

ICLR Paper

Abstract

Introduction

Program Representation with Abstract Syntax Translation

Variational Autoencoder

Limitations of VAE:

1. It is non-trivial to implement hard constraints with a VAE using RNNs or LSTMs.
2. VAEs learn an approximation of the posterior distribution $P(Z|X)$, which is $Q(Z|X)$. Because of this, reconstructed data x' is not identical to the original data x .

Metropolis-Hastings (MH) Algorithm

The Case for MH:

1. MCMC can guarantee to satisfy hard constraints by adding indicator functions to the stationary distribution. This was proposed in the paper "Constrained Sentence Generation by MH Sampling" [Miao et al].
2. By setting the stationary distribution of the Markov Chain to $P(Z|X)$, we can guarantee that after convergence we obtain $P(Z|X)$, we can guarantee that after convergence we obtain $P(Z|X)$ and hence can sample from the true posterior distribution. This idea was first introduced in the paper "Markov Chain Monte Carlo & Variational Inference: Bridging the Gap" [Salinas et al].

Technical Approach

Data (V, E, RT, FP) for AST G(V, E)

Let A be the set of all APIs, RT be the set of all return types, and FP be the set of formal parameters in the vocabulary.

Let S be the set of control structures, $S = \{DSubTree, DBranch, DLoop, DExcept, DStop\}$

Let AST x be represented by directed graph $G(V, E)$.

$$V = A \cup S$$
$$E = \{sibling, child\}$$

VAE

Encoder: $Q(Z|X, RT, FP)$

Decoder: $P(X|Z, RT, FP)$

Metropolis-Hastings

Required Inputs:

C = list of apis or control structures that must appear in the program in order for it to be valid.

$C_i \in A$ or $C_i \in S$ and $|C| = n$

rt = return type, $|rt| = 1$, $rt \in RT$

fp = formal parameters, $fp \in FP$

Optional Inputs:

e = list of apis or control structures $S \setminus \{DSubTree\}$ that cannot appear in the program to be considered valid.

$e_i \in V \setminus \{DSubTree\}$ and $e_i \neq e_j \forall i, j$

min_L = minimum length of program to be valid

max_L = maximum length of the program to be valid, $|c| < max_L$

Initialization:

x_0 is the initial program

$x_0[0] = DSubTree$

$x_0[1] \dots x_0[n] \in C$

$Z_0 \sim Q(Z | x_0, rt, fp)$

If $min_L > |C|$ then nodes are added to the tree using the *insertion* proposal till $min_L = |C|$.

Problem Statement

We do Component-wise Metropolis-Hastings to sample from the multivariate posterior distribution. In Component-wise Metropolis-Hastings, we loop over each dimension and sample each dimension independently from the others. These are called component-wise updates. Each proposal distribution is univariate, working in only one dimension. The proposal for the i -th dimension is either accepted or rejected, while all other dimensions are held fixed. Here, we describe proposals for both the program space dimension and latent space dimension.

Proposals for Program Space

Let g be the proposal distribution.

x be the AST at time t where $|x| = n$ and
 $x = w_1, \dots, w_n, w_i \in V$

Insertion

Insert node w_c at position m where $1 < m \leq n$

$$g_{\text{insert}}(x'|x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x' \neq w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w_c, w_m, \dots, w_n \\ \text{softmax}(P(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w^*, w_m, \dots, w_n | Z_t, z_t)) & \text{if } x' = w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w_c, w_m, \dots, w_n \\ & \text{for } \forall w^* \in V \end{cases}$$

If w_c is DBranch, DLoop or DExcept:

use insert proposal to add required nodes till a DStop node is added.

