Quality Metrics

Source File: generated-documents\quality-assurance\quality-metrics.md

Generated: 16/07/2025 at 13:58:39

Generated by: Requirements Gathering Agent - PDF Converter

Quality Metrics

Generated by adpa-enterprise-framework-automation v3.2.0

Category: quality-assurance

Generated: 2025-07-14T21:03:27.219Z

Description: Quality metrics and measurement criteria

Quality Metrics Framework

Project: ADPA - Advanced Document Processing & Automation Framework

Version: 3.2.0

Prepared by: Quality Assurance & Metrics Analyst

Date: July 2025

1. Quality Metrics Overview

Purpose and Objectives

- **Purpose:** To continuously measure, monitor, and improve the quality of the ADPA framework across its full lifecycle (development, testing, deployment, and production).
- Objectives:
 - Ensure compliance with enterprise, regulatory, and industry standards (BABOK v3, PMBOK 7, DMBOK 2.0, GDPR, SOX, etc.).
 - o Deliver robust, performant, and secure automation for document and project management.
 - Maximize customer satisfaction and minimize production issues.

Metrics Framework and Methodology

- Approach:
 - o Metrics are defined for process, product, defect, and customer quality.
 - Data is collected using automated tools (CI/CD, static analysis, test coverage tools, monitoring dashboards) and manual audits.
 - o Thresholds are established for each metric, aligned with project and stakeholder goals.

• KPIs are reviewed in regular quality meetings, with continuous improvement actions documented and tracked.

Quality Goals and Targets

• **Process Compliance:** ≥ 95%

Test Coverage: ≥ 90% of critical code paths
Defect Density: ≤ 0.4/1000 LOC pre-release
User Acceptance Rate: ≥ 98% for major features
System Availability: ≥ 99.9% uptime in production

Stakeholder Expectations and Success Criteria

- Regulatory Compliance: Full adherence to listed standards
- Enterprise-Readiness: Demonstrated by successful integrations (Adobe, SharePoint, Confluence)
- **Performance:** Sub-second API response for 95% of calls
- Security: Zero critical vulnerabilities in production

2. Process Quality Metrics

Development Process Metrics

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
Code Review Effectiveness	% PRs with >1 reviewer; % PRs with actionable comments	≥ 95% PRs reviewed, ≥ 80% actionable	GitHub/GitLab, Code Review Audit	Weekly
Defect Injection Rate	# defects reported per development phase	< 2/feature/phase	Jira, GitHub Issues	Sprint
Process Compliance	% adherence to documented workflows (coding standards, branching, commit messages, security checks)	≥ 95%	CI/CD Audit, Manual Check	Monthly
Development Velocity	# story points / sprint	Trend improving or stable	Jira, Azure DevOps	Sprint

Testing Process Metrics

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
Test Execution Effectiveness	% of planned tests executed per cycle	≥ 98%	Jest, CI/CD Reports	Sprint
Test Coverage	% code coverage (statements, branches, functions)	≥ 90% critical, ≥ 80% overall	Jest, coverage tools	Sprint
Defect Detection Efficiency	% defects found in testing vs. production	≥ 95% pre-prod	Jira, TestRail, CI/CD	Release
Test Automation Coverage	% of regression test suite automated	≥ 90%	Jest, Automation Logs	Sprint

3. Product Quality Metrics

Functional Quality

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
Requirements Coverage	% of requirements with corresponding test cases	100%	Requirements Traceability Matrix	Release
Feature Completeness	% of committed features delivered per release	≥ 98%	Jira, Release Notes	Release
User Story Acceptance Rate	% of stories accepted by PO/BA	≥ 98%	Jira, UAT Reports	Sprint
Business Rule Compliance	% of business rules implemented and validated	100%	Test Cases, PMBOK/BABOK Mapping	Release

Technical Quality

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
Code Quality	# ESLint/Prettier/TypeScript warnings/errors	0 errors, < 5 warnings	ESLint, Prettier, tsc	CI/CD
Performance	API p95 response time under load	≤ 800ms (95th percentile)	k6, JMeter, NewRelic	Release
Security Vulnerabilities	# critical/high vulnerabilities (prod)	0 critical/high	Snyk, npm audit, OWASP ZAP	Release
Reliability/Availability	% uptime (prod), # outages > 1 min	≥ 99.9%, 0 outages	UptimeRobot, Azure Monitor	Monthly

4. Defect Quality Metrics

Defect Discovery

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
Defect Detection Rate	# defects found per phase (dev, QA, UAT, prod)	> 90% pre-prod	Jira, TestRail	Sprint
Defect Density	Defects per 1000 LOC	≤ 0.4/1000 LOC	SonarQube, Jira	Release
Defect Severity Distribution	% severe vs. minor defects	< 2% severe in total	Jira, Bug Report Analysis	Release
Defect Aging/Resolution	Avg. time to resolve critical, high, medium issues	< 2 days (critical), < 5 days (high)	Jira, SLA Reports	Weekly

