Kurzweil (1956) proved converse: e is asymptotically stable $\implies (C^{\infty})$ Lyapunov function exists.
 - ▶ Wilson (1967) studied the topology of level sets of such Lyapunov functions. In particular, they are C^{∞} spheres for n > 5 by the h-cobordism theorem of Smale (1962).
- ▶ In this talk, we turn Wilson's idea on its head:

We use level sets of Lyapunov functions to study the topology of the space of asymptotically stable vector fields.

Outline

Main results

Proof step 1: equivalence of the space of Lyapunov functions and the nonlinear Grassmannian of unparametrized discs

Step 2: trivial homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian

Step 3: equivalence of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions

Other applications

Outline

Main results

Topology of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ Boundary value problems

Proof step 1: equivalence of the space of Lyapunov functions and the nonlinear Grassmannian of unparametrized discs

Step 2: trivial homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian

Step 3: equivalence of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions

Other applications

Results: topology and boundary value problems

```
\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n):=\{	ext{asymptotically stable vector fields on }\mathbb{R}^n\} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n):=\{	ext{proper functions }\mathbb{R}^n 	o [0,\infty) \text{ w/ unique critical value}=0\} Equip both spaces with the compact-open C^\infty topology.
```

Theorem (K 2025). $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are both path-connected and simply connected if $n \neq 4, 5$, and weakly contractible if n < 4.

Results: topology and boundary value problems

 $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ \text{asymptotically stable vector fields on } \mathbb{R}^n \}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ \text{proper functions } \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty) \text{ w/ unique critical value} = 0 \}$

Equip both spaces with the compact-open C^{∞} topology.

 \Downarrow

Theorem (K 2025). $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are both path-connected and simply connected if $n \neq 4, 5$, and weakly contractible if n < 4.


BVP existence theorem. For any compact manifold P and C^{∞}

$$F_{\partial} : \partial P \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad L_{\partial} : \partial P \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$$

s.t. $F_{\partial}(p,\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L_{\partial}(p,\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ \forall p \in \partial P$, there exist C^{∞} $F: P \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $L: P \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty)$

extending F_{∂} , L_{∂} s.t. $F(p,\cdot) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L(p,\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ \forall p \in P$ if either (i) n < 4 or (ii) n > 5 and dim P < 3.

Illustration of previous theorem (here $n = 2 = \dim P$)



Previous theorem: families of asymptotically stable vector fields on \mathbb{R}^n can always be extended from the boundary ∂P to the entire parameter space P if either (i) n < 4 or (ii) n > 5 and dim P < 3.

Outline

Main results

Proof step 1: equivalence of the space of Lyapunov functions and the nonlinear Grassmannian of unparametrized discs
Relies on **Smale's h-cobordism theorem**

Step 2: trivial homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian

Step 3: equivalence of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions

Other applications

Topology of Lyapunov function sublevel sets for $n \neq 4,5$

Key fact:

For any $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L^{-1}([0,1])$ is diffeomorphic to D^n if $n \neq 4,5$.

Proof:

- ▶ The flow of ∇L induces deformation retractions of $L^{-1}([0,1])$ to $L^{-1}(0)$ and of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{L^{-1}(0)\}$ to $L^{-1}(1)$.
- ► Hence $L^{-1}([0,1])$ is a contractible manifold with boundary $L^{-1}(1)$ a homotopy sphere (Wilson 1967).
- ▶ Hence $L^{-1}([0,1])$ is diffeomorphic to D^n for $n \neq 4,5$ by
 - \triangleright classification of 1D and 2D manifolds for n = 1, 2,
 - **>** solution to 3D Poincaré conjecture (Perelman 2003) for n = 3,
 - the h-cobordism theorem (Smale 1962) for n > 5.

The sublevel set map

Let $\mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be subspace of functions with min at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.


Consider the space

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Gr}}(D^n,\mathbb{R}^n) := \operatorname{\mathsf{Emb}}(D^n,\mathbb{R}^n)/\operatorname{\mathsf{Diff}}(D^n)$$

of submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n diffeomorphic to D^n , known as a **nonlinear Grassmannian**, and its open subspace $\operatorname{Gr}_0(D^n,\mathbb{R}^n)$ of submanifolds whose interiors contain $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By the previous slide, we have a well-defined sublevel set map

$$p: \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \operatorname{Gr}_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n), \qquad p(L) := L^{-1}([0,1]).$$



The sublevel set map is a weak homotopy equivalence

Theorem (K 2025). The sublevel set map

$$p \colon \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathsf{Gr}_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n), \qquad p(L) := L^{-1}([0,1])$$

is a fiber bundle w/ weakly contractible fibers (hence also a w.h.e.).

