

Haskell Study Notes

Isabelle

May 8, 2021

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Types | 2 |
| 1.1 | Basic types | 2 |
| 1.2 | Typeclasses | 2 |
| 1.3 | More on typeclasses | 2 |
| 2 | More on types | 4 |
| 2.1 | Record types | 4 |
| 3 | Lists and Tuples | 5 |
| 3.1 | Lists | 5 |
| 3.1.1 | List Comprehensions | 5 |
| 3.2 | Tuples | 6 |
| 4 | More operations on lists | 7 |
| 4.1 | Filtering | 7 |
| 4.2 | Zippping Lists | 7 |
| 5 | Conditionals | 8 |
| 5.1 | If expressions | 8 |
| 5.2 | Case expressions | 8 |
| 5.3 | Guards | 9 |
| 5.4 | Pattern matching | 9 |
| 5.4.1 | Matching against data constructors | 9 |
| 6 | Functions | 10 |
| 6.1 | Currying | 10 |
| 6.2 | Higher-order functions | 10 |
| 6.3 | Function composition | 11 |
| 7 | Folds | 12 |
| 7.1 | Foldr | 12 |
| 7.1.1 | Associativity | 12 |
| 7.1.2 | Reducing | 13 |

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| 7.2 | Foldl | 14 |
| 7.3 | Scans | 14 |
| 7.4 | Associativity | 15 |
| 7.5 | Laziness | 15 |
| 8 | Weak head normal form | 16 |

1 Types

1.1 Basic types

Haskell has many primitive types such as strings, characters, integers and floating point numbers.

```
1 "hello world" -- string
2 1234          -- integer
3 3.14         -- float
```

1.2 Typeclasses

Typeclasses are a way of sharing specific functionality between types. We can either implement our own instances of typeclasses, or let haskell *derive* them automatically.

They are kind of like Java interfaces.

Num is the generic base typeclass that all numbers derive from.

1. Integral numbers (*Integral* typeclass)
 - *Int*: fixed precision integer with a min and maximum size
 - *Integer*: supports **very** large integers
2. Floating point numbers (*Fractional* typeclass)
 - *Float*: single precision floating point number
 - *Double*: double precision floating point number

1.3 More on typeclasses

Anything that derives from

- *Show* can be printed
- *Read* can be read as a value
- *Eq* can be compared for equality with `==` and `/=`
- *Ord* can be compared and ordered with `j` and `j`

```

1 {-# LANGUAGE DuplicateRecordFields #-}
2
3 data Worker = Worker { name :: String, job :: String }
   deriving (Show)
4 data Student = Student { name :: String, school :: String }
   deriving (Show)
5
6 class Person a where
7     getName :: a -> String
8     getOccupation :: a -> String
9
10 instance Person Worker where
11     getName x = name (x :: Worker)
12     getOccupation x = "Working on " ++ job x
13
14 instance Person Student where
15     getName x = name (x :: Student)
16     getOccupation x = "Studying at " ++ school x

```

Figure 1: Demonstration of a custom typeclass for Person

2 More on types

2.1 Record types

Haskell has support for record types which can basically be seen as Haskell's version of a C struct.

Let's create a type to represent a *Person*.

```
1 --                fName  lName  age gender height
2 data Person = Person String String Int String Float
3
4 firstName :: Person -> String
5 firstName (Person s _ _ _ _) = s
6
7 lastName  :: Person -> String
8 lastName (Person _ s _ _ _) = s
9
10 ...
```

Yikes that's not readable, let's write it in the more readable *record syntax* which is **identical** to the above syntax but much more organized.

```
1 data Person = Person { firstName :: String
2                        , lastName  :: String
3                        , age       :: Int
4                        , gender    :: String
5                        , height    :: Float
6                        } deriving (Show)
```

3 Lists and Tuples

3.1 Lists

Haskell lists are represented as linked lists. A node in a linked list can either be *Nil* or a pointer to the *next node*. Lists have a *head* and a *tail*. Because of Haskell being lazily evaluated, lists can be infinite. *take* takes *n* items from a list, and *drop* drops *n* items from a list.

list = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

In the above list, the *head* is 1, and the *tail* is [2, 3, 4, 5]

Lists are made up of individual cons cells. The implementation for the `[]` type in Haskell is:

```
1 data [] a = [] | a : [a]
2
3 -- Defining it ourselves
4 data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
5
6 -- Creating a list using our list type
7 Cons 1 (Cons 2 (Cons 3 Nil))
8
9 -- So a list in Haskell is basically just 1:2:3:[] and
   [1,2,3] is syntactic sugar
1
```

The *splitAt* function splits a list into two parts at the element specified. Lists can be indexed using the `!!` operator.

