Needed Simulations: corrected (Jan. 18, 2016)

```
G = 8, H = 100
```

Set # 1a:

Chiefs don't contribute, don't punish, don't take taxes, don't evolve.

Leaders: don't punish; but y evolves; initial y = U01.

Commoners: initial x = rnd(2).

Strategy updating: $(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (0.01, 0.24, 0)$ and (0, 0.01, 0.24).

10 runs for each parameter combination; T=1500; compute averages over the last 500 steps.

Set $c_x = c_y = 1$.

Graphs of x,y,P in one graph and π_c and π_l in another graph as functions of $b=1,2,\ldots,10$ for $X_0=2,4,8$ and n=4,8,16. Use different colors for different X_0 and different thickness for different n (see the example)

Notice that we will use X_0 in the equation for P rather than nx_0 !

Arrange the graphs in two 9×9 sets of graphs:

- "inside" parameters x, y, P (or π_c, π_l) (vertical) and $\theta = 0, 0.1, 0.2$) (horizontal).
- outside parameters e=1,2,4 (vertical) and $y_0=1/16,1/8,1/4$ (horizontal) (see the 2×2 example).

Set # 2a:

Chiefs don't punish, but z evolves; initial z = U01; $c_z = 1$.

Everything else is the same as in Set #1.

Graphs of x, y, P, Q in one graph and $\pi_c, \pi_l, \pi_{chief}$ in another graph.

 $Y_0 = \theta_{max} \times \eta_{max} \times n_{max} \times b_{max}/2 = 0.2 * 0.2 * 16 * 10/2 = 3.2$

Additional parameters: $\eta=0,0.1,0.2; E=1,2,4; z_0=1/(2G),1/G,2/G$. This gives $3\times 3\times 3=27$ different combinations of parameters.

One set: chiefs keep everything (i.e., $\theta_d = \eta_d = 1$).

Another set: equal taxes up and down (i.e., $\theta_u = \theta_d = \theta, \eta_u = \eta_d = \eta$).

Needed code modifications: Dec.26, 2016

- Different update rates for commoners, leaders, and chiefs (to look at, say, optimization for commoners and selective learning for leaders).
- QRE approach for optimization with several candidate strategies.

Needed Simulations: Cooperation in 3-level systems without punishment (Dec.12/26, 2016)

Set # 1:

Chiefs don't contribute, don't punish, don't take taxes, don't evolve.

Leaders: don't punish; but y evolves; initial y = U01.

Commoners: initial x = rnd(2).

Strategy updating: $(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (0.01, 0.24, 0)$ and (0, 0, 0.25).

10 runs for each parameter combination; T=1500; compute averages over the last 500 steps.

Set $c_x = c_y = 1$.

Graphs of x, y, P in one graph and π_c and π_l in another graph as functions of b = 1, 2, ..., 20 for $X_0 = 2, 4, 8, 16$ and n = 4, 8, 16. Use different colors for different X_0 and different thickness for different n (see the example)

Notice that we will use X_0 in the equation for P rather than nx_0 !

Arrange the graphs in two 9×9 sets of graphs:

"inside" parameters x, y, P (or π_c, π_l) (vertical) and $\theta = 0, .1..2$) (horizontal.

outside parameters e=1,2,4 (vertical) and $y_0=1/8,1/4,1/2$ (horizontal) (see the 2×2 example).

We will likely be using the same graph structure for the propaganda project!

Set # 2:

Chiefs don't punish, but z evolves; initial z = U01; $c_z = 1$.

Everything else is the same as in Set #1.

Additional parameters: $\eta=0,0.1,0.2; E=1,2,4; z_0=1/G,2/G,4/G$. This gives $3\times 3\times 3=27$ different combinations of parameters.

One set: taxes go only up (i.e., $\theta_d = \eta_d = 0$).

Another set: equal taxes up and down (i.e., $\theta_u = \theta_d = \theta, \eta_u = \eta_d = \eta$).

Multilevel model with punishment (10/22/16)

There are three hierarchical levels: individuals, groups, and polities (i.e., groups of groups). Within each group one individual is a "leader"; other n individuals are "commoners". G groups form a polity; their leaders are subordinate to a "chief". There are H polities in the system.

Each commoner makes a (binary) production effort x = 0 or x = 1.

Each leader makes a coordination effort y and punishment monitoring effort p. The taxes/rewards rates for commoners are θ_u (up) and θ_d (down), respectively ($y \ge 0, 0 \le p, \theta_u, \theta_d \le 1$).