The sublevel set map is a weak homotopy equivalence

Theorem (K 2025). The sublevel set map

$$p \colon \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathsf{Gr}_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n), \qquad p(L) := L^{-1}([0,1])$$

is a fiber bundle w/ weakly contractible fibers (hence also a w.h.e.).

Proof sketch:


- ▶ p is continuous by implicit function theorem (Hildebrandt and Graves 1927, Abraham 1967).
- ▶ Disc theorem (Palais 1960, Cerf 1961) implies $Gr_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is path-connected and p is surjective.
- ► Each $M \in Gr_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ has neighborhood $U \subset Gr_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and map $\Psi \colon U \to \mathsf{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $\Psi(M)(N) = N$ for all $N \in U$.
- ▶ Define $f: p^{-1}(U) \to \mathcal{F} := p^{-1}(M)$ by $f(L) := L \circ \Psi(p(L))$.
- ▶ Check: (p, f): $p^{-1}(U) \to U \times \mathcal{F}$ is a homeomorphism.
- ightharpoonup To show that \mathcal{F} is weakly contractible...

Weak contractibility of ${\mathcal F}$

Since $p: \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \operatorname{Gr}_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a fiber bundle over a path-connected base, it suffices to check that $\mathcal{F} = p^{-1}(M)$ is weakly contractible for $M = D^n$. In this case,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ L \in \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \colon L^{-1}([0,1]) = D^n \}.$$

Any parametric family $P \to \mathcal{F}$ is nullhomotopic to $P \to \{x \mapsto x^2\}$ by "parting the sea" of level sets of L(p) away from $\partial D^n = S^{n-1}$, replacing with level sets of $x \mapsto x^2$.



Outline

Main results

Proof step 1: equivalence of the space of Lyapunov functions and the nonlinear Grassmannian of unparametrized discs

Step 2: trivial homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian Relies on **Smale's theorem** that $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial}(D^2)$ is contractible and Hatcher's proof of the **Smale conjecture** for $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial}(D^3)$.

Step 3: equivalence of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions

Other applications

Toward homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian

▶ Without too much trouble,

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \overset{\text{w.h.e.}}{\simeq} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and $\operatorname{Gr}_0(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \overset{\text{w.h.e.}}{\simeq} \operatorname{Gr}(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$,

so to prove main theorem for $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, suffices to show that the appropriate homotopy groups of $Gr(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are trivial.

► Generalizing a theorem of Binz and Fischer (1981) based on an idea implicit in work of Weinstein (1971), Gay-Balmaz and Vizman (2014) proved that the natural quotient map

$$\mathsf{Emb}^+(D^n,\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathsf{Gr}(D^n,\mathbb{R}^n), \quad f \mapsto f(D^n)$$

is a principal $Diff^+(D^n)$ -bundle, hence also a Serre fibration, so there is a long exact sequence of homotopy groups:

$$\cdots \pi_k \mathsf{Diff}^+(D^n) \longrightarrow \pi_k \mathsf{Emb}^+(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \pi_k \mathsf{Gr}(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n) \cdots$$

Analyzing the long exact sequence, part 1

That long exact sequence contains the segment

$$\pi_{k}\mathsf{Diff}^{+}(D^{n}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{surjective}} \pi_{k}\mathsf{Emb}^{+}(D^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n}) \longrightarrow \pi_{k}\mathsf{Gr}(D^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n}) \longrightarrow \pi_{k}\mathsf{Gr}(D^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n}) \longrightarrow \pi_{k-1}\mathsf{Diff}^{+}(D^{n}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{surjective}} \pi_{k-1}\mathsf{Emb}^{+}(D^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n})$$

in which the indicated arrows are surjective because the diagram

commutes: the diagonal arrows are "evaluate derivative at 0", the right one is a homotopy equivalence by "zooming in", and the left one is π_k -surjective because the composition

$$\mathsf{Diff}^+(D^n) \longrightarrow \mathsf{GL}^+(n) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{Gram-Schmidt}} \mathsf{SO}(n)$$

is π_k -surjective and Gram-Schmidt is a homotopy equivalence.