3.1.1 List Comprehensions

List comprehensions are similar to how they work in Python. They must have at least one list that is the generator, which provides the input.

[*operation* | *x* ← *list*]

An example of a list comprehension:

```
[x ^ 2 | x <- [1..10]]
```

List comprehensions can have predicates (conditions)

```
[x ^ 2 | x <- [1..10], x 'mod' 2 == 0]
```

... and they can pull from multiple generators/inputs too

```
[(x, y) | x <- [1,2,3], y <- ['a', 'b']]
```

List comprehensions can also be bound to *variables*.

¹The spine is a way to refer to the structure that glues a collection of values together. In the list datatype it is formed by the recursive nesting of cons cells.

```
1 let square' = [x ^ 2 | x <- [1..10]]
```

```
2
```

3.2 Tuples

Haskell has tuples, triples, and *n-tuples*. Tuples have a *fst* and *snd* function which respectively gets either the first or second item.

swap (defined in **Data.Tuple**) swaps the items in a *tuple*

```
1 ("char", 20)
```

```
2
```

```
3 -- a triple
```

```
4 ("char", 20, "turtles")
```

²When we say variables, the mathematical meaning of variables is meant. This means we can bind values to identifiers, but we can **never** change the values of those bindings.

4 More operations on lists

4.1 Filtering

`filter` has the following type signature $filter :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$. *filter*

```
1 filter _ [] = []
2 filter pred (x:xs)
3   | pred x    = x : filter pred xs
4   | otherwise = filter pred xs
```

Filter all the **even** numbers from the list, removing the odd ones.

```
1 filter even [1..10]
2 -- [2,4,6,8,10]
```

Filters can have anonymous lambda syntax instead of directly passing higher-order functions to it.

```
1 filter (\x -> (x `rem` 2 == 0)) [1..20]
2 -- [2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16,18,20]
```

4.2 Zipping Lists

Zipping lists is a means of **combining** values from multiple lists into a single list. `zipWith` allows you to use a combining function to product a list of results by zipping two lists together.

```
1 zip [1, 2, 3] [4, 5, 6]
2 -- [(1,4), (2,5), (3,6)]
3
4 -- The lists can be different types
5 zip [1, 2, 3] ['a', 'b', 'c']
6 -- [(1,'a'), (2,'b'), (3,'c')]
```

We can use `unzip` to unzip a zipped list and recover the contents of it before it was zipped with `zip` or `zipWith`.

$zipWith :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [b] \rightarrow [c]$

5 Conditionals

5.1 If expressions

Haskell doesn't have if statements, however it has if expressions instead.

```
1 -- stolen from the haskell book
2 let x = 0
3 if (x + 1 == 1) then "AWESOME" else "wut"
```

5.2 Case expressions

Case expressions are similar to switch-case from languages like Java, and C++. Case expressions begin with `case x of` and their body contains all the different cases in the format *value* \rightarrow *return-value*.

```
1 pal xs =
2   case y of
3     True  -> "yes"
4     False -> "no"
5   where y = xs == reverse xs
```

It can also be used to *pattern match* against data types

```
1 data Animal = Cat | Dog
2 speak a =
3   case a of
4     Cat -> "meow"
5     Dog -> "bork"
```

5.3 Guards

There are also guards which can provide a nicer way of pattern matching instead of writing if-else expressions or case blocks. Guard blocks are written as a series of cases, along with a fallback case called **otherwise**

Cases are written in the format: “| *condition* = *value*.”

```
1 abs n
2   | n < 0      = -n
3   | otherwise = n
```

5.4 Pattern matching

Pattern matching is very common in Haskell. It allows great flexibility since you can pattern match against anything to extract values etc.

_ or *x* means a catch-all/fallback pattern to match on if all else fails

```
1 isItOne :: Int -> Bool
2 isItOne 1 = True
3 isItOne _ = False
```

5.4.1 Matching against data constructors

Pattern matching against data constructors is possible. The example defines two functions called **getName** and **getAccNumber** that pattern match on the *User* data constructor to extract either the name or account number.

```
1 data User = User String Int
2
3 getName :: User -> String
4 getName (User name _) = name
5
6 getAccNumber :: User -> Int
7 getAccNumber (User _ acc) = acc

3 4
```

³If we don't use a field, we can use an _ just like pattern matching with functions to signify the field is ignored

⁴Pattern matching against data constructors can get real old if you have a lot of parameters. So an alternative to this that will be covered later is record types.

