# OPTIMAL DESIGN OF STRUCTURES (MAP 562)

CHAPTER IV

# OPTIMAL CONTROL OF DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

Computing a gradient by the adjoint method

S. Amstutz, B. Bogosel

Original version by G. Allaire

Academic year 2019-2020

Ecole Polytechnique
Department of applied mathematics

#### Control of an elastic membrane

Given  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , the vertical displacement u of the membrane is solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta u = f + \xi & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\xi$  is a **control force** which is our optimization variable. Given  $\omega \subset \Omega$  we define the set of admissible controls

$$K = \left\{ \xi \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \xi_{min}(x) \le \xi(x) \le \xi_{max}(x) \text{ in } \omega \text{ and } \xi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \omega \right\}.$$

We want to control the membrane in order to reach a prescribed displacement  $\bar{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$  by minimizing  $(\alpha > 0)$ 

$$\inf_{\xi \in K} \left\{ J(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |u - \bar{u}|^2 + \alpha |\xi|^2 \right) dx \right\}.$$

#### Existence of an optimal control

#### Proposition.

There exists a unique optimal control  $\xi \in K$ .

**Proof.** Write  $u = L(f + \xi) = u_0 + L\xi$  the solution of the BVP,  $L \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega))$ . Then

$$J(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|u_0 + L\xi - \bar{u}|^2 + \alpha |\xi|^2) dx.$$

The map  $\xi\mapsto J(\xi)$  is a quadratic functional associated with a positive definite quadratic form. Hence it is strictly convex, continuous, and it goes to infinity at infinity. Moreover  $L^2(\Omega)$  is reflexive, as a Hilbert space, and K is closed and convex.

Remark. The existence is sometimes more delicate to prove, but the most important thing for us will be to compute a gradient J'(v) for numerical purposes.

#### Gradient and optimality condition

To calculate the gradient we can proceed by the explicit calculation

$$J(\xi+\tilde{\xi})=J(\xi)+\int_{\Omega}\left((L\tilde{\xi})(u-\bar{u})+\alpha\xi\tilde{\xi}\right)dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}\left(|L\tilde{\xi}|^2+\alpha|\tilde{\xi}|^2\right)dx.$$

We infer

$$\langle J'(\xi), \tilde{\xi} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left( (L\tilde{\xi})(u - \bar{u}) + \alpha \xi \tilde{\xi} \right) dx.$$

By definition  $\tilde{u}:=L\tilde{\xi}$  is the solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta \tilde{u} = \tilde{\xi} & \text{in } \Omega \\ \tilde{u} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Unfortunately  $J'(\xi)$  is not explicit because we cannot straightforwardly factor out  $\tilde{\xi}$  in the expression.

For that we need the adjoint  $L^*$  of L, more specifically  $L^*(u - \bar{u})$ : the adjoint state...

#### Adjoint state

Define the adjoint state  $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} (u - \bar{u}) v \, dx \qquad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

i.e.,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta p = u - \bar{u} & \text{in } \Omega \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Now we test the variational formulation for  $\tilde{u}$  against p:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \rho \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\xi} \rho \, dx.$$

Comparing the two variational formulations yields

$$\int_{\Omega} (u - \bar{u}) \tilde{u} \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\xi} p \, dx \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\langle J'(\xi), \tilde{\xi} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (p + \alpha \xi) \tilde{\xi} \, dx.}$$

**Remark.** We have obtained  $\int_{\Omega} (u - \bar{u})(L\tilde{\xi}) dx = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\xi} L(u - \bar{u}) dx$ : in fact L is self-adjoint.

#### Conclusion on the adjoint state

We found an explicit formula of the gradient

$$J'(v) = p + \alpha \xi.$$

- ► Adjoint method: computation of the gradient by solving 2 boundary value problems (*u* and *p*).
- If one does not use the adjoint: for **each** direction  $\tilde{\xi}$  one must solve 2 boundary value problems (u and  $\tilde{u}$ ) to evaluate  $\langle J'(\xi), \tilde{\xi} \rangle$ .
  - For example, if J'(v) is a vector of dimension n, its n components are obtained by solving (n+1) problems!
- Very efficient in practice: it is the best possible method.
- Inconvenient: if one uses a black-box software to compute u, it can be very difficult to modify it in order to get the adjoint state p.

