```
library(readxl)
data <- read excel("2020 - assignment 3.xlsx", sheet = "habspecies", na =
"NA")
head(data)
str(data)
colnames (data)
par(mfrow=c(1,3))
plot(data$E, data$N,col=factor(data$minkp),pch=16, xlab= "East", ylab =
"North", main="mink")
plot(data$E, data$N,col=factor(data$martenp),pch=16, xlab= "East", ylab =
"North", main="marten")
plot(data$E, data$N,col=factor(data$otterp),pch=16, xlab= "East", ylab =
"North", main="otterp")
#install packages
install.packages("car")
install.packages("psych")
install.packages("carData")
install.packages("mvtnorm")
library (car)
library(carData)
library(psych)
library(lattice)
library(data.table)
library(plyr)
library(doBy)
library(car)
library(carData)
library(afex)
library(multcomp)
library(lsmeans)
library(readxl)
library(car)
library(effects)
library(lattice)
library (MASS)
library(plot3D)
library(rgl)
library(gmodels)
#Question 1
mytable1=xtabs(~minkp+martenp, data=data)
CrossTable(mytable1, expected=T, chisq=T, fisher = T, format = "SAS")
CrossTable(mytable1, expected=T, chisq=T, format = "SAS")
```

```
mytable3=xtabs(~minkp+otterp, data=data)
CrossTable(mytable3, expected=T, chisq=T, fisher = T, format = "SAS")
CrossTable(mytable3, expected=T, chisq=T, format = "SAS")
mytable5=xtabs(~martenp+otterp, data=data)
CrossTable(mytable5, expected=T, chisq=T, fisher = T, format = "SAS")
CrossTable(mytable5, expected=T, chisq=T, format = "SAS")
#Ouestion 2
#create a data set for Figga river, to predict the current of Figga river
data.Figga <-subset(data, rivertype== "main")</pre>
data.FiggaRiver <-subset(data, river== "Figga")</pre>
# Model selection and statistical inference without log-transforming BD:
# plot before regression
#Calculations in R
data1 = lm(current ~ riverwidth * oceandist *riverdepth*bankheight,
data=data.Figga)
summary (data1)
# Answer: all variable individually and with interation are not statistically
# overall model p vaule (0.01725) and F-value (2.242) indicates model is
statistically significant, this model
#has low R squre and adujusted R square is low [Multiple R-squared:0.407,
Adjusted R-squared:0.2254]
Anova (data1, type = 3)
# Answer:All variable individually and with interation are not statistically
signifcant
summary (data1) $adj.r.squared
# Answer: [1] 0.225418 Adj R square is bit low that indicated model is not fit
well
#Stepwise Model reduction
full.model=lm(current ~ riverwidth * oceandist *riverdepth*bankheight,
data=data.Figga)
```

```
stepAIC(full.model)
#Start: AIC=-185.76 #Step: AIC=-189.66 #Step: AIC=-191.44
#Step: AIC=-192.54 #Step: AIC=-192.67 #Step: AIC=-194.56
#Step: AIC=-195.3 #Step: AIC=-195.47 #Step: AIC=-195.78
#I generated 9 separaet model (reported above), based on AIC value, AIC=
-195.78 is the lowest AIC among all the
#model I generated. here is my final model reported below
final=lm(current ~ riverwidth + oceandist + riverdepth +
          bankheight + riverwidth:bankheight + riverdepth:bankheight,
         data = data.Figga)
summary(final)
Anova(final, type = 3) # all effects are significant
summary(final)$adj.r.squared
\# My final model is statistically significant based on P value (0.0005351) and
F statistic (4.749),
# both the value indicates model is overall statistically significant. In my
final model, I observed all the
#variable statistially insignificant at 5% level of significance except
"riverdepth:bankheight"
#(interation variable) which is significant at 5% level of significance. It
means river depth and bank height
# singificantly affect the current of Figga river. According to data Figga
river is the main river and rest of the
#rivers are side rivers. in the final model river weight, ocean distantce and
bank height got the negative
#coefficent which means Current of Figga River negatively associated with
river weight, ocean distantce
# and bank height. only riverwidth*bankheight interaction has positive
coefficent which means these two
#jointly positively related to current of Figga rive though they are
statistically insignificant at
#5% level of significance.