Defect Prevention

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
Root Cause Analysis Coverage	% critical defects with RCA documented	100%	Jira, RCA logs	Sprint
Defect Prevention Effectiveness	% preventive actions closed on time	≥ 90%	Jira, Action Tracker	Monthly
Process Improvement Impact	% reduction in recurring defects	≥ 20%/quarter	Defect Trends, RCA	Quarterly
Recurring Defect Patterns	# of repeated root causes per period	Declining trend	Jira, RCA	Quarterly

5. Customer Quality Metrics

User Satisfaction

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
UAT Pass Rate	% of test cases passed during User Acceptance	≥ 98%	UAT Reports, Jira	Release
Customer Satisfaction (CSAT)	Survey score (1-5 scale)	≥ 4.5/5	Post-release Survey	Quarterly
System Usability Score (SUS)	Standardized usability survey	≥ 85/100	SUS Survey	Quarterly
User Experience (UX) Issues	# major UX issues reported	< 3 per major release	Feedback, Issue Tracker	Release

Production Quality

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
System Availability/Uptime	% uptime (prod)	≥ 99.9%	Azure Monitor, UptimeRobot	Monthly

Metric	Measurement Criteria	Threshold/Target	Data Source/Tools	Reporting
Performance Under Load	Max concurrent users supported @ SLA	≥ 1000, ≤ 1% error rate	Load Testing, Azure	Quarterly
Production Defect Rate	# defects reported by users per 1000 users/month	< 0.2	Helpdesk, Jira	Monthly
Mean Time to Recovery (MTTR)	Avg. time to recover from critical incident	< 30 min	Incident Logs, PagerDuty	Monthly

6. Quality Reporting and Dashboards

Metrics Collection Methods

• Automated Data Collection:

- o CI/CD pipeline integrations (Jest, ESLint, Snyk, SonarQube)
- o API monitoring (NewRelic, Azure Monitor)
- o Code and test coverage reports

• Manual Measurement:

- o Process compliance audits
- o User acceptance and customer satisfaction surveys

• Tool Integration:

- o JIRA/Azure DevOps for defect and story tracking
- o APIs for test automation tools (Jest, TestRail)

Data Validation:

- o Cross-check automated data with periodic manual audits
- o Use API/webhook integration for real-time accuracy

Reporting Framework

• Dashboards:

- o Real-time dashboards (Grafana, PowerBl, Azure Dashboards) for key metrics
- o Drill-down views for code quality, defects, test coverage, and production health

• Reporting Frequency:

- o Daily: Automated build, test, and deployment status
- **Sprint:** Process and product quality metrics
- o **Monthly:** Defect metrics, customer quality, compliance
- o **Quarterly:** Trend and improvement analysis

• Distribution:

- o Shared with all stakeholders via email, Slack/Teams, and project Confluence
- o Executive summaries for leadership

• Trend Analysis:

 $\circ\;$ Visualization of metric trends over time for early warning and improvement tracking

• Action Item Tracking:

o Integrated with Jira/DevOps for assignment and closure of quality actions

7. Quality Improvement Actions

Threshold Management

Quality Gates:

- Enforced in CI/CD (block release if thresholds not met)
- o E.g., block deploy if test coverage < 90% or critical vulnerabilities detected

• Escalation Procedures:

- o Immediate notification to QA lead/PM for threshold breaches
- o Incident review and mandatory action plan for repeated breaches

• Corrective Action Triggers:

- Automated triggers for defect spikes, performance degradation, or compliance failures
- o Formal RCA and improvement plan required for critical/recurring issues

• Continuous Improvement:

- o Retrospectives after each release/sprint
- o KPIs reviewed and revised quarterly

Metrics Analysis

• Trend Identification:

- o Automated dashboard analytics for increasing/decreasing trends (e.g., defect density, velocity)
- Root cause investigation for negative trends

• Improvement Opportunities:

- o Prioritized backlog of process or product improvements based on metric analysis
- Action plans assigned and tracked to completion

• Success Measurement:

- o Post-action measurement to confirm improvement effectiveness
- o Lessons learned documented and shared with team

Appendix: Example Quality Dashboard Widgets

- CI/CD Compliance: Code review, lint, test pass rates
- Coverage Map: Unit, integration, and E2E test coverage by module
- **Defect Heatmap:** Defect count and severity by release/module
- Uptime SLAs: Rolling 30-day system availability
- Customer Feedback: CSAT and NPS trends

This framework ensures that ADPA meets its enterprise quality, compliance, and customer value commitments—and provides actionable, transparent metrics for ongoing improvement.