

# Limitations of Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG)

Md. Sapon Abdullah

Department of Computer Science  
University Chittagong

October 30, 2025

# Overview

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Core Limitations
- 3 Summary

# What is RAG?

- **Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG)** combines:
  - **Retrieval:** Fetch relevant documents from an external knowledge base.
  - **Generation:** Use a language model (LLM) to generate responses using retrieved context.
- Enhances factual accuracy and domain specificity.
- Commonly used in *domain-specific chatbots, academic assistants, and knowledge QA systems*.

# 1. Dependence on Retrieval Quality

- The model's output is only as good as the retrieved documents.
- Poorly retrieved or irrelevant documents lead to incorrect or misleading answers.
- Retrieval model (e.g., BM25, DPR, ColBERT) limits accuracy if not domain-tuned.

## 2. Context Length Limitation

- LLMs have fixed input context windows.
- Only a few retrieved passages can be fed at once.
- Important information may be truncated or ignored.

### 3. Knowledge Inconsistency

- Conflicts between:
  - Retrieved facts and model's internal knowledge.
  - Multiple retrieved sources with contradicting information.
- Leads to confusing or incoherent responses.

## 4. Lack of Dynamic Reasoning

- RAG systems retrieve static text.
- They cannot perform reasoning over multiple retrieved pieces effectively.
- Often fail in multi-hop question answering.

## 5. Domain Adaptation Challenges

- Requires domain-specific tuning of both retriever and generator.
- LLMs may not understand specialized terminology or structure of documents.
- Costly to curate, clean, and index large domain datasets.



## 6. Latency and Computational Cost

- RAG involves multiple stages:
  - 1 Document retrieval
  - 2 Encoding
  - 3 Response generation
- Increases response time and computational overhead.

## 7. Evaluation Difficulty

- Difficult to measure factual accuracy and citation correctness.
- No standardized metrics for RAG-specific evaluation.
- Human evaluation often required.

# Summary

## Main Limitations

- Dependence on retrieval quality
- Limited context window
- Knowledge inconsistency
- Weak multi-hop reasoning
- Domain adaptation cost
- High latency
- Evaluation challenges

# Summary

## Main Limitations

- Dependence on retrieval quality
- Limited context window
- Knowledge inconsistency
- Weak multi-hop reasoning
- Domain adaptation cost
- High latency
- Evaluation challenges

## Future Directions

- Hybrid retriever models
- Adaptive context compression
- Retrieval-grounded reasoning models

# Thank You!

Questions?