

Linear regression of the outputs of a device and optimizing the linear model using linear programming

Dristy Parveg
Mohsin Khan
Finland

March 24, 2017

1 Introduction

It has been observed that the device has two positive outputs $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We have learnt that y_1 is a function of 5 positive variables $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ and we name this function F . We also have learnt that y_2 is a function of 3 variables x_1, x_2, x_3 and we name this function G . It should be noted here that there are constraints involved about the values of the inputs:

$$\begin{aligned} 2.5 &\leq x_1 \leq 11 \\ 1.5 &\leq x_2 \leq 6 \\ 1.25 &\leq x_3 \leq 2.4 \\ 4 &\leq x_4 \leq 11 \\ 10 &\leq x_5 \leq 20 \end{aligned}$$

However, we don't exactly know the definitions of F and G . Fortunately, we can sample these two functions at different input points through experiments as discussed in the previous sections. We have results of 18 experiments. We are interested to optimize the functions F and G . We are interested to optimize the functions in few alternative ways. The candidates are as follows:

1. *Maximize $F - G$* : Finding values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that $F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) - G(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ becomes as small as possible.
2. *Minimize $|\frac{F}{G} - b|$* : Finding values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that $\frac{F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)}{G(a_1, a_2, a_3)}$ becomes as close as possible to a certain constant b

3. *Minimize* $|F - b_1| + |G - b_2|$: Finding values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that $F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ and $G(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ goes as close as possible to constant values b_1 and b_2 respectively.
4. *Minimize* $|\frac{F-G}{F+G} - b|$: Finding the values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that the ratio $\frac{F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) - G(a_1, a_2, a_3)}{F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) + G(a_1, a_2, a_3)}$ goes as close as possible to constant values b .

Let $f_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that takes the variable x as input while the other input variables x_j for $j \in \{1, \dots, 5\} \setminus i$ of F are kept at constant values i.e.,

$$f_i(x|a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_5) = F(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_5)$$

We define the function $g_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the same way so that

$$g_i(x|a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_5) = G(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, x, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_5)$$

From the result of the experiments, presented in previous section, it is evident that f_i and g_i are almost, if not exactly, linear functions. We are interested to find models $f : \mathbb{R}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \approx G(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$ and $g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \approx G(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

2 Linear Regression:

Motivated by the observation that f_i and g_i for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ are almost linear, we make an educated guess that both F and G are approximately linear. We do not provide an analytical argument to justify the linearity of F and G . Instead, we use linear regression on the 18 experimental results and to the linear models f and g . We have used the statistical programming tool R to do the linear regression.

The experimental results are kept in a file named `experiment.txt`. Please check the attachment. Then we obtain the following models f and g and their errors by running the source file `model.R`. The source file is attached. The command to run the file is R is: `source("model.R")`

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) &= -7.2667 \cdot x_1 + 0.3724 \cdot x_2 + 29.1759 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 + 78.2264 \\ g(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -5.477 \cdot x_1 + 4.640 \cdot x_2 + 4.290 \cdot x_3 + 24.343 \end{aligned}$$

For an input $(x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5)$, the error percentages of the models f and g are as follow

$$\frac{|f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) - F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)|}{F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)} \times 100$$

$$\frac{|g(a_1, a_2, a_3) - G(a_1, a_2, a_3)|}{G(a_1, a_2, a_3)} \times 100$$

We compute the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum error percentages of the models f and g over all the 18 inputs and present in the below table

Model	Mean	Error percentage		
		Standard Deviation	Min	Max
f	2.209766	2.480924	0.5398369	9.525519
g	1.002286	1.329765	0.008706377	5.115356

We reckon, these error percentages indicate that the underlying functions F and G are fairly linear and the obtained models f and g are fairly good linear approximations of F and G . We optimize F and G by optimizing f and g respectively. As F and G are not exactly linear and our obtained models f and g are linear approximations, the optimal values obtained from the models f and g will have some errors comparing to the optimal values of F and G . We expect these errors to be similar to the errors of the models.

