## Toronto Metropolitan University Department of Mechanical, Industrial, and Mechatronics Engineering MEC511-Thermodynamics & Fluids LAB REPORT PEER EVALUATION FORM (Spring 2025)

Student ID#:	501230485		_			
Group# (1-30): _	<u>E</u> Lab# (1-4)	): <u>4</u>				
Name (yourself	):Syed Ka Ab Surl					
Name (2):	Osman Asif				)%	
	Worked					
job						
Name (3):	Waleed Rashid_		Grade	(0-100%):	100%	
Comment:	Worked	on p	rocedure	and	did	excellent
job						
Name (4):	Fiona Berberi		Grade	(0-100%):	_100%	
	Worked on summary					
Name (4):	Aqeel Razvi		Grade	(0-100%):	_100%	
	Worked					
job						
Name (4):	Muhammad Hussain		Grade	(0-100%):	100%	<u> </u>
Comment:	Worked on re	sults and	caclulation	s and o	did an	excellent
iob						

Each student must individually submit this lab peer evaluation form to the TA <u>via D2L</u> when submitting your group lab report. Failure to do so will result in ZERO mark for lab.

In this evaluation, 100% meant that the person did his/her share of the work while 0% did nothing. Please be fair and honest!!! After completing this template, print this page as a **PDF** and upload it.

Your final lab mark will be based on the combination of your group report and peer evaluation.

## "Peer-evaluation" for MEC511 (Spring 2025) students

It is strongly recommended that members in a lab group clearly set up, <u>beforehand and in</u> <u>writing</u>, the division of duties towards completion of a lab report (including the on-line submission of a lab report), so that there is no room for ambiguity in each member's duty.

In submitting a **peer-evaluation form**, for example, a group has 4 students, each of these 4 students should do the form separately and confidentially.

A student is required to do the **evaluation for the others** in the group **in a responsible manner**:

- If they would rate "<100%" for a member, they should give reasons in the "comment" place.
- A "<100%" grade without specific reason will be considered invalid.
- The TA's and/or the instructor may further look into the specific reason leading to the "<100%" grade.
- One does not need to evaluate oneself.

By the deadline of submission, your group needs to submit only one copy of lab report (to the "Assignments" module of D2L) and, additionally, **you need to submit your own peer-evaluation form individually.** 

In case a student fails to submit her/his peer-evaluation form for a lab, s/he will receive no mark for the lab. Keep in mind that *there are three necessary conditions for a lab mark to be awarded:* 

- (1) Attendance;
- (2) Signature on cover page of lab report; and
- (3) Submission of "peer-evaluation" form.

Failure to meet any of these three requirements will result in no mark for a lab.

For this lab group, each student will receive four evaluations. Based on a student's four rated values received, the TA will **drop the lowest one** (a favor to all students) **and the invalid ones,** if any, and take the average of the rest valid rates as the weighting factor for the lab mark, as follows: Individual Lab Mark = Average Weighting Factor × Group Lab Report Mark.