

Entity-Relationship Model

Chapter 7: Entity-Relationship Model

- Design Process
- Modeling
- Constraints
- E-R Diagram
- Design Issues
- Weak Entity Sets
- Extended E-R Features
- Design of the Bank Database
- Reduction to Relation Schemas
- Database Design
- UML

Design Phases

- The initial phase of database design is to characterize fully the data needs of the prospective database users.
- Next, the designer chooses a data model and, by applying the concepts of the chosen data model, translates these requirements into a conceptual schema of the database.
- A fully developed conceptual schema also indicates the functional requirements of the enterprise. In a “specification of functional requirements”, users describe the kinds of operations (or transactions) that will be performed on the data.

Design Phases (Cont.)

The process of moving from an abstract data model to the implementation of the database proceeds in two final design phases.

- Logical Design – Deciding on the database schema.
Database design requires that we find a “good” collection of relation schemas.
 - Business decision – What attributes should we record in the database?
 - Computer Science decision – What relation schemas should we have and how should the attributes be distributed among the various relation schemas?
- Physical Design – Deciding on the physical layout of the database

Design Approaches

- Entity Relationship Model (covered in this chapter)
 - Models an enterprise as a collection of *entities* and *relationships*
 - ▶ Entity: a “thing” or “object” in the enterprise that is distinguishable from other objects
 - Described by a set of *attributes*
 - ▶ Relationship: an association among several entities
 - Represented diagrammatically by an *entity-relationship diagram*:
- Normalization Theory (Chapter 8)
 - Formalize what designs are bad, and test for them

Outline of the ER Model

ER model -- Database Modeling

- The ER data mode was developed to facilitate database design by allowing specification of an **enterprise schema** that represents the overall logical structure of a database.
- The ER model is very useful in mapping the meanings and interactions of real-world enterprises onto a conceptual schema. Because of this usefulness, many database-design tools draw on concepts from the ER model.
- The ER data model employs three basic concepts:
 - entity sets,
 - relationship sets,
 - attributes.
- The ER model also has an associated diagrammatic representation, the ER diagram, which can express the overall logical structure of a database graphically.

Entity Sets

- An **entity** is an object that exists and is distinguishable from other objects.
 - Example: specific person, company, event, plant
- An **entity set** is a set of entities of the same type that share the same properties.
 - Example: set of all persons, companies, trees, holidays
- An entity is represented by a set of attributes; i.e., descriptive properties possessed by all members of an entity set.
 - Example:
instructor = (ID, name, street, city, salary)
course= (course_id, title, credits)
- A subset of the attributes form a **primary key** of the entity set; i.e., uniquely identifying each member of the set.

Entity Sets -- *instructor* and *student*

instructor_ID instructor_name

| | |
|-------|------------|
| 76766 | Crick |
| 45565 | Katz |
| 10101 | Srinivasan |
| 98345 | Kim |
| 76543 | Singh |
| 22222 | Einstein |

instructor

student-ID student_name

| | |
|-------|---------|
| 98988 | Tanaka |
| 12345 | Shankar |
| 00128 | Zhang |
| 76543 | Brown |
| 76653 | Aoi |
| 23121 | Chavez |
| 44553 | Peltier |

student

Relationship Sets

- A **relationship** is an association among several entities

Example:

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 44553 (Peltier) | <u>advisor</u> | 22222 (Einstein) |
| student entity | relationship set | instructor entity |

- A **relationship set** is a mathematical relation among $n \geq 2$ entities, each taken from entity sets


$$\{(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \mid e_1 \in E_1, e_2 \in E_2, \dots, e_n \in E_n\}$$

where (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) is a relationship

- Example:


$(44553, 22222) \in \text{advisor}$

Relationship Set *advisor*



Relationship Sets (Cont.)

