

Chapter 1

Compactness

Definition 1 (Cover and C -compactness). Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ is called a cover of A if $A \subseteq \bigvee \mathcal{A}$.

- $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ is called C -compact if every open cover of $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ has a finite subcover.
- \mathcal{A} is called an open cover of A , if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \delta$ and if \mathcal{A} is a cover of A .
- $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is called a subcover if \mathcal{B} is still a cover of A .

In particular, \mathcal{A} is a cover of $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ if \mathcal{A} is a cover of $\underline{1}$.

Definition 2 (α -cover and α -compactness). Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ is called an α -cover, if for every $x \in X \exists A \in \mathcal{A} \ni A(x) > \alpha$.

- ft is called an α -compact, if for every open α -cover of $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ has a finite sub- α -cover where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.

Definition 3 (Strong Compact). A fuzzy topological space $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ is called strongly compact if it is α -compact for every $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.

Definition 4 (α^* -cover and α^* -compactness). Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ is called an α^* -cover, if for every $x \in X$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that, $A(x) \geq \alpha$.

- For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ is called an α^* -compact, if for every open α^* -cover of $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ has a finite sub α^* -cover.

Example. Given $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\mathcal{A} = \{A, B, C\}$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\delta = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}, A, B, C\}$ where,

$$A : a \mapsto 0.2, b \mapsto 0.4, c \mapsto 0.6;$$

$$B : a \mapsto 0.4, b \mapsto 0.6, c \mapsto 0.8;$$

$$C : a \mapsto 0.6, b \mapsto 0.8, c \mapsto 0.9;$$

Check whether \mathcal{A} is α -compact or, α^* -compact corresponding to the given value of α .

Solution.

1. Let $\alpha = 0.7$
 $a \in X : \alpha = 0.7 > A(a), B(a), C(a)$.
Hence, for $\alpha = 0.7$, \mathcal{A} is not an α -cover.
2. Let $\alpha = 0.3$
 $a \in X : \alpha = 0.3 < C(a) = 0.6, B(a) = 0.4$
 $b \in X : \alpha = 0.3 < A(b) = 0.4, B(b) = 0.6, C(b) = 0.8$
 $c \in X : \alpha = 0.3 < A(c) = 0.6, B(c) = 0.8, C(c) = 0.9$
 $\therefore \mathcal{A}$ is an α -compact space for $a = 0.3$.
3. Let $\alpha = 0.6$
For, $a \in X : \alpha = 0.6 = C(a)$
For, $b \in X : \alpha = 0.6 = B(b), \alpha = 0.6 < C(b) = 0.8$
For, $c \in X : \alpha = 0.6 = A(c) = 0.6, \alpha = 0.6 < B(c) = 0.8, C(c) = 0.9$
 $\therefore \mathcal{A}$ is an α^* -compact space for $a = 0.6$.

Definition 5 (Q -cover). Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then a collection $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ is called a Q -cover of A if for every $x \in \text{Supp}(A)$, there exists $U \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x_{A(x)} \propto U$.

Definition 6 (Q -compact). A fuzzy set A is called Q -compact if every open Q -cover of A has a finite sub Q -cover. A fuzzy topological space $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ is called Q -compact if $\underline{1}$ is Q -compact.

Example. Consider, $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\delta = \{\underline{0}, \underline{1}, U, V, W\}$ where

$$U : a \mapsto 0.3, b \mapsto 0.5, c \mapsto 0.7;$$

$$V : a \mapsto 0.4, b \mapsto 0.6, c \mapsto 0.8;$$

$$W : a \mapsto 0.6, b \mapsto 0.8, c \mapsto 0.9;$$

Consider $\mathcal{A} = \{U, V\} \subseteq \delta$ and let, $A : a \mapsto 0.1, b \mapsto 0.2, c \mapsto 0.3$. Then, find the Q -cover of A .

Solution. Here, $\text{Supp}(A) = \{a, b, c\}$

For, $x = a$, $a_{A(a)} = a_{0.1} = 0.1$

For, $x = b$, $b_{A(b)} = b_{0.2} = 0.2$

For, $x = c$, $c_{A(c)} = c_{0.3} = 0.3$

For $x = a$, we have $U_a : 0.3 + 0.1 < 1$, $V_a = 0.4 + 0.1 < 1$. Hence \mathcal{A} is not a Q -cover of A .

If $A : a \mapsto 0.7, b \mapsto 0.6, c \mapsto 0.5$.

Then, For $x = a$, $a_{A(a)} = a_{0.7} = 0.7$

For, $x = b$, $b_{A(b)} = b_{0.6} = 0.6$

For, $x = c$, $c_{A(c)} = c_{0.5} = 0.5$

For, $x = a$, $0.3 + 0.7 \geq 1$, $0.4 + 0.7 > 1$

For, $x = b$, $0.5 + 0.6 > 1$, $0.6 + 0.6 > 1$

For, $x = c$, $0.7 + 0.5 > 1$, $0.8 + 0.5 > 1$

Hence, for every $x \in \text{Supp}(A)$, $x_{A(x)} \propto U$.

$\therefore \mathcal{A}$ is a Q -cover of A .

Definition 7 (α - Q -cover). Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then a collection $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ is called an α - Q -cover of A , if for every $x_a \subseteq A$, there exists $U \in \Phi$ such that $x_a \propto U$. It is denoted by $\vee \Phi \hat{Q} A(\alpha)$.

Definition 8 ($\bar{\alpha}$ - Q -cover). Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space and $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then a collection $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{F}(X)$ is called an $\bar{\alpha}$ - Q -cover of A , if there exists $\gamma \in B^*(\alpha)$ such that γ is a γ - Q -cover of A .

- $B(b) = \{a \in L : a \propto b\}$, where the binary relation \propto is defined as, for $a, b \in L$, $a \propto b \Leftrightarrow$ for every subset $D \subseteq L$, $b \leq \text{Sup } D$ implies the existence of $d \in D$ with $a \leq$
- $B^*(b) = B(b) \cap M(L)$, where, $M(L) = (0, 1]$.

Definition 9. Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space, $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. A is called N -compact if for every $\alpha \in (0, 1] - M([0, 1])$, every open α - Q -cover of A has a finite subfamily which is an $\bar{\alpha}$ - Q -cover of A . $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ is called N -compact, if $\underline{1}$ is compact.

Theorem 1.0.1. Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space, $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then A is N -compact iff the following conditions hold:

- For every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, every open α - Q -cover of A has a finite sub α - Q -cover.
- For every $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, every open α - Q -cover of A which consists of just one subset is an $\bar{\alpha}$ - Q -cover of A .

Proof. (a) Let, A be N -compact, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and φ is an open α - Q -cover of A . By the definition of N -compact, φ has a finite subfamily ψ such that, ψ is an $\bar{\alpha}$ - Q -cover of A . Hence, $\vee \psi \hat{Q} A(\alpha)$ i.e., ψ is an α - Q -cover of A .

- Suppose, $U \in \delta$ and $\varphi = \{U\}$ is an open α - Q -cover of A . Then, by the N -compactness of A , φ has a subfamily ψ such that ψ is an $\bar{\alpha}$ - Q -cover of A . But, clearly, $\varphi = \psi$. Hence, ψ is an open α - Q -cover of A .

Conversely, suppose (a) and (b) holds.

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and φ is an open $\alpha - Q$ -cover of A .

By (a), φ has a finite sub $\alpha - Q$ -cover ψ of A . Take $U = \vee \psi$. Then $\{U\}$ is an $\alpha - Q$ -cover of A .

By (b), $\{U\}$ is also an $\bar{\alpha} - Q$ -cover of A . By the definition of $\bar{\alpha} - Q$ -cover, there exists $\gamma \in B^*(\alpha)$ such that x_γ is a quasi-coincident with U for every $x_\gamma \subseteq A$. Hence, $\gamma + U(x) > 1 \Rightarrow \gamma > 1 - U(x)$

i.e., $\gamma \leq (U(x))' \Rightarrow \gamma \not\leq (U\psi(x))' = \wedge \{(W(x))' | W \in \psi\}$

i.e., $W \in Q_\gamma(x_\gamma)$. So, ψ is an $\bar{\alpha} - Q$ -cover of A . Hence, A is N -compact. \square

Theorem 1.0.2. Continuous image of an N -compact space is N -compact.

Proof. Let $f^\rightarrow : \langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{F}(Y), \mu \rangle$ be a continuous fuzzy mapping and A be a N -compact fuzzy set in $\mathcal{F}(X)$. For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, let \mathcal{A} be an open $\alpha - Q$ -cover of $f^\rightarrow(A)$. Then for every $x_\alpha \leq A$, $f^\rightarrow(x_\alpha) = f(x)_\alpha \leq f^\rightarrow(A)$, there exists $U \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x)_\alpha \propto U \Rightarrow f(x)_\alpha \not\propto U^c \Rightarrow \alpha \not\leq U^c(f(x)) \Rightarrow \alpha \not\leq f^\leftarrow(U^c)(x) = f^\leftarrow(U)^c(x)$. That is $x_\alpha \propto f^\leftarrow(U)$. Since, f^\rightarrow is continuous, $f^\leftarrow(U) \in \delta$ and hence $f^\leftarrow(U) \in Q(x_\alpha)$. Thus, $f^\leftarrow(A)$ is an open $\alpha - Q$ -cover of A .

Since A is N -compact, \mathcal{A} has a finite subfamily $\mathcal{A}_n = \{U_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ such that $f^\leftarrow(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is an $\bar{\alpha} - Q$ -cover of A .

Now, we show that, \mathcal{A}_n is an $\bar{\alpha} - Q$ -cover of $f^\rightarrow(A)$. Since, $f^\leftarrow(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is an open $\bar{\alpha} - Q$ -cover of A , there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ such that $f^\leftarrow(\mathcal{A}_n)$ is $\gamma - Q$ -cover of A . This implies, $\gamma \sqsubseteq a$ and hence $\exists \lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that $\gamma \sqsubseteq \lambda \sqsubseteq \alpha$. So, $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ and hence we have, $\lambda \leq f^\leftarrow(A)(y) = \vee \{A(x) : x \in X, f(x) = y\}$. Now, $\gamma \sqsubseteq \lambda$ implies, $\gamma \not\leq (f^\leftarrow(U_i))^c(x) = f^\leftarrow(U_i^c)(x) = U_i^x(f(x)) = U_i^c(y)$, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_\gamma \propto f^\leftarrow(U_i)$.

By $\gamma \sqsubseteq \lambda$ and hence $\gamma \leq \lambda$, we have $\lambda \not\leq U_i^c(y)$. Thus $y_\lambda \propto U_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. So, \mathcal{A}_n is an open $\lambda - Q$ -cover of $f^\rightarrow(A)$ and hence \mathcal{A}_n is an $\bar{\alpha} - Q$ -cover of $f^\rightarrow(A)$.

Therefore, $f^\rightarrow(A)$ is an N -compact. \square

Definition 10 (Net in X). Let X be a non-empty ordinary set and D be a directed set then every mapping $S : D \rightarrow X$ is called a net in X and D is called the index set of S .

Theorem 1.0.3. Let $\langle \mathcal{F}(X), \delta \rangle$ be a fuzzy topological space. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that A be a N -compact and B be closed. Then $A \wedge B$ is N -compact.

Proof. Let S be an α -net in $A \wedge B$. Then S is also an α -net in A . Since, A is N -compact, S has a cluster point x_α in A such that $ht(\alpha) = \alpha$. But, S is also a net in closed subset B , we have $x_\alpha \leq B$.

So, $x_\alpha \leq A \wedge B$, i.e., x_α is a cluster point of δ in $A \wedge B$ such that $ht(\alpha) = \alpha$. Hence, $A \wedge B$ is N -compact. \square