

Some thoughts on model forms

Mark Scheuerell

14 March 2022

Background

The total return of adult salmon of species i in year t , $Y_{i,t}^A$, is the sum of natural- and hatchery-origin fish, $N_{i,t}^A$ and $H_{i,t}^A$, respectively, such that

$$Y_{i,t}^A = N_{i,t}^A + H_{i,t}^A. \quad (1)$$

Natural-origin fish

The number of natural-origin adults returning in some year t is the sum of age-specific returns of fish over previous years, the range of which depends on the species and location. In any given year t , adult spawners produce some number of offspring that survive to return as adult “recruits” over the following years ($R_{i,t}^A$). This process is generally assumed to be density dependent and affected by the environment (E_t), such that

$$R_{i,t}^A = f(N_{i,t}^A, E_t) \quad (2)$$

For example, one common choice for f is a Ricker model defined as

$$R_t = \alpha_{i,t} S_{i,t} \exp(-\beta S_{i,t} + w_{i,t}), \quad (3)$$

where α_t is the intrinsic rate of productivity (spawners per spawner) absent density-dependent effects, β is the per capita strength of density dependence, and w_t is some stochastic effect of the environment. In log-space the model becomes

$$\log(R_t) = \log(\alpha_{i,t}) + \log(S_{i,t}) - \beta S_{i,t} + w_{i,t}. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, the intrinsic rate of productivity is often assumed to be a function of the environment, where

$$\log(\alpha_{i,t}) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_{X,i} X_{i,t+h} \quad (5)$$

Here, β_0 is the log of the underlying mean productivity, and β_i is the effect of a covariate i at time t ($X_{i,t+h}$).

The estimated number of fish of age a returning in year t ($N_{a,t}$) is then product of the total number of brood-year recruits in year $t - a$ and the proportion of mature fish from that brood year that returned to spawn at age a ($p_{a,t-a}$), such that

$$N_{i,a,t}^A = R_{i,t-a}^A p_{i,a,t-a}. \quad (6)$$

The total number of natural-origin adults returning in some year t is then the sum of age-specific returns of fish over previous years, whereby

$$N_{i,t}^A = \sum_a N_{i,a,t}^A. \quad (7)$$

Hatchery-origin fish

Unlike natural-origin fish, the number of hatchery-origin adults in year t ($H_{i,t}^A$) is disconnected from the number of hatchery-origin adults in some years prior, and instead is merely the total number of hatchery-origin juveniles released some k years prior $H_{i,t-k}^J$ times their juvenile-to-adult survival

$$H_{i,t}^A = \sum_{k=1}^K s_{i,t-k}^H H_{i,t-k}^J. \quad (8)$$

Juvenile-to-adult survival

Juvenile-to-adult survival is typically thought to be a function of the river environment during the time the juveniles are migrating to sea ($E_{i,t-k}^R$), as well as conditions in the ocean during their time at sea ($\sum E_{i,t-k}^O$), whereby

$$\text{logit}(s_{i,t-k}^H) = \sum_{k=1}^K (\beta_{R,k} E_{i,t-k}^R + \beta_{O,k} E_{i,t-k}^O). \quad (9)$$

Simplifying assumptions

Several simplifying assumptions are necessary here given the lack of and species- and age-specific data. First, we can assume that there is a single (average) age of adults and therefore

38 k is fixed at a single value for each species. If so, then equation (4) can be rewritten in terms
 39 of total adults in return year t as a function of the total number of returning adults k years
 40 earlier:

$$\log(N_{i,t}^A) = \log(\alpha_{i,t}) + \log(N_{i,t-k}^A) - \beta_N N_{i,t-k}^A + w_{i,t}. \quad (10)$$

41 We can use the same assumption to simplify the model for hatchery-origin adults, such that
 42 equation (8) becomes

$$H_{i,t}^A = s_{i,t-k}^H H_{i,t-k}^J. \quad (11)$$

43 In log-space, the number of hatchery-origin adults is then

$$\log(H_{i,t}^A) = \log(s_{i,t-k}^H) + \log(H_{i,t-k}^J). \quad (12)$$

44 Now we can rewrite equation (1) in terms of equations (10) and (12), such that

$$\log(Y_{i,t}^A) = \left[\log(\alpha_{i,t}) + \log(N_{i,t-k}^A) - \beta_N N_{i,t-k}^A \right] + \left[\log(s_{i,t-k}^H) + \log(H_{i,t-k}^J) \right] + w_{i,t} \quad (13)$$

45 with

$$\log(\alpha_{i,t}) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^M \beta_{X,i} X_{i,t-kh}. \quad (14)$$

46 As written, we cannot use equation (13) because we do not know the number of natural-
 47 origin adults in any given year. However, if we are willing to make a second assumption that
 48 the smolt-to-adult survival (SAR) of hatchery fish is known from independent studies, and
 49 therefore we can fix the $\log(s_{i,t-k}^H)$ term in equation (13) rather than estimate it, then we
 50 can rewrite equation (13). Specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log(Y_{i,t}^A) = \log(\alpha_{i,t}) + \log(Y_{i,t-k}^A - s_{i,t-k}^H H_{i,t-k}^J) - \beta_N (Y_{i,t-k}^A - s_{i,t-k}^H H_{i,t-k}^J) \\ + \log(s_{i,t-k}^H) + \log(H_{i,t-k}^J) + w_{i,t} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

51 Lastly, we can write out the effects of flow (F), ocean conditions (O) and spending (S) more
 52 explicitly, such that equation (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(Y_{i,t}^A) = & \beta_0 + \beta_{F,i}F_{i,t-l} + \beta_{O,i}O_{i,t-k} + \beta_{S,i}S_{i,t-m} \\
& + \log(Y_{i,t-k}^A - s_{i,t-k}^H H_{i,t-k}^J) - \beta_N(Y_{i,t-k}^A - s_{i,t-k}^H H_{i,t-k}^J) \\
& + \log(s_{i,t-k}^H) + \log(H_{i,t-k}^J) + w_{i,t} \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Caveats

- The covariates in equation () are not log-transformed, as it's not clear to me why they should be given their presumed effect on productivity.
- The effect of spending ($\beta_{S,i}$) will be biased low because it necessarily includes the effect of hatchery releases, which we cannot separate out here.