StackAdapt Talking Points Playbook – Updated
□ Date: June 13, 2025
🛮 Safe vs. 🛆 Risky Phrase Guide
Use this guide to evaluate how to speak safely and insightfully without violating your legal or reputational obligations.
□ Decision Trees
☐ Strategic Commentary
If sharing industry insight based on public or personal synthesis:   Safe to share. If referencing internal StackAdapt info:   Avoid.
☐ Confidentiality
Is the insight based on public signals? [] Safe. If derived from internal systems or leadership: [] Breach risk.
□ Non-Disparagement
Avoid any commentary that could be seen as critical, evaluative, or reputationally damaging to StackAdapt or its team.
☐ Safe Consulting Templates
Here are ways to frame your responses safely in expert calls, panels, or client discussions:
☐ About Strategy:
"I can't speak to internal details, but based on public hiring trends and platform positioning, they've prioritized automation and workflow simplification."
☐ About Churn:
"Churn is often tied to agency reshuffling, attribution shifts, or spend consolidation — it's an industry-wide pattern I've seen repeatedly."
☐ About Competitors:
"Each platform has its strengths — some win on UX, others on integration depth. It really depends on campaign needs."
☐ About Internal Decisions:
"I can't speak to internal decisions from my time there, but more broadly, I've seen X strategy work well across companies."
☐ Special Case: Recent Hire, Team Structure & LLMs

You can praise public hires and speculate about industry trends, but avoid suggesting you know what StackAdapt \*is doing\* unless it's public.

	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
☐ Summary Rules	
	<ul> <li>□ Confidentiality: Never disclose internal data, decisions, or client-specific info.</li> <li>□ Attribution: Speak from public info and industry experience, not privileged knowledge.</li> <li>□ Discretion: When in doubt, reframe your insight as a general market observation.</li> </ul>