

# A proof of the Vose–Liepins conjecture

Gary J. Koehler

*Decision and Information Sciences, BUS 351, University of Florida,  
Gainesville, FL 32611, USA*

A key result sufficient for the asymptotic stability of the mixing operator in infinite population genetic algorithms depended upon a conjecture. While empirical results supported the conjecture, no proof has been heretofore obtained. In this paper we supply a proof of the conjecture. In so doing, we obtain properties useful for the study of genetic algorithms.

## 1. Introduction

Vose and Liepins [5] presented a simplified and powerful model for infinite population genetic algorithms (GAs). This was extended by Nix and Vose [3] to finite populations. Both models were later unified and further extended by Vose [4].

In the Vose and Liepins [5] paper, a key result revolved around the asymptotic stability of a mapping. This, in turn, depended on the magnitude of the second largest eigenvalue of a matrix  $M_*$  (defined below) being less than one-half. The authors reported empirical confirmation that the second largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $M_*$  was less than one-half, but were unable to provide a proof (see their conjecture 1).

In this paper we provide a direct way to compute the spectrum of  $M_*$  and are able to confirm the Vose–Liepins conjecture. In the course of deriving our results, we provide several useful identities for studying GAs.

We start with a review of relevant material in section 2. In section 3 we derive the complete spectrum of  $M_*$ . These results are used to prove the Vose–Liepins conjecture in section 4.

## 2. Background

Throughout, we will consider population members as binary strings of  $\gamma > 1$  bits. Each such member can also be represented by an integer from 0 to  $2^\gamma - 1$ . The following notation will be used:

$i \oplus j$  is the bitwise EXCLUSIVE OR of  $i$  and  $j$ ;

$i \otimes j$	is the bitwise AND of $i$ and $j$ ;
$ j $	is the number of non-zero bits of $j$ ;
$\Delta(i, j, h)$	is $ (2^h - 1) \otimes i  -  (2^h - 1) \otimes j $ ;
$\lfloor y \rfloor$	is the largest integer less than or equal to the real number $y$ ;
$\delta(i)$	is one if $i$ is zero and is zero otherwise;
$\text{rev}(i)$	is the bitwise reversal of $i$ where $i$ is treated as having $\gamma$ bits (with leading zeros if necessary);
$\text{wid}(i)$	is the difference between the position of the highest non-zero bit and the lowest non-zero bit of $i$ (for $i > 0$ ) and $\text{wid}(0) \equiv 0$ ;
$P(x, y)$	is the number of combinations of $x$ objects taken $y$ at a time;
$e$	is a column vector of ones;
$v'$	signifies the transpose of $v$ ;
$e_i$	is a column vector of zeros having a one in row $i + 1$ , $i = 0, \dots, 2^\gamma - 1$ ; and
$I$	is an identity matrix of appropriate size.

Vose and Liepins [5] derived an expression for the probability that parents  $i$  and  $j$  result in an offspring of 0 under a one point crossover and mutation. The crossover rate is  $\chi > 0$  and the mutation rate is  $0 < \mu < 1$ . Using  $\eta \equiv \mu/(1 - \mu)$ , then the probability that parents  $i$  and  $j$  result in 0 is

$$M_{i,j} = (1 - \mu)^\gamma \left[ \eta^{|i|} \left( (1 - \chi) + \chi \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} \eta^{-\Delta(i,j,h)} / (\gamma - 1) \right) + \eta^{|j|} \left( (1 - \chi) + \chi \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} \eta^{+\Delta(i,j,h)} / (\gamma - 1) \right) \right] / 2.$$

Let  $M$  be the  $2^\gamma$  by  $2^\gamma$  matrix of such values.

#### PROPOSITION 1 (PROPERTIES OF $M$ [5])

$M$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} M_{i \oplus k, j \oplus k} = 1$ ;
- (2)  $e' M e = 2^\gamma$ ;
- (3)  $M > 0$ .

Vose and Liepins [5] use the twist of  $M$ , denoted  $M_*$ , throughout their analysis.  $M_*$  is defined below with several of its properties:

PROPOSITION 2 (DEFINITION AND PROPERTIES OF  $M_*$  [5])

The twist of  $M$  is denoted by  $M_*$  and defined by

$$(M_*)_{i,j} = M_{i \oplus j, i}.$$

Two properties of  $M_*$  are

- (1)  $e' M_* = e'$ ;
- (2) 1 is the largest eigenvalue of  $M_*$ .