6 Functions

Functions are defined like `add x y = x + y`. All functions are pure, unless stated otherwise which means they cannot modify state, or perform side effects like input output, writing to a database, etc

6.1 Currying

By default, all functions are curried in Haskell. Given the following type signature

```
1 -- add takes two ints and returns an int
2 add :: Int -> Int -> Int
```

we would say that the function `add` takes two integers, and returns an integer. However, because of how currying works it actually means.

- `add` takes a *single* integer parameter
- and returns a function that takes another integer parameter, and eventually will return an integer itself.

Currying is useful because it means we can create new functions by *partially applying* functions to parameters.

`addOne 5` evaluates to `((add 1) 5)` which is then further reduced to the normal form .

```
1 add :: Int -> (Int -> Int)
2
3 addOne :: Int -> Int
4 addOne x = add 1
5
6 addOne 5
7 ((add 1) 5)
8 6
```

6.2 Higher-order functions

Higher order functions are functions that can accept functions as parameters `flip` is an example of a higher-order function.

Its type signature is `flip :: (a -> b -> c) -> b -> a -> c` and it can be partially applied like so

```
1 flipOne = flip 1
2 partialApply = flipOne 2 -- ((flip 1) 2)
```

6.3 Function composition

Composing functions is like a *right to left* pipeline. **f . g** can be read as **f** after **g**. In Haskell **.** is used since **o** is not a valid ASCII character.

So $(f \circ g) x = f (g x)$

1. first applies g
2. then applies f to the result of applying g
3. and makes a new function which takes a parameter x

Example of function composition in action

```
1 negate . sum $ [1,2,3,4,5]
2
3 -- which is equivalent to
4 negate (sum [1,2,3,4,5])
5 negate (15)
```

5

⁵ $\$$ is used since function application has the highest precedence, so Haskell will think we mean `negate . 15`. Alternatively, you can wrap it in brackets like `negate . (sum [1,2,3,4,5])`

7 Folds

Folds as a general concept are called catamorphisms. Catamorphisms are a means of deconstructing data. If the spine of a list is the structure of a list, then a fold is what can reduce that structure.

7.1 Foldr

Foldr is short for "fold right". This is the most common fold that you will want to use often with lists. The type signature is `foldr :: Foldable t => (a -> b -> b) -> b -> ta -> b` in GHC 7.10 and newer.

GHC 7.10 abstracted out the list-specific part of folding into a typeclass called `Foldable` to allow you to reuse the same folding functions for any data type that can be folded.

```
1 -- Remember how map worked?
2 map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
3
4 map (+1) 1 :      2 :      3 : []
5 map (+1) 1 : (+1) 2 : (+1) 3 : []
6
7 -- foldr works similar
8 foldr (+) 0 (1 : 2 : 3 : [])
9           1 + ( 2 + ( 3 + 0 ))
```

map applies a function to each item of a list and returns a list, whereas a *fold* replaces the cons constructors with the function and **reduces** the list.

7.1.1 Associativity

Foldr is right associative, which means that it associates to the right.

In `foldr (+) 0 [1..10]`, `0` is the **identity** for the function. If this were to be implemented recursively like:

```
1 sum :: [Int] -> Int
2 sum [] = 0 -- the base case translates to the identity
   for foldr
3 sum (x:xs) = x + sum xs
```

7.1.2 Reducing

One way to think about the way Haskell evaluates folds is that its like a text rewriting system. Our expression has rewritten itself from `foldr (+) 0 [1, 2, 3]` into:

`(+) 1 ((+) 2 ((+) 3 0))`

which can be reduced by evaluating the inner-most parentheses:

`1 + (2 + (3 + 0))`

`1 + (2 + 3)`

`1 + 5`

`6`

```
1 -- we're reducing:
2 foldr (+) 0 [1, 2, 3]
3
4 -- first step, whats 'xs' in our case expression?
5 foldr (+) 0 [1, 2, 3] =
6   case [1, 2, 3] of
7     []      -> 0
8     (x:xs)  -> f x (foldr f z xs) <-- this matches
9
10 -- next, what are f, x, xs, and z in that branch of the case?
11 foldr (+) 0 [1, 2, 3] =
12   case [1, 2, 3] of
13     []      -> 0
14     (1 : [2,3]) -> (+) 1 (foldr (+) 0 [2, 3])
15
16 -- there is (+) 1 implicitly wrapped around this
17 -- continuation of the recursive fold
18 foldr (+) 0 [2, 3] =
19   case [2, 3] of
20     []      -> 0 -- this didn't match again
21     (2 : [3]) -> (+) 2 (foldr (+) 0 [3])
22
23 -- next recursion
24 foldr (+) 0 [2] =
25   case [3] of
26     []      -> 0 -- this didn't match again
27     (3 : []) -> (+) 3 (foldr (+) 0 [])
28
29 -- there is (+) 1 ( (+) 2 ( (+) 3 ..) ) implicitly wrapped around
30 -- this continuation of the fold
31
32 -- last recursion, end of the spine
33 foldr (+) 0 [] =
34   case [] of
35     []      -> 0 -- finally matches!
36     -- ignore other case, it didnt happen
```

