

Stability properties for MAR(1) models

Mark D. Scheuerell

Northwest Fisheries Science Center
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Seattle, WA USA
mark.scheuerell@noaa.gov

Background

There is growing interest in the use of first-order vector autoregressive models in ecology where they are often referred to as multivariate autoregressive, or MAR(1), models¹. In particular, MAR(1) models have been used to estimate interactions among various members in a food web and evaluate overall community stability.

The general form of a MAR(1) model is

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \mathbf{w}_t, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{x}_t is an $n \times 1$ vector of state variates at time t , \mathbf{B} is an $n \times n$ transition matrix, and \mathbf{w}_t is an $n \times 1$ vector of multivariate normal process errors; $\mathbf{w}_t \sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q})$.

State-space model

This MAR(1) model can also be used as part of a state-space model, wherein the observed data \mathbf{y} are an imperfect sample of the true realizations \mathbf{x} , such that

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{v}_t \quad (2)$$

and \mathbf{v}_t is an $n \times 1$ vector of observation errors. When combined, Eqns (1) and (2) form a state-space model:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_t &= \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \mathbf{w}_t \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{v}_t \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Following Holmes *et al.*², I will refer to this form of the MAR(1) model as a MARSS model, where SS stands for state-space, and we drop the parenthetic lag-1 identifier for convenience.

Variance of the stationary distribution

We will restrict this treatment to stationary models wherein all of the eigenvalues of \mathbf{B} lie within the unit circle. Because $t = (t - 1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, under assumptions of stationarity we can write the process equation from the above state-space model as

¹Ives AR *et al.* (2003) *Ecol Monogr* 73:301–330

²Holmes EE *et al.* (2012) *The R Journal* 4:11–19

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{w}_t.$$

From this, it follows that

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_t) = \mathbf{B}\text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_t)\mathbf{B}^\top + \text{Var}(\mathbf{w}_t).$$

If we define $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_t)$, then

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^\top + \mathbf{Q}.$$

Unfortunately, there is no closed-form solution for $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ when written in this form. However, we can use the vec operator to derive an explicit solution for $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. The vec operator converts an $i \times j$ matrix into an $(ij) \times 1$ column vector. For example, if

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$\text{vec}(\mathbf{M}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, if \mathbf{I} is an $n \times n$ identity matrix, and we define $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{B}$, then

$$\text{vec}(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{B})^{-1}\text{vec}(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Importance of species interactions to stability

Among the many ways of classifying stability, I am interested in the extent to which community interactions, relative to environmental forcing, contribute to the overall variance of the stationary distribution. In a stable system, any perturbation affecting one or more of the community members does not amplify as it moves throughout the community as a whole, such that the variances in log-densities over time would be driven almost entirely by random environmental variation. Given that same magnitude of random environmental variation, less stable systems are characterized by greater variances in the temporal dynamics of their constituents.

Here we will use determinants to measure the “volume” of a matrix. Looking back to the matrix form of the equation for the variance of the stationary distribution, we see that

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^\top + \mathbf{Q},$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^\top.$$

Therefore, the volume of the difference $\Sigma - \mathbf{Q}$ provides a measure of how much species interactions contribute to the variance of the stationary distribution. Taking determinants of both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\Sigma - \mathbf{Q}) &= \det(\mathbf{B}\Sigma\mathbf{B}^\top) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{B})\det(\Sigma)\det(\mathbf{B}^\top) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{B})\det(\Sigma)\det(\mathbf{B}).\end{aligned}$$

The proportion $\pi_{\mathbf{B}}$ of the volume of Σ attributable to species interactions is then

$$\pi_{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{\det(\Sigma - \mathbf{Q})}{\det(\Sigma)} = \det(\mathbf{B})^2.$$

We can formally examine the sensitivity of $\det(\mathbf{B})^2$ to each of the elements in \mathbf{B} via the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\frac{\partial \pi_{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial \mathbf{B}}\right]_{ij} &= \left[\frac{\partial \det(\mathbf{B})^2}{\partial \mathbf{B}_{ij}}\right]_{ij} \\ &= [2 \det(\mathbf{B})^2 (\mathbf{B}^{-1})^\top]_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any matrix \mathbf{B} we can ask whether its determinant is most sensitive to a diagonal versus an off-diagonal element.

The effects of covariates

Often we would like to examine the potential effects of covariates on community dynamics. In that case, the MARSS(1) model becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_t &= \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}_{t-1} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{c}_t + \mathbf{w}_t, \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{v}_t,\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{C} is an $n \times p$ matrix of covariate effects, and \mathbf{c}_t is a $p \times 1$ vector of covariates at time t . Although the variance of the stationary distribution will be affected by any covariate effects, the method described above for estimating the relative effects of species interactions is unaffected. That is, if Ξ is the variance-covariance matrix of the covariates in \mathbf{c} , then analogous to above the variance of the stationary distribution will be

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma &= \mathbf{B}\Sigma\mathbf{B}^\top + \mathbf{C}\Xi\mathbf{C}^\top + \mathbf{Q}, \\ \Sigma - \mathbf{C}\Xi\mathbf{C}^\top - \mathbf{Q} &= \mathbf{B}\Sigma\mathbf{B}^\top,\end{aligned}$$

and hence the proportion $\pi_{\mathbf{B}}$ of the volume of Σ attributable to species interactions is, as before, given by

$$\pi_{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{\det(\Sigma - \mathbf{C}\Xi\mathbf{C}^\top - \mathbf{Q})}{\det(\Sigma)} = \det(\mathbf{B})^2.$$

Long-term changes in abundance

Ives *et al.*³ provide a means for assessing the expected long-term change in the density (biomass) of species i , L_i , within a community of p total members, owing to the effect of some covariate j . Specifically,

$$L_i = \frac{\det(\mathbf{B}_1 \dots \mathbf{B}_{i-1} \mathbf{C}_j \mathbf{B}_{i+1} \dots \mathbf{B}_p)}{\det(\mathbf{B}_1 \dots \mathbf{B}_p)},$$

where \mathbf{B}_i is a $p \times 1$ column vector containing the estimated effects of species i on all of the species (including itself), and \mathbf{C}_j is a $p \times 1$ column vector containing the estimated effects of covariate j on each of the species.

Reactivity

We can also calculate the reactivity of a community following an external perturbation, which measures the displacement of the community away from its stationary distribution. There are two methods to do so.

The first follows Ives *et al.*⁴, where reactivity is based upon estimates of the process covariance \mathbf{Q} and stationary covariance $\mathbf{\Sigma}$. Specifically, they define reactivity as

$$-\frac{\text{tr}(\mathbf{Q})}{\text{tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma})} \leq 1 - \lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B}).$$

The second method comes from Neubert *et al.*⁵, wherein reactivity is

$$\log \|\mathbf{B}\|_2 = \log \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{B})} = \log \sigma_{\max}(\mathbf{B}),$$

and $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the spectral norm, $\lambda_{\max}(\cdot)$ is the maximum eigenvalue, and $\sigma_{\max}(\cdot)$ is the largest singular value. This method only requires estimates of the species interactions in \mathbf{B} .

³Ives AR *et al.* (1999) *Ecology* 80:1405–1421

⁴Ives AR *et al.* (2003) *Ecol Monogr* 73:301–330

⁵Neubert MG *et al.* (2009) *Ecology* 90:2683–2688