3 Optimizing the Linear model Using Linear Programming:

As both f and g are linear and all the inputs of both of the functions are positive, the optimization problems are most likely to be representable as a linear programming problem. The linear programming problem would involve 5 variables. A linear programming problem with 5 variables is a small instance of a linear programming problem. There exist efficient algorithms, namely, simplex, ellipsoid, etc. for solving linear programming problems. In practice, a linear programming problem can easily be solved by any standard linear programming solver like `lp_solve`.

Now we present the optimization problems discussed above as s linear programming problems in the following subsections:

3.1 Maximize $f - g$:

We need to find values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that $f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ becomes as large as possible while making $g(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ as small as possible, i.e., we need to maximize

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ = & (-7.2667 \cdot x_1 + 0.3724 \cdot x_2 + 29.1759 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 + 78.2264) \\ & - (-5.477 \cdot x_1 + 4.640 \cdot x_2 + 4.290 \cdot x_3 + 24.343) \\ = & -1.7897 \cdot x_1 - 3.9176 \cdot x_2 + 24.8859 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 + 53.8834 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the linear programming problem looks as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{max:} \quad & -1.7897 \cdot x_1 - 3.9176 \cdot x_2 + 24.8859 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 + 53.8834; \\ & x_1 \leq 11; \\ & x_2 \leq 6; \\ & x_3 \leq 2.04; \\ & x_4 \leq 11; \\ & x_5 \leq 20; \\ & x_1 \geq 2.5; \\ & x_2 \geq 1.5; \\ & x_3 \geq 1.25; \\ & x_4 \geq 4; \\ & x_5 \geq 10; \end{aligned}$$

The above linear programming problem can be solved by saving the above problem in a file named `model.lp` (the file is attached) and run the command `lp_solve model.lp` from the shell of an unix or unix like operating system.

Here is the output:

1. We get the maximum value of the objective function to be: 117.648
2. The required values of the variables are $x_1 = 2.5, x_2 = 1.5, x_3 = 2.04, x_4 = 4, x_5 = 20$

Feeding this input to f and g we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(2.5, 1.5, 2.04, 4, 20) &= -7.2667 \cdot 2.5 + 0.3724 \cdot 1.5 + 29.1759 \cdot 2.04 - 3.2784 \cdot 4 + 1.8231 \cdot 20 + 78.2264 \\ &= 143.4855 \\ g(2.5, 1.5, 2.04) &= -5.477 \cdot 2.5 + 4.640 \cdot 1.5 + 4.290 \cdot 2.04 + 24.343 \\ &= 26.3621 \end{aligned}$$

We can find the value of $F(2.5, 1.5, 2.04, 4, 20)$ and $G(2.5, 1.5, 2.04)$ by running an experiment to see how good the optimization is.

3.2 Minimize $|\frac{f}{g} - d|$:

We need to find the values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that $\frac{f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)}{g(a_1, a_2, a_3)}$ becomes as close as possible to a certain constant b . Let us set $b = 6$. Then we need to minimize $\frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)}{g(x_1, x_2, x_3)} - 6$. In other words we need to minimize

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) - 6g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \\ = & |(-7.2667 \cdot x_1 + 0.3724 \cdot x_2 + 29.1759 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 + 78.2264) \\ & - 6(-5.477 \cdot x_1 + 4.640 \cdot x_2 + 4.290 \cdot x_3 + 24.343)| \\ = & |25.5953 \cdot x_1 - 27.4675 \cdot x_2 + 3.4358 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 - 67.8316| \end{aligned}$$

The above simplification can be done easily for a different value of b than 6. However, for $b = 6$, the linear programming problem looks as follows. Note that a guest variable X has been imported in the linear program because the objective function involves absolute value.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{min:} \quad & X; \\ \\ x_1 \quad & \leq 11; \\ x_2 \quad & \leq 6; \\ x_3 \quad & \leq 2.04; \\ x_4 \quad & \leq 11; \\ x_5 \quad & \leq 20; \\ x_1 \quad & \geq 2.5; \\ x_2 \quad & \geq 1.5; \\ x_3 \quad & \geq 1.25; \\ x_4 \quad & \geq 4; \\ x_5 \quad & \geq 10; \\ & 25.5953x_1 - 27.4675x_2 + 3.4358x_3 - 3.2784x_4 + 1.8231x_5 - 67.8316 \leq X; \\ & -25.5953x_1 + 27.4675x_2 - 3.4358x_3 + 3.2784x_4 - 1.8231x_5 + 67.8316 \leq X; \end{aligned}$$

The above linear programming problem can be solved by saving the above problem in a file named `model1.lp` (the file is attached) and run the command `lp_solve model1.lp` from the shell of an unix or unix like operating system.

