Overview

FoundationDB supports safe, automatic failover in the event of a data center failure through multi-region replication, which is also known as Fearless DR. In a Fearless DR configuration, all commits are synchronously committed to transaction logs in multiple data centers before being confirmed to the client, and are asynchronously replicated to storage servers in multiple data centers. This approach allows you to make different choices about where you place the transaction logs and the storage servers to balance the requirements for low-latency commits with the requirements for geographically-distributed reads.

All of FoundationDB's multi-region configurations require at least three data centers in order to survive the loss of one data center.

Concepts

In a multi-region configuration, you define two regions, and two or more data centers in each region. The data centers within a region should have a low enough latency for it to be acceptable to have that latency for every commit. At any time, one region will be the primary region, meaning that commits are made synchronously to that region. The primary region will be where the master and cluster controller processes are recruited.

Regions can be assigned priorities, which tell the database which region you would prefer to use as the primary. Regions with higher priorities are preferred over regions with lower priorities. You can set a region's priority to be -1 to indicate that the database should never recruit that region as the primary region.

Each region has a list of two or more data centers, which are identified by an id. This id should match the value you pass to the locality_dcid parameter for processes in that data center. You can configure whether a data center is a satellite or the main DC by setting the satellite flag to 1 or 0. The satellites can also be assigned a priority, to indicate which satellite we should prefer to use when recruiting satellite logs.

You can also control how many satellite logs the database will recruit in each region through the **satellite_logs** parameter. This has a similar role to the **logs** field in the top-level database configuration, but it is specified on the region object instead.

The satellite_redundancy_mode is configured per region, and specifies how many copies of each mutation should be replicated to the satellite data centers.

one_satellite_single: Keep one copy of the mutation log in the satellite datacenter with the highest priority. If the highest priority satellite is unavailable it will put the transaction log in the satellite datacenter with the next highest priority.

one_satellite_double: Keep two copies of the mutation log in the satellite datacenter with the highest priority.

one_satellite_triple: Keep three copies of the mutation log in the satellite
datacenter with the highest priority.

two_satellite_safe: Keep two copies of the mutation log in each of the two satellite data centers with the highest priorities, for a total of four copies of each mutation. This mode will protect against the simultaneous loss of both the primary and one of the satellite data centers. If only one satellite is available, it will fall back to only storing two copies of the mutation log in the remaining datacenter.

two_satellite_fast: Keep two copies of the mutation log in each of the two satellite data centers with the highest priorities, for a total of four copies of each mutation. FoundationDB will only synchronously wait for one of the two satellite data centers to make the mutations durable before considering a commit successful. This will reduce tail latencies caused by network issues between data centers. If only one satellite is available, it will fall back to only storing two copies of the mutation log in the remaining datacenter.

At this time, FoundationDB only supports configuring one or two regions.

Example: Three Data Centers

In this example, we have three datacenters: dc1, dc2, and dc3. Let's say we have the following latencies between our data centers.

From DC	To DC	Latency
dc1	dc2	10ms
dc1	dc3	15ms
dc2	dc3	12ms

Let's also say that we want the primary to be in dc1, and our secondary to be in dc3.

To make this configuration work, we would define two regions: one where dc1 is the main DC, and one where dc3 is the main DC. In the first region, we would have dc2 and dc3 as possible satellites. In the second region, we would have dc1 and dc2 as possible satellites.

In the happy case, we commit synchronously to main logs in dc1 and satellite logs in dc2. If the client processes are in dc1, that would mean we're adding about 10 ms to the commit latency in order to get the data to dc2. After a brief

delay, the new commits would be replicated onto storage processes in dc1 and dc3, and the commit data would be removed from the transaction logs.

If dc2 goes down, the database will recover into a new configuration where we are putting the satellite logs in dc3. This would add a small amount to the commit latency. The database would become fully healthy after the few seconds it takes to recover.

If dc3 goes down, the database will still be able to accept commits, but would not be able to replicate data into dc3. This would lead to a large volume commits being stored on the transaction log processes in dc1 and dc2. This would not have an immediate impact on clients, but it would become a problem eventually. Setting the usable_regions configuration to 1 rather than 2 would disable replication into dc3, and prevent these commits from being batched up.

If dc1 goes down, the database will recover into a configuration where commits are made synchronously to main logs in dc3 and satellite logs in dc2. Any commit data that had not been replicated into dc3 would be recovered from the copies stored in dc2. This would have similar risks as the scenario where dc3 went down.

In all of these scenarios, if the failed data center comes back, we will automatically go back to the happy case configuration. If the usable_regions setting is changed, however, it will require manual reconfiguration to get back to the original configuration.

The region configuration for this would be:

```
"priority":1,
    "satellite_redundancy_mode": "one_satellite_double",
    "satellite_logs": 3,
    "datacenters": [
        {"id":"dc1", "satellite":0 },
        {"id":"dc2", "satellite":1, "priority": 2},
        {"id":"dc3", "satellite":1, "priority": 1}
    1
},
    "priority":0,
    "satellite_redundancy_mode": "one_satellite_double",
    "satellite_logs": 3,
    "datacenters": [
        {"id":"dc3", "satellite":0 },
        {"id":"dc2", "satellite":1, "priority": 2},
        {"id":"dc1", "satellite":1, "priority": 1}
    ]
}
```

]

Example: Four Data Centers

In this example, we have four datacenters: dc1, dc2, dc3, and dc4. Let's say we have the following latencies between our data centers.