- An attribute can also be associated with a relationship set.
- For instance, the *advisor* relationship set between entity sets *instructor* and *student* may have the attribute *date* which tracks when the student started being associated with the advisor




Degree of a Relationship Set

- binary relationship
 - involve two entity sets (or degree two).
 - most relationship sets in a database system are binary.
- Relationships between more than two entity sets are rare. Most relationships are binary. (More on this later.)
 - ▶ Example: *students* work on research *projects* under the guidance of an *instructor*.
 - ▶ relationship *proj_guide* is a ternary relationship between *instructor*, *student*, and *project*


Mapping Cardinality Constraints

- Express the number of entities to which another entity can be associated via a relationship set.
- Most useful in describing binary relationship sets.
- For a binary relationship set the mapping cardinality must be one of the following types:
 - One to one
 - One to many
 - Many to one
 - Many to many

Mapping Cardinalities




One to one



One to many


Note: Some elements in A and B may not be mapped to any elements in the other set

Mapping Cardinalities



(a)

Many to
one



(b)


Many to many

Note: Some elements in A and B may not be mapped to any elements in the other set

Complex Attributes

- Attribute types:
 - **Simple** and **composite** attributes.
 - **Single-valued** and **multivalued** attributes
 - ▶ Example: multivalued attribute: *phone_numbers*
 - **Derived** attributes
 - ▶ Can be computed from other attributes
 - ▶ Example: age, given date_of_birth
- **Domain** – the set of permitted values for each attribute

Composite Attributes



Redundant Attributes

- Suppose we have entity sets:
 - *instructor*, with attributes: *ID*, *name*, *dept_name*, *salary*
 - *department*, with attributes: *dept_name*, *building*, *budget*
- We model the fact that each instructor has an associated department using a relationship set *inst_dept*
- The attribute *dept_name* appears in both entity sets. Since it is the primary key for the entity set *department*, it replicates information present in the relationship and is therefore redundant in the entity set *instructor* and needs to be removed.
- BUT: when converting back to tables, in some cases the attribute gets reintroduced, as we will see later.

Weak Entity Sets

- Consider a *section* entity, which is uniquely identified by a *course_id*, *semester*, *year*, and *sec_id*.
- Clearly, section entities are related to course entities. Suppose we create a relationship set *sec_course* between entity sets *section* and *course*.
- Note that the information in *sec_course* is redundant, since *section* already has an attribute *course_id*, which identifies the course with which the section is related.
- One option to deal with this redundancy is to get rid of the relationship *sec_course*; however, by doing so the relationship between *section* and *course* becomes implicit in an attribute, which is not desirable.

Weak Entity Sets (Cont.)

- An alternative way to deal with this redundancy is to not store the attribute *course_id* in the *section* entity and to only store the remaining attributes *section_id*, *year*, and *semester*. However, the entity set *section* then does not have enough attributes to identify a particular *section* entity uniquely; although each *section* entity is distinct, sections for different courses may share the same *section_id*, *year*, and *semester*.
- To deal with this problem, we treat the relationship *sec_course* as a special relationship that provides extra information, in this case, the *course_id*, required to identify *section* entities uniquely.
- The notion of **weak entity set** formalizes the above intuition. A weak entity set is one whose existence is dependent on another entity, called its **identifying entity**; instead of associating a primary key with a weak entity, we use the identifying entity, along with extra attributes called **discriminator** to uniquely identify a weak entity. An entity set that is not a weak entity set is termed a **strong entity set**.

Weak Entity Sets (Cont.)

- Every weak entity must be associated with an identifying entity; that is, the weak entity set is said to be **existence dependent** on the identifying entity set. The identifying entity set is said to **own** the weak entity set that it identifies. **The relationship associating the weak entity set with the identifying entity set is called the identifying relationship.**
- Note that the relational schema we eventually create from the entity set *section* does have the attribute *course_id*, for reasons that will become clear later, even though we have dropped the attribute *course_id* from the entity set *section*.

E-R Diagrams

Entity Sets


- Entities can be represented graphically as follows:
 - Rectangles represent entity sets.
 - Attributes listed inside entity rectangle
 - Underline indicates primary key attributes

| <i>instructor</i> |
|-------------------|
| <u>ID</u> |
| <i>name</i> |
| <i>salary</i> |


| <i>student</i> |
|-----------------|
| <u>ID</u> |
| <i>name</i> |
| <i>tot_cred</i> |

Relationship Sets

- Diamonds represent relationship sets.




