

Affect and SM Use - SMASH Study

Melissa Dreier

10/31/2023

Descriptive Statistics

```
## Days in Study

# summarize max days in study
Max_days <- data %>%
  group_by(pid) %>%
  summarise(Max_day = max(day_in_study, na.rm=TRUE))

# get mean/sd day in study
mean(Max_days$Max_day, na.rm=TRUE)
```

```
## [1] 30.57895
```

```
sd(Max_days$Max_day, na.rm=TRUE)
```

```
## [1] 5.620555
```

```
#min(Max_days$Max_day, na.rm=TRUE)

#View(Max_days)

#setwd("C:/Users/Missy Dreier/OneDrive - Rutgers University/Documents/Papers/2022_SMASH_Affect/Paper writing/Current Research in Ecological and Social Psychology/RR1")

#getwd()

#write.csv(Max_days, file = "Days_in_study.csv", row.names = F)

wide <- data[which(data$day_in_study==1 & data$hour_in_study==1),] # create dataset with 1 row/participant for demographics

gender <- wide %>% select("pid", "GenderBirth") # subset data to just ID and sex

totals <- day %>% group_by(pid) %>%
  dplyr::summarise(sum_sm = sum(sum_sm, na.rm = TRUE), Naf_am = mean(Naf_am, na.rm=TRUE), Naf_pm = mean(Naf_pm, na.rm=TRUE),
count_sm = sum(count_sm, na.rm = TRUE), SM_Pos = mean(SM_Pos, na.rm=TRUE), SM_Neg = mean(SM_Neg, na.rm=TRUE))

## summarize dataset

daygen <- merge(totals, gender, by = "pid") #merge

t.test(sum_sm ~ GenderBirth, data = daygen) #test sex differences in SM screen time
```



```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data:  sum_sm by GenderBirth
## t = 2.0984, df = 6.2206, p-value = 0.07902
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  -301.7959 4167.1999
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 0 mean in group 1
##      3296.910      1364.208
```

```
t.test(count_sm ~ GenderBirth, data = daygen) #test sex diffs in SM checking
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data:  count_sm by GenderBirth
## t = 0.58062, df = 12.903, p-value = 0.5715
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  -2518.788 4368.352
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 0 mean in group 1
##      4748.167      3823.385
```

```
t.test(SM_Pos ~ GenderBirth, data = daygen) #test sex diffs in positive affect SM
```

```
##  
## Welch Two Sample t-test  
##  
## data: SM_Pos by GenderBirth  
## t = -1.516, df = 11.357, p-value = 0.1568  
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not equal to 0  
## 95 percent confidence interval:  
## -43.695110 7.971083  
## sample estimates:  
## mean in group 0 mean in group 1  
## 40.71464 58.57665
```

```
t.test(SM_Neg ~ GenderBirth, data = daygen) #test sex diffs in negative affect SM
```

```
##  
## Welch Two Sample t-test  
##  
## data: SM_Neg by GenderBirth  
## t = 0.50739, df = 6.8502, p-value = 0.6278  
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not equal to 0  
## 95 percent confidence interval:  
## -13.67337 21.10232  
## sample estimates:  
## mean in group 0 mean in group 1  
## 15.04517 11.33070
```

```
t.test(NAf_am ~ GenderBirth, data = daygen) #test sex diffs in general negative affect AM
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data:  NAf_am by GenderBirth
## t = -0.7743, df = 13.35, p-value = 0.4523
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  -25.67002  12.09766
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 0 mean in group 1
##      13.81093      20.59711
```

```
t.test(NAf_pm ~ GenderBirth, data = daygen) #test sex diffs in general negative affect PM
```

```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data:  NAf_pm by GenderBirth
## t = -0.94029, df = 14.141, p-value = 0.3629
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means between group 0 and group 1 is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
##  -26.55351  10.35672
## sample estimates:
## mean in group 0 mean in group 1
##      14.73500      22.83339
```

