

Asymptotic variance of the weighted pseudo-likelihood estimator in the presence of nuisance parameters

1 Unstabilized weights

Let

$$q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_a \mathbf{1}_{\{z_i=z^{(a)}\}} \frac{l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia})}{p(z^{(a)} \mid x_i, \gamma)}$$

be the weighted pseudo-log-likelihood for marginal structural model parameters θ and

$$m(\gamma; x, z) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(z_i \mid x_i, \gamma)$$

the log-likelihood for treatment assignment model parameters γ . Let the corresponding maximum likelihood estimates be $\hat{\gamma} \equiv \arg \max_{\gamma} m(\gamma; x, z)$ and $\hat{\theta} \equiv \arg \max_{\theta} q(\theta; x, y, z, \hat{\gamma})$. In addition, let

$$\begin{aligned} U^{\theta}(\theta; \gamma) &\equiv \partial q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma) / \partial \theta, \\ I^{\theta\theta}(\theta; \gamma) &\equiv \partial^2 q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma) / \partial \theta^2, \\ I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta; \gamma) &\equiv \partial^2 q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma) / \partial \theta \partial \gamma, \\ U^{\gamma}(\gamma) &\equiv \partial m(\gamma; x, z) / \partial \gamma, \\ I^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma) &\equiv \partial^2 m(\gamma; x, z) / \partial \gamma^2. \end{aligned}$$

We are interested in the asymptotic variance of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$. Following Robins et al. (1992, p. 494), a first order Taylor expansion around the true parameter values (θ_0, γ_0) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{n} U^{\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) \approx \frac{1}{n} U^{\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + \frac{1}{n} I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + \frac{1}{n} I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{n} U^{\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)](\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)](\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \approx E[-I_i^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]^{-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} U^{\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] \sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) \right].$$

Here, by another Taylor expansion around γ_0 ,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) \approx E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} U^\gamma(\gamma_0),$$

which substituted back to the previous expression gives

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \approx E[-I_i^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]^{-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0) \right\} \right].$$

By the central limit theorem it then follows that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, E[-I_i^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]^{-1} V[B_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0)] E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]^{-1}),$$

where

$$B_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0) \equiv U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0).$$

Since $E[B_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0)] = 0$, the variance $V[B_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0)]$ can be further written as

$$\begin{aligned} V[B_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0)] &\equiv V[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)] \\ &= E[\{U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)\} \\ &\quad \times \{U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)\}'] \\ &= E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\ &\quad + E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1'} E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\ &\quad + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0) U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\ &\quad + E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0) U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1'} E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'], \end{aligned}$$

where the final term

$$\begin{aligned} &E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0) U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1'} E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\ &= E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'], \end{aligned}$$

since $m(\gamma; x, z)$ is a log-likelihood and $E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0) U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] = E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]$. In

addition, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[U_i^\theta(\theta; \gamma)U_i^\gamma(\gamma)'] \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{y}_i, x_i} \sum_{z_i} \sum_a \mathbf{1}_{\{z_i=z^{(a)}\}} \frac{l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia})}{p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)} \frac{\partial \log p(z_i | x_i, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma} p(z_i | x_i) p(d\mathbf{y}_i, dx_i) \\
&= \sum_a \int_{\mathbf{y}_{ia}, x_i} \frac{l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia})}{p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)} \frac{\partial \log p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)}{\partial \gamma} p(z^{(a)} | x_i) p(d\mathbf{y}_{ia}, dx_i) \\
&= \sum_a \int_{\mathbf{y}_{ia}, x_i} l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia}) \frac{\partial p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma) / \partial \gamma}{p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)} p(d\mathbf{y}_{ia}, dx_i) \\
&= \sum_a E_{\mathbf{y}_{ia}, x_i} \left[l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia}) \frac{\partial p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma) / \partial \gamma}{p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta; \gamma)] \\
&= \sum_a \int_{\mathbf{y}_{ia}, x_i} \frac{\partial \frac{l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia})}{p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)}}{\partial \gamma} p(z^{(a)} | x_i) p(d\mathbf{y}_{ia}, dx_i) \\
&= \sum_a \int_{\mathbf{y}_{ia}, x_i} -l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia}) \frac{\partial p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma) / \partial \gamma}{p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)^2} p(z^{(a)} | x_i) p(d\mathbf{y}_{ia}, dx_i) \\
&= E[-U_i^\theta(\theta; \gamma)U_i^\gamma(\gamma)].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, finally, the variance becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
V[B_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0)] &= E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\
&\quad - E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1'} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\
&\quad - E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\
&\quad + E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\
&= E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] \\
&\quad - E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'].
\end{aligned}$$

The term $nV[B_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0)]$ can be approximated with

$$C(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\gamma}) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})' - \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma})' \right] [-I^{\gamma\gamma}(\hat{\gamma})]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma}) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})' \right],$$

giving the variance estimator

$$V[\hat{\theta}] \approx I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1} C(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\gamma}) I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1'}. \quad (1)$$

It should be noted that when the true nuisance parameter values γ_0 are known, one can take the Fisher information on γ to be $E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)] = \infty$, in which case the asymptotic variance reduces to

$$E[-I_i^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]^{-1} E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)'] E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]^{-1} \quad (2)$$

and the variance estimator to

$$V[\hat{\theta}] \approx I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})' \right] I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1'}, \quad (3)$$

which is the usual robust/sandwich variance expression.