Analyzing the long exact sequence, part 2: Cerf

$$\pi_{k}\mathsf{Diff}^{+}(D^{n}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{surjective}} \pi_{k}\mathsf{Emb}^{+}(D^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n}) \xrightarrow{0} \pi_{k}\mathsf{Gr}(D^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{injective}} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_{k-1}\mathsf{Diff}^{+}(D^{n}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{surjective}}} \pi_{k-1}\mathsf{Emb}^{+}(D^{n},\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

- ▶ We have established surjectivity of the indicated arrows, so exactness ⇒ other arrows are 0, injective.
- \blacktriangleright $\pi_0 Gr(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{*\}$ by disc theorem (mentioned earlier).
- ▶ $\pi_0 \operatorname{Diff}^+(D^n) = \{*\}$ for n > 5 by Cerf's (1970) pseudoisotopy theorem, so taking k = 1 above yields $\pi_1 \operatorname{Gr}(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{*\}$.
- ▶ Remains only to show that $\pi_k \text{Gr}(D^n, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{*\}$ for all k when n < 4. By exactness, suffices to show that the above surjections are bijections in this case.

Completing the proof for $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, part 1

Consider the earlier commutative diagram



The top arrow is π_k -surjective; need to show π_k -injective if n < 4. Suffices to show the same for the left diagonal arrow.

• "Evaluate derivative at 0" diagram is homotopic to "evaluate derivative at $e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ " diagram; left arrow is homotopic to

$$\mathsf{Diff}^+(D^n) \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{Diff}^+(S^{n-1}) \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{GL}^+(n),$$

composition of the restriction ρ and map f given by adjoining the value and derivative at e_1 .

Completing the proof for $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, pt 2: Smale and Hatcher

So we need to show π_k -injectivity of the composition

$$\mathsf{Diff}^+(D^n) \stackrel{\rho}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{Diff}^+(S^{n-1}) \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{GL}^+(n),$$

w/ ρ restriction and f adjoining the value and derivative at e_1 .

▶ First fibration theorem of Cerf (1961) $\implies \rho$ is fiber bundle; fiber over $\mathrm{id}_{S^{n-1}}$ is

$$\operatorname{Diff}_{\partial}(D^n) := \{ \operatorname{diffeomorphisms of } D^n \text{ that are the identity on } \partial D^n \}.$$

- ► This fiber is contractible for:
 - ightharpoonup n = 1 by a convexity argument,
 - ightharpoonup n = 2 by a **theorem of Smale (1957)**, and
 - ▶ n = 3 by Hatcher's (1983) proof of the **Smale conjecture** (1961).
- ightharpoonup
 ightharpoonup
 ho is a w.h.e., so it suffices to show that f is π_k -injective.

Completing the proof for $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, pt 3: Smale again

Need only show $f: \mathsf{Diff}^+(S^{n-1}) \to GL^+(n)$ is a w.h.e. for n < 4.

Trivial for n = 1, so assume 1 < n < 4.

Identifying $GL^+(n)$ with $Fr^+(TS^{n-1})$, f factors as the composition

$$\mathsf{Diff}^+(S^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Emb}^+(D^{n-1}_+,S^{n-1}) \stackrel{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} \mathsf{Emb}^+(\mathsf{int}(D^{n-1}_+),S^{n-1}) \multimap$$

$$\rightarrow$$
 Fr⁺(TS^{n-1})

in which D_{+}^{n-1} is upper hemisphere, first two arrows are restrictions, long arrow given by adjoining value & derivative at e_1 .

- ▶ Indicated arrows are well known to be w.h.e.
- ▶ First arrow is fiber bundle again by Cerf (1961), with fiber

$$\mathsf{Diff}(S^{n-1} \mathsf{rel} D^{n-1}) \cong \mathsf{Diff}_{\partial}(D^{n-1})$$

weakly contractible again for n=2 by convexity and n=3 by **theorem of Smale (1957)**, so first arrow is also a w.h.e. \square

Outline

Main results

Proof step 1: equivalence of the space of Lyapunov functions and the nonlinear Grassmannian of unparametrized discs

Step 2: trivial homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian

Step 3: equivalence of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions

Other applications

Proving main theorem for $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ from $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ case

Theorem (K 2025). $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are both path-connected and simply connected if $n \neq 4, 5$, and weakly contractible if n < 4.

We already sketched the proof for $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \overset{\text{w.h.e.}}{\simeq} \mathcal{L}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To prove for $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, suffices to prove $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \stackrel{\text{w.h.e.}}{\simeq} \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

► Consider the **negative gradient embedding**

$$-\nabla \colon \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n), \qquad L \mapsto -\nabla L. \tag{2}$$

- ▶ Consider any compact family $P \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ mapping ∂P into $-\nabla(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.
- ▶ Wilson's (1969) converse Lyapunov theorem \implies this is homotopic to a family $P \to -\nabla(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- ▶ Use a cutoff function to make the homotopy stationary on ∂P .