Relationship Sets with Attributes




Roles

- Entity sets of a relationship need not be distinct
 - Each occurrence of an entity set plays a “role” in the relationship
- The labels “*course_id*” and “*prereq_id*” are called **roles**.




Cardinality Constraints

- We express cardinality constraints by drawing either a directed line (\rightarrow), signifying “one,” or an undirected line ($-$), signifying “many,” between the relationship set and the entity set.
- One-to-one relationship between an *instructor* and a *student* :
 - A student is associated with at most one *instructor* via the relationship *advisor*
 - A *student* is associated with at most one *department* via *stud_dept*




One-to-Many Relationship

- one-to-many relationship between an *instructor* and a *student*
 - an instructor is associated with several (including 0) students via *advisor*
 - a student is associated with at most one instructor via advisor,




Many-to-One Relationships

- In a many-to-one relationship between an *instructor* and a *student*,
 - an *instructor* is associated with at most one *student* via *advisor*,
 - and a *student* is associated with several (including 0) *instructors* via *advisor*



Many-to-Many Relationship

- An instructor is associated with several (possibly 0) students via *advisor*
- A student is associated with several (possibly 0) instructors via *advisor*



Total and Partial Participation

- Total participation (indicated by double line): every entity in the entity set participates in at least one relationship in the relationship set




participation of *student* in *advisor* relation is total

- ▶ every *student* must have an associated *instructor*
- Partial participation: some entities may not participate in any relationship in the relationship set
 - Example: participation of *instructor* in *advisor* is partial

Notation for Expressing More Complex Constraints

- A line may have an associated minimum and maximum cardinality, shown in the form $l..h$, where l is the minimum and h the maximum cardinality
 - A minimum value of 1 indicates total participation.
 - A maximum value of 1 indicates that the entity participates in at most one relationship
 - A maximum value of * indicates no limit.




Instructor can advise 0 or more students. A student must have 1 advisor; cannot have multiple advisors

Notation to Express Entity with Complex Attributes


| <i>instructor</i> |
|-------------------------|
| <i>ID</i> |
| <i>name</i> |
| <i>first_name</i> |
| <i>middle_initial</i> |
| <i>last_name</i> |
| <i>address</i> |
| <i>street</i> |
| <i>street_number</i> |
| <i>street_name</i> |
| <i>apt_number</i> |
| <i>city</i> |
| <i>state</i> |
| <i>zip</i> |
| { <i>phone_number</i> } |
| <i>date_of_birth</i> |
| <i>age</i> () |

Expressing Weak Entity Sets

- In E-R diagrams, a weak entity set is depicted via a double rectangle.
- We underline the discriminator of a weak entity set with a dashed line.
- The relationship set connecting the weak entity set to the identifying strong entity set is depicted by a double diamond.
- Primary key for *section* – (*course_id*, *sec_id*, *semester*, *year*)



E-R Diagram for a University Enterprise




Reduction to Relation Schemas

Reduction to Relation Schemas

- Entity sets and relationship sets can be expressed uniformly as *relation schemas* that represent the contents of the database.
- A database which conforms to an E-R diagram can be represented by a collection of schemas.
- For each entity set and relationship set there is a unique schema that is assigned the name of the corresponding entity set or relationship set.
- Each schema has a number of columns (generally corresponding to attributes), which have unique names.

