

Assessment Criteria

Component	Excellent (80–100%)	Good (60–79%)	Acceptable (50–59%)	Unacceptable (Below 50%)
Content (30 marks)	<p>24–30 marks Demonstrates strong research and deep engagement with MAS topics. All reflection questions are thoroughly addressed with depth and clarity. Rich reflection on MAS Gallery, with clearly identified zone and personal insights for future learning.</p> <p>Specific, and well-explained 3 key takeaways from the Wongamania Game, clearly linked to personal finance. Fully adheres to reflection requirements.</p>	<p>18–23 marks Demonstrates good research and engagement with MAS topics. All reflection questions are addressed with clarity. Most questions addressed with general understanding. MAS Gallery reflection is descriptive with limited insight for future learning.</p> <p>Wongamania Game takeaways mentioned but may lack strong link to personal finance. Most of the content adheres to reflection requirements.</p>	<p>15–17 marks Demonstrates weak research and engagement with MAS topics. Basic attempt to answer reflection questions with minimal understanding or not well explained for future learning.</p> <p>Takeaways from Wongamania Game lacks clarity or connection to personal finance.</p> <p>Content fairly adheres to reflection requirements</p>	<p>0–14 marks Incomplete or weak responses. Minimal or missing Wongamania takeaways and MAS Gallery reflection.</p> <p>Shows limited effort or understanding.</p> <p>Content does not follow reflection requirements.</p>
Organisation & Structure (10 marks)	<p>8–10 marks Ideas are logically sequenced. Excellent paragraphing and sentence structure. Strong coherence and flow.</p>	<p>6–7 marks Generally organised. Some lapses in paragraphing or sentence clarity. Flow is mostly logical.</p>	<p>5 marks Limited organisation. Weak paragraphing and transitions. Ideas are present but not clearly structured.</p>	<p>0–4 marks Disorganised and difficult to follow. Poor or missing structure. Hinders understanding.</p>
Referencing (10 marks)	<p>8–10 marks Consistent and correct APA referencing. All relevant sources cited. Appendices (if any) are relevant.</p>	<p>6–7 marks Some referencing used with minor APA errors. Sources acknowledged. Appendices moderately relevant.</p>	<p>5 marks Referencing present but inconsistent or unclear. APA style not fully followed. Appendices weak.</p>	<p>0–4 marks No referencing or incorrectly applied. APA style not followed. Missing or irrelevant appendices.</p>