Property two gives the largest eigenvalue of  $M_*$ . In this paper, we wish to determine the full spectrum of  $M_*$ .

Also instrumental in Vose–Liepin’s results are Walsh matrices. We will denote a  $2^\gamma$  by  $2^\gamma$  Walsh matrix by  $W$  where

$$W_{i,j} = (-1)^{|\text{rev}(i) \otimes j|} = (-1)^{|\text{rev}(j) \otimes i|}.$$

Below are several well-known properties of Walsh matrices.

## PROPOSITION 3 (PROPERTIES OF WALSH MATRICES [1, 2, 5])

Walsh matrices satisfy

- (1)  $W = W'$ ;
- (2)  $W W = 2^\gamma I$ ;
- (3)  $W e = 2^\gamma e_0$  (so  $W e_0 = e$ );
- (4)  $W_{i \oplus j, k} = W_{i, k} W_{j, k}$ .

We wish to compute the spectrum of  $M_*$ . This job is made easier by Walsh matrices and the following nicely derived result of Vose and Liepins.

PROPOSITION 4 ( $M_*$  AND  $C$  [5])

Let  $C = W M_* W$ . Then  $C$  is lower triangular.

This immediately gives us a way to approach the determination of the spectrum of  $M_*$  as shown below.

COROLLARY 1 (EIGENVALUES OF  $M_*$ )

The eigenvalues of  $M_*$  are  $C_{i,i}/2^\gamma$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, 2^\gamma - 1$ .

*Proof*

Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $M_*$  and  $x$  the associated eigenvector. Then

$$M_*x = \lambda x.$$

Let  $Wy = x$ . Then

$$M_*Wy = \lambda Wy$$

so

$$Cy = WM_*Wy = \lambda W Wy = \lambda 2^\gamma y.$$

The rest follows from the fact that  $C_{i,i}$  are the eigenvalues of the lower triangular matrix  $C$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, 2^\gamma - 1$ .  $\square$

Each  $C_{i,i}$  can be computed as shown below.

LEMMA 1

The  $C_{i,i}$  values can be computed from

$$C_{i,i} = \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{j,i} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} M_{j,k} = (WMe)_i.$$

*Proof*

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,i} &= \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{j,i} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} (M_*)_{j,k} W_{k,i} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} (M_*)_{j,k} W_{k,i} W_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by symmetry of  $W$ , the definition of  $M_*$ , and property (4) in proposition 3, we get

$$C_{i,i} = \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} M_{k \oplus j, k} W_{k \oplus j, i}.$$

Letting  $h = k \oplus j$  gives

$$C_{i,i} = \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} \sum_{h=0}^{2^\gamma-1} M_{h,h \oplus j} W_{h,i},$$

$$C_{i,i} = \sum_{h=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{h,i} \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} M_{h,h \oplus j}$$

which gives our desired result.  $\square$

Note that  $C_{0,0}$  is easily determined from identities already presented.  $W = W'$  by proposition 3, part (1);  $We_0 = e$  by proposition 3, part (3); and  $e'M_*e = 2^\gamma$  by proposition 2, part (1). Thus

$$e'_0 C e_0 = C_{0,0} = e'_0 W M_* W e_0 = 2^\gamma.$$

We now turn to a derivation of the spectrum of  $M_*$ .

### 3. Spectrum of $M_*$

A useful set of identities (given below in lemma 2) is needed before our main result. First, recall the following well-known combinatorial identities.

#### PROPOSITION 5 (COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES)

- (1) Hypergeometric rule: Suppose we are given  $x$  objects of one type and  $n - x$  objects of another type. Then, the number of groups of  $r$  objects with  $y$  of the first type and  $r - y$  of the second type is

$$P(x, y) P(n - x, r - y).$$

- (2) For any whole number  $n$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n P(n, i) = 2^n.$$

- (3)

$$\sum_{i=0}^n P(x, i) y^i = \sum_{i=0}^n P(n - x, i) (1 + y)^{n-i} (-y)^i$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^n P(x, i) (-i)^i = (-1)^n P(x - 1, n).$$

The following identities have proven instrumental in our analysis.

