# Franklin Ford Collection

edited by Dominique Trudel & Juliette De Maeyer

a mediastudies.press public domain edition



### FRANKLIN FORD COLLECTION

A MEDIASTUDIES.PRESS PUBLIC DOMAIN EDITION

Franklin Ford Collection contains previously unpublished works and works in the public domain.

Published by Mediastudies.Press in the Public Domain series

Original formatting, spelling, and citation styles retained throughout, with occasional [sic] to indicate an uncorrected error.

mediastudies.press | 414 W. Broad St., Bethlehem, PA 18018, USA

New materials are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0)

COVER DESIGN: Mark McGillivray | Copy-editing & proofing: Emily Alexander

CREDIT FOR LATEX TEMPLATE: Book design inspired by Edward Tufte, by The Tufte-LaTeX Developers

ISBN 978-1-951399-22-1 (print) | ISBN 978-1-951399-19-1 (pdf)

ISBN 978-1-951399-21-4 (epub) | ISBN 978-1-951399-20-7 (html)

DOI 10.32376/3f8575cb.8oaee3oa

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS CONTROL NUMBER 2023939244

Edition 1 published in July 2023

## Contents

	"He Has Ideas about Everything": An Introduction to the Franklin Ford Collection	vi
	Acknowledgments	xlviii
	The Larger Life: A Poem Dedicated to Franklin Ford	xlix
Ι	Reforming the News	
	Draft of Action	3
	A Newspaper Laboratory	64
	Banding Together the Leading Newspapers	67
	The Press of New York—Its Future	68
	Organization of Intelligence Requires an Organism	71
	In Search of Absolute News, Sensation, and Unity	73
	The News System: A Scientific Basis for Organizing the News	107

II Interconnected Flows: Money, Information, and Transportation	
Better Credit Reporting	116
Traffic Associations	127
The Country Check	130
The Express Companies and the Bank	147
The Mercantile Agencies and Credit Reporting	155
Co-operative Credit Reporting	163
III News is Government	
City News Office Needed	166
Municipal Reform: A Scientific Question	169
Government is the Organization of Intelligence or News	198
The Simple Idea of Government	206
A New and Revolutionary Government	208
News is the Master Element of Social Control	213

#### Traffic Associations

#### FRANKLIN FORD

Published in the *Wichita Daily Eagle*, May 4, 1897 (doi | original pdf)

(From "Textile America")

The decision of the supreme court in the case of the Trans-Missouri Freight Association¹ went to disturb business confidence because of a lack of understanding as to the nature and effect of such efforts on the part of railway managers. It is not yet perceived that some method of bringing the complex freight and passenger traffic under a common direction is as necessary as was the introduction of the telegraph to the movement of trains. When it was first proposed to run trains by telegraph a deal of opposition was aroused, and numbers of railway men resigned because of it. The attacks on the traffic associations are due to ignorance of their true function, and are certain to disappear through the growing effectiveness of the very machinery that is condemned.

The traffic association in the railway system is of the same nature and function as the clearing house in the system of banking, each being instrumental of self-regulation—the natural outgrowth of new conditions. The New York Clearing House is without so-called legal incorporation, yet it is gradually assuming greater powers as an organ of government, and this without exciting even passing remark. The boards of trade are centers of regulation for the several businesses connected therewith, their purpose being to facilitate transactions and compel integrity therein. As communication, seen as a distinct branch of commerce, reached administrative unity in the post office, so now the great business of transportation is struggling for its unity as the means of passing to the highest economy and effectiveness. The common instrument to this end is the derided traffic association.

Happily, the supreme court decision and all other forms of obstruction will go to further self-regulation for the railway. They will

<sup>1</sup> [United States v. Trans-Missouri Freight Association, 166 U.S. 290 (1897) was a Supreme Court decision confirming that railways were common carriers and that anti-trust provisions applied to them. Ford disagreed, and so did his most famous correspondent, US Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr. See Holmes to Ford, May 3, 1907 in David H. Burton, *Progressive Masks: Letters of Oliver Wendell Holmes Jr., and Franklin Ford* (Newark: University of Delaware Press, 1982), 43–44.]

aid to clear away misunderstanding, and to teach the necessity of avoiding conflict with wayside opinion by finding the most direct road to the goal that must be reached. The notion is widespread that regulation of "commerce between the States" is only possible through the intervention of congress; the movement of commerce without such interference is thought to be lawless. The opposite of this, that commerce of necessity works out its own regulation, cannot be proved save by actual progress. A showing of fact here on the port [sic] of the railway managers would be of value. But any such report would be lacking in point were it not boldly prefaced by the assertion that the traffic associations are themselves of wholesale government: that all the governing is not done from Washington and Albany, however loud the clamor.

It is difficult to see how a clear solution can be gained without defining the issue as one between "the government at Washington" and the railway association as an organ of self-government. The new legality, in fact, is to be seen in the outworking of the transportation system itself under the guidance of its own inherent law. Congress may give registration to this law when determined by the action, but it can do no more. Commerce is everywhere working toward the highest quality of service at the lowest price, involving the widest distribution. One means to this end is an enlarged publicity. It is fair to say that the true measure of the service of the inter-state commerce commission is its work as an agent of publicity, especially as one effect thereof has been to promote uniform railway accounting.

Railways have to be run by railway experts—there is no other way. The railways in Belgium or Switzerland may be run by a bureau of "the government" or for that matter "owned" by it, but for the sweep of country lying between Maine and California it will have to be done by experts—by science, which is government. Again, it will be done by the individual, who is everywhere the instrument in man's action.

The idea of "government ownership," so far as it has any claim to attention, is simply the dream of the unified transportation system. There is still a widespread fear of unity, which should, however, find correction through observing the post office, whose perfect diversity is due to the fact that it is operated as a single system. The railway traffic associations stand for the approaching unity of the transportation interests.

It is easy to lay down the rule that freight rates are to be equal and uniform, but this, like other good things, has to be worked out in practice. The problem is vastly complicated owing to the fact that the railway tariff continues to be based on distance, and, further, that it varies as to classes of goods. Statutes assert that rates shall be uni-

form, but it so happens that the statutes fail to provide for changes in rates. From the very nature of things, important reductions in freight charges came about in the past through the aggressiveness of individuals, who by offering guarantees of large business or by other means secured for themselves advantages which were not at once given to the whole people. The need is to reach such a stage of organisation that successful warfare by individuals for lower rates will take effect an [sic] once for all shippers. To get to this the governing intelligence has to be centered in such bodies as the traffic associations: in other words, the railway men have to provide for their own law-making organizations, and this has to be made up by selections from their highest experts.

One source of confusion and error lies in the prevailing belief that the associations have as their end the maintenance of rates at a certain high level, and for this the traffic managers are largely to blame. The end is to regulate and govern rates and to arbitrate the various questions which are constantly arising in railway administration. It is not possible for any set of managers, however compact the organization, to resist the countless influences which are determining railway freight and passenger charges. To accomplish it they would have to hold down the forces of nature, and put an effective check upon the inventive genius of man. A given traffic association is but the instrument through which the competitive forces are moving, and these forces gain freedom and effectiveness just in proportion to concentration of power—to unity or administration. The locomotive is competing for its place in the round up of commerce, and all possible traffic associations can only facilitate the action.—Franklin Ford