Representing Entity Sets


- A strong entity set reduces to a schema with the same attributes
student(ID, name, tot_cred)
- A weak entity set becomes a table that includes a column for the primary key of the identifying strong entity set
section (course_id, sec_id, sem, year)



Representing Relationship Sets

- A many-to-many relationship set is represented as a schema with attributes for the primary keys of the two participating entity sets, and any descriptive attributes of the relationship set.
- Example: schema for relationship set *advisor*

advisor = (s_id, i_id)



Representation of Entity Sets with Composite Attributes

| <i>instructor</i> |
|-------------------------|
| <i>ID</i> |
| <i>name</i> |
| <i>first_name</i> |
| <i>middle_initial</i> |
| <i>last_name</i> |
| <i>address</i> |
| <i>street</i> |
| <i>street_number</i> |
| <i>street_name</i> |
| <i>apt_number</i> |
| <i>city</i> |
| <i>state</i> |
| <i>zip</i> |
| { <i>phone_number</i> } |
| <i>date_of_birth</i> |
| <i>age ()</i> |


- Composite attributes are flattened out by creating a separate attribute for each component attribute
 - Example: given entity set *instructor* with composite attribute *name* with component attributes *first_name* and *last_name* the schema corresponding to the entity set has two attributes *name_first_name* and *name_last_name*
 - ▶ Prefix omitted if there is no ambiguity (*name_first_name* could be *first_name*)
- Ignoring multivalued attributes, extended instructor schema is
 - *instructor(ID, first_name, middle_initial, last_name, street_number, street_name, apt_number, city, state, zip_code, date_of_birth)*

Representation of Entity Sets with Multivalued Attributes

- A multivalued attribute M of an entity E is represented by a separate schema EM
- Schema EM has attributes corresponding to the primary key of E and an attribute corresponding to multivalued attribute M
- Example: Multivalued attribute $phone_number$ of $instructor$ is represented by a schema:
 $inst_phone = (\underline{ID}, \underline{phone_number})$
- Each value of the multivalued attribute maps to a separate tuple of the relation on schema EM
 - For example, an $instructor$ entity with primary key 22222 and phone numbers 456-7890 and 123-4567 maps to two tuples:
(22222, 456-7890) and (22222, 123-4567)

Redundancy of Schemas

- Many-to-one and one-to-many relationship sets that are total on the many-side can be represented by adding an extra attribute to the “many” side, containing the primary key of the “one” side
- Example: Instead of creating a schema for relationship set *inst_dept*, add an attribute *dept_name* to the schema arising from entity set *instructor*




Redundancy of Schemas (Cont.)

- For one-to-one relationship sets, either side can be chosen to act as the “many” side
 - That is, an extra attribute can be added to either of the tables corresponding to the two entity sets
- If participation is *partial* on the “many” side, replacing a schema by an extra attribute in the schema corresponding to the “many” side could result in null values

Redundancy of Schemas (Cont.)


- The schema corresponding to a relationship set linking a weak entity set to its identifying strong entity set is redundant.
- Example: The *section* schema already contains the attributes that would appear in the *sec_course* schema



Advanced Topics

Non-binary Relationship Sets

- Most relationship sets are binary
- There are occasions when it is more convenient to represent relationships as non-binary.
- E-R Diagram with a Ternary Relationship



Cardinality Constraints on Ternary Relationship


- We allow at most one arrow out of a ternary (or greater degree) relationship to indicate a cardinality constraint
- For example, an arrow from *proj_guide* to *instructor* indicates each student has at most one guide for a project
- If there is more than one arrow, there are two ways of defining the meaning.
 - For example, a ternary relationship R between A , B and C with arrows to B and C could mean
 1. Each A entity is associated with a unique entity from B and C or
 2. Each pair of entities from (A, B) is associated with a unique C entity, and each pair (A, C) is associated with a unique B
 - Each alternative has been used in different formalisms
 - To avoid confusion we outlaw more than one arrow

Specialization

- Top-down design process; we designate sub-groupings within an entity set that are distinctive from other entities in the set.
- These sub-groupings become lower-level entity sets that have attributes or participate in relationships that do not apply to the higher-level entity set.
- Depicted by a *triangle* component labeled ISA (e.g., *instructor* “is a” *person*).
- **Attribute inheritance** – a lower-level entity set inherits all the attributes and relationship participation of the higher-level entity set to which it is linked.