Here is the output of the linear program:

1. We get the maximum value of the objective function to be: 0
2. The required values of the variables are $x_1 = 3.89215, x_2 = 1.5, x_3 = 1.25, x_4 = 4, x_5 = 10$

Feeding this input to f and g we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(3.89215, 1.5, 1.25, 4, 10) &= -7.2667 \cdot 3.89215 + 0.3724 \cdot 1.5 + 29.1759 \cdot 1.25 - 3.2784 \cdot 4 + 1.8231 \cdot 10 + 78.2264 \\ &= 92.08919 \\ g(3.89215, 1.5, 1.25) &= -5.477 \cdot 3.89215 + 4.640 \cdot 1.5 + 4.290 \cdot 1.25 + 24.343 \\ &= 15.34819 \end{aligned}$$

We can find the value of $F(3.89215, 1.5, 1.25, 4, 10)$ and $G(3.89215, 1.5, 1.25)$ by running an experiment to see how good the optimization is.

3.3 Minimize $|f - d_1| + |g - d_2|$:

Finding the values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that $f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$ and $g(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ goes as close as possible to constant values b_1 and b_2 respectively. Let us set $b_1 = 121$ and $b_2 = 20.7$. We need to minimize

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) - 120| + |g(x_1, x_2, x_3) - 24| \\
= & |-7.2667 \cdot x_1 + 0.3724 \cdot x_2 + 29.1759 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 + 78.2264 - 121| \\
& + |-5.477 \cdot x_1 + 4.640 \cdot x_2 + 4.290 \cdot x_3 + 24.343 - 20.7| \\
= & |-7.2667 \cdot x_1 + 0.3724 \cdot x_2 + 29.1759 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 - 42.7736| \\
& + |-5.477 \cdot x_1 + 4.640 \cdot x_2 + 4.290 \cdot x_3 + 3.643|
\end{aligned}$$

The above simplification can be done easily for a different value of b_1 and b_2 . However, for $b_1 = 121$ and $b_2 = 20.7$, the linear programming problem looks as follows. Note that two guest variables X, Y has been imported in the linear program because the objective function involves absolute value.

min: $X + Y$;

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 & \leq 11; \\
x_2 & \leq 6; \\
x_3 & \leq 2.04; \\
x_4 & \leq 11; \\
x_5 & \leq 20; \\
x_1 & \geq 2.5; \\
x_2 & \geq 1.5; \\
x_3 & \geq 1.25; \\
x_4 & \geq 4; \\
x_5 & \geq 10;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-7.2667x_1 + 0.3724x_2 + 29.1759x_3 - 3.2784x_4 + 1.8231x_5 - 42.7736 & \leq X; \\
7.2667x_1 - 0.3724x_2 - 29.1759x_3 + 3.2784x_4 - 1.8231x_5 + 42.7736 & \leq X; \\
-5.477x_1 + 4.640x_2 + 4.290x_3 + 3.643 & \leq Y; \\
5.477x_1 - 4.640x_2 - 4.290x_3 - 3.643 & \leq Y;
\end{aligned}$$

The above linear programming problem can be solved by saving the above problem in a file named `model2.lp` (the file is attached) and run the command `lp_solve model2.lp` from the shell of an unix or unix like operating system.

Here is the output of the linear program:

1. We get the maximum value of the objective function to be: 0
2. The required values of the variables are $x_1 = 3.5338, x_2 = 1.5, x_3 = 2.04, x_4 = 4, x_5 = 11.787$

Feeding this input to f and g we find

$$\begin{aligned}
f(3.5338, 1.5, 2.04, 4, 11.787) & = -7.2667 \cdot 3.5338 + 0.3724 \cdot 1.5 + 29.1759 \cdot 2.04 - 3.2784 \cdot 4 + 1.8231 \cdot 11.787 + 78.2264 \\
& = 121.0001 \\
g(3.5338, 1.5, 2.04) & = -5.477 \cdot 3.5338 + 4.640 \cdot 1.5 + 4.290 \cdot 2.04 + 24.343 \\
& = 20.69998
\end{aligned}$$

We can find the value of $F(3.5338, 1.5, 2.04, 4, 11.787)$ and $G(3.5338, 1.5, 2.04)$ by running an experiment to see how good the optimization is.

