inclusion_decision data dictionary
results from title/abstract screening, full-text screening, jury meetings, and inclusion confirmation on abstraction tool

Variable description	Variable title	Values
doi	doi	free-text
Who is title/abstract screener #1	rev1_ta	mf : Meghan Forrest
Who is title/abstract screener #2	rev2_ta	el : Emma Lieske
		et : Elena Tamayo-Cuartero
title/abstract screener #1 decision	rev1_ta_decision	-1 : exclude
		0 : unsure
		1 : include
title/abstract screener #2 decision	rev2_ta_decision	-1 : exclude
		0 : unsure
		1 : include
title/abstract decision	ta_decision	abs_exc : exclude
		abs_inc : include
		ft_scrn : conflict
Who is full-text screener #1	rev1_ft	mf : Meghan Forrest
Full-text screener #1 decision	rev1_ft_decision	-1 : exclude
		1 : include
Who is full-text screener #2	rev2_ft	ef : Elena Fischer
		el : Elena Lieske
		et : Elena Tamayo-Cuartero

inclusion_decision data dictionary

results from title/abstract screening, full-text screening, jury meetings, and inclusion confirmation on abstraction tool

Full-text screener #1 decision		-1 : exclude
		1 : include
Full-text exclusion reason screener #1	rev1_ft_exrsn	wrong publication type
		wrong study design
		wrong outcome
		no aEE
Full-text screener #2 decision	rev2_ft_decision	-1 : exclude
		0 : unsure
		1 : include
Full-text exclusion reason screener #2	rev2_ft_exrsn	wrong publication type
		wrong study design
		wrong outcome
		no aEE
full-text decision	ft_decision	conflict
		exclude
		include
Whether exclusion reasons aligned between screener #1 and screener #2	exc_rsn_agreement	discrepancy
		match
		title/abstract: excluded during title/abstract screening
If a conflict happened at ft_decision, the consensus decision the jury arrived at	jury_decision	exclude
		include

inclusion_decision data dictionary
results from title/abstract screening, full-text screening, jury meetings, and inclusion confirmation on abstraction tool

If an article was excluded at jury_decision, what the exclusion reason is	conflict_excrsn	"wrong publication type"
		"wrong study design"
		"wrong outcome"
		"no aEE"
If the article is included in abstraction	abs_decision	0 : ineligible for abstraction
		1 : eligible for abstraction
If two reviewers both selected that the article should be excluded at ft_decision, but there is a conflict between the reason for exclusion. Two reviewers met to discuss each article and select exclusion reason highest on hierarchy	exrsn_consensus	"wrong publication type"
		"wrong study design"
		"wrong outcome"
		"no aEE"
phase where exclusion was decided, or exclusion reason	screening_decision	include_ta
		exclude_ta
		include_ft
		include_jury
		wrong publication type
		wrong study design
		wrong outcome
		no aEE
If the article is included in abstraction	abs_decision	0 : ineligible for abstraction
		1 : eligible for abstraction
Confirmation during abstraction that the article remains eligible for inclusion	eligiblity	Yes

inclusion_decision data dictionary
results from title/abstract screening, full-text screening, jury meetings, and inclusion confirmation on abstraction tool

		No
reason for exclusion during abstraction	exclusion_reason	wrong publication type
		wrong study design
		wrong outcome
		no aEE