Question	Projetct Requirements Manager
1 - About cost estimation and schedule, how did it work for the e-Personnel information panel?	Cost estimation was not done as it was a project developed by internal staff of the agency itself. More attention was paid to the schedule. The estimation of the schedule was made based on the assessment of the needs raised during the workshop.
2 - Did the methodology used in the requirements gathering workshop help in any way to estimate costs and schedule? If so, in what way?	Yes. From the needs raised in the workshop, it was possible to estimate how complex it would be to obtain and present the information requested by users. Thus, we were able to set a schedule for project delivery.
3 - About non-functional requirements, were they discussed during the requirements gathering workshop and considered in the project development phase? If so, how was that?	Non-functional requirements were not discussed in the workshop. During development, the major concern about non-functional requirements was the performance issue given the volume of information that should be presented.
4 - Did the customer participation take place effectively throughout the project development? If not, what is the reason?	Yes, in the person of the PO. He was always available to validate the developed artifacts and to indicate / suggest how the information should be organized and displayed on the panel.
5 - Was the information generated through the requirements gathering workshop sufficient to elaborate artifacts that helped the project development?	In this case, yes, because the purpose of the workshop was very specific: to develop an information panel. So the main question was to find out, along with users, what information they would like to have present and how they would be prepared.
6 - Compared to other projects, was there a greater effort in planning the initial activities? If so, has this contributed to better quality of requirements?	In the case of this project, as there was already a system developed, with all the information already structured, we already had an idea of the data we would need to collect to meet the needs of users. An effort was made to carefully plan workshop activities to focus on what we needed to extract from users. I understand that workshop planning has led us to meet the most targeted - and therefore the highest quality - users requirements and project needs.
7 - Did the workshop provide requirements that actually expressed the real need of users? Is this due to the methodology used?	Yes. I think it was due to the methodology, because users were given freedom to express their needs. The workshop was fully geared towards users expressing their needs.
8 - Did the information obtained in the workshop provide more detailed requirements?	Yes. The workshop was attended by users from many different organizations, each had their own vision, work context and needs. This not only enriched the discussion, it eventually "forced" the requirements team to seek the most detailed information so that all users felt satisfied.
9 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the information obtained for scoping?	Yes.
10 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to prioritize the requirements?	Yes.

11 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the dependency between requirements?	Yes.
12 - Were paper prototypes created to express the ideas identified in the workshop to represent the system screens?	Yes.
13 - When a solution idea was identified, was it tested?	Yes.
14 - How satisfied are you with the methodology used in the workshop? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally dissatisfied, 2 - Partially dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially satisfied and 5 - Very Satisfied).	4
15 - Would you adopt or suggest the adoption of this requirements gathering methodology in future projects with Yesilar approach?	Yes.
16 - How satisfied are you with the final product? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally Dissatisfied, 2 - Partially Dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially Satisfied, and 5 - Very Satisfied).	5
17 - Did the usability tests start to be done even before the system development?	Not aplicable.
18 - Was the project requirements detailed enough for the development of the activities you perform? If not, why?	Not aplicable.
19 - Was the time for usability testing adequate?	Not aplicable.
20 - Did the usability tests startto be done even before the system development?	Not aplicable.
Question	**Product Owner**
1 - About cost estimation and schedule, how did it work for the e-Personnel information panel?	Not aplicable.
2 - Did the methodology used in the requirements gathering workshop help in any way to estimate costs and schedule? If so, in what way?	Not aplicable.
3 - About non-functional requirements, were they discussed during the requirements gathering workshop and considered in the project development phase? If so, how was that?	Only in the project development phase to ensure the usability of the tool.
4 - Did the customer participation take place effectively throughout the project development? If not, what is the reason?	Not aplicable.
5 - Was the information generated through the requirements gathering workshop sufficient to elaborate artifacts that helped the project development?	Just a little more detailed, as there was not enough time in the workshop to detail the requirements.
6 - Compared to other projects, was there a greater effort in planning the initial activities? If so, has this contributed to better quality of requirements?	Not aplicable.
7 - Did the workshop provide requirements that actually expressed the real need of users? Is this due to the methodology used?	Not aplicable.