Specialization Example

- Overlapping – *employee* and *student*
- Disjoint – *instructor* and *secretary*
- Total and partial



Representing Specialization via Schemas

■ Method 1:

- Form a schema for the higher-level entity
- Form a schema for each lower-level entity set, include primary key of higher-level entity set and local attributes

| <u>schema</u> | <u>attributes</u> |
|---------------|------------------------|
| person | ID, name, street, city |
| student | ID, tot_cred |
| employee | ID, salary |

- Drawback: getting information about, an *employee* requires accessing two relations, the one corresponding to the low-level schema and the one corresponding to the high-level schema

Representing Specialization as Schemas (Cont.)

■ Method 2:

- Form a schema for each entity set with all local and inherited attributes

| schema | attributes |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| person | ID, name, street, city |
| student | ID, name, street, city, tot_cred |
| employee | ID, name, street, city, salary |

- Drawback: *name*, *street* and *city* may be stored redundantly for people who are both students and employees

Generalization


- **A bottom-up design process** – combine a number of entity sets that share the same features into a higher-level entity set.
- Specialization and generalization are simple inversions of each other; they are represented in an E-R diagram in the same way.
- The terms specialization and generalization are used interchangeably.

Design Constraints on a Specialization/Generalization

- **Completeness constraint** -- specifies whether or not an entity in the higher-level entity set must belong to at least one of the lower-level entity sets within a generalization.
 - **total**: an entity must belong to one of the lower-level entity sets
 - **partial**: an entity need not belong to one of the lower-level entity sets
- Partial generalization is the default. We can specify total generalization in an ER diagram by adding the keyword **total** in the diagram and drawing a dashed line from the keyword to the corresponding hollow arrow-head to which it applies (for a total generalization), or to the set of hollow arrow-heads to which it applies (for an overlapping generalization).
- The *student* generalization is total: All student entities must be either graduate or undergraduate. Because the higher-level entity set arrived at through generalization is generally composed of only those entities in the lower-level entity sets, the completeness constraint for a generalized higher-level entity set is usually total

Aggregation

- Consider the ternary relationship *proj_guide*, which we saw earlier
- Suppose we want to record evaluations of a student by a guide on a project




Aggregation (Cont.)

- Relationship sets *eval_for* and *proj_guide* represent overlapping information
 - Every *eval_for* relationship corresponds to a *proj_guide* relationship
 - However, some *proj_guide* relationships may not correspond to any *eval_for* relationships
 - ▶ So we can't discard the *proj_guide* relationship
- Eliminate this redundancy via *aggregation*
 - Treat relationship as an abstract entity
 - Allows relationships between relationships
 - Abstraction of relationship into new entity

Aggregation (Cont.)

- Eliminate this redundancy via *aggregation* without introducing redundancy, the following diagram represents:
 - A student is guided by a particular instructor on a particular project
 - A student, instructor, project combination may have an associated evaluation




Representing Aggregation via Schemas

- To represent aggregation, create a schema containing
 - Primary key of the aggregated relationship,
 - The primary key of the associated entity set
 - Any descriptive attributes
- In our example:
 - The schema *eval_for* is:
 $\text{eval_for}(\text{s_ID}, \text{project_id}, \text{i_ID}, \text{evaluation_id})$
 - The schema *proj_guide* is redundant.

Design Issues

Entities vs. Attributes

- Use of entity sets vs. attributes




- Use of phone as an entity allows extra information about phone numbers (plus multiple phone numbers)

Entities vs. Relationship sets

■ Use of entity sets vs. relationship sets

Possible guideline is to designate a relationship set to describe an action that occurs between entities



■ Placement of relationship attributes


For example, attribute date as attribute of advisor or as attribute of student

Binary Vs. Non-Binary Relationships


- Although it is possible to replace any non-binary (n -ary, for $n > 2$) relationship set by a number of distinct binary relationship sets, a n -ary relationship set shows more clearly that several entities participate in a single relationship.
- Some relationships that appear to be non-binary may be better represented using binary relationships
 - For example, a ternary relationship *parents*, relating a child to his/her father and mother, is best replaced by two binary relationships, *father* and *mother*
 - ▶ Using two binary relationships allows partial information (e.g., only mother being known)
 - But there are some relationships that are naturally non-binary
 - ▶ Example: *proj_guide*