Say nodes w_a and w_b are added after w_c such that $x''' = w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w_c, w_a, w_b, w_m, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n$

$$g_{\text{insert}}(x'''|x) = g_{\text{insert}}(x'''|x'') \cdot g_{\text{insert}}(x''|x') \cdot g_{\text{insert}}(x'|x)$$

where $x'' = w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w_c, w_a, w_m, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n$

Replacement

We wish to replace w_m with a new node w^* at position m where $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$g_{\text{replace}}(x'|x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = [w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w^*, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n] \\ \text{softmax}(P(w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w^*, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n | Z_t, I_P) \forall w^* \in V) & \text{if } \\ x = [w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w^*, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n] \end{cases}$$

Swap

Let $w_s, w_t \in x$ where $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $s \neq t$.

Let $x = [w_1, \dots, w_t, w_s, \dots, w_n]$

$$g_{\text{swap}}(x'|x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x' = [w_1, \dots, w_s, w_t, \dots, w_n] \\ 0 & \text{if } x' \neq [w_1, \dots, w_s, w_t, \dots, w_n] \end{cases}$$

Constraint Growth

Insert node after w_m

$$g_{\text{insert after constraint}}(x^{\bullet}|x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x' = w_1, \dots, w_m, w_{c_0}, \dots, w_n \\ & \text{and } w_m \notin C \\ \text{softmax}(P(w_1, \dots, w_m, w_{c_0}, \dots, w_n | \\ & Z_t, r_t, f_p) \forall w_{c_0} \in V, \\ & \text{if } x' = w_1, \dots, w_m, w_{c_0}, \dots, w_n \text{ and } \\ & w_m \in C \end{cases}$$

Randomly choose how many nodes to add after w_{c_0} , k . This gives new AST x^k .

$$g_{\text{constraint-growth}}(x^k|x) =$$

$$g_{\text{insert after constraint}}(x^0|x) \cdot g_{\text{insert}}(x^1|x^0) \cdot \dots \cdot g_{\text{insert}}(x^k|x^{k-1})$$

where

$$x^i = w_1, \dots, w_m, w_{c_0}, \dots, w_{c_i}, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq k$$

Deletion

Delete node w_m from x where $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$g_{\text{delete}}(x'|x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x' = [w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n] \\ 0 & \text{if } x' \neq [w_1, \dots, w_{m-1}, w_{m+1}, \dots, w_n] \end{cases}$$

(Copied from GMM paper)

Deleting multiple nodes (only to reverse insertion of control structures or constraint growth proposal):

Say the original AST is $x = w_1, \dots, w_n$ and nodes w_a and w_b were added such that

$$x'' = w_1, \dots, w_a, \dots, w_n$$

$$x' = w_1, \dots, w_a, w_b, \dots, w_n$$

Then,

$$g_{\text{delete}}(x'|x) = g_{\text{delete}}(x'|x'') \cdot g_{\text{delete}}(x''|x)$$

Proposals for Latent Space

Independent Proposal

The proposal for the latent state does not depend on the current latent state.

At time $t+1$, x_{t+1} is already computed.

The latent space is given by

$$\mu_{t+1}, \sigma_{t+1} \leftarrow Q(z_{t+1} | x_{t+1}, x_t, \phi)$$

$$z_{t+1} \sim Z_{t+1} = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{t+1}, \sigma_{t+1})$$

$$g(z_{t+1} | z_t) = g(z_{t+1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{t+1}, \sigma_{t+1})$$

Then, the acceptance rate is

$$A^*(z_{t+1} | z_t) = \frac{P_{\text{independent proposal}} \cdot g(z_t | z_{t+1}) \cdot \pi(x_{t+1}, z_t)}{P_{\text{independent proposal}} \cdot g(z_{t+1} | z_t) \cdot \pi(x_{t+1}, z_{t+1})}$$

$$= \frac{f_{z_t}(z_t) \cdot \pi(x_{t+1}, z_{t+1})}{f_{z_{t+1}}(z_{t+1}) \cdot \pi(x_{t+1}, z_t)}$$

