

## 1. *q\_semantic\_similarity* – Cosine similarity between subject & body

**How it was added:** We take the **email subject** (text) and the **body content** (web\_content). Use **TF-IDF vectorization** to convert text into numeric vectors. Compute **cosine similarity** between subject vector and body vector:

$$\text{cosine similarity} = \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \|B\|}$$

Result is a value between 0 (completely different) and 1 (very similar).

**Why it helps detect phishing:** Phishing emails often have subjects that **don't match the email body** ("urgent payment request" vs unrelated content). A low similarity can indicate suspicious or deceptive emails.

**Quantum connection:** In **quantum machine learning**, this similarity can be **encoded as a rotation angle** on a qubit: Feature vector  $\rightarrow R_y(\theta)$  rotation. Qubits can represent **superpositions of semantic states**, enabling a QML model to detect patterns that classical linear correlations might miss.

## 2. *q\_domain\_trust* – Combines TLD, HTTPS, and domain age

**How it was added:** Check **top-level domain (TLD)** (.gov, .edu, .org)  $\rightarrow$  usually trustworthy. Check **HTTPS presence**  $\rightarrow$  1 if secure, 0 if not. Check **domain age**  $\rightarrow$  older domains are generally more legitimate. Combine as a **weighted score**:

$$\text{trust} = 0.4 \cdot \text{TLD} + 0.4 \cdot \text{HTTPS} + 0.2 \cdot \text{age (normalized)}$$

**Why it helps detect phishing:** Phishing emails often use **new, obscure, or insecure domains**. Low domain trust score  $\rightarrow$  higher chance of phishing.

**Quantum connection:** In QML, this could be encoded as **controlled entanglement**: Example: **domain\_trust** controls rotation on another qubit representing **email\_url\_len**. Captures **feature interactions** that classical linear models may not represent well.

## 3. *q\_sentiment\_interference* – Contradictory tone in content

**How it was added:** Use **TextBlob** to calculate:

- polarity (positive  $\leftrightarrow$  negative)
- subjectivity (objective  $\leftrightarrow$  subjective)

Compute the **absolute difference**:

$$\text{sentiment interference} = |\text{polarity} - \text{subjectivity}|$$

Larger values  $\rightarrow$  tone is contradictory or emotionally manipulative.

**Why it helps detect phishing:** Phishing often mixes **positive/polite wording with urgent requests or threats**. Contradictory tone is a strong phishing signal.

**Quantum connection:** Analogy to **quantum interference**: Conflicting signals create interference patterns. QML models can naturally exploit interference to detect non-linear patterns across features.

## 4. *q\_url\_entropy* – Shannon entropy of URL string

**How it was added:** Compute **character-level Shannon entropy** of the main URL:

$$H = - \sum_i p_i \log_2 p_i$$

Normalize by dividing by 8 (max entropy per byte). High entropy → unusual/random URLs, possibly obfuscated.

**Why it helps detect phishing:** Phishing often uses **long, random-looking URLs** to bypass detection or hide real domains. Lower entropy → simpler, more legitimate URLs.

**Quantum connection:** Can be encoded as **amplitude of a qubit** in QML: High entropy → high amplitude. Qubits can capture **superposition of URL patterns**, helping the model find suspicious structures beyond simple thresholds.

## Summary Table of Features

Feature	How Added	Phishing Signal	Quantum Analogy
<i>q_semantic_similarity</i>	Cosine similarity between subject & body TF-IDF vectors	Mismatch between subject and body	Angle encoding in qubit rotations
<i>q_domain_trust</i>	Weighted score of TLD, HTTPS, domain age	Low trust → suspicious domain	Controlled rotation / entanglement
<i>q_sentiment_interference</i>	polarity - subjectivity	Contradictory tone → manipulative content	Quantum interference analogue
<i>q_url_entropy</i>	Shannon entropy of URL string	Random/obfuscated URL	Encoded as qubit amplitude

Table 1: Quantum-inspired features for phishing detection

## Key Point

These features are **not inherently quantum themselves** — they’re classical features inspired by signals that **QML models can naturally exploit using qubit representations, superposition, and entanglement**.

In other words, they form a **quantum feature space** when encoded into qubits, which may help a QML model detect **complex patterns that classical ML could miss**.