2 Stabilized weights

With stabilized weights the estimating function is of the form

$$q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma, \alpha) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_a \mathbf{1}_{\{z_i = z^{(a)}\}} \frac{p(z^{(a)} \mid \alpha)}{p(z^{(a)} \mid x_i, \gamma)} l(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia}),$$

where α is an additional vector of nuisance parameters needed to be estimated. Analogously to previous section, let

$$s(\alpha; z) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(z_i \mid \alpha),$$

$\hat{\alpha} \equiv \arg \max_{\alpha} s(\alpha; z)$, $\hat{\theta} \equiv \arg \max_{\theta} q(\theta; x, y, z, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} U^{\theta}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha) &\equiv \partial q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma, \alpha) / \partial \theta, \\ I^{\theta\theta}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha) &\equiv \partial^2 q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma, \alpha) / \partial \theta^2, \\ I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha) &\equiv \partial^2 q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma, \alpha) / \partial \theta \partial \gamma, \\ I^{\theta\alpha}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha) &\equiv \partial^2 q(\theta; x, y, z, \gamma, \alpha) / \partial \theta \partial \alpha, \\ U^{\gamma}(\gamma) &\equiv \partial m(\gamma; x, z) / \partial \gamma, \\ I^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma) &\equiv \partial^2 m(\gamma; x, z) / \partial \gamma^2, \\ U^{\alpha}(\alpha) &\equiv \partial s(\alpha; z) / \partial \alpha, \\ I^{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha) &\equiv \partial^2 s(\alpha; z) / \partial \alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotic variance is now obtained from first order Taylor expansions around the true parameter values $(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0)$ and around γ_0 and α_0 separately, which give

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \approx E[-I_i^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} &\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{U_i^{\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \right. \\ &+ E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1}U_i^{\gamma}(\gamma_0) \\ &\left. + E[I_i^{\theta\alpha}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]E[-I_i^{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha_0)]^{-1}U_i^{\alpha}(\alpha_0) \} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic distribution is now

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, E[-I_i^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1}V[A_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)']^{-1}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0) &\equiv U_i^{\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \\ &+ E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1}U_i^{\gamma}(\gamma_0) \\ &+ E[I_i^{\theta\alpha}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]E[-I_i^{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha_0)]^{-1}U_i^{\alpha}(\alpha_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $E[A_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0)] = 0$, the variance of this term becomes $V[A_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0)] = E[A_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0)A_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0)']$. For simplifying this expression, we note as before that $E[I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)] = E[-U_i^{\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)U_i^{\gamma}(\gamma_0)]$, $E[U_i^{\gamma}(\gamma_0)U_i^{\gamma}(\gamma_0)'] =$

$E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]$ and $E[U_i^\alpha(\alpha_0)U_i^\alpha(\alpha_0)'] = E[-I_i^{\alpha\alpha}(\alpha_0)]$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[I_i^{\theta\alpha}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha)] \\ &= \sum_a \int_{\mathbf{y}_{ia}, x_i} \frac{\partial p(z^{(a)} | \alpha) / \partial \alpha}{p(z^{(a)} | x_i, \gamma)} l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia}) p(z^{(a)} | x_i) p(d\mathbf{y}_{ia}, dx_i) \\ &= \sum_a \frac{\partial p(z^{(a)} | \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} E[l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia})]. \end{aligned}$$

When the marginal structural model is correctly specified, $E[l'(\theta; \mathbf{y}_{ia})] = 0$, and we have $E[I_i^{\theta\alpha}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha)] = 0$. Hence, the variance simplifies into

$$\begin{aligned} V[A_i(\theta_0, \gamma_0, \alpha_0)] &= E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)'] \\ &\quad - E[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)'] E[-I_i^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1'} E[U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0)U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)'], \end{aligned}$$

which is of the same form as in the previous section. Thus, variance estimator of the form (1) is applicable also with stabilized weights, with $U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})$ substituted for $U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})$ and $I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})$ for $I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})$.

3 Connection to the jackknife variance estimator

For simplicity, consider first the situation where the true nuisance parameter values (γ_0, α_0) are known. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= U^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \approx U^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) + I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{\theta} - \theta_0 \approx -I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)^{-1} U^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \\ &\approx E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} U^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= U_{-i}^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \approx U_{-i}^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) + I_{-i}^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)(\hat{\theta}_{-i} - \theta_0) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{\theta}_{-i} - \theta_0 \approx -I_{-i}^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)^{-1} U_{-i}^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \\ &\approx E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} U_{-i}^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0), \end{aligned}$$

where U_{-i}^θ , $I_{-i}^{\theta\theta}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{-i}$ are the pseudo-score function, pseudo-information and pseudo-maximum likelihood estimator when observation i is removed from

the data. Combining the two approximations we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{-i} &\approx E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \\ &\approx -I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)^{-1} U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0),\end{aligned}$$

which is an approximation of the influence function on the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ when observation i is removed. The robust/sandwich variance estimator obtained earlier can then be represented in terms of the approximate influences as

$$\begin{aligned}V[\hat{\theta}] &\approx I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)' \right] I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)^{-1'} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{-i})(\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{-i})'.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the robust/sandwich variance estimator is equivalent to the jackknife variance estimator calculated from the large-sample approximations of the influences. This suggests that the small sample performance of the asymptotic variances could be investigated by checking the large-sample influences against the empirical influences when the observations are removed one at a time.

When the nuisance parameters need to be estimated, the same relationship between the asymptotic variance and the jackknife variance estimator holds. We obtain similarly as before

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= U^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha}) \approx U^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) + I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \\ &\quad + I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma_0) + I^{\theta\alpha}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha_0) \\ &\approx U^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) + I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \\ &\quad - I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) I^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)^{-1} U^\gamma(\gamma_0) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{\theta} - \theta_0 \approx E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} U^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \\ &\quad + E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} E[I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)] E[-I^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U^\gamma(\gamma_0)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\theta}_{-i} - \theta_0 &\approx E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} U_{-i}^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \\ &\quad + E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} E[I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)] E[-I^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U_{-i}^\gamma(\gamma_0),\end{aligned}$$

and further,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{-i} &\approx E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0) \\
&\quad + E[-I^{\theta\theta}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)]^{-1} E[I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta_0; \gamma_0, \alpha_0)] E[-I^{\gamma\gamma}(\gamma_0)]^{-1} U_i^\gamma(\gamma_0) \\
&\approx -I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})^{-1} U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha}) \\
&\quad - [-I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha}) U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma})' \right] [-I^{\gamma\gamma}(\hat{\gamma})]^{-1} U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma}),
\end{aligned}$$

since $E[I^{\theta\gamma}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha)] = E \left[\sum_{i=1}^n I_i^{\theta\gamma}(\theta; \gamma, \alpha) \right] = E \left[- \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\theta; \gamma, \alpha) U_i^\gamma(\gamma)' \right] = E[-U^\theta(\theta; \gamma, \alpha) U^\gamma(\gamma)']$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
V[\hat{\theta}] &\approx I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha}) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})' \right] I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})^{-1'} \\
&\quad - I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma})' \right] [-I^{\gamma\gamma}(\hat{\gamma})]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma}) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})' \right] I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\alpha})^{-1'} \\
&\approx \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{-i})(\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}_{-i})',
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^n U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma}) U_i^\gamma(\hat{\gamma})' \approx -I^{\gamma\gamma}(\hat{\gamma})$.

4 Adjustments to correct for undercoverage

Going back to expressions (2) and (3), rather than approximate $\text{cov}[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]$ directly with the empirical score covariance, following Fay and Graubard (2001), we get with approximations

$$U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) \approx U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$$

and

$$\hat{\theta} - \theta_0 \approx -I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1} U^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}
U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) &\approx U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) - I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1} [U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) + U_{-i}^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] \\
&= [I - I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1}] U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0) - I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1} U_{-i}^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})'] \\
& \approx [I - I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1}]\text{cov}[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)][I - I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1}]' \\
& \quad + I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \text{cov}[U_j^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)]I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1}I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}).
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $\text{cov}[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] \approx -cI_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})$ for all i this simplifies into

$$E[U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})'] \approx [I - I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1}]\text{cov}[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)],$$

showing why the bias arises in the sandwich estimator. The bias correction proposed by Fay and Graubard (2001) approximates the score covariance with

$$\text{cov}[U_i^\theta(\theta_0; \gamma_0)] \approx H_i U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})' H_i',$$

where H_i is a diagonal matrix with elements $[1 - \min\{b, (I_i^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1})_{jj}\}]^{-1/2}$. Here b is a constant chosen by the user. The bias-corrected sandwich estimator then becomes

$$V[\hat{\theta}] \approx I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n H_i U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma}) U_i^\theta(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})' H_i' \right] I^{\theta\theta}(\hat{\theta}; \hat{\gamma})^{-1'}. \quad (4)$$

References

- Fay, M. P. and Graubard, B. I. (2001). Small-sample adjustments for wald-type tests using sandwich estimators. *Biometrics*, 57:1198–1206.
- Robins, J. M., Mark, S. D., and Newey, W. K. (1992). Estimating exposure effects by modelling the expectation of exposure conditional on confounders. *Biometrics*, 48:479–495.