7.2 Foldl

Because of the way lists work, folds must *first* recurse over the spine of the list from the beginning to the end. Left folds traverse the spine in the same direction as right folds, but their folding process is left associative and proceeds in the opposite direction as that of foldr.

A simple definition of foldl could look like:

```
1 foldl :: (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
2 foldl f acc []      = acc
3 foldl f acc (x:xs)  = foldl f (f acc x) xs
4
5 -- Given the list
6 foldl (+) 0 (1 : 2 : 3 : [])
7
8 -- foldl associates like
9 ( ( 0 + 1 ) + 2 ) + 3 )
10
11 -- in contrast to foldr being
12 ( 3 + ( 2 + ( 1 + 0 ) ) )
```

7.3 Scans

Scans are similar to folds except that scans return a list of all the intermediate stages of the fold.

```
Prelude> foldr (+) 0 [1..5]
15
```

```
Prelude> scanr (+) 0 [1..5]
[15, 14, 12, 9, 5, 0]
```

```
Prelude> foldl (+) 0 [1..5]
15
```

```
Prelude> scanl (+) 0 [1..5]
[0, 1, 3, 6, 10, 15]
```

The relationship between the scans and folds are:

```
1 last (scanl f z xs) = foldl f z xs
2 head (scanr f z xs) = foldr f z xs
```

7.4 Associativity

The fundamental way to think about evaluation in Haskell is as substituting a value.

When we use a *right fold* on a list with a function f , and a start value of z , we are replacing the **cons** constructors with our function f and the empty list constructor with the start value of z .

$[1..3] = 1 : 2 : 3 : []$

```
1 foldr f z [1, 2, 3] =
2
3 1 'f' (foldr f z [2, 3])
4 1 'f' (2 'f' (foldr f z [3]))
5 1 'f' (2 'f' (3 'f' (foldr f z [])))
6 =
7 1 'f' (2 'f' (3 'f' z))
```

7.5 Laziness

```
1 foldr f z (x:xs) = f x (foldr f z xs)
2 -- rest of the fold      ~~~~~
```

Folding happens in two stages — traversal and folding.

- Traversal is the stage in which the fold recurses over the spine
- Folding is the stage where the values in a list are evaluated/reduced using a function

Foldr is *lazy* which means that if f doesn't evaluate its second argument (rest of the fold), no more of the spine will be forced. One of the consequences of this is that foldr can avoid evaluating not just some or all of the values in the list, but some or all of the list's spine as well!

This means **foldr** works on infinite sized lists too.

6

⁶Sometimes the fold/recursive function will never reduce to a result. This is called bottoming or reaching the bottom (symbolized as \perp).

8 Weak head normal form

Values in Haskell are reduced to Weak head normal form (WHNF). Weak head normal form is a type of normal form which contains both the possibility the expression has been fully evaluated/reduced (normal form) as well as the possibility that the expression has been evaluated to the point of arriving at a data constructor/lambda waiting for an argument.

These expressions **are** in WHNF:

```
1 -- https://stackoverflow.com/questions/6872898/
2 (1, 1 + 1)           -- outermost part is the data constructor (,)
3 \x -> 2 + 2          -- outermost part is a lambda abstraction
4 'h' : ("e" + "llo")  -- outermost part is the data constructor (:)
```

These examples **are not** in WHNF

```
1 1 + 2                -- the outermost part here is an application of (+)
2 (\x -> x + 1) 2      -- the outermost part is an application of (\x -> x +
    1)
3 "he" ++ "llo"        -- the outermost part is an application of (++)
```

We can use `:sprint` in GHCi to print out the representation of the value in memory. Due to laziness, polymorphic types are unevaluated (thunked) until we use them. This is marked with an underscore (`_`).

```
Prelude> let nums = [1..5]
```

```
Prelude> :sprint nums
nums = _
```

```
Prelude> take 2 nums
Prelude> :sprint nums
nums = 1 : 2 : _
```

7

⁷The examples on WHNF were taken directly from the amazing Haskell Book