## LEMMA 2

For  $h = 1, \dots, \gamma$  and  $i = 0, \dots, 2^\gamma - 1$ , the following identities are true:

(1)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|k|} = (1 - 2\mu)^{|i|} (1 - \mu)^{-\gamma}.$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|k| - |(2^h-1) \otimes k|} \\ = 2^h (1 - 2\mu)^{|i|} (1 - \mu)^{h-\gamma} \quad \text{if } i = i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1) \\ = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes k|} \\ = 2^{\gamma-h} (1 - 2\mu)^{|i|} (1 - \mu)^{-h} \quad \text{if } i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1) = 0 \\ = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof*

We will prove (3). (1) is a special case of (3), and (2) follows a similar line of reasoning as (3).

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes k|} &= \sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} \sum_{r=0}^{2^h-1} W_{i,r+s2^h} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes (r+s2^h)|} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} \sum_{r=0}^{2^h-1} W_{i,r+s2^h} \eta^{|r|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $|i \otimes \text{rev}(r + s2^h)| = |i \otimes \text{rev}(r)| + |i \otimes \text{rev}(s2^h)|$ , so

$$W_{i,r+s2^h} = W_{i,r} W_{i,s2^h}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes k|} = \sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} W_{i,s2^h} \sum_{r=0}^{2^h-1} W_{i,r} \eta^{|r|}.$$

Consider the last sum. First note that, since  $r = 0, \dots, 2^h - 1$ , we have

$$|i \otimes \text{rev}(r)| = |(i - i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1)) \otimes \text{rev}(r)|.$$

For notational convenience, let  $z \equiv (i - i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1))$ . Then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2^h-1} W_{i,r} \eta^{|r|} = \sum_{r=0}^{2^h-1} (-1)^{|z \otimes \text{rev}(r)|} \eta^{|r|}.$$

For  $t = 0, \dots, h$ , we know from proposition 5, part (1), that

$$P(|z|, k) P(h - |z|, t - k)$$

terms will multiply  $\eta^t$  and have a value of  $(-1)^k$  where  $k = 0, \dots, \gamma$ . Thus

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2^h-1} W_{i,r} \eta^{|r|} = \sum_{t=0}^h \sum_{k=0}^{\gamma} (-1)^k \eta^t P(|z|, k) P(h - |z|, t - k).$$

The right side can be rearranged to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\gamma} (-1)^k P(|z|, k) \sum_{t=0}^h \eta^t P(h - |z|, t - k).$$

The non-zero terms of  $P(h - |z|, t - k)$  have  $t \geq k$  and  $h - |z| \geq t - k$ . Also,  $h \geq h - |z| + k$ . Thus, the last term yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=0}^h \eta^t P(h - |z|, t - k) &= \sum_{t=k}^{h-|z|+k} \eta^t P(h - |z|, t - k) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{h-|z|} \eta^{t+k} P(h - |z|, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from proposition 5, part (3), we get that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{h-|z|} \eta^{t+k} P(h - |z|, t) = \eta^k (1 + \eta)^{h-|z|}.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2^h-1} W_{i,r} \eta^{|r|} = \sum_{k=0}^{\gamma} (-1)^k P(|z|, k) \eta^k (1 + \eta)^{h-|z|}$$

which gives, again using proposition 5, part (3):

$$(1 + \eta)^{h-|z|} (1 + \eta)^{|z|}.$$

So, using this result, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes k|} = \sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} W_{i,s2^h} (1 + \eta)^{h-|z|} (1 - \eta)^{|z|}.$$

Now consider

$$\sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} W_{i,s2^h}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{rev}(i) \otimes (s2^h)| &= |\text{rev}(i) \otimes \text{rev}(2^{\gamma-h} - 1) \otimes (s2^h)| \\ &= |\text{rev}(i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1)) \otimes (s2^h)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v \equiv i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1)$ . Then, since  $s$  ranges from 0 to  $2^{\gamma-h} - 1$ , the following are equivalent:

$$\sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} W_{i,s2^h} = \sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} W_{v,s2^h}.$$

Clearly, if  $|v| = 0$ , each term of the last sum is +1. For  $|v| > 0$ , there will be as many terms equal to +1 as -1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{2^{\gamma-h}-1} W_{i,s2^h} &= 2^{\gamma-h} \quad \text{if } |v| = 0 \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes k|} &= 2^{\gamma-h} (1 + \eta)^{h-|z|} (1 - \eta)^{|z|} \quad \text{if } |v| = 0 \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$



But,  $0 = |v| \equiv |i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1)|$  implies  $|z| \equiv |(i - i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1))| = |i|$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\gamma}-1} W_{i,k} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes k|} &= 2^{\gamma-h} (1 + \eta)^{h-|i|} (1 - \eta)^{|i|} \quad \text{if } |v| = 0 \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $\mu/(1 - \mu)$  for  $\eta$  gives the desired result.  $\square$

A useful implication of lemma 2 (where  $i = 0$ ) is given in the following corollary.

