# CS-131:Hw5 Fun with Sorting

# Matthew McLaughlin

# May 22, 2018

# Contents

1	Shear Sort			2	
	1.1 Implementation			2	
	1.2	Analysis		Ę	
		1.2.1	Amount of compare exchange operations performed by each processor	1	
		1.2.2	Amount of memory needed by each processor	Ę	
		1.2.3	Number of Cross-Bar communications cycles	5	
2	Bite	Bitonic Sort			
	2.1	2.1 Implementation		6	
	2.2 Analysis		s <mark>is</mark>	Ć	
		2.2.1	Amount of compare exchange operations performed by each processor	Ć	
		2.2.2	Amount of memory needed by each processor	Ć	
		2.2.3	Number of Cross-Bar communications cycles	Ć	

#### **Assumptions And Notation**

I use one based indexing. S is the input sequence  $S_{i,j}$  is the subsequence  $S_i, \ldots S_j$ .  $P_i$  denotes the ith processor in the network.  $P_{i,j}$  is the jth value stored in processor i. If T is a list of values the  $T \Leftarrow x$  adds x to the end of list T. Let M be the name of the network.

## 1 Shear Sort

For this problem I am assuming that sequence S is of length  $\sqrt{n} = k$  where k is positive integer representing the number of processors. This will make it possible to treat the sequence like a square matrix by dividing the sequence into rows and assigning each processor to a row. The assumption that k is a factor of n serves to simply the pseudocode and analysis. However, it does not affect the complexity of the problem. That is to say, with the assumption that n is a square number and n is divisible by k, we could still in effect treat the sequence as a square matrix as before when k\*k=n, yet now we would need to simulate this with the processors we had avaiable. For example, suppose n=16 and k=2. In this case we could have  $P_1$  divide its portion of the sequence in half so that the first 4 values and last 4 are treated as two different subsequences allowing  $P_1$  to simulate the effect of having an extra processor.

## 1.1 Implementation

Below I outline the procedure Parallel-shear-sort for preforming shear sort on sequence S. This procedure uses helper functions described below. We are required to use a comparison exchange sorting algorithm. Assume that the subroutine sort used by Parallel-shear-sort is mergesort and that it takes an additional argument specifying wether to sort in increasing order or decreasing order

**Algorithm is-even:** outputs true if the agument n is even

Input: n an integer

**Result:** true if n is even false otherwise

**return**  $n \mod 2 = 0$ 

The crucial part of the *Transpose* routine is line 4 where the *i*th processor sends it's *i*th row to all of the other processors. The effect is that the old entry *i*th entry in  $P_j$  is overwritten with  $P_{i,i}$ 

#### Algorithm Distribute-sequence: Stores a subsequence of S in the processors

**Input:** A network of a collection of processor  $P_1 \dots P_k$ , Sequence S, n is number of values in S

**Result:** Each processor has a portion of S in its memory.  $P_i$  stores the subsequence  $S_{a,b}$  where  $a = (i-1)(\sqrt{n}) + 1$  and  $b = (i)\sqrt{n}$ . Thus, the routine effectively transforms S into a square matrix and distribute it amongst the processors so that  $P_i$  stores the ith row of the matrix

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{1} & i \leftarrow 1 \\ \mathbf{2} & s \leftarrow \sqrt{n} \\ \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{while} & i \leq s \ \mathbf{do} \\ \mathbf{4} & a \leftarrow (i-1)s+1 \\ \mathbf{5} & b \leftarrow i \cdot s \\ \mathbf{6} & P_i \leftarrow S_{a,b} \\ \mathbf{7} & i \leftarrow i+1 \\ \mathbf{8} & \mathbf{end} \end{array}
```

## Algorithm Transpose: Simulates a matrix transpose on the network

**Input:** A network of a collection of processor  $P_1 \dots P_k$ , m is the number of values that each processor keeps in memory (m = sqrtn (see Parallel-shear-sort)

**Result:** If we think of M as a matrix where each processor  $P_i$  in M stores the ith row in M then after this routine returns each  $P_i$  will store the ith column of M

