### An $\mathcal{X}$ -cluster character

joint work with Jan E. Grabowski

#### Matthew Pressland

Université de Caen-Normandie جامعة كان نور ماندي

The theory of cluster algebras and its applications

جامعة نيويورك أبوظبي 18.12.2024

Slides: https://bit.ly/mdp-abu-dhabi



# General philosophy

- ▶ Start with a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear, Krull–Schmidt, Frobenius, stably 2-Calabi–Yau, algebraic extriangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ , with cluster-tilting subcategories.
- ightharpoonup Extract various pieces of data from  $\mathcal C$  and its cluster-tilting subcategories.
- Explain how this data transforms under mutation of cluster-tilting subcategories.
- ▶ Show that, *under the correct additional assumptions*, we recover cluster-theoretic data in the sense of Fomin–Zelevinsky.
- ▶ Covers **g**-vectors, **c**-vectors, *B*-matrices,  $\mathcal{F}$ -polynomials,  $\mathcal{A}$ -cluster variables,  $\mathcal{X}$ -cluster variables and  $\mathcal{L}$ -matrices.
- ▶ **Disclaimer:** Many results are due to other authors under more restrictive assumptions.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Fock–Goncharov  $\mathcal{A}=$  Fomin–Zelevinsky x, Fock–Goncharov  $\mathcal{X}=$  Fomin–Zelevinsky y

# Grothendieck groups

- Simplifying assumptions for today:
  - C is Hom-finite,
  - ▶ has cluster-tilting objects (~> finite rank cluster algebras), and
  - $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$  ( $\leadsto$  skew-symmetric exchange matrices).
- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  be cluster-tilting:

$$\mathcal{T} = \{X \in \mathcal{C} : \mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathcal{T}) = 0\} = \{X \in \mathcal{C} : \mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{T}, X)\}$$

- ▶  $\leadsto$  Grothendieck groups  $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{T})$  and  $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathrm{fd}\,\mathcal{T})$ , for  $\mathrm{fd}\,\mathcal{T} = \{\mathit{M} \colon \mathcal{T}^\mathrm{op} \to \mathrm{fd}\,\mathbb{K}\} = \mathrm{finite}\text{-dimensional}\,\,\mathcal{T}\text{-modules}.$
- ▶ Both free of (finite) rank #(indec  $\mathcal{T}$ ), each  $\mathcal{T} \in \text{indec } \mathcal{T}$  indexes dual basis vectors  $[\mathcal{T}] \in \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{T})$  and  $[\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{T}}] \in \mathrm{K}_0(\text{fd } \mathcal{T})$ :

$$S_T^T(T') = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}, & T' = T \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

#### Index and coindex

▶ Fix  $T \subseteq C$  cluster-tilting, and let  $X \in C$ . Then there are conflations

$$\underbrace{K_{\mathcal{T}}X \rightarrowtail R_{\mathcal{T}}}_{\in \mathcal{T}}X \twoheadrightarrow X \dashrightarrow X \rightarrowtail \underbrace{L_{\mathcal{T}}X \twoheadrightarrow C_{\mathcal{T}}X}_{\in \mathcal{T}} \dashrightarrow.$$

- $ightharpoonup \sim \operatorname{ind}^{\mathcal{T}} X = [R_{\mathcal{T}}X] [K_{\mathcal{T}}X], \operatorname{coind}^{\mathcal{T}} X = [L_{\mathcal{T}}X] [C_{\mathcal{T}}X] \in \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{T}).$
- ▶ For  $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  cluster-tilting,  $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}$ ,  $\operatorname{coind}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}} \colon \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{T})$ .

## Theorem (Dehy-Keller '08)

 $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $\operatorname{coind}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{U}}$  are inverse isomorphisms.

- ▶ Duality (over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ):  $\overline{\operatorname{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}} := (\operatorname{coind}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{U}})^* : \operatorname{K}_0(\operatorname{fd} \mathcal{T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{K}_0(\operatorname{fd} \mathcal{U}),$  $\overline{\operatorname{coind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}} := (\operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{U}})^*.$
- ▶ Cluster dictionary: ind  $\leftrightarrow$  **g**-vector, ind  $\leftrightarrow$  **c**-vector.

