

Lehrstuhl für Informatik 10 (Systemsimulation)



**Optimization of Mirrorshapes in Optically Pumped Solar Lasers
Using Ray Tracing Simulation Techniques**

Matthias König

Master's Thesis

Optimization of Mirrorshapes in Optically Pumped Solar Lasers Using Ray Tracing Simulation Techniques

Matthias König

Master's Thesis

Aufgabensteller: Prof. Dr. C. Pflaum

Betreuer: 1.11.2021 – 2.5.2022

Bearbeitungszeitraum:

Abstract

This work showcases the application of ray tracing techniques for the calculation of absorption profiles in optically pumped solar lasers. It aims at using a lightweight and fast physically based raytracer combined with a biobjective mesh adaptive direct search algorithm to optimize total power absorption and to minimize variance across the crystal. An exemplary setup of a side pumped Nd:Yag solar laser was simulated, optimized and the resulting beam quality evaluated.

Erklärung:

Ich versichere, dass ich die Arbeit ohne fremde Hilfe und ohne Benutzung anderer als der angegebenen Quellen angefertigt habe und dass die Arbeit in gleicher oder ähnlicher Form noch keiner anderen Prüfungsbehörde vorgelegen hat und von dieser als Teil einer Prüfungsleistung angenommen wurde. Alle Ausführungen, die wörtlich oder sinngemäß übernommen wurden, sind als solche gekennzeichnet.

Der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, vertreten durch den Lehrstuhl für Systemsimulation (Informatik 10), wird für Zwecke der Forschung und Lehre ein einfaches, kostenloses, zeitlich und örtlich unbeschränktes Nutzungsrecht an den Arbeitsergebnissen der Master's Thesis einschließlich etwaiger Schutzrechte und Urheberrechte eingeräumt.

Erlangen, den 30. März 2022

.....

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Methodology	6
3	Lasers	6
3.1	Solar Lasers	6
4	Raytracing Framework	6
4.1	Raytracing Basics	6
4.2	Raytracing Acceleration	10
4.3	Sampling Techniques	10
4.4	Framework Structure	10
4.4.1	Rays	10
4.4.2	Shapes	10
4.4.3	Objects	10
4.4.4	Scene	10
4.4.5	Tracing Algorithm	10
4.4.6	Sampler	10
4.4.7	IO Utilities	10
4.5	Setup Specific Objects	10
4.5.1	Lens	10
4.5.2	Mirror	10
4.5.3	Crystal	10
5	Optimization	10
5.1	Functional Analysis	10
5.2	Mesh Adaptive Direct Search (MADS)	10
5.3	Biobjective MADS	10
5.4	Nomad Library	10
5.5	Integration into Framework	10
6	Exemplatory Setup	10
6.1	Setup	10
6.2	ASLD Software	10
6.3	Beam Analysis	10

1 Introduction

2 Methodology

3 Lasers

3.1 Solar Lasers

4 Raytracing Framework

With the advent of cheap processors and increasingly powerful consumer hardware, ray tracing has become more popular in recent years. For the purpose of global illumination in video games and image processing, more advanced techniques have been continuously developed and improved. In optical design ray tracing is used to analyse the imaging quality of optical systems or as in this work other illumination properties can be simulated. The need for fast refresh rates in video games and the requirement of modelling more complex physical phenomena in optical design have led to tracing and sampling techniques that reduce the computational expense dramatically with minimal loss of accuracy. Focused on the specific problems of laser design, these improvements make it possible to get physically accurate results in an acceptable amount of computational time in an iterative context.

As in optical design systems are mostly rotationally symmetrical, the framework is meant to be used in a two dimensional setup and calculated quantities, e.g. absorbed power in a medium converted to three dimensional values after a simulation step. This significantly reduces the amount of rays needed to avoid undersampling effects and to produce stable results across multiple simulation runs. Intersection tests also require less computation and objects in the scene require less fundamental shapes to test a ray against. The resulting performance gains makes it possible to run the simulation thousands of times in an iterative process to optimize some parameters in the optical setup even on consumer grade hardware. The objects in a scene are preprocessed to group fundamental shapes into leaves of a quadtree to reduce the amount of shapes a ray has to be tested against even further. To achieve the satisfied accuracy and to reduce noise the appropriate sampling strategies have to be used for a given problem. The most important techniques are provided including uniform sampling, stratified and importance sampling.

The framework was designed to provide a simple yet powerful interface for the user and was implemented in C++17. It provides the necessary data structures and algorithms for a fast raytracing solution. The sampling techniques are implemented in specialized classes of abstract interfaces. They can also be used by the user to implement custom techniques. The framework extensively relies on lambda functions to be provided by the user and thus naturally is customizable, although some preset functions are also provided. Because the calculations in the framework are so similar to applications in graphics software the OpenGL Mathematics header only library GLM [1] was used as an underlying maths library. GLM is based on the OpenGL Shading Language (GLSL) and so in a potential later step the framework could be ported to work on graphics cards providing that the data structures are changed to be accessible from a GPU. As in the specific problem in this work the tracing of each ray has side effects on the scene and on itself, i.e. the absorbed power of each ray has to be accumulated, it was decided to focus more on single core performance first and leave the execution on GPUs for a later point. Furthermore IO utilities for simulations are provided for Comma Separated Values (CSV) files and structured output for the commonly used Visualization Toolkit (VTK) [2].

In the following chapters the applied ray tracing techniques explained in detail and the structure of the developed framework is presented with code samples.

