

Boosting

AdaBoost, Gradient Boosting, XGboost

DS 6030 | Fall 2022

boosting.pdf


Contents

1	Boosting	2
2	AdaBoost	2
2.1	Adaboost Algorithm	4
2.2	AdaBoost Details	7
2.3	R package ada	8
3	Gradient Boosting	12
3.1	Gradient Descent	12
3.2	L_2 Boosting	12
3.3	GBM (Gradient Boosting Machine)	15
3.4	xgboost (Extreme Gradient Boosting)	16
3.5	CatBoost	17
3.6	LightGBM	18

1 Boosting

Boosting is a *sequential* ensemble method.

Boosting Sketch



- A boosting model can be written as a generic ensemble
 - M is the number of base learners
 - \hat{a}_k is the weight for the k th base learner ($\hat{a}_k \geq 0$).
 - $\hat{g}_k(x)$ is the prediction from the k th base learner

$$\hat{f}_M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M \hat{a}_k \hat{g}_k(x)$$

- The key distinction of boosting models is that the base learners are **fitted sequentially**, and the best model at stage m is dependent on all models fit prior to stage m .

$$\hat{f}_{m+1}(x) = \arg \min_{a, g(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n L(y_i, \hat{f}_m(x_i) + a g(x_i))$$

- Boosting is primarily a *bias* reducer
 - The base models are often simple/weak (low variance, but high bias) models (like shallow trees)
- The complexity of the final model is based on i) the complexity of the base learners and ii) the number of iterations
 - Boosting models will overfit as the number of iterations increases
 - * Early stopping is necessary
 - * Less of a problem for hard classification problems with balanced data
 - Can apply *shrinkage* (making a_k smaller), to reduce complexity
- There are two main versions of boosting:
 - *Gradient Boosting*: fits the next model in the sequence $\hat{g}_k(x)$ to the (pseudo) residuals calculated from the predictions on the previous models
 - *AdaBoost*: fits the next model to sequentially *weighted* observations. The weights are proportional to the how poorly the current models predict the observation.

2 AdaBoost

AdaBoost was motivated by the idea that many *weak* learners can be combined to produce a *strong* aggregate model.

- AdaBoost is for binary classification problems
- Trees are a popular base learner
 - *Weak* learners are usually used. For trees, this means shallow depth.
- At each iteration, the current model is evaluated.
 - The *ensemble weight* of model k is based on its performance (on all the training data)
 - The *observation weight* of observation i is increased if it is mis-classified and decreased if it is correctly classified.
 - Thus, at each iteration, those observations that are mis-classified are weighted higher and get extra attention in the next iteration.
- Because Adaboost uses hard-classifiers, it is sensitive to unbalanced data and unequal misclassification costs.
 - Because the thresholds are set to $p > .50$
 - There are, of course, ways to account for unbalance and unequal costs in the algorithm
 - An improvement to AdaBoost, *LogitBoost* explicitly attempts to estimate the class probability during each iteration which will allow easier post-fitting adjustments for unequal costs

2.1 Adaboost Algorithm

Algorithm: AdaBoost (Discrete)

Inputs:

- $D = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Tuning parameters for base model \hat{g}
- Maximum number of iterations, M or other stopping criteria

Algorithm:

1. Initialize *observation weights* $w_i = 1/n$ for all i
2. For $k = 1$ to M :
 - a. Fit a *classifier* $\hat{g}_k(x)$ that maps (x_i, w_i) to $\{-1, 1\}$. In other words, the classifier must make a hard classification using weighted observations.
 - b. Compute the weighted mis-classification rate

$$e_k = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \mathbb{1}(y_i \neq \hat{g}_k(x_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$$

Note: $0 \leq e_k \leq .5$

- c. Calculate the *coefficient* for model k (*ensemble weight*)

$$\hat{a}_k = \log \left(\frac{1 - e_k}{e_k} \right)$$

Note: $0 \leq a_k < \infty$.

- d. Update the *observations weights*. Increase weights for observations that are mis-classified by model \hat{g}_k and decrease weights for the correctly classified observations.