## **Exchange matrices**

▶ All of the above applies to the triangulated stable category  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ , with  $\{\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \text{ cluster-tilting}\} = \{\underline{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq \underline{\mathcal{C}} \text{ cluster-tilting}\}$ 

### Proposition (Keller-Reiten, Koenig-Zhu, Palu, Fu-Keller,...)

Let  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  be cluster-tilting. Then  $E^{\mathcal{T}} = \mathsf{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{C}}(-,\mathcal{T}) \colon \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{fd}\,\underline{\mathcal{T}}$ , and there is a linear map  $\beta_{\mathcal{T}} \colon \mathrm{K}_0(\mathsf{fd}\,\underline{\mathcal{T}}) \to \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{T})$  such that

$$\beta_{\mathcal{T}}[E^{\mathcal{T}}X] = \mathsf{coind}^{\mathcal{T}}(X) - \mathsf{ind}^{\mathcal{T}}(X).$$

### Theorem (Palu '09, ..., Grabowski-P '24+)

For any cluster-tilting  $\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}\subseteq\mathcal{C}$ , there are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathrm{K}_0(\mathsf{fd}\,\underline{\mathcal{U}}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathcal{U}}} & \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{U}) & \mathrm{K}_0(\mathsf{fd}\,\underline{\mathcal{U}}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathcal{U}}} & \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{U}) \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \underline{\mathsf{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}} & & & & & & & & & \\ \mathrm{K}_0(\mathsf{fd}\,\underline{\mathcal{T}}) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathcal{T}}} & \mathrm{K}_0(\mathcal{T}) & & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

# Exchange matrices

### Theorem (Palu '09, ..., Grabowski-P '24+)

For any cluster-tilting  $\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}\subseteq\mathcal{C}$ , there are commutative diagrams

#### Corollary

For  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  related by mutation (away from loops or 2-cycles), the matrices of  $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $\beta_{\mathcal{U}}$  with respect to the standard bases are related by Fomin–Zelevinsky matrix mutation.

**Warning:**  $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}} \circ \operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{U}} \neq \operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{T}} \text{ etc. (but } \beta_{\mathcal{T}} \circ \operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}} \circ \operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{U}} = \beta_{\mathcal{T}} \circ \operatorname{ind}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{T}}).$ 

### Example

#### Corollary

For  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  related by mutation (away from loops or 2-cycles), the matrices of  $\beta_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $\beta_{\mathcal{U}}$  with respect to the standard bases are related by Fomin–Zelevinsky matrix mutation.

$$\mathcal{U}: \ 1 
ightarrow 2 
ightarrow 3 \qquad ext{K}_0( ext{fd}\, \underline{\mathcal{U}}) \xrightarrow{\left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)} ext{K}_0(\mathcal{U}) \ \mathcal{T} = \mu_2 \mathcal{U}: \ 1 \xrightarrow[2]{} 3 \qquad ext{K}_0( ext{fd}\, \underline{\mathcal{T}}) \xrightarrow[\left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & -1 & 1 \ 0 & -1 & 1 \ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)} ext{K}_0(\mathcal{T})$$

#### Definition

Say  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T})$  has a cluster structure if the quiver of  $\mathcal{U}$  has no loops or 2-cycles for any  $\mathcal{U} \stackrel{\mathsf{mut}}{\sim} \mathcal{T}$ .

# $\mathcal{A}$ -cluster character reminder

 $ightharpoonup M \in \operatorname{fd} \mathcal{T}$  has  $\mathcal{F}$ -polynomial

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = \sum_{[L] \in \mathrm{K}_0(\mathsf{fd}\,\mathcal{T})} \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_{[L]}(M)) x^{[L]} \in \mathbb{K} \mathrm{K}_0(\mathsf{fd}\,\underline{\mathcal{T}}).$$

 $X \in \mathcal{C}$  has A-cluster character

$$CC_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{T}}(X) = x^{\operatorname{ind}^{\mathcal{T}}(X)}(\beta_{\mathcal{T}})_{*}\mathcal{F}(E^{\mathcal{T}}X)$$

$$= a^{\operatorname{ind}^{\mathcal{T}}(X)} \sum_{[L] \in K_{0}(\operatorname{fd}\mathcal{T})} \chi(\operatorname{Gr}_{[L]}(E^{\mathcal{T}X})) a^{\beta_{\mathcal{T}}[L]} \in \mathbb{K}K_{0}(\mathcal{T}).$$

