

Maintenance and evolution of the ESPD EDM

Publications Office – C.3

ESPD Meeting OP-GROW with Open User Community (OUC)

30th June 2021



Publications Office



an NTT DATA Company

Table of contents

1. Summary of last meeting on 31st March 2021
2. Reorganisation of GitHub
3. Revision of issues
4. Data model
5. AOB
6. Next meetings

1. Summary of last meeting on 31st March 2021

❖ **Meeting minutes:** https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/blob/wgm-reports/ESPD_Minutes_OUC_Meetings_20210331_v1.0.pdf

❖ **Main topics:**

- ESPD-EDM v3.0.0 release status
- Release distribution
- Maven Central Repository
- Interoperability testbed usage for ESPD


2. Reorganization of GitHub

- ❖ Harmonised GitHub repositories
- ❖ Current and new approaches to GitHub usage:

	Present	Future
Organisation	Master branch + one version per branch	Master branch + one version per tag
Access a released version	Specific (released) version branch	Specific version release tag
Access a developing version	Specific (upcoming) version branch	Master branch (or a feature/xxx branch)
Bug-fixing	Possible for any version branch	Possible for any version tag
Evolution	Possible for any version branch (past, current or upcoming)	Possible for upcoming versions only

2. Reorganization of GitHub

❖ Example: Access a released version




ESPD / ESPD-EDM

<> Code Issues 13 Pull requests Actions

2.1.1 11 branches 8 tags

Maria Delete ESPD_Minutes_OUC_Meetings_2

docs	Upda
exchange-model	Onlin
.gitignore	2018



ESPD / ESPD-EDM

<> Code Issues 13 Pull requests Actions

2.1.1 11 branches 8 tags

Maria Delete ESPD_Minutes_OUC_Meetings

docs	Upd
exchange-model	Onl
.gitignore	201

2. Reorganization of GitHub

❖ Example: Access a new development

The screenshot shows the GitHub interface for the repository 'meaningfy-ws / ESPD-EDM', which is forked from 'OP-TED/ESPD-EDM'. The top navigation bar includes links for Code, Pull requests, Actions, Projects, Wiki, Security, and Insights. Below this, the repository's branch and tag information is displayed: 'master' (selected), '3 branches', and '8 tags'. A dropdown menu titled 'Switch branches/tags' is open, showing a search bar and a list of branches. The 'feature/codelist-dev#2' branch is highlighted with a red circle. The 'master' branch is marked as the 'default'. Below the branch list, there is a link to 'View all branches'. The right side of the screenshot shows a partial view of the repository's commit history, including a commit titled 'added workflow github' and a commit titled 'merged wgm-reports'.

meaningfy-ws / ESPD-EDM
forked from OP-TED/ESPD-EDM

<> Code Pull requests Actions Projects Wiki Security Insights

master 3 branches 8 tags Go

Switch branches/tags

Find or create a branch...

Branches Tags

✓ master default

feature/codelist-dev#2

gh-pages

View all branches

wgm-reports

.gitignore

master.

added workflow github

3.0.0

3.0.0

merged wgm-reports

2.0.1

3. Revision of issues

❖ **Issues closed with the go-live of ESPD-EDM v3.0.0 release (16 issues)**

Issue	Link
94	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/94
124	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/124
140	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/140
156	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/156
158	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/158
202	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/202
220	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/220
235	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/235
243	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/243
249	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/249
250	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/250
253	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/253
266	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/266
270	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/270
273	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/273
275	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/275

3. Revision of issues

❖ Issues related to code lists (17 issues)

Issue	Link
294	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/294
295	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/295
296	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/296
297	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/297
298	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/298
299	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/299
300	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/300
301	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/301
302	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/302
303	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/303
304	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/304
305	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/305
306	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/306
307 ⁽¹⁾	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/307
308	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/308
309	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/309
310	https://github.com/ESPD/ESPD-EDM/issues/310

⁽¹⁾ Issue 307 has been reopened in order to provide information on conducting validation using the API from ESCO

3. Revision of issues

❖ New issues (4 issues)

Issue	Title of the issue
313	Replacing #Criterion 62 Lots the EO tenders to
Description and proposed solution	
<p>Description: One ESPD Response per Lot vs One ESPD Response per group of Lots with same requirements.</p> <p>Proposed solution: The logic in the current ESPD v 3.0.0 is as follows, with 2 scenarios:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• CA defines group of lots: If the CA defines a group of lots with the same requirements, there will be one ESPD response catering for this group of lots. In this case, only one signature is necessary.• CA defines no group of lots: The EO must provide an individual ESPD Response for each lot. In this case, each ESPD Response has to be signed individually.	

3. Revision of issues

❖ New issues (4 issues)

Issue	Title of the issue
314	ESPD V.3 and multidomain: is the order of the Criteria in an ESPD request Relevant?
Description and proposed solution	
<p>Description: A clarification is required regarding relevancy of the order in which the criteria are presented in an ESPD Request.</p> <p>Proposed solution: The current order comes from the ESPD regulation and is as such as well depicted in the eCertis scenario.</p> <p>It makes sense to have a specific order as this will make it easier for suppliers to fill it out - at least in a country. If all solutions in one country would shuffle them, suppliers would need more time to fill it out manually or even to check.</p> <p>However, the more data comes from national databases, it could probably make sense to change the order. To adjust the order, it should always be thought of, if this helps the supplier to provide the information.</p>	


3. Revision of issues

❖ New issues (4 issues)

Issue	Title of the issue
311	Question on UUIDs for REQUIREMENT(s) and QUESTION(s)
312	Question on UUID for Repeatable Question Sub Group
Description and proposed solution	
<p>Description: Both issues require clarifications on the assignment of UUID in QUESTION and REQUIREMENT in the RESPONSE document.</p> <p>Proposed solution: At criteria level, a UUID is created for each criterion. Within a criterion, a specific amount of elements can be provided by the EO and a hash value could be created for each one of these elements with information from the EO, the UUID of the criterion and with specific elements of the content itself. Therefore, the hash value would be unique and not arbitrary, it would respect the once-only principle and would facilitate a better reuse of information.</p> <p>This solution would be a major change from current version 3.0.0 of ESPD-EDM.</p>	

4. Data model

- ❖ A data model will be prepared during summer
- ❖ Currently, the UBL schema and an excel sheet are used
- ❖ The data model aims at simplifying and facilitating the understanding of the model and its components, as well as its relationship with eForms



5. Next meetings

Last Thursday of every month:

- ❖ August 26th / September 2nd, 10:00 – 11:30
- ❖ September 30th, 10:00 – 11:30
- ❖ October 28th, 10:00 – 11:30
- ❖ November 25th, 10:00 – 11:30
- ❖ *Seminar date to be confirmed (October / November)*

Questions ?