Activity Duration Estimates

Generated by Requirements Gathering Agent v2.1.2

Category: planning-artifacts

Generated: 2025-06-10T08:16:44.403Z

Description: PMBOK Activity Duration Estimates

Activity Duration Estimates for Requirements Gathering Agent Project

1. Overview

This document provides activity duration estimates for the Requirements Gathering Agent project. The estimates are based on a combination of expert judgment, analogous estimating (drawing from similar past projects), and three-point estimating (optimistic, most likely, pessimistic) techniques. The primary goal is to create a realistic project schedule, considering potential risks and uncertainties.

Scope: This estimation covers key activities across all project phases, from planning through deployment.

Methodology: Three-point estimating (PERT) will be used to calculate expected durations. The PERT formula: (Optimistic + 4 * Most Likely + Pessimistic) / 6 will be applied. Expert judgment will be used to refine estimates based on team experience and project complexity. Analogous estimating will leverage data from past similar projects to inform initial estimates.

Assumptions: The team consists of experienced developers and project managers. Access to necessary resources (hardware, software, AI APIs) is assumed. Contingency time is included to account for unforeseen delays.

Constraints: The project is subject to the availability of AI API access and potential rate limits.

Review and Approval: This document will be reviewed and approved by the project manager and key stakeholders.

2. Estimation Methodology

Techniques Used: Expert judgment, analogous estimating, three-point estimating (PERT).

Historical Data: Data from previous AI-driven project development efforts within the organization will be used for analogous estimating.

Resource Productivity: Based on historical data and team expertise, average productivity rates for developers and project managers will be applied.

Quality and Complexity: The complexity of AI integration and the need for robust error handling are factored into the estimates.

3. Activity Duration Estimates Table

		WB:		Mos	f.			Resource Re-	ce	Risk
Act ID	i Aity ivity Name	er-	Estin Oct pito	inh.istei	b _{Pess}		idBassels of sEstimate	quire- ments	Assumpt	Fac-
1	Project Initia- tion & Plan- ning	1.1	Expett Judg- ment	3	5	3.17	Based on experi- ence with similar projects.	Project Man- ager, Stake- hold- ers	Clear project char- ter and stake- holder buy- in.	Stakeholder dis- agree- ments, un- clear re- quire- ments.
2	Requirer Gath- ering & Anal- ysis	m le 2 t:	sAnal ó gou	s7	10	7.17	Based on similar projects' requirements gathering phases.	BA, De- vel- op- ers	Well-defined scope and clear communication with stake-hold-ers.	Incomplete re- quire- ments, chang- ing re- quire- ments.
3	System Design & Architecture	1.3	Expeßt Judg- ment	5	7	5	Based on archi- tect's experi- ence and complex- ity of the system.	Archite De- vel- op- ers		Architectura changes, inte- gra- tion chal- lenges.

Act	i Ait tivity Name				ibPess			Resource Re- quire- ments	ce Assumpt	Risk Fac- titous
4	API Integration (Azure OpenAI)	2.1	Thre& Point	4	8	4.33	Based on previous experience integrating with Azure services.	Develop De- vOps Engi- neer	Azure API, ade- quate docu- men- ta- tion.	API down-time, unex-pected API changes.
5	Core Func- tion- ality Devel- op- ment	2.2	Thred-0 Point	15	25	15.8	3Based on code com- plexity and team velocity.	Develop		tUnexpect bugs, inte- gra- tion issues.
6	Unit & Integration Testing	2.3	Thre& Point	7	12	7.5	Based on code coverage targets and testing experience.	Develop QA Engi- neer	odraproug test plans.	bugs, test envi- ron- ment issues.
7	UI/UX De- sign & Im- ple- men- ta- tion (CLI)	2.4	Expert Judg- ment	5	8	5	Based on CLI com- plexity and designer's experi- ence.	UI/UX De- signer, De- vel- op- ers	Clear design speci- fica- tions.	Design changes, usabil- ity issues.
8	` /	n l tátti	o A nalogou	s5	8	5	Based on previous documen- tation efforts.	Technic Writer, De- vel- op- ers		Delays in con- tent cre- ation, edit- ing cycles.