```
1 for each P_i \in M do

2 | for j \leftarrow 1 to m do

3 | if j \neq i then

4 | P_{j,i} \leftarrow P_{i,j}

5 | end

6 | end

7 end
```

**Algorithm Output-sequence:** Output the sequence obtained by scanning over the network using snake like indices

**Input:** A network of a collection of processor  $P_1 
ldots P_k$ , m is the number of values that each processor keeps in memory (m = sqrtn see Parallel-shear-sort)

**Output:** Produces a sequence T from M according to the following rules. If i is even then scan  $P_i$  from left to right otherwise scan from right to left

```
1 for each P_i \in M do
2 | T \leftarrow \text{empty-list}() if is\text{-}even(i) then
3 | for j \leftarrow 1 to m do
4 | T \Leftarrow P_{i,j}
5 | end
6 | else
7 | for j \leftarrow m down-to 1 do
8 | T \Leftarrow P_{i,j}
9 | end
10 end
```

## **Algorithm Parallel-sort:** Produces a sorted version of S

**Input:** A network of a collection of processor  $P_1 \dots P_k$ , Sequence S, n is the number of values in S

```
1 Distribute-sequence (M,S,n)
 2 cycle\_num \leftarrow 0
 \mathbf{s} \ m \leftarrow \sqrt{n}
4 max\_cycles \leftarrow \log(m)
 5 while cycle\_num \le max\_cycles do
       if is-even(cycle_num) then
6
           foreach P_i \in M do
               if is-even(i) then
8
                   sort(P_i, increasing)
 9
               else
10
                   sort(P_i, decreasing)
11
           end
12
       else
13
           Transpose(M,m)
14
           sort(P_i, increasing)
15
           Transpose(M,m)
16
       cycle\_num \leftarrow cycle\_num + 1
17
18 end
19 Output-sequence(M,m)
```

## 1.2 Analysis

#### 1.2.1 Amount of compare exchange operations performed by each processor

Recall that we use merge sort to preform compare exchange operations. Thus, the total number of comparisons performed between all the processors in a given cycle is  $O(n \log n)$ . We know by the theorem stated in the slides that the number of iterations until Parallel-shear-sort converges is at most  $O(\log \sqrt{n}) = O(\frac{1}{2} \log(n))$ . This means the total number of compare exchange operations performed over all cycles is at most  $(\frac{1}{2} \log n)(n \log n) = \frac{n}{2} \log^2(n)$ 

#### 1.2.2 Amount of memory needed by each processor

Each processor needs to be able to store  $\sqrt{n}$  items. In total O(n) storage is needed.

#### 1.2.3 Number of Cross-Bar communications cycles

The only time communications between processors takes place is during the transpose phase of *Parallel-shear-sort* in lines 13, 15. This means only  $\frac{1}{2}$  the time (during an odd cycle) communications will take place.

Transpose is invoked twice in the else block. Each inovations requires n communications which mean there will be 2n during an odd cycle.

In 1.2.1 we showed that there are no more than  $\frac{1}{2} \log n$  cycles.

Putting all these ideas together, we see the total number of cross bar communications performed by the algorithm is . . .

$$(\frac{1}{2})(2n)(\frac{1}{2}\log n) = \frac{n\log n}{2}$$

which is  $O(n \log n)$ 

# 2 Bitonic Sort

I assume the  $n=2^j$  for some positive integer j and that n is divisible by k, the number of processor I assume the existence of two function, send and read responsible for communication between processors on the network. These functions are not defined explicitally but the headers are given. Also, note that Compare-exchange is defined to work when communication is between two different processors or just within the same processor. Output-sequence here simply scans over each processors memory starting at lower index processors and going up outputing the contents of there memory. Since it is such a simple function I have not included it. Lastly, I assume that the input sequence S is a bitonic sequence where the first half of the sequence is nondecreasing and the second half nonincreasing. This assumption was permitted by the instructor. Futhermore, even if it wasnt, it wouldn't be very hard to transform an arbitrary sequence so that it was bitonic

# 2.1 Implementation

Algorithm send: Sends data from one processor to another

**Input:** The sending proceesor  $P_i$ , the element to be sent x, the receiving procesor  $P_i$ 

**Result:** Element x is sent from  $P_i$  to  $P_j$ . Whatever is in  $P_j$  read buffer is automatically overwritten with x

**Algorithm read:** proceesor  $P_i$  reads its read buffer and returns whatever value is in there.

**Input:** The processor whose read buffer is to be read

**Result:** The value inside of  $P_i$  read buffer. There is only a valid value here if something was sent to  $P_i$  from another processor. Otherwise the buffer is garbage

## Algorithm Distribute-sequence: Stores a subsequence of S in the processors

**Input:** A network of a collection of processor  $P_1 \dots P_k$ , Sequence S, n is number of values in S, k is the number of processors

**Result:** Calculates how many values to load into each processor based on n then reads that many consecutive values into the correct processor

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{1} & i \leftarrow 1 \\ \mathbf{2} & s \leftarrow \frac{n}{k} \\ \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{while} & i \leq k \ \mathbf{do} \\ \mathbf{4} & a \leftarrow (i-1)(s) + 1 \\ \mathbf{5} & b \leftarrow i \cdot s \\ \mathbf{6} & P_i \leftarrow S_{a,b} \\ \mathbf{7} & i \leftarrow i + 1 \\ \mathbf{8} & \mathbf{end} \end{array}
```