This completes proof of theorem for $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($\overset{\text{w.h.e.}}{\simeq}$ $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

Outline

Main results

Proof step 1: equivalence of the space of Lyapunov functions and the nonlinear Grassmannian of unparametrized discs

Step 2: trivial homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian

Step 3: equivalence of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions

Other applications

Partial answer to question of Conley

Parametric Hartman-Grobman theorem for non-hyperbolic asymptotically stable equilibria

Parametric Morse lemma for degenerate minima of functions

A question of Conley

- Conley (1978) defined the Conley index & proved that two compact isolated invariant sets A, B for two flows Φ, Ψ have isomorphic Conley indices if they are related by continuation.
- In particular, this is the case if there is a continuous family $(\Theta_s)_{s \in [0,1]}$ of flows interpolating Φ , Ψ such that the (Θ_s) -induced flow on $[0,1] \times$ (state space) has a compact isolated invariant set C interpolating A, B.
- Conley asked to what extent the converse is true: Given a pair of compact isolated invariant sets with isomorphic Conley indices, when are they related by continuation?

Partial answers to Conley

- Reineck (1992): in many interesting cases (using Smale's (1960) Morse fun./handle manipulation techniques!).
- ► However, Reineck's results do not address a natural case of Conley's question: Given a pair of asymptotically stable vector fields, when can they be interpolated by asymptotically stable vector fields?
- ▶ Jongeneel (2024) proved that the associated (semi)flows can always be interpolated through asymptotically stable C^0 semiflows for state space dimension $n \neq 5$.
- ▶ This does not quite tell us about homotopy through C^{∞} such flows / vector fields, but the "path-connectedness" portion of our main theorem \implies this is always possible for $n \neq 4, 5$.
- ightharpoonup Cutoff functions, etc \implies same answer for local version.









Hartman-Grobman without hyperbolicity

- ▶ Classical Hartman-Grobman (1960, 1959) theorem: given a C^1 vector field F with hyperbolic equilibrium e, there is a local homeomorphism identifying solutions of $\dot{x} = F(x)$ with those of $\dot{y} = Ay$ for some nonunique A (= DF(e) works).
- ▶ Theorem (see K-Sontag 2025). The hyperbolicity and " C^1 " assumptions can be dropped if we assume that e is asymptotically stable. Moreover, the homeomorphism is global (and a C^k diffeo on complement of e if $F \in C^k$).




Proof: use Smale (1962), Freedman (1982), Perelman (2003) to identify a Lyapunov function level set of one vector field with one of the other, then extend identification via flows.

Parametric Hartman-Grobman without hyperbolicity

▶ Theorem (see K-Sontag 2025). The hyperbolicity and " C^1 " assumptions can be dropped if we assume that e is asymptotically stable. Moreover, the homeomorphism is global (and a C^k diffeo on complement of e if $F \in C^k$).



Theorem (K-2025). If in previous theorem F_p depends continuously on parameter $p \in P$, there is a continuous family $h_p : B \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of linearizing homeomorphisms if either (i) n < 4 or (ii) n > 5 and dim P = 1.



Proof: same, but instead of using Smale, Freedman, Perelman to identify Lyapunov function level sets for a pair of vector fields, use main theorem to parametrically identify Lyapunov function level sets for a pair of families of vector fields.

Remarks on the 4-dimensional smooth Poincaré conjecture

By the way:

- ▶ The $C^{k\geq 1}$ statement from the "Hartman-Grobman without hyperbolicity" theorem holds for $n=5\iff$ the conjecture holds (K-Sontag 2025).
- If the path-connectedness portion of the main theorem holds for n = 5, then the conjecture is true (K-2025).

Of course, Smale (1960) solved the topological Poincaré conjecture for smooth manifolds of all dimensions > 4, and was awarded the 1966 Fields medal.

Thank you for your attention.

This talk is based on the preprint arXiv:2503.10828:

"Differential topology of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions", Kvalheim (2025).



Slides are available at my website: mdkvalheim.github.io

On Professor Smale's legacy for asymptotic stability theory

Main results

Topology of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Boundary value problems

Proof step 1: equivalence of the space of Lyapunov functions and the nonlinear Grassmannian of unparametrized discs

Relies on Smale's h-cobordism theorem

Step 2: trivial homotopy groups of the nonlinear Grassmannian Relies on **Smale's theorem** that $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial}(D^2)$ is contractible and Hatcher's proof of the **Smale conjecture** for $\mathrm{Diff}_{\partial}(D^3)$.

Step 3: equivalence of the spaces of asymptotically stable vector fields and Lyapunov functions

Other applications

Partial answer to question of Conley

Parametric Hartman-Grobman theorem for non-hyperbolic asymptotically stable equilibria

Parametric Morse lemma for degenerate minima of functions