Models Prediciting Next morning Negative Mood

```
#-----Bayesian multilevel models-----#

## Negative mood - sumduration
NA_sm_sum_bayes <- brm(NAf_am_p ~ sum_sm_p_lag + sum_sm_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = day)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 9e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.9 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.601 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.287 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.888 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 4.5e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.45 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.674 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.267 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 0.941 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 2.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.26 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.621 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.324 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 0.945 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 2.7e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.27 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.64 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4: 0.39 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4: 1.03 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(NA_sm_sum_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>	CI_low <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	6.81741050	0.95	1.82712827
b_sum_sm_p_lag	conditional	0.01844204	0.95	-0.03513926
b_sum_sm_p_c	conditional	0.01040600	0.95	-0.03354537
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.47219184	0.95	-0.71243919
sigma	sigma	20.07128774	0.95	18.65491169

5 rows | 1-5 of 10 columns


```
standard_error(NA_sm_sum_bayes)
```

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	2.59992754
b_sum_sm_p_lag	0.02702636
b_sum_sm_p_c	0.02254535
b_day_in_study	0.12041932
sigma	0.75378932
5 rows	

```
## Negative mood - counts

NA_sm_count_bayes <- brm(NAf_am_p ~ count_sm_p_lag + count_sm_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = day)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 7.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.76 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 1.368 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.387 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                1.755 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 3.3e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.33 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 1.637 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.621 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 2.258 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 4.5e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.45 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 1.484 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.339 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 1.823 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 3.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.36 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 1.59 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.545 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           2.135 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(NA_sm_count_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	7.3992248220	0.95
b_count_sm_p_lag	conditional	0.0142954154	0.95
b_count_sm_p_c	conditional	-0.0004331904	0.95
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.4596099401	0.95
sigma	sigma	20.0371791158	0.95

5 rows | 1-4 of 10 columns

```
standard_error(NA_sm_count_bayes)
```

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	2.69864973
b_count_sm_p_lag	0.01556003
b_count_sm_p_c	0.01116648
b_day_in_study	0.12043490
sigma	0.74436540
5 rows	

Positive Affect on SM predicting social media use

```
#-----Bayesian multilevel models-----#  
  
## Positive affect & minutes of SM  
  
PA_on_SM_day_bayes <- brm(sum_sm_p ~ SM_Pos_p_lag + SM_Pos_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = day)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 4.5e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.45 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.538 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.376 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.914 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 2.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.26 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.578 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.29 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 0.868 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 2.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.22 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.573 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.446 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 1.019 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 3.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.32 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.505 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.27 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           0.775 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(PA_on_SM_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>	CI_low <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	14.85689214	0.95	3.3581271
b_SM_Pos_p_lag	conditional	0.09248794	0.95	-0.1119780
b_SM_Pos_p_c	conditional	-0.02139076	0.95	-0.1750787
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.79049650	0.95	-1.2091862
sigma	sigma	38.51886680	0.95	36.1016753

5 rows | 1-5 of 10 columns

standard_error(PA_on_SM_day_bayes)

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	5.92622970
b_SM_Pos_p_lag	0.10596127
b_SM_Pos_p_c	0.07867866
b_day_in_study	0.21395038
sigma	1.31604605
5 rows	

Minutes of SM --> positive mood

SM_on_PA_day_bayes <- brm(SM_Pos_p ~ sum_sm_p_lag + sum_sm_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = day)

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 4.1e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.41 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.73 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.175 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.905 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 3.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.32 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.839 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.398 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 1.237 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 3.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.36 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.866 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.451 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 1.317 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 2.8e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.28 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.788 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4: 0.411 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4: 1.199 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(SM_on_PA_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>	CI_low <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	7.13092619	0.95	3.09029260
b_sum_sm_p_lag	conditional	0.01604665	0.95	-0.02931794
b_sum_sm_p_c	conditional	0.00126636	0.95	-0.03335761
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.47964633	0.95	-0.67458916
sigma	sigma	17.73622431	0.95	16.55764034

5 rows | 1-5 of 10 columns

standard_error(SM_on_PA_day_bayes)

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	2.07616832
b_sum_sm_p_lag	0.02247838
b_sum_sm_p_c	0.01769340
b_day_in_study	0.09820672
sigma	0.64087131
5 rows	