### Example

$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{T}}X = \begin{array}{cccc} \mathbb{K} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{0} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{0} & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{0} \\ & & & \mathbb{K} & & \end{array} \qquad \mathbf{\beta}_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & -1 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & -1 \\ -1 & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{T}}X) = 1 + 2x_2 + x_2^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_2^2$$

$$\mathsf{CC}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{T}}(X) = a_1 a_2^{-2} a_3 (1 + 2a_1^{-1} a_3 + a_1^{-2} a_3^2 + a_1^{-1} a_2 + a_1^{-2} a_2 a_3)$$

# $\mathcal{X}$ -cluster character

- ▶ Inputs to the  $\mathcal{X}$ -cluster character are  $M \in \operatorname{fd} \underline{\mathcal{U}}$  for  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  cluster-tilting.
- $ightharpoonup 
  ightsquigarrow M_{\mathcal U}^\pm \in \mathcal U$  such that  $eta_{\mathcal U}[M] = [M_{\mathcal U}^+] [M_{\mathcal U}^-].$

$$\mathsf{CC}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}_{\mathcal{X}}(M) = x^{\underline{\mathrm{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}[M]} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{E}^{\mathcal{T}} M_{\mathcal{U}}^{+})}{\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{E}^{\mathcal{T}} M_{\mathcal{U}}^{-})} \in \mathsf{Frac}(\mathbb{K}\mathrm{K}_{0}(\mathsf{fd}\,\underline{\mathcal{T}})).$$

### Proposition

$$[M] = [L] + [N] \implies \mathsf{CC}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(M) = \mathsf{CC}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(L) \, \mathsf{CC}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(N).$$

ightharpoonup ightharpoonup consider the values of  $CC_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}$  on simple *U*-modules.

## Theorem (Grabowski-P '24+)

Assume  $(C, \mathcal{T})$  has a cluster structure. Then the  $CC_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(S_{U}^{\mathcal{U}})$ , for all  $\mathcal{U} \stackrel{mut}{\sim} \mathcal{T}$  and  $U \in \text{indec } \mathcal{U}$ , are the  $\mathcal{X}$ -cluster variables of the cluster algebra associated to the quiver of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

#### Remarks

### Theorem (Grabowski-P '24+)

Assume (C, T) has a cluster structure. Then the  $CC_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(S_{U}^{\mathcal{U}})$ , for all  $\mathcal{U} \stackrel{\text{mut}}{\sim} \mathcal{T}$  and  $U \in \text{indec } \mathcal{U}$ , are the  $\mathcal{X}$ -cluster variables of the cluster algebra associated to the quiver of  $\underline{\mathcal{T}}$ .

- The map implicit in the theorem is surjective but not injective, but induces a bijection between exchange pairs for (C, T) and  $\mathcal{X}$ -cluster variables (thanks to Cao–Keller–Qin '24).
- ▶ For  $S = S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}}$ , the objects  $S_{\mathcal{U}}^{\pm}$  are the middle terms of exchange conflations  $U^* \rightarrowtail S_{\mathcal{U}}^+ \twoheadrightarrow U$ ,  $U \rightarrowtail S_{\mathcal{U}}^- \twoheadrightarrow U^*$ .
- ▶ To include  $\mathcal{X}$ -variables at frozen vertices, we give an ad hoc definition of  $\mathsf{CC}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}$  on simple modules at these vertices.
- ► For  $M \in \operatorname{fd} \underline{\mathcal{U}}$ , we have  $(\beta_{\mathcal{T}})_* \operatorname{CC}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(M) = \frac{\operatorname{CC}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{U}}(M_U^+)}{\operatorname{CC}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{U}}(M_U^-)}$ .