Each chief makes a coordination effort z and punishment monitoring effort q. The taxes/rewards for leaders are η_u (up) and η_d (down), respectively ($z \ge 0, 0 \le q, \eta_u, \eta_d \le 1$).

12/3/16:That is, efforts evolve; taxes are constant.

Groups are engaged in "us vs. nature" collective actions. The group produces value (bn)P with

 $P = \frac{\sum x}{\sum x + X_0/r} = \frac{\sum x}{\sum x + nx_0/r}, \text{ where } r = 1 + e \frac{y}{ny_0 + y}$ (2)

is the leader's effect on production; y is the leader's coordination effort; e, x_0 and y_0 are parameters.

Each free-rider (i.e. commoners with x=0) is punished by the leader with probability p (that is, punishment of a commoner is a stochastic event). The punishment means the free-rider looses κ from his payoff. The act of punishment is costly: the leader looses δ from his payoff for each act of punishment.

12/3/16: It looks we don't have any cost of monitoring here, only that of punishing (?)

The leader takes share θ_u of the group production (bn)P; the rest is shared equally by commoners with each getting $(1-\theta_u)bP$. The chief takes share η_u from each leader leaving each leader $(1-\eta_u)\theta_u(bn)P$ with a corresponding P value.

The group's production reaching the chief from a leader is $Y = \eta_u \theta_u(bn) P$. Each chief coordinates productive efforts of the groups in its polity in producing value (BnG)Q in an "us vs. nature" game with

$$Q = \frac{\sum Y}{\sum Y + GY_0/R}, \text{where } R = 1 + E\frac{z}{Gz_0 + z}, \tag{3}$$

with z being the chief's coordination effort and E and z_0 being parameters.

Each of L least effective leaders (i.e. with smallest Y's) is punished by the chief with probability q (that is, punishment of a leader is a stochastic event). The punishment means the leader looses

Efforts

Group's CA

Punishment of commoners

Group's spoils

Polity's CA

Punishment of leaders

K from his payoff. The act of punishment is costly: the chief looses Δ from his payoff for each act of punishment.

Polity's spoils

Payoffs

The chiefs keeps $\eta_d(BnG)Q$ of the polity production and sends $(1-\eta_d)(Bn)Q$ to each leader. Each leaders keeps $\theta_d(1-\eta_d)(Bn)Q$ of the polity production and sends $(1-\theta_d)(1-\eta_d)BQ$ to

each villager. Commoners' payoff is

$$\pi_0 = 1 + (1 - \theta_u)bP - c_x x - \text{cost of punishment if free-riding} + (1 - \theta_d)(1 - \eta_d)BQ,$$
 (4)

where c_x is the commoner's cost coefficient.

The leader's payoff is

$$\pi_1 = (1 - \eta_u)\theta_u(bn)P - c_y y - \text{cost of punishing free-riders} + \theta_d(1 - \eta_d)(Bn)Q - \text{cost of punishment by the chief},$$
 (5)

where c_y is the leader's cost of coordination effort.

The chief's payoff is

$$\pi_2 = \eta_d(BnG)Q - c_z z - \text{cost of punishing leaders},$$
 (6)

where c_z is the chief's cost of coordination effort.

Dynamic variables: x, y, p, z and q.

Three strategy updating protocols with probabilities (v_1, v_2, v_3) :

Random mutation (v_1) : normal deviation $N(0, \sigma)$; same σ for each type of individuals

Selective copying (v_2) : randomly choose another individual of the same type and copy his strategies if he has higher payoff. Commoners: choose from the same group with probability 1-mand from a different group in the same polity with probability m. Leaders: choose from the same polity with probability 1-m and from a different polity with probability m. Chiefs: choose from a different polity. Parameter m is the probability of migration (e.g. m = 0.1).

Best response (v_3) : commoners consider only interactions with their leader (not possible payoffs from the chief); leaders consider interactions both with commoners and the chief; chiefs consider only interactions with leaders (not their effects on commoners).

Constant parameters:

taxes $\theta_u, \theta_d, \eta_u, \eta_u$

half-efforts and efficiency: x_0, y_0, z_0, Y_0, e, E

benefits and costs: b, B, c_x, c_y, c_z

punishment: $\delta, \kappa, \Delta, K, L$ system size: n, G, H

Strategy update rates: v_1, v_2, v_3