```
## Positive affect & SM checks

PA_on_SM_count_day_bayes <- brm(count_sm_p ~ SM_Pos_p_lag + SM_Pos_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = d
ay)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 3.9e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.39 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.56 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.402 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.962 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 2.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.22 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.607 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.461 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 1.068 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 3.7e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.37 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.639 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.457 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 1.096 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 2.4e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.24 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.559 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.46 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           1.019 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(PA_on_SM_count_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>	CI_low <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	8.5821125	0.95	-14.97961103
b_SM_Pos_p_lag	conditional	0.3647546	0.95	-0.04305498
b_SM_Pos_p_c	conditional	0.1833740	0.95	-0.12860749
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.8906806	0.95	-1.72702689
sigma	sigma	76.5899521	0.95	71.74241515

5 rows | 1-5 of 10 columns

standard_error(PA_on_SM_count_day_bayes)

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	11.9019911
b_SM_Pos_p_lag	0.2131571
b_SM_Pos_p_c	0.1628706
b_day_in_study	0.4216398
sigma	2.6417916
5 rows	

```
## SM Checks --> positive mood

SM_count_on_PA_day_bayes <- brm(SM_Pos_p ~ count_sm_p_lag + count_sm_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = day)
```



```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 4.9e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.49 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 1.503 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.551 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                2.054 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 2.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.22 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 1.186 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.361 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 1.547 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 2.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.22 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 1.095 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.424 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 1.519 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 5.5e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.55 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.992 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.427 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           1.419 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(SM_count_on_PA_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl> ▶
b_Intercept	conditional	7.675894469	0.95
b_count_sm_p_lag	conditional	0.029059775	0.95
b_count_sm_p_c	conditional	-0.005211073	0.95
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.471834885	0.95
sigma	sigma	17.639018071	0.95

5 rows | 1-4 of 10 columns

```
standard_error(SM_count_on_PA_day_bayes)
```

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	2.176223677
b_count_sm_p_lag	0.012915620
b_count_sm_p_c	0.009022451
b_day_in_study	0.100135175
sigma	0.624240965
5 rows	

Negative Affect on SM predicting social media use

```
#-----Bayesian multilevel models-----#

## Negative affect & minutes of SM

NA_on_SM_day_bayes  <- brm(sum_sm_p ~ SM_Neg_p_lag + SM_Neg_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = day)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 3.9e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.39 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.54 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.391 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.931 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 2.8e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.28 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.439 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.397 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 0.836 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 1.7e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.17 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.475 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.406 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 0.881 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 1.9e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.19 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.523 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.379 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           0.902 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(NA_on_SM_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>	CI_low <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	19.38707181	0.95	8.5330842
b_SM_Neg_p_lag	conditional	-0.03401761	0.95	-0.3348122
b_SM_Neg_p_c	conditional	0.01479522	0.95	-0.3974762
b_day_in_study	conditional	-1.04444955	0.95	-1.5605544
sigma	sigma	39.39030976	0.95	36.4478930

5 rows | 1-5 of 10 columns


```
standard_error(NA_on_SM_day_bayes)
```

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	5.7744487
b_SM_Neg_p_lag	0.1511133
b_SM_Neg_p_c	0.2094706
b_day_in_study	0.2636675
sigma	1.5867062
5 rows	

```
## Minutes of SM --> NA

SM_on_NA_day_bayes <- brm(SM_Neg_p ~ sum_sm_p_lag + sum_sm_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = day)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 7e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.7 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.677 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.249 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                0.926 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 7.7e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.77 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.781 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.225 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 1.006 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 2.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.26 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.672 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.224 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 0.896 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 2.8e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.28 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.662 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.339 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           1.001 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(SM_on_NA_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>	CI_low <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	3.615897083	0.95	-0.53961067
b_sum_sm_p_lag	conditional	-0.007785546	0.95	-0.04999027
b_sum_sm_p_c	conditional	0.007590345	0.95	-0.02859907
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.260765043	0.95	-0.46427559
sigma	sigma	15.228580203	0.95	14.06940882

5 rows | 1-5 of 10 columns

standard_error(SM_on_NA_day_bayes)

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	2.15075926
b_sum_sm_p_lag	0.02158728
b_sum_sm_p_c	0.01807335
b_day_in_study	0.10062675
sigma	0.63515757
5 rows	