# Example 1

 $\overline{\operatorname{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}[S_3^{\mathcal{U}}] = [S_3^{\mathcal{T}}]$ 

$$\mathcal{U}: \ 1 \to 2 \to 3 \qquad \mathcal{T} = \mu_2 \mathcal{U}: \ \stackrel{1}{\swarrow} \xrightarrow{2} \ \stackrel{3}{\swarrow}$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}[S_1^{\mathcal{U}}] = [S_1^{\mathcal{T}}] + [S_2^{\mathcal{T}}] \qquad (S_1)_{\mathcal{U}}^+ = 0 \qquad (S_1)_{\mathcal{U}}^- = U_2$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}[S_2^{\mathcal{U}}] = -[S_2^{\mathcal{T}}] \qquad (S_2)_{\mathcal{U}}^+ = U_1 \qquad (S_1)_{\mathcal{U}}^- = U_3$$

$$E^{\mathcal{T}}(U_{1}) = 0 \qquad CC_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(S_{1}^{\mathcal{U}}) = x_{1}x_{2}(\frac{1}{1+x_{2}}) = x_{1}x_{2}(1+x_{2})^{-1}$$

$$E^{\mathcal{T}}(U_{2}) = S_{2}^{\mathcal{T}} \qquad CC_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(S_{2}^{\mathcal{U}}) = x_{2}^{-1}(\frac{1}{1}) = x_{2}^{-1}$$

$$E^{\mathcal{T}}(U_{3}) = 0 \qquad CC_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}(S_{3}^{\mathcal{U}}) = x_{3}(\frac{1+x_{2}}{1}) = x_{3}(1+x_{2})$$

 $(S_3)_{11}^+ = U_2$ 

 $(S_1)_{i,i}^- = 0$ 

# Example 2

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{U}: & 1 o 2 o 3 & \mathcal{T} = \Sigma \mathcal{U}: & 1 o 2 o 3 \ & \overline{\operatorname{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}[S_1^{\mathcal{U}}] = -[S_1^{\mathcal{T}}] & (S_1)_{\mathcal{U}}^+ = 0 & (S_1)_{\mathcal{U}}^- = U_2 \ & \overline{\operatorname{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}[S_2^{\mathcal{U}}] = -[S_2^{\mathcal{T}}] & (S_2)_{\mathcal{U}}^+ = U_1 & (S_1)_{\mathcal{U}}^- = U_3 \ & \overline{\operatorname{ind}}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{T}}[S_3^{\mathcal{U}}] = -[S_3^{\mathcal{T}}] & (S_3)_{\mathcal{U}}^+ = U_2 & (S_1)_{\mathcal{U}}^- = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{T}}(U_{1}) = \ \mathbb{K} \to 0 \to 0 & \mathsf{CC}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}_{\mathcal{X}}(S_{1}^{\mathcal{U}}) = x_{1}^{-1}(\frac{1}{1+x_{2}+x_{1}x_{2}}) \\ & \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{T}}(U_{2}) = \ \mathbb{K} \stackrel{1}{\to} \mathbb{K} \to 0 & \mathsf{CC}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}_{\mathcal{X}}(S_{2}^{\mathcal{U}}) = x_{2}^{-1}(\frac{1+x_{1}}{1+x_{3}+x_{2}x_{3}+x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}}) \\ & \mathbf{E}^{\mathcal{T}}(U_{3}) = \ \mathbb{K} \stackrel{1}{\to} \mathbb{K} \stackrel{1}{\to} \mathbb{K} & \mathsf{CC}^{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{U}}_{\mathcal{X}}(S_{3}^{\mathcal{U}}) = x_{3}^{-1}(1+x_{2}+x_{1}x_{2}) \end{split}$$

Thank you! / ! شكراً / 谢谢!

### Bonus slide

$$T_2$$
 ----  $U_4$  ----  $U_2$  ----  $T_2$  ---

 $T_1$  ----  $U_3$  ----  $U_1$  ----  $T_1$ 
 $Z_1$  ----  $Z_3$  ----  $Z_2$  ----  $Z_1$  ---

 $Z_2$  ----  $Z_1$  ----  $Z_3$  ----  $Z_2$  ----  $Z_3$  ----  $Z_2$  ----  $Z_3$  ----  $Z_2$  ----  $Z_3$  ----  $Z_3$  ----  $Z_3$  ----  $Z_4$  -----  $Z_4$  ----  $Z_4$  -----  $Z_4$  ----  $Z_4$  ----

- $ightharpoonup T_2 \longrightarrow T_1 \bigcirc \sim$  no cluster structure!
- $CC_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{T}}(U_1) = a_1^{-1}(1 + a_2 + a_2^2),$   $CC_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{T}}(U_2) = a_2^{-1}(1 + a_1^{-1} + a_1^{-1}a_2 + a_1^{-1}a_2^2),...$
- ➤ 

  → generalised cluster variables (Chekhov–Shapiro)