		WBS		3.6				Resource	ee	D: 1
A of	:_A:++::+	Ref-		Most		:.D:	:Dimila of	Re-		Risk Fac-
ID	i Aicy ivity Name		Methodays		·	-		quire- ments	Assumpt	
9	PMBOK Valida- tion & Compliance Test- ing	Χ 3.1	Expett Judg- ment	4	6	4	Based on PMBOK expertise and testing strategy.	Project Man- ager, QA Engi- neer	Access to PM-BOK documentation and resources.	Difficulty in meeting PM-BOK requirements.
10	Deploym & Re- lease	n ∉ nit	Expeilt Judg- ment	2	4	2	Based on DevOps experi- ence and release process.	DevOps Engi- neer	sStable de- ploy- ment envi- ron- ment.	Deploymerissues, unexpected errors.
11	User Train- ing & Knowl- edge Trans- fer	4.2	Expeilt Judg- ment	2	3	2	Based on training materials and user base.	Project Man- ager, Trainer	Availabil of training re-	ityser un- avail- ability, train- ing chal- lenges.
12	Post-Release Moni- tor- ing & Sup- port (2 weeks)	5.1	Expe f t Judg- ment	10	15	10	Based on anticipated support needs.	Support Team	tEffective support channels and documentation.	_

Act ID	i Act ivity Name				yPessi			Resource Require- ments	ce Assumpt	Risk Fac- itons
13	Context Man- ager Devel- op- ment	2.2.1	Thre V Point	10	14	10.1	Based on complexity of context management logic.	Develop	officar re- quire- ments and design speci- fica- tions.	Integration chal- lenges, perfor- mance issues.
14	AI Provider Inte- gra- tion (Google AI)		2Thre& Point	5	7	5	Based on experi- ence with Google AI APIs.	Develop		API down-time, unex-pected API changes.
15	Enhance Anal- ysis Mod- ule Devel- op- ment	c 4 .2.2	?Thre Point	8	12	8.17	Based on complex- ity of enhanced analysis features.	Develop		Integration challenges, performance issues.
16	Quality Assur- ance and Test- ing (En- tire Project)	3.2	Expeirt Judg- ment	10	14	10	Covers all testing activities across the project lifecycle.	QA Team		tUnforeseen bugs, test envi- ron- ment issues.

4. Estimation Categories

The activities listed above are categorized as follows:

- Development Activities: 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14, 15.
- Project Management Activities: 1, 9, 11, 16.
- Quality Assurance Activities: 6, 16.
- Infrastructure Setup: None (assumed to be pre-existing).
- Training & Knowledge Transfer: 11.

5. Risk and Uncertainty

Risk Factors: API availability and rate limits, unforeseen bugs, integration challenges, stakeholder changes in requirements, resource availability.

Contingency: A 10% contingency buffer is added to the overall project duration to account for unforeseen delays.

Schedule Buffer: A 2-week buffer is added at the end of the project for unforeseen issues during deployment and post-release support.

Sensitivity Analysis: A sensitivity analysis will be conducted to identify the most critical activities and assess the impact of potential delays.

6. Quality Considerations

Review and Validation: Regular code reviews, testing, and stakeholder feedback sessions will be used to ensure quality.

Expert Judgment: Experienced developers and project managers will provide expert judgment throughout the estimation process.

Historical Data Validation: Historical data will be validated for accuracy and relevance to the current project.

Continuous Improvement: The estimation process will be refined based on lessons learned from this and future projects.

7. Supporting Information

Resource Skill Levels: Assumptions about developer and project manager skill levels are based on documented experience and performance evaluations.

Technology & Tools: The project will utilize standard development tools and technologies, and the availability and stability of these tools are assumed.

Dependencies & Constraints: Activity dependencies are explicitly noted in the WBS. Constraints include API availability and rate limits.

Environmental Factors: The project environment (hardware, software, network) is assumed to be stable and reliable.

This document provides a baseline for activity duration estimates. These estimates will be regularly reviewed and updated as the project progresses and more information becomes available.