#### COROLLARY 2

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\gamma}-1} \eta^{|k|} = (1 - \mu)^{-\gamma}. \\ (2) \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\gamma}-1} \eta^{|k| - |(2^h-1) \otimes k|} = 2^h (1 - \mu)^{h-\gamma}. \\ (3) \quad & \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\gamma}-1} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes k|} = 2^{\gamma-h} (1 - \mu)^{-h}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the eigenvalues of  $M_*$ , lemma 1 shows that we need the row sums of  $M$ . The following lemma provides a way to compute these sums.

#### LEMMA 3 (ROW SUMS OF $M$ )

The  $j$ th row sum of  $M$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_j &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\gamma}-1} M_{j,k} = (1 - \chi)/2 + 2^{\gamma} (1 - \mu)^{\gamma} (1 - \chi) \eta^{|j|}/2 \\ &\quad + \chi/(2\gamma - 2) \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} (2 - 2\mu)^h G(h, j), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G(h, j) = \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes j|} + \eta^{|j| - |(2^{\gamma-h}-1) \otimes j|}.$$

*Proof*

First consider

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} (1-\mu)^\gamma (\eta^{|j|} (1-\chi) + \eta^{|k|} (1-\chi)) / 2.$$

Using corollary 2, part (1) gives that this is equal to

$$(1-\chi)/2 + 2^\gamma (1-\mu)^\gamma (1-\chi) \eta^{|j|} / 2$$

which gives the first two terms of our result. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} (1-\mu)^\gamma \left[ \eta^{|j|} \left( \chi \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} \eta^{-\Delta(j,k,h)} / (\gamma-1) \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \eta^{|k|} \left( \chi \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} \eta^{+\Delta(j,k,h)} / (\gamma-1) \right) \right] / 2. \end{aligned}$$

$|j| - \Delta(j, k, h) = |j| - |(2^h - 1) \otimes j| + |(2^h - 1) \otimes k|$ , so applying corollary 2, part (3) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} \eta^{|j| - \Delta(j,k,h)} = 2^{\gamma-h} (1-\mu)^{-h} \eta^{|j| - |(2^h-1) \otimes j|}.$$

Similarly, applying corollary 2, part (2) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} \eta^{|k| + \Delta(j,k,h)} = 2^h (1-\mu)^{h-\gamma} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes j|}.$$

Before substituting these into the last term of our row sum, note that

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} \eta^{|j| - |(2^h-1) \otimes j|} = \sum_{g=1}^{\gamma-1} \eta^{|j| - |(2^{\gamma-g}-1) \otimes j|}.$$

Collecting the above gives the final term of our row sum:

$$\chi / (2\gamma - 2) \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} (2 - 2\mu)^h G(h, j).$$

□

We now give our main result.

THEOREM 1 (SPECTRUM OF  $M_*$ )

The spectrum of  $M_*$  is

$$(1 - 2\mu)^{|i|}(1 - \chi \text{wid}(i)/(\gamma - 1))/2 \quad i = 0, \dots, 2^\gamma - 1.$$

*Proof*

Pulling together corollary 1, lemma 1, and lemma 3 gives that  $2^\gamma$  times the  $i$ th eigenvalue of  $M_*$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} S_j &= \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} \left[ (1 - \chi)/2 + 2^\gamma(1 - \mu)^\gamma(1 - \chi)\eta^{|j|}/2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \chi(2\gamma - 2) \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} (2 - 2\mu)^h G(h, j) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note from proposition 3, part (3), that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} = 2^\gamma \delta(i).$$

Deriving a general expression for the eigenvalues of  $M_*$  would involve carrying along details that relate only to  $i = 0$ . However, we have already shown that  $C_{0,0} = 2^\gamma$ . Hence, we will restrict our attention to the cases where  $i > 0$ .

