Classification with Separating Hyperplanes



Linear separation

Structural Risk Minimization

Support Vector Machines

Kernels

Non-separable Classes

Linear separation

Structural Risk Minimization

Support Vector Machines

Kernels

Non-separable Classes



Neuron caricature, “artificial neuron”



- Weighted input signals
- Summing
- Thresholded output

What can a single ”artificial neuron” compute?



- $\vec{x}$ Input in vector format
- $\vec{w}$ Weights in vector format
- y Output

$$y = \text{sign}\left(\sum_i x_i w_i\right)$$

$$y = \text{sign}\left(\sum_i x_i w_i\right)$$

Geometrical interpretation



Variable threshold $\equiv$ Not anchored to origin
Common trick: treat the variable threshold as an extra weight

Training a linear separator

What does learning mean here?

Learning means finding the best weights w_i

Several efficient algorithms exist:

- Error correction
  Perceptron Learning
- Error/Loss minimization
  Delta Rule
  Logistic Regression

Perceptron Learning

- Incremental learning
- Weights only change when the output is wrong
- Update rule: $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta(t - y)x_i$
- Always converges if the problem is solvable

Delta Rule

- Minimize $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{D}} ||t_k - \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_k||^2$
- Incremental version: Stochastic Gradient Descent
  For each sample: $\vec{w} \leftarrow \vec{w} - \eta \, \mathrm{grad}_{\vec{w}} ||t - \vec{w}^T \vec{x}||^2$
- $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta(t - \vec{w}^T \vec{x}) x_i$

Linear Separation



Many acceptable solutions $\rightarrow$ bad generalization





- Structural Risk

Linear separation

Structural Risk Minimization

Support Vector Machines

Kernels

Non-separable Classes

Hyperplane with margins
Training data points are *at least* a distance *d* from the plane



Less arbitrariness $\rightarrow$ better generalization

- Wide margins restrict the possible hyperplanes to choose from
- Less risk to choose a bad hyperplane by accident
- Reduced risk for bad generalization

Minimization of the structural risk $\equiv$ maximization of the margin

Out of all hyperplanes which solve the problem
the one with widest margin will probably generalize best

Mathematical Formulation

- Separating Hyperplane

$$\vec{w}^T\vec{x} = 0$$

- Hyperplane with a margin

$$\vec{w}^T\vec{x} \geq 1 \qquad \text{when } t = 1$$
$$\vec{w}^T\vec{x} \leq -1 \qquad \text{when } t = -1$$

- Combined

$$t\vec{w}^T\vec{x} \geq 1$$

How wide is the margin?

1. Select two points, $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$, on the two margins:

$$\vec{w}^T\vec{p} = 1 \qquad \vec{w}^T\vec{q} = -1$$

2. Distance between $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{q}$ *along* $\vec{w}$:

$$2d = \frac{\vec{w}^T}{||\vec{w}||}(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$$

3. Simplify:

$$2d = \frac{\vec{w}^T\vec{p} - \vec{w}^T\vec{q}}{||\vec{w}||} = \frac{1 - (-1)}{||\vec{w}||} = \frac{2}{||\vec{w}||}$$

Maximal margin corresponds to minimal length of the weight vector

Best Separating Hyperplane

Minimize

$$\vec{w}^T \vec{w}$$

Constraints

$$t_i \vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i \geq 1 \qquad \forall i$$

Linear separation

Structural Risk Minimization

Support Vector Machines

Kernels

Non-separable Classes

Observation

Almost everything becomes linearly separable
when represented in high-dimensional spaces

”Ordinary” low-dimensional data can be ”scattered” into a high-dimensional space.

Two problems emerge

1. Many free parameters $\rightarrow$ bad generalization
2. Extensive computations

Support Vector Machines

1. Transform the input to a suitable high-dimensional space
2. Choose the unique separating hyperplane that has maximal margins
3. Classify new data using this hyperplane





Support Vector Machines

- Advantages
  - Very good generalization
  - Works well even with few training samples
  - Fast classification
- Disadvantages
  - Non-local weight calculation
  - Hard to implement efficiently

Linear separation

Structural Risk Minimization

Support Vector Machines

Kernels

Non-separable Classes

Kernels: Only *pretend* that we transform the input data into a high-dimensional feature space!



