



**College of Professional Studies
Northeastern University San Jose**

MPS Analytics

Course: ALY6030

Assignment:

Assignment 3 Retail Sales Star Schema

Submitted on:

Nov 31, 2024

Submitted to:

Instructor: Adam Jones

Submitted by:

Luyun Qi

Question 1

- a. How many facts are there in this dataset?

Two facts

- b. Which facts do you identify?

Two facts' are: 'COST_OF_INSPECTION_IN_DOLLARS' and
'INSPECTION_SCORE'

- c. For the facts that you identify, what type of facts are they?

'COST_OF INSPECTION_IN_DOLLARS': Additive fact. It can be added up.

'INSPECTION_SCORE": Non-Additive fact. It can no be added up through any dimension

Question 2

- a. How many dimensions are there in this dataset?

Total Seven dimensions

- b. Which dimensions do you identify?

1. INSPECTION_ID
2. PUBLIC_HOUSING_AGENCY_NAME
3. INSPECTED_DEVELOPMENT_NAME
4. INSPECTED_DEVELOPMENT_ADDRESS
5. INSPECTED_DEVELOPMENT_CITY
6. INSPECTED_DEVELOPMENT_STATE
7. INSPECTION_DATE

Question 3: if you were to store these data in a set of fact tables, which type (or types) of fact tables would you use and why?

Answer: For Storing these dataset, I'd recommending to use a periodic snapshot fact table. It sum up inspection data on a monthly basis, summarizing the total costs for each PHA or development. This is perfect for senior management, allowing them to easily track monthly trends, analyze costs, and make strategic decisions without getting lost in individual inspection details. The periodic snapshot fact table would include attributes like:

- (1). PUBLIC_HOUSING_AGENCY_NAME (dimension)
- (2). INSPECTION_DATE (time dimension)
- (3). Total Inspection Cost for the Month (measure)

Question 4: how should we handle this slowly changing dimension? Select from types 0, 1, 2, or 3 from the Kimball reading

Answer: For handling the frequent changes in the names and addresses of the PHA, I would recommend using Type 2. Because Type 2 captures all changes by adding a new row with each update and we can also track history of each change, which is very important when PHA changes names and addresses too often.

Type 1 simply overwritten data, which will lose all historical data.

Type 3 offers historical data, but it is not sufficient for frequent and multiple changes.

Overall, Type 2 is the right fit.

Question 5:

Answer:

public_housing_inspection_data

SQL Query

```

1 SELECT
2     PHA_NAME,
3     INSPECTION_DATE AS MR_INSPECTION_DATE,
4     INSPECTION_COST AS MR_INSPECTION_COST,
5     SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_DATE,
6     SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST,
7     (INSPECTION_COST - SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST) AS CHANGE_IN_COST,
8     ROUND(((INSPECTION_COST - SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST) / SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST) * 100, 2) AS PERCENT_CHANGE_IN_COST
9 FROM (
10     SELECT
11         PUBLIC_HOUSING_AGENCY_NAME as PHA_NAME,
12         INSPECTION_DATE,
13         COST_OF_INSPECTION_IN_DOLLARS as INSPECTION_COST,
14         LAG(INSPECTION_DATE) OVER (PARTITION BY PUBLIC_HOUSING_AGENCY_NAME ORDER BY INSPECTION_DATE DESC) AS SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_DATE,
15         LAG(COST_OF_INSPECTION_IN_DOLLARS) OVER (PARTITION BY PUBLIC_HOUSING_AGENCY_NAME ORDER BY INSPECTION_DATE DESC) AS
16         SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST
17     FROM 6030A3.public_housing_inspection_data
18 ) AS InspectionRanked
19 WHERE
20     SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST IS NOT NULL
21     AND INSPECTION_COST > SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST
22 ORDER BY PHA_NAME;

```

	PHA_NAME	MR_INSPECTION_DATE	MR_INSPECTION_COST	SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_DATE	SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST	CHANGE_IN_COST
1	ADAMS METROPOLITAN HOUSING AUTHO	1/27/2014		32874 1/28/2014		24047
2	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	9/24/2014		35447 9/25/2014		13871
3	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	9/23/2014		36279 9/24/2014		35447
4	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	8/6/2014		23194 8/8/2014		11472
5	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	8/6/2014		26417 8/6/2014		23194
6	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	8/4/2014		25943 8/5/2014		22940
7	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	8/27/2014		22289 8/29/2014		11882
8	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	8/25/2014		22730 8/26/2014		17208
9	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	8/19/2013		38119 8/25/2014		22730
10	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	8/12/2013		38177 8/19/2013		38119
11	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	7/28/2014		17218 7/29/2014		11493
12	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	7/18/2013		30030 7/28/2014		17218
13	Akron Metropolitan Housing Autho	6/21/2013		23866 7/17/2013		22802

R_INSPECTION_DATE	MR_INSPECTION_COST	SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_DATE	SECOND_MR_INSPECTION_COST	CHANGE_IN_COST	PERCENT_CHANGE_IN_COST
1/7/2014	32874 1/28/2014		24047	8827	36.71
2/4/2014	35447 9/25/2014		13871	21576	155.55
3/3/2014	36279 9/24/2014		35447	832	2.35
4/1/2014	23194 8/8/2014		11472	11722	102.18
5/1/2014	26417 8/6/2014		23194	3223	13.90
6/1/2014	25943 8/5/2014		22940	3003	13.09
7/7/2014	22289 8/29/2014		11882	10407	87.59
8/5/2014	22730 8/26/2014		17208	5522	32.09
9/9/2013	38119 8/25/2014		22730	15389	67.70
10/2/2013	38177 8/19/2013		38119	58	0.15
11/8/2014	17218 7/29/2014		11493	5725	49.81
12/8/2013	30030 7/28/2014		17218	12812	74.41
13/1/2013	23866 7/17/2013		22802	1064	4.67