The sum over the first two terms is found using proposition 3, part (3) and lemma 2, part (1). This yields

$$2^{\gamma-1}(1 - \chi)(1 - 2\mu)^{|i|}.$$

Now consider

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} G(h, j).$$

First, applying lemma 2, parts (2) and (3), gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} \eta^{|(2^h-1) \otimes j|} = 2^{\gamma-h}(1 - 2\mu)^{|i|}(1 - \mu)^{-h} \delta(i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1))$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} \eta^{|j|-|(2^{\gamma-h}-1) \otimes j|} = 2^{\gamma-h} (1-2\mu)^{|i|} (1-\mu)^{-h} \delta(i - i \otimes (2^h - 1)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} (2-2\mu)^h \sum_{j=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} G(h, j) \\ &= 2^\gamma (1-2\mu)^{|i|} \sum_{h=1}^{\gamma-1} [\delta(i \otimes (2^{\gamma-h} - 1)) + \delta(i - i \otimes (2^h - 1))]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term of the sum is equal to  $f$  where  $f$  is the position of the first non-zero bit of  $i$  (counting from zero). Similarly, the second sum is equal to  $\gamma - g - 1$  where  $g$  is the position of the last non-zero bit of  $i$ . Thus, we get

$$2^\gamma (1-2\mu)^{|i|} (\gamma - 1 - \text{wid}(i)).$$

Pulling together the various parts yields the following:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2^\gamma-1} W_{i,j} S_j = 2^{\gamma-1} (1-\chi) (1-2\mu)^{|i|} + 2^{\gamma-1} (1-2\mu)^{|i|} (\gamma - 1 - \text{wid}(i)) \chi / (\gamma - 1).$$

Dividing by  $2^\gamma$  and simplifying gives the desired result for  $i > 0$ . Finally, as noted above, proposition 2, part (2) and proposition 3, part (3), gives an eigenvalue of one for the case  $i = 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Vose–Liepins conjecture

In [5], Vose and Liepins provide the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1 (VOSE–LIEPINS CONJECTURE [5])

If  $0 < \mu < 0.5$ , then

- (1) The second largest eigenvalue of  $M_*$  is  $0.5 - \mu$ .
- (2) The third largest eigenvalue of  $M_*$  is

$$2(0.5 - \mu)^2 (1 - \chi / (\gamma - 1)).$$

No proof was supplied, but the authors reported empirical justification. To prove that the conjecture is true, we start with a readily-apparent, direct-consequence of theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 3 (RANKING OF THE EIGENVALUES OF  $M_*$ )**

If  $0 < \mu < 0.5$ , then the eigenvalues of  $M_*$ , denoted

$$\lambda_0 \equiv 1,$$

$$\lambda_i \equiv (1 - 2\mu)^{|i|}(1 - \chi \text{wid}(i)/(\gamma - 1))/2, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2^\gamma - 1,$$

are decreasing in both  $|i|$  and  $\text{wid}(i)$ . Furthermore, if  $|i| = |j|$ , then  $\lambda_i > \lambda_j$  if  $\text{wid}(i) < \text{wid}(j)$ .

Hence, as already known (proposition 2, part (2)), the largest eigenvalue is  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . The second largest eigenvalue is any one of

$$\lambda_2 s = (1 - 2\mu)/2, \quad s = 0, \dots, \gamma - 1.$$

The third largest eigenvalue is any eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  where  $|j| = 2$  and  $\text{wid}(j) = 1$ . Hence

$$\lambda_j = (1 - 2\mu)^2(1 - \chi/(\gamma - 1))/2.$$

Thus, the Vose–Liepins conjecture is true.

## 5. Conclusion

Corollary 3, and its application, shows that the Vose–Liepins conjecture is true. Theorem 1 provides a direct way to compute the entire spectrum of  $M_*$ .

Both lemma 2 and its corollary provide useful identities for studying GAs. Indeed, they were instrumental in our analysis.

## Dedication and acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Gunar E. Liepins.

We acknowledge and appreciate conversations with both Haldun Aytug and Siddhartha Bhattacharyya. We also thank Michael Vose for comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

**References**

- [1] D.E. Goldberg, Genetic algorithms and Walsh functions: Part I, A gentle introduction, *Complex Syst.* 3 (1989) 129–152.
- [2] D.E. Goldberg, Genetic algorithms and Walsh functions: Part II, Deception and its analysis, *Complex Syst.* 3 (1989) 153–171.
- [3] A. Nix and M.D. Vose, Modeling genetic algorithms with Markov chains, *Ann. Math. and AI* 5 (1992) 79–88.
- [4] M.D. Vose, Models of genetic algorithms, Technical Report (CS-92-148), Computer Science Department, The University of Tennessee (1992).
- [5] M.D. Vose and G.E. Liepins, Punctuated equilibria in genetic search, *Complex Syst.* 5 (1991) 31–44.