Idea behind Kernels

Utilize the advantages of a high-dimensional space
without actually representing anything high-dimensional

- Condition: The only operation done in the high-dimensional space is to compute *scalar products* between pairs of items
- Trick: The high-dimensional scalar product is computed using the original (low-dimensional) representation

Example

Points in 2D

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Transformation to 4D

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^3 \\ \sqrt{3}x_1^2 x_2 \\ \sqrt{3}x_1 x_2^2 \\ x_2^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\vec{x})^T \cdot \phi(\vec{y}) &= x_1^3 y_1^3 + 3x_1^2 y_1^2 x_2 y_2 + 3x_1 y_1 x_2^2 y_2^2 + x_2^3 y_2^3 \\ &= (x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2)^3 \\ &= (\vec{x}^T \cdot \vec{y})^3 \\ &= \mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \end{aligned}$$

Common Kernels

Polynomials

$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = (\vec{x}^T \vec{y} + 1)^p$$

Radial Bases

$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2\rho^2}||\vec{x}-\vec{y}||^2}$$

Structural Risk Minimization

Minimize

$$\vec{w}^T\vec{w}$$

Constraints

$$t_i\vec{w}^T\vec{x}_i \geq 1 \qquad \forall i$$

- Non-linear transformation ϕ of input $\vec{x}$

New formulation

Minimize

$$\frac{1}{2}\vec{w}^T\vec{w}$$

Constraints

$$t_i\vec{w}^T\phi(\vec{x}_i) \geq 1 \qquad \forall i$$

Structural Risk Minimization

Minimize

$$\frac{1}{2}\vec{w}^T\vec{w}$$

Constraints

$$t_i\vec{w}^T\phi(\vec{x}_i) \geq 1 \qquad \forall i$$

Lagranges Multiplier Method

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\vec{w}^T\vec{w} - \sum_i \alpha_i \left[t_i\vec{w}^T\phi(\vec{x}_i) - 1 \right]$$

Minimize w.r.t. $\vec{w}$, maximize w.r.t. $\alpha_i \geq 0$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \vec{w}} = 0$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\vec{w}^T\vec{w} - \sum_i \alpha_i \left[t_i \vec{w}^T \phi(\vec{x}_i) - 1 \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \vec{w}} = 0 \implies \vec{w} - \sum_i \alpha_i t_i \phi(\vec{x}_i) = 0$$

$$\vec{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i t_i \phi(\vec{x}_i)$$

Use

$$\vec{w} = \sum_i \alpha_i t_i \phi(\vec{x}_i)$$

to eliminate $\vec{w}$

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\vec{w}^T\vec{w} - \sum_i \alpha_i \left[t_i \vec{w}^T \phi(\vec{x}_i) - 1 \right]$$

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j \phi(\vec{x}_i)^T \phi(\vec{x}_j) - \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j \phi(\vec{x}_i)^T \phi(\vec{x}_j) + \sum_i \alpha_i$$

$$L = \sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j \phi(\vec{x}_i)^T \phi(\vec{x}_j)$$

The Dual Problem

Maximize

$$\sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j \phi(\vec{x}_i)^T \phi(\vec{x}_j)$$

Under the constraints

$$\alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i$$

- $\vec{w}$ has disappeared
- $\phi(\vec{x})$ only appear in scalar product pairs



1. Choose a suitable kernel function
2. Compute α_i (solve the maximization problem)
3. $\vec{x}_i$ corresponding to $\alpha_i \neq 0$ are called support vectors
4. Classify new data points via

$$\sum_i \alpha_i t_i \mathcal{K}(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_i) > 0$$

None-Separable Training Samples

Allow for Slack



Re-formulation of the minimization problem

Minimize

$$\frac{1}{2}\vec{w}^T\vec{w}+C\sum_i \xi_i$$

Constraints

$$t_i\vec{w}^T\phi(\vec{x}_i) \geq 1-\xi_i$$

ξ_i are called *slack variables*

Dual Formulation with Slack

Maximize

$$\sum_i \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j t_i t_j \phi(\vec{x}_i)^T \phi(\vec{x}_j)$$

With constraints

$$0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C \quad \forall i$$

Otherwise, everything remains as before