## Further remarks on the notion of adjoint state

- ▶ If the bilinear form in the state equation is not symmetric then *L* is no longer self-adjoint: the operator of the adjoint equation is the adjoint of the direct operator.
- ► If the state equation is time dependent with an initial condition, then the adjoint equation is time dependent too, but backward with a final condition.
- ▶ If the state equation is non-linear, the adjoint equation is linear.

The adjoint is not just a trick! It can be deduced from the Lagrangian of the problem.

### General method to find the adjoint equation

We consider the state equation as a constraint and, for any  $(\hat{\xi}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H^1_0(\Omega) \times H^1_0(\Omega)$ , we introduce the Lagrangian of the minimization problem

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\xi}, \hat{u}, \hat{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\hat{u} - \bar{u}|^2 + \alpha |\hat{\xi}|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \hat{p}(\Delta \hat{u} + f + \hat{\xi}) dx,$$

where  $\hat{p}$  is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint which links the two independent variables  $\hat{\xi}$  and  $\hat{u}$ . Integrating by parts yields

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\hat{\mathbf{u}} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + \alpha |\hat{\xi}|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} \left( -\nabla \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{u}} + f \hat{\mathbf{p}} + \hat{\xi} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \right) d\mathbf{x}.$$

**Proposition.** The optimality conditions are equivalent to the stationarity of the Lagrangian, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0.$$

#### **Proof**

- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0 \Leftrightarrow$  the equation satisfied by the state u.
- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = 0 \Leftrightarrow$  equation satisfied by the adjoint state p. Indeed,

$$\langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u}, \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left( (\hat{u} - \bar{u})\phi - \nabla \hat{p} \cdot \nabla \phi \right) dx$$

which is the variational formulation of the adjoint equation.

•  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi} = 0 \Leftrightarrow J'(\xi) = 0$ . Indeed,

$$\langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi}, \tilde{\xi} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (\alpha \hat{\xi} + \hat{p}) \tilde{\xi} \, dx = \langle J'(\hat{\xi}), \tilde{\xi} \rangle.$$

#### Simple formula for the derivative

In the preceding proof we obtained

$$J'(\xi) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi}(\xi, u, p)$$

with the state u and the adjoint p (both depending on  $\xi$ ). It is not a surprise! Indeed,

$$J(\xi) = \mathcal{L}(\xi, u, \hat{p}) \quad \forall \hat{p}$$

because u is the state. Thus, if  $u(\xi)$  is differentiable (it stems from  $u(\xi) = u_0 + L\xi$ ), we get

$$\langle J'(\xi), \tilde{\xi} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi}(\xi, u, \hat{\rho}), \tilde{\xi} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u}(\xi, u, \hat{\rho}), \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi}(\tilde{\xi}) \rangle.$$

We then take  $\hat{p} = p$ , the adjoint state, to obtain

$$\langle J'(\xi), \tilde{\xi} \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi}(\xi, u, p), w \rangle.$$

#### Another interpretation of the adjoint state

The adjoint state p is the Lagrange multiplier for the constraint of the state equation. But, as such, it is also a sensitivity function. Define the parametric Lagrangian (consider f as a variable)

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\xi}, \hat{u}, \hat{\rho}, f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( |\hat{u} - \bar{u}|^2 + \alpha |\hat{\xi}|^2 \right) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left( -\nabla \hat{p} \cdot \nabla \hat{u} + f \hat{\rho} + \hat{v} \hat{\rho} \right) dx.$$

We study the sensitivity of the minimum with respect to variations of f.

We denote by  $\xi(f)$ , u(f) and p(f) the optimal values, depending on f. We assume that they are differentiable with respect to f. Then

$$\nabla_f \Big( J(\xi(f)) \Big) = p(f).$$

p is the derivative (without further computation) of the minimum value with respect to f !

Indeed 
$$J(\xi(f)) = \mathcal{L}(\xi(f), u(f), p(f), f)$$
 and  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p} = 0$ .