Algorithm Compare-exchange: Compares the elements between two processors and swaps them if the first processors element is greater than the seconds

Input: A processor  $P_i$ , the index of the element for comparison in  $P_i$  called a, A second processor  $P_j$ , the index of the element for comparison in  $P_j$  called b Result: We assume that  $P_i$  should store smaller values and  $P_j$  larger. Thus,  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  send eachother values (if they are different processors) and  $P_i$  keeps the minimum of the two values whereas  $P_j$  keeps the maximum of the two

```
1 if i \neq j then
           send(P_i, P_{i,a}, P_j)
           send(P_j, P_{j,b}, P_i)
 3
           x \leftarrow \operatorname{read}(P_i)
           P_{i,a} \leftarrow \min(P_{i,a}, x)
           x \leftarrow \operatorname{read}(P_i)
           P_{j,b} \leftarrow \min(P_{j,b}, x)
 7
 8 else
           x \leftarrow P_{i,a}
 9
           if x > P_{i,b} then
10
                 P_{i,a} \leftarrow P_{i,b}
11
                 P_{i,b} \leftarrow x
12
           end
13
```

Algorithm Single-processor-bitonic-sort: Given a processor P whose memory stores a bitonic sequence.

**Input:** A single processor from the network  $P_i$ , n number of processors in network that  $P_i$  is from, k number processor in network that  $P_i$  is from

```
1 list\_size \leftarrow \frac{n}{k}

2 j \leftarrow 1

3 offset \leftarrow list\_size \cdot 2^{-j}

4 while offset \geq 1 do

5 | for i = 1 to (list\_size \cdot 2^{-1}) do

6 | Compare-exchange(P_i, i, P_i, i + offset)

7 | end

8 end
```

Algorithm Parallel-bitonic-sort: Produces a sorted sequence given a bitonic sequence S where the first half of S is nondecreasing and the second half is nonincreasing

**Input:** A network of a collection of processor  $P_1 \dots P_k$ , Bitonic sequence S, n is number of values in S, k is the number of processors

```
1 Distribute-sequence (M, S, n, k)
2 list\_size \leftarrow \frac{n}{k}
j \leftarrow 1
4 offset \leftarrow list\_size \cdot 2^{-j}
5 while offset \ge 1 do
        for i = 1 to \frac{k}{2} do
            for c = 1 to (list\_size \cdot 2^{-1}) do
                 b \leftarrow i + \mathit{offset}
                 Compare-exchange (P_a, c, P_b, c)
10
            end
11
        end
12
13 end
14 foreach P_i do
        Single-proceesor-bitoncic-sort(P_i)
15
16 end
17 Output-sequence(M)
```

# 2.2 Analysis

## 2.2.1 Amount of compare exchange operations performed by each processor

There will be  $\frac{n}{4} \log n$  iterations for the while loop in *parallel-bitonic-sort*. Single-procesor-bitonic-sort is called once for each processor after the while loop. There are  $n \log n$  total comparisons as a result of this function. Thus in total there are  $O(n \log n)$  communications amongst processors.

#### 2.2.2 Amount of memory needed by each processor

A given processor will need to store  $\frac{n}{k}$  elements. In total of course the storage is O(n)

## 2.2.3 Number of Cross-Bar communications cycles

In this case the analysis of the cross bar communication is idnetical to 2.2.1 thus there are  $O(n \log n)$  cross bar communications cycles