Converting Non-Binary Relationships to Binary Form

- In general, any non-binary relationship can be represented using binary relationships by creating an artificial entity set.
 - Replace R between entity sets A, B and C by an entity set E , and three relationship sets:
 - R_A , relating E and A
 - R_B , relating E and B
 - R_C , relating E and C
 - Create an identifying attribute for E and add any attributes of R to E
 - For each relationship (a_i, b_i, c_i) in R , create
 - a new entity e_i in the entity set E
 - add (e_i, a_i) to R_A
 - add (e_i, b_i) to R_B
 - add (e_i, c_i) to R_C



(a)



(b)


Converting Non-Binary Relationships (Cont.)

- Also need to translate constraints
 - Translating all constraints may not be possible
 - There may be instances in the translated schema that cannot correspond to any instance of R
 - ▶ Exercise: *add constraints to the relationships R_A , R_B and R_C to ensure that a newly created entity corresponds to exactly one entity in each of entity sets A, B and C*
 - We can avoid creating an identifying attribute by making E a weak entity set (described shortly) identified by the three relationship sets


E-R Design Decisions

- The use of an attribute or entity set to represent an object.
- Whether a real-world concept is best expressed by an entity set or a relationship set.
- The use of a ternary relationship versus a pair of binary relationships.
- The use of a strong or weak entity set.
- The use of specialization/generalization – contributes to modularity in the design.
- The use of aggregation – can treat the aggregate entity set as a single unit without concern for the details of its internal structure.


Summary of Symbols Used in E-R Notation




entity set



relationship set



identifying
relationship set
for weak entity set



total participation
of entity set in
relationship

| |
|-----------------|
| E |
| A1 |
| A2 |
| A2.1 |
| A2.2 |
| {A3} |
| A4 ⁰ |

attributes:
simple (A1),
composite (A2) and
multivalued (A3)
derived (A4)


| |
|-----------|
| E |
| <u>A1</u> |

primary key


| |
|-------|
| E |
| A1 |
| |

discriminating
attribute of
weak entity set


Symbols Used in E-R Notation (Cont.)




many-to-many
relationship




one-to-one
relationship




role indicator




many-to-one
relationship




cardinality
limits



ISA: generalization
or specialization



total (disjoint)
generalization




disjoint
generalization

Alternative ER Notations

- Chen, IDE1FX, ...


entity set E with
simple attribute A1,
composite attribute A2,
multivalued attribute A3,
derived attribute A4,
and primary key A1




weak entity set



generalization




total
generalization




Alternative ER Notations

Chen


many-to-many
relationship





IDE1FX (Crows feet notation)





one-to-one
relationship



many-to-one
relationship



participation
in R: total (E1)
and partial (E2)




UML


- **UML**: Unified Modeling Language
- UML has many components to graphically model different aspects of an entire software system
- UML Class Diagrams correspond to E-R Diagram, but several differences.

ER vs. UML Class Diagrams


ER Diagram Notation




entity with attributes (simple, composite, multivalued, derived)



binary relationship




relationship attributes




cardinality constraints

Equivalent in UML




class with simple attributes and methods (attribute prefixes: + = public, - = private, # = protected)




*Note reversal of position in cardinality constraint depiction

ER vs. UML Class Diagrams

ER Diagram Notation



Equivalent in UML



*Generalization can use merged or separate arrows independent of disjoint/overlapping

UML Class Diagrams (Cont.)

- Binary relationship sets are represented in UML by just drawing a line connecting the entity sets. The relationship set name is written adjacent to the line.
- The role played by an entity set in a relationship set may also be specified by writing the role name on the line, adjacent to the entity set.
- The relationship set name may alternatively be written in a box, along with attributes of the relationship set, and the box is connected, using a dotted line, to the line depicting the relationship set.

End of Chapter 7