3.4 Minimize $|\frac{F-G}{F+G} - b|$:

Finding the values $x_1 = a_1, x_2 = a_2, x_3 = a_3, x_4 = a_4, x_5 = a_5$ so that the ratio $\frac{f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) - g(a_1, a_2, a_3)}{f(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) + g(a_1, a_2, a_3)}$ goes as close as possible to a constant value b . Let us set $b = 0.72$. We need to minimize

$$\begin{aligned} & |(f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3)) - 0.72 \cdot (f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) + g(x_1, x_2, x_3))| \\ &= |0.28 \cdot f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) - 1.72 \cdot g(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \\ &= |0.28 \cdot (-7.2667 \cdot x_1 + 0.3724 \cdot x_2 + 29.1759 \cdot x_3 - 3.2784 \cdot x_4 + 1.8231 \cdot x_5 + 78.2264) \\ &\quad - 1.72 \cdot (-5.477 \cdot x_1 + 4.640 \cdot x_2 + 4.290 \cdot x_3 + 24.343)| \\ &= |7.385764 \cdot x_1 - 7.876528 \cdot x_2 + 0.790452 \cdot x_3 - 0.917952 \cdot x_4 + 0.510468 \cdot x_5 - 19.96657| \end{aligned}$$

The above simplification can be done easily for a different value of b . However, for $b = 0.72$, the linear programming problem looks as follows. Note that two guest variables X has been imported in the linear program because the objective function involves absolute value.

min: X ;

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\leq 11; \\ x_2 &\leq 6; \\ x_3 &\leq 2.04; \\ x_4 &\leq 11; \\ x_5 &\leq 20; \\ x_1 &\geq 2.5; \\ x_2 &\geq 1.5; \\ x_3 &\geq 1.25; \\ x_4 &\geq 4; \\ x_5 &\geq 10; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 7.385764x_1 - 7.876528x_2 + 0.790452x_3 - 0.917952x_4 + 0.510468x_5 - 19.96657 &\leq X; \\ -7.385764x_1 + 7.876528x_2 - 0.790452x_3 + 0.917952x_4 - 0.510468x_5 + 19.96657 &\leq X; \end{aligned}$$

The above linear programming problem can be solved by saving the above problem in a file named `model3.lp` (the file is attached) and run the command `lp_solve model3.lp` from the shell of an unix or unix like operating system.

1. We get the maximum value of the objective function to be: 0
2. The required values of the variables are $x_1 = 3.97527, x_2 = 1.5, x_3 = 1.25, x_4 = 4, x_5 = 10$

Feeding this input to f and g we find

$$\begin{aligned}
f(3.97527, 1.5, 1.25, 4, 10) &= -7.2667 \cdot 3.97527 + 0.3724 \cdot 1.5 + 29.1759 \cdot 1.25 - 3.2784 \cdot 4 + 1.8231 \cdot 10 + 78.2264 \\
&= 91.48518 \\
g(3.97527, 1.5, 1.25) &= -5.477 \cdot 3.97527 + 4.640 \cdot 1.5 + 4.290 \cdot 1.25 + 24.343 \\
&= 14.89295
\end{aligned}$$

We can find the value of $F(3.97527, 1.5, 1.25, 4, 10)$ and $G(3.97527, 1.5, 1.25)$ by running an experiment to see how good the optimization is.

4 Evaluation:

The underlying functions F and G of the experiments are found to be fairly linear. Keeping this observation in mind, linear approximation f and g can be obtained by linear regression by using 5 experimental values. Because 5 points in a 5 dimensional space completely defines a 4 dimensional hyperplane. So, the linear approximations f and g can be easily obtained. Using the linear approximations, the above method can be used to find the values of the variables x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 to obtain the desired values of y_1, y_2 .