From DC	To DC	Latency
dc1	dc2	5ms
dc1	dc3	60ms
dc1	dc4	60ms
dc2	dc3	60ms
dc2	dc4	60ms
dc3	dc4	5ms

Let's also say that we want the primary to be in dc1, and our secondary to be in dc3.

In this case the latency between the first two DCs and the second two DCs is too high for us to accept in our commit pipline, so we will want to have a narrower set of options for satellites.

To make this configuration work, we would define two regions: one where dc1 is the main DC, and one where dc3 is the main DC. In the first region, we would have dc2 as the only satellite. In the second region, we would have dc4 as the only satellite.

In the happy case, we commit synchronously to main logs in dc1 and satellite logs in dc2. If the client processes are in dc1, that would mean we're adding about 5 ms to the commit latency in order to get the data to dc2. After a brief delay, the new commits would be replicated onto storage processes in dc1 and dc3, and the commit data would be removed from the transaction logs.

If dc2 goes down, the database will fail over to use dc3 as the primary DC, and dc4 as the satellite DC. This will lead to higher latencies from clients in dc1, so the clients may need to do failover at their layer as well. Any data that has not been replicated into dc3 will be recovered from the replicas in dc1. Once the database fails over, we will be able to asynchronously ship data to dc1, and the failed state of dc2 will not cause any problems for the cluster.

If dc3 goes down, the database will still be able to accept commits, but would not be able to replicate data into dc3. This would lead to a large volume commits being stored on the transaction log processes in dc1 and dc2. This would not have an immediate impact on clients, but it would become a problem eventually. Setting the usable_regions configuration to 1 rather than 2 would disable replication into dc3, and prevent these commits from being batched up.

If dc4 goes down, there will be no immediate impact on the database, but we would lose the capability to fail over to dc3.

If dc1 goes down, the database will recover into a configuration where commits are made synchronously to main logs in dc3 and satellite logs in dc4. Any commit data that had not been replicated into dc3 would be recovered from the copies stored in dc2. This would have similar risks as the scenario where dc3 went down. Unlike the scenario where dc2 went down, in this scenario we will be unable to replicate commits onto the remote storage servers.

In all of these scenarios, if the failed data center comes back, we will automatically go back to the happy case configuration. If the usable_regions setting is changed, however, it will require manual reconfiguration to get back to the original configuration.

The region configuration for this would be:

```
{
        "priority":1,
        "satellite_redundancy_mode": "one_satellite_double",
        "satellite_logs": 3,
        "datacenters": [
            {"id":"dc1", "satellite":0 },
            {"id":"dc2", "satellite":1, "priority": 1}
   },
{
        "priority":0,
        "satellite_redundancy_mode": "one_satellite_double",
        "satellite_logs": 3,
        "datacenters": [
            {"id":"dc3", "satellite":0 },
            {"id":"dc4", "satellite":1, "priority": 1}
    }
1
```

Process Count Recommendations

In locations that are only serving as satellites, you will only need to run log processes. These log processes will have to be spread across 3 failure domains, just like they would in the main DC. If you're running multiple FDB processes on each machine, then you may want to use smaller machines in the satellites to account for the fact that you'll only be running one per machine in the satellites.

It's generally best to run and recruit the same number of logs in the satellites

that you have in the main DC. This will help to avoid performance and disk space issues when you're running in a degraded state where one of the main DCs is unavailable. In the happy case, you should be fine recruiting about 1/3 as many satellite logs as main logs.

If you are running a 3-DC config, you should be aware that there will be some situations where a data center needs to serve as a main DC and a satellite DC at the same time. This will require provisioning enough logs to be able to handle the number you are going to be recruiting for each of these rules. In this scenario you can either recruit the same number of main logs and satellite logs, which means you would need to provision twice as many, or recruit fewer satellite logs than main logs, which means that you could run into problems if you ran with only 2 DCs up for an extended period of time.

Changing Region Configuration

When you change region configuration, the database applies additional checks to make sure that the change is safe and well-defined.

- 1. You cannot change the usable_regions setting and the regions setting in the same configuration command.
- 2. You cannot change the usable_regions setting when you have more than one region with priority >= 0. Another way of putting this is that you cannot change the usable_regions setting when automatic failover is enabled.

As a consequence of these checks, changing region configurations can be a multi-step process that must be carefully managed. After making a configuration change, you should wait for the database to become healthy to confirm that the replication changes and data movement have finished.

Example: Going from 1 region to 2 regions

- 1. Add second region with priority: -1.
- 2. Set usable_regions=2
- 3. Change priority on second region to 0.

Example: Going from 2 regions to 1 region

- 1. Set priority on second region to -1.
- 2. Set usable_regions=1.
- 3. Remove second region

Example: Moving secondary region to a different data center

Because FoundationDB only supports a maximum of 2 regions, if you want to change the data center for one of the regions, you must go down to a single

region before adding the new region. To change the secondary region to a different data center, you would follow the steps for "Going from 2 regions to 1 region", then follow the steps for "Going from 1 region to 2 regions".