$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_i &= w_i \cdot \exp(a_k \cdot \mathbb{1}(y_i \neq \hat{g}_k(x_i))) \\ w_i &= \frac{\tilde{w}_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{w}_j} \quad (\text{re-normalize weights}) \end{aligned}$$

3. Output final ensemble $\hat{f}_M(x) \in [-1, 1]$


$$\hat{f}_M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M \hat{a}_k \hat{g}_k(x)$$


- Hard classification: $\hat{f}_M(x) > 0$
- Or remap to a probability $\hat{p}(x) = \frac{e^{2\hat{f}}}{1+e^{2\hat{f}}}$ for thresholding

2.1.1 Illustration with Stumps (depth = 1, n.nodes=2)



2.1.2 Illustration with depth = 2, n.nodes=4





2.2 AdaBoost Details

- Adaboost uses an outcome variable of $y \in \{-1, 1\}$
- AdaBoost implicitly uses the loss function:

$$L(y, f) = e^{-yf}$$

$$= \begin{cases} e^{-f} & y = +1 \\ e^f & y = -1 \end{cases}$$


- Adaboost estimates the probability that $Y = +1$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}(x) &= \frac{e^{\hat{f}_M(x)}}{e^{-\hat{f}_M(x)} + e^{\hat{f}_M(x)}} \\ &= \frac{e^{2\hat{f}_M(x)}}{1 + e^{2\hat{f}_M(x)}}\end{aligned}$$

where $p(x) = \Pr(Y = +1 \mid X = x)$

- And $\hat{f}(x)$ is an estimate of

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_M(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\hat{p}(x)}{1 - \hat{p}(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{logit } \hat{p}(x)\end{aligned}$$




- Comparison with logistic regression (using log-loss / negative binomial log-likelihood)
 - $\hat{f}(x) = \text{logit } \hat{p}(x)$
 - $\hat{p}(x) = \frac{e^{\hat{f}_M(x)}}{1 + e^{\hat{f}_M(x)}}$
 - Log-loss: $\log(1 + e^{-yf})$ (using $y \in \{-1, +1\}$)

2.3 R package `ada`

The R package `ada` provides an implementation of AdaBoost (and related methods).

- See [Friedman, J., Hastie, T., and Tibshirani, R. \(2000\). Additive Logistic Regression: A statistical view of boosting. *Annals of Statistics*, 28\(2\), 337-374.](#) for the details of model variations
 - {Discrete, Real, Gentle} AdaBoost
 - Logitboost



Algorithm: Real AdaBoost

Inputs:

- $D = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Tuning parameters for base model \hat{g}
- Maximum number of iterations, M

Algorithm:

1. Initialize *observation weights* $w_i = 1/n$ for all i
2. For $k = 1$ to M :
 - a. Fit a model $\hat{g}_k(x)$ that uses weighted inputs (x_i, w_i) to estimate a probability $\hat{p}_k(x) = \widehat{\Pr}(Y = 1 \mid X = x)$. In other words, the classifier must make a soft classification using weighted observations.
 - b. Set $f_m(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{logit } \hat{p}_k(x)$
 - c. Update the *observations weights*. Increase weights for observations that are mis-classified by model \hat{g}_k and decrease weights for the correctly classified observations.

$$\tilde{w}_i = w_i \cdot \exp(-y_i \hat{f}_m(x_i))$$

$$w_i = \frac{\tilde{w}_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{w}_j} \quad (\text{re-normalize weights})$$

3. Output final ensemble $\hat{f}_M(x) \in [-1, 1]$

$$\hat{f}_M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M a_k \hat{g}_k(x)$$

- Hard classification: $\hat{f}_M(x) > 0$

- Or remap to a probability $\hat{p}(x) = \frac{e^{2f}}{1+e^{2f}}$ for thresholding

Algorithm: Gentle AdaBoost

Inputs:

- $D = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Tuning parameters for base model \hat{g}
- Maximum number of iterations, M

Algorithm:

1. Initialize *observation weights* $w_i = 1/n$ for all i and $f_0(x) = 0$
2. For $k = 1$ to M :
 - a. Fit a model $\hat{g}_k(x)$ with weighted least squares that estimates y_i using features x_i and weights w_i .
 - b. Update the *observations weights*. Increase weights for observations that are mis-classified by model \hat{g}_k and decrease weights for the correctly classified observations.

$$\tilde{w}_i = w_i \cdot \exp\left(-y_i \hat{f}_m(x_i)\right)$$

$$w_i = \frac{\tilde{w}_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{w}_j} \quad (\text{re-normalize weights})$$

3. Output final ensemble $\hat{f}_M(x) \in [-1, 1]$

$$\hat{f}_M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M \hat{g}_k(x)$$

- Hard classification: $\hat{f}_M(x) > 0$

Algorithm: LogitBoost

Inputs:

- $D = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, where $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Tuning parameters for base model \hat{g}
- Maximum number of iterations, M
- Let $y_i^* = (y_i + 1)/2 \in \{0, 1\}$

Algorithm:

1. Initialize *observation weights* $w_i = 1/n$ for all i and $f_0(x) = 0$
2. For $k = 1$ to M :
 - a. Like in newton-raphson for logistic regression, calculate the working response and weights for all observations

$$z_i = \frac{y_i^* - p_i}{p_i(1 - p_i)}$$

$$w_i = p_i(1 - p_i)$$

- b. Fit a model $\hat{g}_k(x)$ with weighted least squares that estimates z_i using features x_i and weights w_i .
- c. Update $\hat{f}_k(x) = \hat{f}_{k-1}(x) + \hat{g}_k(x)/2$ and $p_i = e^{\hat{f}_k(x)} / (e^{\hat{f}_k(x)} + e^{-\hat{f}_k(x)})$
3. Output final ensemble $\hat{f}_M(x) \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\hat{f}_M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}_k(x)$$

- Hard classification: $\hat{f}_M(x) > 0$
- Or remap to a probability $\hat{p}(x) = \frac{e^{2\hat{f}}}{1+e^{2\hat{f}}}$ for thresholding

3 Gradient Boosting

The boosting model:

$$\hat{f}_M(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M \hat{a}_k \hat{g}_k(x)$$

Sequential Fitting:

$$\hat{f}_{k+1}(x) = \arg \min_{a, g(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n L(y_i, \hat{f}_k(x_i) + a g(x_i))$$

The concept of gradient boosting is sequentially re-fit to the negative (functional) gradients of the loss function (or *pseudo* residuals).

- The same structure can be used for many different loss functions
 - it works the same for regression and classification
 - survival analysis, ranking, etc.

3.1 Gradient Descent

- Our objective is to find the model (or model parameters) that minimize the loss function
- From any starting point, we can move toward the optimum using *gradient descent*:

$$f_{k+1} = f_k - \nu_k L'(f_k)$$

- $\nu_k > 0$ is the step-size
 - $L'(f_k)$ is the functional derivative of the loss with respect to the model f_k
- Boosting fits models sequentially:
$$\hat{f}_{k+1}(x) = \hat{f}_k(x) + \hat{a}_k \hat{g}_k(x)$$
- So we see a parallel; each boosting model $\hat{g}_k(x)$ can be viewed as estimating the *negative derivative* of the loss function.

3.2 L_2 Boosting

L_2 boosting is based on the the squared error loss function

$$L(y_i, \hat{f}(x_i)) = \frac{1}{2}(y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2$$

- The *negative gradients* are

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= \left[-\frac{\partial L(y_i, f_i)}{\partial f_i} \right]_{f_i=\hat{f}(x_i)} \\ &= y_i - \hat{f}(x_i) \end{aligned}$$


- L_2 Boosting is simply re-fitting to the residuals.


Algorithm: L_2 Boosting

1. Initialize $\hat{f}_0(x) = \bar{y}$
2. For $k = 1$ to M :
 - a. Calculate residuals $r_i = y_i - \hat{f}_{k-1}(x_i)$ for all i
 - b. Fit a base learner (e.g., regression trees) to the residuals $\{(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ to get the model $\hat{g}_k(x)$
 - c. Update the overall model $\hat{f}_k(x) = \hat{f}_{k-1}(x) + \nu \hat{g}_k(x)$
 - $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ is the step-size (*shrinkage*)
3. Final model is $\hat{f}_M(x) = \bar{y} + \sum_{k=1}^M \nu \hat{g}_k(x)$

- Like AdaBoost, emphasis is given to observations that are predicted poorly (large residuals)

3.2.1 Illustration using stumps (depth=1, n.nodes=2, $\nu = .1$)





3.3 GBM (Gradient Boosting Machine)

- R package `gbm`
- [GBM Documentation](#)

3.3.1 Model/Tree Tuning Parameters

- Tree depth (`interaction.depth`)
 - Grows trees to a depth specified by `interaction.depth` (unless there are not enough observations in the terminal nodes)
- Minimum number of observations allowed in the terminal nodes (`n.minobsinnode`)
- Sub-sampling (`bag.fraction`)
 - *Stochastic Gradient Boosting*
 - Sample (without replacement) at each iteration
- Loss Function (`distribution`)
 - The loss function is determined by the `distribution` argument
 - Use `distribution="gaussian"` for squared error
 - Other options are: `bernoulli` (for logistic regression), `poisson` (for Poisson regression), `pairwise` (for ranking/LambdaMart), `adaboost` (for the adaboost exponential loss), etc.