#Plot after regression
plot(allEffects(final))
attach (data.Figga)
par(mfrow = c(2,2))
plot(current~riverwidth, main = "current vs riverwidth")
plot(current~oceandist, main = "current vs oceandist")
plot(current~riverdepth, main = "current vs riverdepth")
plot(current~bankheight, main = "current vs bankheight")
boxplot(current~riverdepth:bankheight, main = "current vs joint")
# Model diagnosis, outliers and influential observations for "final"
residualPlots(final)
```

```
#linearity cannot be assumed
spreadLevelPlot(final)
# the plot suggests that variance increases
ncvTest(final)
# Based on ncvtest (Chisquare = 1.077289, Df = 1, p = 0.2993).
#Formal test rejects constant variance, based on p value model is statisticlly
insignificant
studres.final=rstudent(final) # studentized residuals
hist(studres.final,
    probability=T,
     col="lightgrey",
    xlim=c(-6,6),
    breaks=12,
    main="Distribution of Studentized Residuals",
     xlab="Studentized residuals")
xfit=seq(-6,6,length=100)
yfit=dnorm(xfit) # normal fit
lines(xfit, yfit, col="red",lwd=2)
# The distribution looks very good
shapiro.test(residuals(final))
# Formal test confirming normality since W (0.92) > 0.9
outlierTest(final) # observation *** is an outlier
\#No Studentized residuals with Bonferroni p < 0.05
#Largest |rstudent|:
#rstudent unadjusted p-value Bonferroni p
#11 3.250354
                      0.0019367
                                     0.12588
influenceIndexPlot(final, vars=c("Studentized", "Bonf"))
influenceIndexPlot(final, vars="Cook") # but there are no influential
observations, so no problems there
vif(final)
# variance inflation factors here river width, ocean distanct and river depth
are <5, which seems good
# but bankheight, riverwidth:bankheight riverdepth:bankheigh are >5 thats
doesnt seem good
# Conclusion
# The model "final" meets all assumptions, so we keep this model.
# It predicts Figga river current, which is jointly related to
riverwidth*bankheight
# this interaction effect jointly statistically significant
Anova(final, type = 3)
summary(final)
```

```
# What does this mean? We take a look to a graphical summary of the model
effects:
plot(allEffects(mod=model.fish.logBD0,partial.residuals=T),
smooth.residuals=F, residuals.color=adjustcolor("blue", alpha.f=0.5), residuals.pch=16)
# The significant riverwidth*bankheight effect indicates that the Figga RIver
current depends on joint
#interaction of river width and bank height of figga river. The rest of the
variables individullay for exampl
# riverwidth, distance of ocean, river depth, and bank statistically
insignificant. Meaning, these variables
#individually doesn't effect the current of Figga river.
# My linear model confirms that current of Figga river jointly depands on
river width and bank height
#Yes, there is and interaction effect in the model, which is
riverwidth*bankheight (signicant at 5% level)
#log transformation:
data.Figga$log.current <- log10(data.Figga$current)</pre>
data.Figga$log.riverwidth <- log10(data.Figga$riverwidth)</pre>
data.Figga$log.oceandist <- log10(data.Figga$oceandist)</pre>
data.Figga$log.riverdepth <- log10(data.Figga$riverdepth)</pre>
data.Figga$log.bankheight <- log10(data.Figga$bankheight)
final3=lm(log.current ~ riverwidth + oceandist + riverdepth +
                bankheight + riverwidth:bankheight + riverdepth:bankheight,
        data = data.Figga)
summary(final3)
Anova(final, type = 3) # all effects are significant
summary(final)$adj.r.squared
#Multicollinerity
fit1meancent=lm(current~scale(riverwidth, center=T, scale=F)*
                 scale(oceandist, center=T, scale=F)*scale(riverdepth,
center=T, scale=F) *
                 scale(bankheight, center=T, scale=F), data=data.Figga)
vif(fit1meancent)
summary(fit1meancent)
```