```
## Negative affect & SM checks

NA_on_SM_count_day_bayes <- brm(count_sm_p ~ SM_Neg_p_lag + SM_Neg_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data = d
ay)
```

```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 5.3e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.53 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.773 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.751 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                1.524 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 2.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.22 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.75 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.751 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 1.501 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 2e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.2 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 0.74 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.646 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 1.386 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 2.2e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.22 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.745 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.728 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           1.473 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(NA_on_SM_count_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>	CI_low <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	59.89043161	0.95	5.4477673
b_SM_Neg_p_lag	conditional	-0.08333492	0.95	-0.6185377
b_SM_Neg_p_c	conditional	-1.83966718	0.95	-5.3955185
b_day_in_study	conditional	-1.71650115	0.95	-2.6345406
sigma	sigma	68.48084659	0.95	63.1051487


5 rows | 1-5 of 10 columns

standard_error(NA_on_SM_count_day_bayes)

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	27.7299114
b_SM_Neg_p_lag	0.2645747
b_SM_Neg_p_c	1.7554149
b_day_in_study	0.4816120
sigma	2.9162185
5 rows	

```
## SM Checks --> NA

SM_count_on_NA_day_bayes <- brm(SM_Neg_p ~ count_sm_p_lag + count_sm_p_c + day_in_study + (1 | pid), prior = prior1, data =
day)
```



```
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 0.000121 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 1.21 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 0.934 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:                0.206 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:                1.14 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 2).
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Gradient evaluation took 3.7e-05 seconds
## Chain 2: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.37 seconds.
## Chain 2: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [  0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 2: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 2:
## Chain 2: Elapsed Time: 0.936 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 2: 0.246 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 2: 1.182 seconds (Total)
## Chain 2:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 3).
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Gradient evaluation took 4.7e-05 seconds
## Chain 3: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.47 seconds.
## Chain 3: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3: Iteration: 2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 3:
## Chain 3: Elapsed Time: 1.035 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 3: 0.378 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 3: 1.413 seconds (Total)
## Chain 3:
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'anon_model' NOW (CHAIN 4).
## Chain 4:
```

```
## Chain 4: Gradient evaluation took 2.1e-05 seconds
## Chain 4: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.21 seconds.
## Chain 4: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Iteration:    1 / 2000 [ 0%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   200 / 2000 [ 10%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   400 / 2000 [ 20%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   600 / 2000 [ 30%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:   800 / 2000 [ 40%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1000 / 2000 [ 50%] (Warmup)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1001 / 2000 [ 50%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1200 / 2000 [ 60%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1400 / 2000 [ 70%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1600 / 2000 [ 80%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  1800 / 2000 [ 90%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4: Iteration:  2000 / 2000 [100%] (Sampling)
## Chain 4:
## Chain 4: Elapsed Time: 0.781 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 4:           0.159 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 4:           0.94 seconds (Total)
## Chain 4:
```

```
model_parameters(SM_count_on_NA_day_bayes, centrality = "mean")
```

Parameter <chr>	Component <chr>	Mean <dbl>	CI <dbl>
b_Intercept	conditional	4.0291078482	0.95
b_count_sm_p_lag	conditional	-0.0010749037	0.95
b_count_sm_p_c	conditional	-0.0008359042	0.95
b_day_in_study	conditional	-0.2467478844	0.95
sigma	sigma	15.2193555309	0.95

5 rows | 1-4 of 10 columns

standard_error(SM_count_on_NA_day_bayes)

Parameter	SE
<chr>	<dbl>
b_Intercept	2.26105309
b_count_sm_p_lag	0.01302430
b_count_sm_p_c	0.01006786
b_day_in_study	0.10011233
sigma	0.62724533
5 rows	