

Midterm (100 pts)

Burak Ekici

Assigned : November the 10th, 10h30
Duration : 150 minutes

Name-Surname:

1 List Manipulations (35 pts)

Q1. Write below described functions in Haskell without employing Prelude library functions and the list comprehension.

- a) **(10 pts)** `rotateN :: Int -> [a] -> [a]` takes an integer `n` and a list `[a]` of arguments, and right rotates the list `n` times.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
rotateN 2 [7,5,1,3,6] == [1,3,6,7,5]
rotateN 4 [7,5,1,3,6] == [6,7,5,1,3]
rotateN 5 [7,5,1,3,6] == [7,5,1,3,6]
rotateN 6 [7,5,1,3,6] == [5,1,3,6,7]
```

- b) **(10pts)** `deleteKey :: (Eq a) => a -> [(a,b)] -> [(a,b)]` takes a `key` (of some `Eq` class instance type `a`) with a list of pairs `l`, and returns a list of pairs that does not contain `(k,v)` pairs such that `key == k`.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
deleteKey "happy" [("happy",3),("sad",2)] == [("sad",2)]
deleteKey "S"    [("S",2010),("S",2006),("B",2002),("S",2014)] == [("B",2002)]
deleteKey 5      [(5,7),(5,8),(6,9),(7,12)] == [(6,9),(7,12)]
```

- c) (15pts) `partition :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> ([a],[a])` takes a predicate, `p` along with a list `l`, and returns a pair of lists (`l1`, `l2`) such that `l1` contains members of `l` satisfying `p` while `l2` contains those that do not satisfy `p`. Note that in both `l1` and `l2`, elements are supposed to be in the same order with that of `l`.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
partition odd []      == ([],[])
partition odd [1..10] == ([1,3,5,7,9],[2,4,6,8,10])
partition even [1..10] == ([2,4,6,8,10],[1,3,5,7,9])
partition (> 5) [1..10] == ([6,7,8,9,10],[1,2,3,4,5])
```

2 User Defined Data Types (40 pts)

Q2. Within the context of a type `BoolExp` of propositional logical formulas, and a type `BValuations` of Boolean valuations, implement below stated functions in Haskell without employing Prelude functions and list comprehension.

```
data BoolExp where
  Prop :: Char -> BoolExp
  And  :: BoolExp -> BoolExp -> BoolExp
  Or   :: BoolExp -> BoolExp -> BoolExp
  Impl :: BoolExp -> BoolExp -> BoolExp
  Iff  :: BoolExp -> BoolExp -> BoolExp
  Not  :: BoolExp -> BoolExp
```

```
type BValuation = [(Char, Bool)]
```



Notice.

The proposition `And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')` denotes the logical “and” of propositional formulae p and q ($p \wedge q$) while `Impl (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')` represents the proposition p “implies” q , namely $p \implies q$. Similarly, `Iff (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')` stands for the “double way implication” in between p and q , $p \iff q$.

↓
In a valuation like `[('p', True), ('q', False)]`, the proposition `Prop 'p'` takes the value of `True` while `Prop 'q'` is `False`.

a) **(10 pts)** `printBoolExp :: BoolExp -> String` represents formulae in the string form.

Expected behavior of the function:

<code>printBoolExp (Prop 'p')</code>	<code>==</code>	<code>"p"</code>
<code>printBoolExp (And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))</code>	<code>==</code>	<code>"(p && q)"</code>
<code>printBoolExp (Or (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))</code>	<code>==</code>	<code>"(p q)"</code>
<code>printBoolExp (Impl (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))</code>	<code>==</code>	<code>"(p -> q)"</code>
<code>printBoolExp (Iff (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q'))</code>	<code>==</code>	<code>"(p <-> q)"</code>
<code>printBoolExp (Not (Prop 'p'))</code>	<code>==</code>	<code>"(~p)"</code>
<code>printBoolExp (And (Prop 'r') (Iff (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')))</code>	<code>==</code>	<code>"(r && (p <-> q))"</code>

- b) (15 pts) `propNames :: BoolExp -> [Char]` extracts proposition names out of a given formula where no name duplications allowed. The appearance order also matters.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
propNames (Prop 'p') == ['p']
propNames (Or 'q' (And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')))) == ['q', 'p']
propNames (Or (Prop 'r') (And (Prop 'q') (Impl (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')))) == ['r', 'q', 'p']
```

- c) (15 pts) `beval :: BValuation -> BoolExp -> Bool` evaluates the given formula under a valuation, and returns the `Bool` value.

Expected behavior of the function:

```
beval [('p', True), ('q', False)] (Or (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')) == True
beval [('p', True), ('q', False), ('r', True)]
(Impl (Or (Prop 'p') (Prop 'r')) (Prop 'q')) == False
beval [('p', True), ('q', False), ('r', True)]
(Iff (Not (Or (Prop 'r') (And (Prop 'p') (Prop 'q')))) (Prop 'r')) == False
```

3 Untyped λ -Calculus (25 pts)

Q3. β -reduce below untyped λ -terms, as far as possible, employing the normal (leftmost-outermost) reduction order. Clearly demonstrate every single reduction step.

a) **(10 pts)** $((\lambda f. \lambda x. f f f x) f)((\lambda f. \lambda x. f f f x) f)$

b) **(15 pts)** $(\lambda u. \lambda w. \lambda f. \lambda x. w f (u f x)) (\lambda f. \lambda x. f f f f x) (\lambda f. \lambda x. f f f f f f x)$