Bonus Question: How Does Incentive Pay Affect Wage Rigidity?

Meghana Gaur Princeton John Grigsby Princeton Jonathon Hazell LSE Abdoulaye Ndiaye NYU Stern

December 9th, 2022 Bank of Portugal

- ► Wage rigidity is central to macro and labor (Keynes 1936)
 - ► Wage rigidity = sluggishly adjusting or fixed wages
 - ► Affects unemployment dynamics (Hall 2005, Gertler & Trigari 2009)
 - ► Affects inflation dynamics (Christiano et al 2005, 2016)

- ► Wage rigidity is central to macro and labor (Keynes 1936)
 - Wage rigidity = sluggishly adjusting or fixed wages
 - ► Affects unemployment dynamics (Hall 2005, Gertler & Trigari 2009)
 - ► Affects inflation dynamics (Christiano et al 2005, 2016)
- Recent evidence: estimates of wage rigidity depend on measure (Grigsby, Hurst & Yildirmaz 2021)
 - Base wages are rigid in nominal and real terms (2.5% of workers receive annual nominal base cut)
 - ... But bonuses are flexible (17.5% of workers receive annual base + bonus cut)

- ► Wage rigidity is central to macro and labor (Keynes 1936)
 - Wage rigidity = sluggishly adjusting or fixed wages
 - Affects unemployment dynamics (Hall 2005, Gertler & Trigari 2009)
 - ► Affects inflation dynamics (Christiano et al 2005, 2016)
- Recent evidence: estimates of wage rigidity depend on measure (Grigsby, Hurst & Yildirmaz 2021)
 - ▶ Base wages are rigid in nominal and real terms (2.5% of workers receive annual nominal base cut)
 - ... But bonuses are flexible (17.5% of workers receive annual base + bonus cut)
- ▶ What is the relevant notion of wage rigidity when there is flexible bonus pay?

- ► Wage rigidity is central to macro and labor (Keynes 1936)
 - Wage rigidity = sluggishly adjusting or fixed wages
 - Affects unemployment dynamics (Hall 2005, Gertler & Trigari 2009)
 - ► Affects inflation dynamics (Christiano et al 2005, 2016)
- Recent evidence: estimates of wage rigidity depend on measure (Grigsby, Hurst & Yildirmaz 2021)
 - ▶ Base wages are rigid in nominal and real terms (2.5% of workers receive annual nominal base cut)
 - ... But bonuses are flexible (17.5% of workers receive annual base + bonus cut)
- ▶ What is the relevant notion of wage rigidity when there is flexible bonus pay?
- ► This paper: models bonuses as incentive pay
 - ▶ 30-50% of US workers get incentive pay (Lemieux, McLeod and Parent, 2009; Makridis & Gittelman 2021)
 - ► Including 25-30% of low wage workers
 - Incentive pay = piece-rates, bonuses, commissions, stock options or profit sharing
 - Longer term incentives (e.g. promotions) are also common

This paper: embeds dynamic model of incentive pay into standard macro models

- ▶ Incentive pay = contract with moral hazard (Holmstrom 1979; Sannikov 2008)
- ▶ Unemployment = standard labor search model (Mortensen & Pissarides 1994)

This paper: embeds dynamic model of incentive pay into standard macro models

- ▶ Incentive pay = contract with moral hazard (Holmstrom 1979; Sannikov 2008)
- ▶ Unemployment = standard labor search model (Mortensen & Pissarides 1994)
- ► Allows flexible incentive pay consistent with microdata + long term contracts (Barro 1977)
- ... But contracts are complex

This paper: embeds dynamic model of incentive pay into standard macro models

Unemployment dynamics: first order equivalent in two economies ("DICE Theorem")

- 1. Economy #1: labor search model with flexible incentive pay
- 2. Economy #2: labor search model with rigid wages as in Hall (2005)

This paper: embeds dynamic model of incentive pay into standard macro models

Unemployment dynamics: first order equivalent in two economies ("DICE Theorem")

- 1. Economy #1: labor search model with flexible incentive pay
- 2. Economy #2: labor search model with rigid wages as in Hall (2005)

Intuition: incentive effects matter

- 1. Direct productivity effect: negative shock to value added in Economies #1 and #2
- 2. Marginal cost effect: fall in wages in Economy #1 dampens fall in profits
- 3. Incentive effect: fall in effort in Economy #1 amplifies fall in profits

Optimal contract: incentives offset marginal cost changes

This paper: embeds dynamic model of incentive pay into standard macro models

Unemployment dynamics: first order equivalent in two economies ("DICE Theorem")

Intuition: incentive effects matter

Extension: introduce bargaining into incentive pay model

- ► Analytical result: bargaining wage flexibility allocative for unemployment dynamics
 - → But incentive wage flexibility irrelevant
- Calibration w/ micro moments: separately measure bargaining vs. incentive wage flexibility
- ▶ Numerical result: large unemployment fluctuations even if wages are flexible
 - → Similar unemployment dynamics to Hall (2005) rigid wage model
 - → XX%-XX% of wage flexibility in the data is due to incentives

This paper: embeds dynamic model of incentive pay into standard macro models

Unemployment dynamics: first order equivalent in two economies ("DICE Theorem")

Intuition: incentive effects matter

Extension: introduce bargaining into incentive pay model

Takeaways:

- ▶ Wage flexibility due to complex and flexible incentive pay is *irrelevant* for unemployment dynamics
- ▶ Unemployment dynamics behave as if a simple model with wage rigidity
- Empirical work should measure allocative wage flexibility due to bargaining not incentives

Outline

- 1. Static labor search model + static incentive contract
 - Intuition for DICE and role of incentive effects
- 2. DMP model + general dynamic incentive contract
 - Derive DICE theorem for wide class of contracts (e.g. unrestricted utility function, persistent shocks)
 - ▶ Due to **envelope and aggregation theorems** we develop
- 3. Wage Bargaining
 - Result: wage flexibility from bargaining is allocative, flexibility from incentives irrelevant
 - Calibrate model: disentangle wage flexibility from bargaining vs. incentives
- 4. Extension to inflation dynamics: DICE for Phillips Curve