4.1 Raytracing Basics

Rays are represented as a parametric line from a ray origin \mathbf{o} in direction \mathbf{d} . The parameter t goes is in the interval $[0, \infty)$ and represents the closeness of the ray to the origin. The mathematical representation therefore is given as

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{o} + t\mathbf{d} \tag{1}$$

After the ray is generated it is tested against intersections with the scene. Here the smallest $t > 0$ of all the intersections with objects has to be found. The question if a ray intersects an object can usually only be answered for simple fundamental shapes, e.g. lines, circles, axis aligned bounding boxes (AABBs) in 2D or planes, triangles, spheres, etc. in 3D. Therefore objects are normally comprised of a collection of fundamental shapes and an intersection occurs if one of the fundamental shapes is intersected. Naturally, an object can be intersected multiple times by the same ray and so the results have to be searched for the smallest t . Each fundamental shape should be represented in a parametrised form so the intersection test can be represented as a system of equations. The two fundamental shapes used in this work are 2D lines and axis aligned bounding boxes (AABBs).

Lines are represented by two points \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . So the intersection problem can be written as a ray-ray intersection as follows:

Find $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in [0, \infty]$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{a} + \alpha(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{o} + t\mathbf{d} \quad (2)$$

If such a combination of α and t exists, we have an intersection. As we are in 2D there are two equations for two unknowns and the system always has a solution. The solution can then be checked, s.t. the values are in the right intervals. A small mathematical trick is to define a 2D cross product which is basically just the z component of a 3D cross product if the two input vectors \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} were parallel to the xy plane:

$$\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} = p_x \cdot q_y - p_y \cdot q_x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

Observe that same as the 3D cross product, the 2D version becomes 0 when you cross a vector with itself. If one now crosses Eq. (2) with \mathbf{d} on both sides the intersection equation becomes:

$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{d} + \alpha(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) \times \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{o} \times \mathbf{d} \quad (4)$$

So t has been eliminated from the equation and we can solve Eq. (4) for α :

$$\alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{o}) \times \mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{d} \times (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a})} \quad (5)$$

If α satisfies the condition, we continue analogously for t by crossing Eq. (2) with $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}$. The resulting t is then checked against the condition and a normal at the intersection point is calculated. The intersected rays can be seen in in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Ray line intersection of two rays. The line is specified by the points **a** and **b** and the rays are defined by the origins \mathbf{o}_i and directions \mathbf{d}_i . Ray $(\mathbf{o}_1, \mathbf{d}_1)$ satisfies the conditions $t \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and therefore causes an intersection, ray $(\mathbf{o}_2, \mathbf{d}_2)$ dissatisfies the α condition and ray $(\mathbf{o}_3, \mathbf{d}_3)$ does not satisfy the t condition.

Another important shape to intersect are AABBs. They are rectangles aligned with the axis of the coordinate system so they require minimal memory space and intersection tests are as simple as possible. They most often used to surround complex objects or parts of it to reduce the amount of intersection tests. First the AABB of the object is tested and only if there is an intersection the actual fundamental shapes inside the AABB are tested. A 2D AABB is defined by two points \mathbf{b}_{min} and \mathbf{b}_{max} which represent the lower left and upper right corner of the rectangle. The intersection test is done by comparing the values of t at each of the axis aligned lines defining the box. The t values for the x axis aligned lines can be calculated with the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 tx1 &= \frac{b_{minx} - o_x}{d_x} \\
 tx2 &= \frac{b_{maxx} - o_x}{d_x} \\
 t_{min} &= \min(tx1, tx2) \\
 t_{max} &= \max(tx1, tx2) \\
 ty1 &= \frac{b_{miny} - o_y}{d_y} \\
 ty2 &= \frac{b_{maxy} - o_y}{d_y} \\
 t_{min} &= \max(t_{min}, \min(ty1, ty2)) \\
 t_{max} &= \min(t_{max}, \max(tx1, tx2))
 \end{aligned}$$

If the conditions $t_{min} \leq t_{max}$ and $t_{min} \geq 0$ hold there is an intersection. This process is better understood visually and is illustrated in Figure 2. If normals are needed they can be easily calculated since there are only four possibilities depending on which side of the box is intersected first.



Figure 2:

The AABB intersection becomes really handy once one wants to use them for ray tracing acceleration techniques, as they can easily be constructed to surround a cloud of points and then be used as a spacial subdivider in a tree structure. Other shapes like circles or ellipses are intersected in a similar way but as they are not used in the example below the intersection process is not explained here.

4.2 Raytracing Acceleration

4.3 Sampling Techniques

4.4 Framework Structure

4.4.1 Rays

4.4.2 Shapes

4.4.3 Objects

4.4.4 Scene

4.4.5 Tracing Algorithm

4.4.6 Sampler

4.4.7 IO Utilities

4.5 Setup Specific Objects

4.5.1 Lens

4.5.2 Mirror

4.5.3 Crystal

5 Optimization

5.1 Functional Analysis

5.2 Mesh Adaptive Direct Search (MADS)

5.3 Biobjective MADS

5.4 Nomad Library

5.5 Integration into Framework

6 Exemplatory Setup

6.1 Setup

6.2 ASLD Software

6.3 Beam Analysis

References

- [1] OpenGL mathematics (glm). <https://glm.g-truc.net/0.9.4/api/index.html>. [Online; accessed 28-March-2022].
- [2] Will Schroeder, Ken Martin, and Bill Lorensen. *The Visualization Toolkit (4th ed.)*. Kitware, 2006.