													By S	Stuart Cor
												Dec	embe	er 2nd, 20
Medical Device Market	The global medical device industry is estimated to be worth approximately \$403 billion dollars annually. Counterfeit, faulty or mislabelled Medical devices can seriously impact patient outcomes, including potential deaths so almost every country has applied some form of governmental controls over the sale and resale of devices within the industry.													
	Global Medical Device Market - Source Statista													
	600									2017*	3			52:
	500							0.60.62		• 403		49	473	497
	Revenue in billion U.S. dollars	308	326	352	362	369	379	371	387	403	425			
	Revenue 700												-	
	0	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017*	2018*	2019*	2020*	2021* 2022
Jnique Labelling and Tracking	medical o	device oteml prod	e that per 20 uct lir	is ma 016 fo nes as	nufaor all T of th	ctured ype I e writ	I. This and T ing o	s seria Type I f this	alisati I devi pape	on re ces, e r. Re <u>c</u>	gulat quati gulati	ion wa ng to	as en 1,557	acted in t
	As the US already u as an exa constrair	ınder ample	gone e. Oth	the tr er im	ansiti plem	on to	uniqu	je ide	ntific	ation,	this	ntrod	uctio	n will use
Med-Dev.io	The inter all stakel labels.					•								
US FDA	In the Un						_							

	issuing agency. There are currently three accredited agencies:
	GS1 ICCBBA
	Once the manufacturer has registered with an agency they must request Device Identifier codes or DI's. These DI's represent a product line or SKU and each DI must be added to an FDA database called the GUDID along with some basic but vital information about what the product is and how to use it.
-	As the manufacturer produces devices, it labels them with both the correct DI and an extra set of information that makes each label (and so each device) unique.
	While this process is laborious, most manufacturers have an expert within their team that understands both it and the requirements of other regulators for markets they sign into.
	The FDA then, maintains records of the product line or DI and of the manufacturer. But the FDA does not record each unique device manufactured.
Manufacturer's	Record keeping for each unique device falls to the the manufacturer, who must also know where that device is, if it is still in their inventory, or where they shipped it to, if they have sold it. So registering, updating the DI listing, keeping records of each UDI and where it is, or where it was shipped to, is the minimal regulatory requirement of any manufacturer that wants to sell type 1 or type 2 medical devices into the United States
Making good use of UDIs, now that they are on everything	

	the regulator or the manufacturer when they receive a medical device and then again if there is a recall. They can also check a device is genuine (if full
	lifecycle tracking is in place)
	Blockchain then offers all users of Medical Devices the ability to access information from regulatory authorities, check the providence of the devices they are taking possession of and to use the blockchain as a bridge that can keep all participants informed of the latest usage instructions, recall notices or advisories. It further allows both anonymous participation (for patients) and authorized usage to manage the sharing of data between teams.
	The blockchain will consist of a series of services that can be called upon by each other in order to provide and manage the data needed by participants. All interactions are then recorded and confirmed on the blockchain See Med-Dev Technical white paper for further details.
Conclusion	Keeping an absolute transaction log and record of each device as it takes its journey from manufacturer to patient through multiple disparate parties, with different needs, will save lives, reduce costs and allow participants to remain compliant to regulatory rulings. The medical devices industry is the ideal market for the application of low cost distributed electronic ledger. Unfortunately initial versions of blockchain, while promising in certain aspects,
	failed to provide transaction speeds, transaction volumes and transaction costs that were suitable to an industry which caters to extremely high value low turnover items as well as consumable devices that are manufactured in the millions. Blockchain 2.0 with the introduction of smart contracts has made the technology
	viable. Now, as speeds have increased and mining costs reduced (and/or removed by proof of stake), blockchain can now be effectively applied.