Question	
8 - Did the information obtained in the workshop provide more detailed requirements?	Not aplicable.
9 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the information obtained for scoping?	Yes.
10 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to prioritize the requirements?	Yes.
11 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the dependency between requirements?	Yes.
12 - Were paper prototypes created to express the ideas identified in the workshop to represent the system screens?	Yes.
13 - When a solution idea was identified, was it tested?	Solution ideas were just discussed.
14 - How satisfied are you with the methodology used in the workshop? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally dissatisfied, 2 - Partially dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially satisfied and 5 - Very Satisfied).	4
15 - Would you adopt or suggest the adoption of this requirements gathering methodology in future projects with Yesilar approach?	Yes.
16 - How satisfied are you with the final product? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally Dissatisfied, 2 - Partially Dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially Satisfied, and 5 - Very Satisfied).	5
17 - Did the usability tests start to be done even before the system development?	No.
18 - Was the project requirements detailed enough for the development of the activities you perform? If not, why?	Not aplicable.
19 - Was the time for usability testing adequate?	Not aplicable.
20 - Did the usability tests startto be done even before the system development?	Not aplicable.
Question	**Developer**
1 - About cost estimation and schedule, how did it work for the e-Personnel information panel?	Not aplicable.
2 - Did the methodology used in the requirements gathering workshop help in any way to estimate costs and schedule? If so, in what way?	Not aplicable.
3 - About non-functional requirements, were they discussed during the requirements gathering workshop and considered in the project development phase? If so, how was that?	Yes. The project was guided considering usability with platform users. The specifications were prototyped, both low fidelity and high fidelity, which were continuously validated with the project PO.
4 - Did the customer participation take place effectively throughout the project development? If not, what is the reason?	Yes.

Question	Answer
5 - Was the information generated through the requirements gathering workshop sufficient to elaborate artifacts that helped the project development?	Yes.
6 - Compared to other projects, was there a greater effort in planning the initial activities? If so, has this contributed to better quality of requirements?	Not aplicable.
7 - Did the workshop provide requirements that actually expressed the real need of users? Is this due to the methodology used?	Not aplicable.
8 - Did the information obtained in the workshop provide more detailed requirements?	Not aplicable.
9 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the information obtained for scoping?	Yes.
10 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to prioritize the requirements?	Yes.
11 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the dependency between requirements?	Yes.
12 - Were paper prototypes created to express the ideas identified in the workshop to represent the system screens?	Yes.
13 - When a solution idea was identified, was it tested?	In some cases.
14 - How satisfied are you with the methodology used in the workshop? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally dissatisfied, 2 - Partially dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially satisfied and 5 - Very Satisfied).	5
15 - Would you adopt or suggest the adoption of this requirements gathering methodology in future projects with Yesilar approach?	Yes.
16 - How satisfied are you with the final product? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally Dissatisfied, 2 - Partially Dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially Satisfied, and 5 - Very Satisfied).	5
17 - Did the usability tests start to be done even before the system development?	Not aplicable.
18 - Was the project requirements detailed enough for the development of the activities you perform? If not, why?	Yes, all graphics were prototyped before development began.
19 - Was the time for usability testing adequate?	Not aplicable.
20 - Did the usability tests startto be done even before the system development?	Not aplicable.
Question	**UI/UX**
1 - About cost estimation and schedule, how did it work for the e-Personnel information panel?	Not aplicable.
2 - Did the methodology used in the requirements gathering workshop help in any way to estimate costs and schedule? If so, in what way?	Not aplicable.

3 - About non-functional requirements, were they discussed during the requirements gathering workshop and considered in the project development phase? If so, how was that?	They were discussed more narrowly, with only a few weightings. The focus turned to functional requirements. Yes, the customer actively participated in each step of prioritization, testing, revalidation, survey of new requirements.
4 - Did the customer participation take place effectively throughout the project development? If not, what is the reason?	Not aplicable.
5 - Was the information generated through the requirements gathering workshop sufficient to elaborate artifacts that helped the project development?	They were very helpful. It made possible to initially understand the scope of the project and plan its releases.
6 - Compared to other projects, was there a greater effort in planning the initial activities? If so, has this contributed to better quality of requirements?	Not aplicable.
7 - Did the workshop provide requirements that actually expressed the real need of users? Is this due to the methodology used?	Not aplicable.
8 - Did the information obtained in the workshop provide more detailed requirements?	Not aplicable.
9 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the information obtained for scoping?	Yes.
10 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to prioritize the requirements?	Yes.
11 - After the requirements gathering workshop, were there meetings with the project team to discuss the dependency between requirements?	Yes.
12 - Were paper prototypes created to express the ideas identified in the workshop to represent the system screens?	Yes.
13 - When a solution idea was identified, was it tested?	Solution ideas were just discussed.
14 - How satisfied are you with the methodology used in the workshop? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally dissatisfied, 2 - Partially dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially satisfied and 5 - Very Satisfied).	4
15 - Would you adopt or suggest the adoption of this requirements gathering methodology in future projects with Yesilar approach?	Yes.
16 - How satisfied are you with the final product? (Scale 1 to 5: 1 - Totally Dissatisfied, 2 - Partially Dissatisfied, 3 - Indifferent, 4 - Partially Satisfied, and 5 - Very Satisfied).	5
17 - Did the usability tests start to be done even before the system development?	Not aplicable.
18 - Was the project requirements detailed enough for the development of the activities you perform? If not, why?	Yes, because the customer is very concerned with providing as much information as possible. He is a very detailed person.
19 - Was the time for usability testing adequate?	No usability testing done.

20 - Did the usability tests startto be done even before the system development?	No usability testing done.