$$\pi(x_{t+1}, z_{t+1}) = \pi(x_{t+1} | z_{t+1}) \cdot \pi(z_{t+1} | x_{t+1})$$

$$\frac{f_{z_t}(z_t) \cdot \pi(x_{t+1} | z_{t+1}) \cdot \pi(z_{t+1} | x_{t+1})}{f_{z_{t+1}}(z_{t+1}) \cdot P(x_{t+1} | z_t) \cdot f_{z_t}(z_t)}$$

$$= \frac{f_{z_t}(z_t) \cdot P(x_{t+1}) \cdot Q(z_{t+1} | x_{t+1})}{f_{z_{t+1}}(z_{t+1}) \cdot P(x_{t+1}) \cdot Q(z_t | x_{t+1})}$$

Stationary Distribution

$$\pi(x, Z) \propto P(x, Z | rt, fp) \cdot \overline{X}(x) \cdot \overline{E}(x) \cdot \overline{L}(x) \cdot V(x)$$

where,

$P(x, Z | rt, fp)$ is the joint distribution given by the VA

$$\overline{X}(x) = x_{c_0}^0 \cdot x_{c_1}^1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_{c_{n-1}}^{n-1}$$

$x_{c_i}^i$ is an indicator function where

$$x_{c_i}^i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_i \in x \\ 0 & \text{if } c_i \notin x \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{E}(x) = E_{e_1}^0 \cdot E_{e_1}^1 \cdot \dots \cdot E_{e_{m-1}}^{m-1}$$

$E_{e_i}^i$ is an indicator function where

$$E_{e_i}^i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_i \notin x \\ 0 & \text{if } e_i \in x \end{cases}$$

$$\overline{L}(x) = L_{\min} \cdot L_{\max}$$

where L_{\min} and L_{\max} are indicator functions

$$L_{\min}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \geq \min \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| < \min \end{cases}$$

$$L_{\max}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq \max \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > \max \end{cases}$$

V is an indicator function where

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a valid AST} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is not a valid AST} \end{cases}$$

Acceptance Rate

At time $t+1$, x' is the AST candidate

$$A_{\text{insert}}^*(x'|x_t) = \frac{P_{\text{delete}} \cdot g_{\text{delete}}(x_t|x') \cdot \pi(x', Z_t)}{P_{\text{insert}} \cdot g_{\text{insert}}(x'|x_t) \cdot \pi(x_t, Z_t)}$$

$$A_{\text{delete}}^*(x'|x_t) = \frac{P_{\text{insert}} \cdot g_{\text{insert}}(x_t|x') \cdot \pi(x', Z_t)}{P_{\text{delete}} \cdot g_{\text{delete}}(x'|x_t) \cdot \pi(x_t, Z_t)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{swap}}^*(x'|x_t) &= \frac{P_{\text{swap}} \cdot g_{\text{swap}}(x_t|x') \cdot \pi(x', Z_t)}{P_{\text{swap}} \cdot g_{\text{swap}}(x'|x_t) \cdot \pi(x_t, Z_t)} \\ &= \frac{\pi(x', Z_t)}{\pi(x_t, Z_t)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{replace}}^*(x'|x_t) &= \frac{P_{\text{replace}} \cdot g_{\text{replace}}(x_t|x') \cdot \pi(x', Z_t)}{P_{\text{replace}} \cdot g_{\text{replace}}(x'|x_t) \cdot \pi(x_t, Z_t)} \\ &\approx \frac{\pi(w_m | x_{1:n}, Z, x_t, p) \cdot \pi(x', Z_t)}{\pi(w'_m | x'_{1:n}, Z, x_t, p) \cdot \pi(x_t, Z_t)} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$A_{\text{constraint growth}}^*(x'|x_t) = \frac{P_{\text{delete}} \cdot g_{\text{delete}}(x_t|x') \cdot \pi(x', Z_t)}{P_{\text{constraint growth}} \cdot g_{\text{constraint growth}}(x'|x_t) \cdot \pi(x_t, Z_t)}$$

Experiments