

## Homework-3

---

1. Let  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a  $p$ -dimensional normal distribution with mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Show that

$$T^2 = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} F_{p, n-p}$$

2. Show that for  $\mathbf{X} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , non-random matrices  $\mathbf{A}_{q \times p}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{r \times p}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$  are independent if and only if  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^T = 0$ .
3. Let  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{38}$  be a random sample of size  $n = 32$  from a bivariate normal distribution, i.e.,  $\mathbf{X}_I \sim N_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . We obtain the sample mean  $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = (0.564, 0.603)^T$  and the sample covariance  $\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0144 & 0.0117 \\ 0.0117 & 0.0146 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is desire to construct a confidence region of size  $\alpha$  for  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2)^T$ .

- a) Find the MLE of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ .
- b) Evaluate the expression for 95% elliptical confidence region for  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ . Denote this region by  $R_1$ .
- c) Is  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 = (0.60, 0.58)^T$  fall in  $R_1$ ?
- d) Evaluate the simultaneous confidence intervals for  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . Denote this region by  $R_2$ .
- e) Conduct a hypothesis test for  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = (0.60, 0.58)^T$  versus  $H_a : \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq (0.60, 0.58)^T$ . Report the details of the test statistics, the distribution of it under the null hypothesis, and the p-value.

4. *Bonferroni method for multiple comparison.* An alternative to the simultaneous confidence interval is the Bonferroni method for multiple comparison. In problem 3, since there are only two parameters  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  involved. Notice that Bonferroni method has some advantageous compared to the simultaneous confidence intervals. In general, for  $m$  linear combinations  $\mathbf{a}_1^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{a}_2^T \boldsymbol{\mu}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m^T \boldsymbol{\mu}$ , assume  $C_i$  is the confidence interval for the  $i$ th linear combination,  $\mathbf{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{\mu}$  with confidence level  $\alpha_i$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{\mu} \in C_i \text{ for all } i) &= 1 - P(\text{at least for one } i, \mathbf{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{\mu} \notin C_i) \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m P(\mathbf{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{\mu} \notin C_i) \\ &= 1 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_m) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- a) Show that the interval  $C_i(\alpha_i) = \mathbf{a}_i^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} \pm t_{n-1}(\alpha_i/2) \sqrt{\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a}_i / n}$  is a confidence interval of  $\mathbf{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{\mu}$  with confidence level  $\alpha_i$ .

- b) Verify the inequality (1), for  $m = 3$ .
- c) verify

$$P(\mathbf{a}_i^T \boldsymbol{\mu} \in C_i(\alpha/m) \text{ for all } i) \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

This gives a Bonferroni type simultaneous confidence intervals  $C_i(\alpha/m)$  for level  $\alpha$ .

- d) Using the data in problem 3, evaluate the simultaneous confidence intervals for  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  using the Bonferroni method. Denote this region by  $R_3$
  - e) Sketch a graph for the regions  $R_1, R_2, R_3$  in  $x - y$  coordinates. Which one do you prefer and why?
5. Consider the monthly returns of three stocks (Coke, IBM, and Caterpillar with ticker symbols KO, IBM, and CAT, respectively) and 3 market indexes (value-weighted (VW), equal-weighted (EW), and S&P composite index) from January 1961 to December 2015. The returns include dividends. The data are in the file *"three-stocks.txt"*.
- a) Are the monthly returns of three stocks jointly normally distributed? Why?
  - b) Consider jointly the returns of the three stocks and three indexes. Test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = 0$  versus  $H_a : \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq 0$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  denotes the mean vector of the monthly simple returns. Perform the test and make your conclusion.
  - c) Provide justifications for the reference distribution used in the testing of part
  - d) Transform the returns to log returns. Construct simultaneous  $T^2$ , Bonferroni, marginal, and asymptotic chi-square confidence intervals for the means of the log returns.
  - e) Now consider again the monthly *log* returns of three stocks and three indexes of part (d). Divide the sample into two parts non-overlapping, part one, from 1 to 330, and part 2 from 331 to 660 in time order.
    - I. Let  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_i$  be the covariance matrix of the sub-period  $i = 1, 2$ . Test  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2$  versus  $H_a : \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \neq \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2$ . Make your conclusion.
    - II. Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$  be the vector of mean returns in the sub-period  $i = 1, 2$ . Based on the result of Part(II), test  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$  versus  $H_a : \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \neq \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ . Make your conclusion.

6. **Reading assignments:** Chapters 5 to 7 of the textbook.