3.3.2 Boosting Tuning Parameters

- Number of iterations/trees (`n.trees`)
 - Use cross-validation (or out-of-bag) to find optimal value
 - Can use the helper function `gbm.perf()` to get the optimal value
- Shrinkage parameter (`shrinkage`)
 - Set small, but the smaller the `shrinkage`, the more iterations/trees need to be used
 - “Ranges from 0.001 to 0.100 usually work”
- Cross-validation (`cv.folds`)
 - `gbm` has a built in cross-validation
 - no way to manually set the folds

3.3.3 Computational Settings

- Number of Cores (`n.cores`)
 - Only used when cross-validation is implemented

3.4 xgboost (Extreme Gradient Boosting)

- R package `xgboost`
- [xgboost Documentation](#)
- [xgboost Model](#)
- [xgboost Paper](#)

3.4.1 Model/Tree Tuning Parameters

- Different base learners (`booster`)
 - `gbtree` is a tree
 - `gblinear` creates a (generalized) linear model (forward stagewise linear model)
- Tree building (`tree_method`)
 - To speed up the fitting, only consider making splits at certain quantiles of the input vector (rather than considering every unique value)
- Sub-sampling (`subsample`)
 - *Stochastic Gradient Boosting*
 - Sample (without replacement) at each iteration
- Feature sampling (`colsample_bytree`, `colsample_bylevel`, `colsample_bynode`)
 - Like used in Random Forest, the features/columns are subsampled
 - Can use a subsample of features for each tree, level, or node

Model Complexity Parameters

- Tree depth (`max_depth`)
 - Grows trees to a depth specified by `max_depth` (unless there are not enough observations in the terminal nodes)
 - Trees may not reach `max_depth` if the `gamma` or `min_child_weight` arguments are set.
- Minimum number of observations (or sum of weights) allowed in the terminal nodes (`min_child_weight`)
- Pruning (`gamma` or `min_split_loss`)
 - Minimum loss reduction required to make a further partition on a leaf node of the tree
 - The larger `gamma` is, the more conservative the algorithm will be
- ElasticNet type penalty (`lambda` and `alpha`)
 - `lambda` is an L_2 penalty
 - `alpha` is an L_1 penalty

Note

- Recall that trees model the response as a *constant* in each region

$$\hat{f}_T(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \hat{c}_m \mathbb{1}(x \in \hat{R}_m)$$

- Cost-complexity pruning found the optimal tree as the one that minimized the penalized loss objective

function:

$$C_{\gamma}(T) = \sum_{m=1}^{|T|} \text{Loss}(T) + \gamma|T|$$

- XGBoost selects a tree at each iteration using the following penalized loss:

$$C_{\gamma, \lambda, \alpha}(T) = \sum_{m=1}^{|T|} \text{Loss}(T) + \gamma|T| + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{|T|} \hat{c}_m^2 + \alpha \sum_{m=1}^{|T|} |\hat{c}_m|$$

- Loss Function (objective)
 - The loss function is determined by the objective argument
 - Use `reg:squarederror` for squared error
 - Other options are: `reg:logistic` or `binary:logistic` (for logistic regression), `count:poisson` (for Poisson regression), `rank:pairwise` (for ranking/LambdaMart), etc.

3.4.2 Boosting Tuning Parameters

- Shrinkage parameter (`eta` or `learning_rate`)
 - Set small, but the smaller the `eta`, the more iterations/trees need to be used
- Number of iterations/trees (`num_rounds`)
 - Use cross-validation (or out-of-bag) to find optimal value
- Cross-validation (`xgb.cv`)
 - `xgboost` has a built in cross-validation
 - It is possible to manually set the folds

3.4.3 Computational Settings

- Number of Threads (`nthread`)
- GPU Support (<https://xgboost.readthedocs.io/en/latest/gpu/index.html>)
 - Used for finding tree split points and evaluating/calculating the loss function

3.5 CatBoost

- R package: (<https://github.com/catboost/catboost/tree/master/catboost/R-package>)
- [CatBoost Documentation](#)
- Model/Tree Tuning Parameters:

- Boosting Tuning Parameters:

3.6 LightGBM

- R Package: <https://github.com/microsoft/LightGBM/tree/master/R-package>
- [LightGBM Documentation](#)
- Model/Tree Tuning Parameters:

- Boosting Tuning Parameters:

