Midterm (100 pts)

Burak Ekici

Assigned: November the 10th, 10h30

Duration: 150 minutes

1 List Manipulations (35 pts)

Q1. Write below described functions in Haskell without employing Prelude library functions and the list comprehension.

a) (10 pts) rotateN :: Int -> [a] -> [a] takes an integer n and a list [a] of arguments, and right rotates the list n times.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
rotateN 2 [7,5,1,3,6] == [1,3,6,7,5]

rotateN 4 [7,5,1,3,6] == [6,7,5,1,3]

rotateN 5 [7,5,1,3,6] == [7,5,1,3,6]

rotateN 6 [7,5,1,3,6] == [5,1,3,6,7]
```

b) (10pts) deleteKey :: (Eq a) \Rightarrow a -> [(a,b)] -> [(a,b)] takes a key (of some Eq class instance type a) with a list of pairs l, and returns a list of pairs that does not contain (k,v) pairs such that key == k.

Expected behavior of the function:

c) (**15pts**) partition :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a],[a]) takes a predicate, p along with a list l, and returns a pair of lists (l1,l2) such that l1 contains members of l satisfying p while l2 contains those that do not satisfy p. Note that in both l1 and l2, elements are supposed to be in the same order with that of l.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
partition odd [] == ([],[])
partition odd [1..10] == ([1,3,5,7,9],[2,4,6,8,10])
partition even [1..10] == ([2,4,6,8,10],[1,3,5,7,9])
partition (> 5) [1..10] == ([6,7,8,9,10],[1,2,3,4,5])
```

2 User Defined Data Types (40 pts)

Q2. Within the context of a type BoolExp of propositional logical formulas, and a type BValuations of Boolean valuations, implement below stated functions in Haskell without employing Prelude functions and list comprehension.

data BoolExp where

```
Prop :: Char -> BoolExp
And :: BoolExp -> BoolExp -> BoolExp
Or :: BoolExp -> BoolExp -> BoolExp
Impl :: BoolExp -> BoolExp
Iff :: BoolExp -> BoolExp -> BoolExp
Not :: BoolExp -> BoolExp
```

type BValuation = [(Char, Bool)]



Notice.

The proposition And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q') denotes the logical "and" of propositional formulae p and q $(p \land q)$ while Impl (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q') represents the proposition p "implies" q, namely $p \implies q$. Similarly, Iff (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q') stands for the "double way implication" in between p and q, $p \iff q$.

In a valuation like [('p', True), ('q', False)], the proposition Prop 'p' takes the value of True while Prop 'q' is False.

a) (10 pts) printBoolExp :: BoolExp -> String represents formulae in the string form.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
printBoolExp (Prop 'p')
                                                                "n"
printBoolExp (And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))
                                                                "(p && q)"
printBoolExp (Or (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))
                                                                "(p || q)"
printBoolExp (Impl (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))
                                                                "(p -> q)"
                                                                "(p <-> q)"
printBoolExp (Iff (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))
                                                                "(~p)"
printBoolExp (Not (Prop 'p'))
printBoolExp (And (Prop 'r') (Iff (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))) == "(r && (p <-> q))"
```

b) (15 pts) propNames :: BoolExp -> [Char] extracts proposition names out of a given formula where no name duplications allowed. The appearance order also matters.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
propNames (Prop 'p')
                                                                       == ['p']
                                                                           ['q', 'p']
propNames (Or 'q' (And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')))
propNames (Or (Prop 'r') (And (Prop 'q') (Impl (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')))) == ['r', 'q', 'p']
```

c) (15 pts) beval :: BValuation -> BoolExp -> Bool evaluates the given formula under a valuation, and returns the Bool value.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
beval [('p', True), ('q', False)] (Or (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')) == True
beval [('p', True), ('q', False), ('r', True)]
(Impl (Or (Prop 'p') (Prop 'r')) (Prop 'q')) == False
beval [('p', True), ('q', False), ('r', True)]
(Iff (Not (Or (Prop 'r') (And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')))) (Prop 'r')) == False
```

A2. Find aforementioned functions implemented in the attached file A2.hs

3 Untyped λ -Calculus (25 pts)

Q3. β -reduce below untyped λ -terms, as far as possible, employing the normal (leftmost-outermost) reduction order. Clearly demonstrate every single reduction step.

```
a) (10 pts) ((\lambda f. \lambda x. fff x)f)((\lambda f. \lambda x. fff x)f)
```

b) (15 pts)
$$(\lambda u. \lambda w. \lambda f. \lambda x. w f(u f x))(\lambda f. \lambda x. f f f f f x)$$

A3. The evaluated application has been pointed out by a red colored centered dot '·' at every single step (if applies) stated below.

```
((\lambda f. \lambda x. fffx) \cdot f)((\lambda f. \lambda x. fffx)f) =_{\beta} (\lambda x. fffx) \cdot ((\lambda f. \lambda x. fffx)f)
                                                                                  =_{\beta} fff((\lambda f. \lambda x. fff x) \cdot f)
                                                                                  =_{\beta} fff(\lambda x.fffx)
b)
        (\lambda u. \lambda w. \lambda f. \lambda x. w f(u f x)) \cdot (\lambda f. \lambda x. f f f f x) (\lambda f. \lambda x. f f f f f f x)
                                                                                                                                    =_{\beta}
         (\lambda w. \lambda f. \lambda x. w f((\lambda f. \lambda x. ffff x) f x)) \cdot (\lambda f. \lambda x. fffff x)
                                                                                                                                     =_{\beta}
        (\lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda f. \lambda x. ffffffx) \cdot f((\lambda f. \lambda x. fffffx) fx))
                                                                                                                                     =_{\beta}
        (\lambda f. \lambda x. (\lambda x. ffffffx) \cdot ((\lambda f. \lambda x. fffffx) fx))
                                                                                                                                     =_{\beta}
         (\lambda f. \lambda x. (ffffff((\lambda f. \lambda x. ffffx) \cdot fx)))
                                                                                                                                     =_{\beta}
         (\lambda f. \lambda x. (ffffff((\lambda x. ffffx) \cdot x)))
                                                                                                                                     =_{\beta}
        (\lambda f. \lambda x. (ffffffffffx))
```