LLM Context Windows: Complete Comparison

Choosing the Right Model for Your Use Case

MODEL FAMILY	MODEL NAME	CONTEXT WINDOW	~WORD COUNT	COST TIER	BEST USE CASES
GPT (OpenAl)	GPT-3.5-Turbo	4K tokens	~3,000 words	& Low	Quick queries, chatbots
	GPT-3.5-16K	16K tokens	~12,000 words	š Š Medium	Document analysis
	GPT-4	8K tokens	~6,000 words	ŠŠŠ High	Complex reasoning
	GPT-4-32K	32K tokens	~24,000 words	ŠŠŠŠ Very High	Large documents
	GPT-4-Turbo- 128K	128K tokens	~96,000 words	ŠŠŠ High+	Massive analysis
Claude (Anthropic)	Claude 3 Haiku	200K tokens	~150,000 words	Š Š Medium	Fast long-context
	Claude 3 Sonnet	200K tokens	~150,000 words	ŠŠŠ High	Balanced performance
	Claude 3 Opus	200K tokens	~150,000 words	ŠŠŠŠVery High	Premium analysis
Mistral	Mistral 7B	32K tokens	~24,000 words	🖔 Low (Open)	Research, fine- tuning
	Mistral Large	32K tokens	~24,000 words	ŠŠŠ High	Enterprise apps
LLaMA (Meta)	LLaMA 2	4K tokens	~3,000 words	Free (Self-host)	Research, education
	Code Llama	16K tokens	~12,000 words	Free (Self-host)	Code development

Key Insights

- Y Context Champions: Claude models lead with consistent 200K tokens across all variants.
- S Cost Efficiency: Budget: GPT-3.5, Mistral 7B. Premium: Claude Opus, GPT-4-32K. Value: Claude Haiku, GPT-4-Turbo.
- • Use Cases: Short (≤4K): GPT-3.5, LLaMA 2. Medium (16-32K):
 GPT-4, Code Llama, Mistral. Long (100K+): Claude family, GPT-4 Turbo.

Quick Selection Guide

- **1. Budget priority?** \rightarrow GPT-3.5 or Mistral 7B
- Long documents? → Claude Haiku/Sonnet
- 3. **Highest quality?** → Claude Opus or GPT-4-32K
- **4.** Code focus? → Code Llama or Claude
- **5. Research/Learning?** → LLaMA 2 (free)